Questions in Hadron Physics

From Fixed Target Deep Inelastic Scattering to the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider

July 3, 2014 Paris, France Lecture 1 C



Proton Spin Crisis (1989)!



 $\Delta\Sigma = (0.12) +/- (0.17) (EMC, 1989)$ $\Delta\Sigma = 0.58$ expected from E-J sum rule....



Aftermath of the EMC Spin "Crisis"

Naïve quark model yields: $\Delta u = 4/3$ and $\Delta d = -1/3 \Longrightarrow \Delta \Sigma = 1$ Relativistic effects included quark model: $\Delta \Sigma = 0.6$ After much discussions, arguments an idea that became emergent, although not without controversy: "gluon anomaly"

• True quark spin is screened by large gluon spin: Altarelli, Ross

$$\Delta\Sigma(Q^2) = \Delta\Sigma' - N_f \frac{\alpha_S(Q^2)}{2\pi} \Delta g(Q^2)$$

Altarelli, Ross Carlitz, Collins Mueller et al.

- But there were strong alternative scenarios proposed that blamed the remaining spin of the proton on:
 - Gluon spin (same as above)

Jaffe, Manohar Ji et al

• Orbital motion of quarks and gluons (OAM)

It became clear that precision measurements of nucleon spin constitution was needed!

Stony Brook University

Natural questions about Nucleon's Spin

Do the quarks & anti-quarks really carry so little a spin of the proton?: \rightarrow A better precision on $\Delta\Sigma$ measurement highly needed.

 $\Delta\Sigma$ contains quark as well as anti-Quark spin \rightarrow Photons do not distinguish between them! Do the quarks and anti-Quarks cancel each others spin? i.e. are they anti-aligned for some reason?

Is the gluon's contribution to nucleon spin large? → Is the "anomaly" scenario true? How would we do a direct determination of gluon's spin?

Is there an orbital motion of the quarks and gluons contributing to the nucleon spin?



Improved precision of polarized DIS experiments with good particle identification promised answers to the 1st question: Improve precision on $\Delta\Sigma$ and its quark/anti-quark separation

Gluon's contribution to nucleon spin:

Global analysis of DIS data analyses at Next to Leading Order Direct determination with photon-gluon (open charm production) Launching of a new method: polarized proton-proton scattering

Methods to tag internal motion of partons inside the nucleon without breaking the proton:

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering experiments Will come back to this in later lectures



Other spin rule(s) and tests of QCD:

Bjorken spin sum rule (1966): Strong test of QCD

$$\Gamma_1^p - \Gamma_1^n = \frac{1}{6} \left| \frac{g_A}{g_V} \right| C_1^{\rm NS}$$

Where

$$C_{1}^{\text{NS}} = 1 - c_{1}^{\text{NS}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{\pi} \right) - c_{2}^{\text{NS}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{\pi} \right)^{2} - c_{3}^{\text{NS}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{\pi} \right)^{3} - O(c_{4}^{\text{NS}}) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{\pi} \right)^{4}$$

• Efremov, Leader, Teryaev sum rule:

$$\int_0^1 dx \ x \left[g_1^{\text{valence}}(x) + 2g_2^{\text{valence}}(x) \right] = 0$$





$$\int_0^1 dx \ g_2(x) = 0$$

Understanding higher twist corrections at low Q².....

See review by S. E. Kuhn, J.-P Chen, E. Leader, arXiv: 0812.3535v2 [hep-ph] 11 Feb 2009



Improved precision on $\Delta\Sigma$ and flavor separation:

SMC and COMPASS experiments at CERN E142-E155 experiments at SLAC HERMES experiment at DESY Hall A, B, C at Jefferson Laboratory

Mostly tried to reach pQCD region, Inclusive, no particle ID Mostly Semi-Inclusive, with good particle ID Mostly lower beam energies, precision mostly in the non-pQCD regime

Experimental Essentials

Facility & Beam Energy	Target types	Lepton beam	Minimum x _{Bj} reached
SLAC & JLab 9-49 GeV	solid/gas	Polarized e source	Xmin ~ 0.01
DESY 27 GeV	Internal (DESY) gas	Sokolov Ternov effect, e+/-	Xmin ~ 0.02
CERN 100-190 GeV	solid	Muons(+) from pion decay	Xmin ~ 0.003

- False asymmetries were controlled by:
 - Rapid variation of beam polarization (SLAC & JLab)
 - Rapid variation of target polarization (HERMES@DESY)
 - Simultaneous measurement of two oppositely polarized targets in the same beam (SMC & COMPASS@CERN)

Asymmetry Measurement

$$\frac{N^{\uparrow\downarrow} - N^{\uparrow\uparrow}}{N^{\uparrow\downarrow} + N^{\uparrow\uparrow}} = A_{measured} = P_{beam} \cdot P_{target} \cdot f \cdot A_{\parallel}$$

- f = dilution factor proportional to the polarizable nucleons of interest in the target "material" used, for example for NH₃, f=3/17
- D is the depolarization factor, kinematics, polarization transfer from polarized lepton to photon, D $\sim y^2$

$$g_1 \approx \frac{A_{||}}{D} \cdot F_1 \approx \frac{A_{||}}{D} \frac{F_2}{2 \cdot x}$$

Note that

- Recall that there is a huge rise of F₂ at low x (large rise in gluon radiation by quarks at low x)
- Large g₁ at low x could still result from small asymmetries

A SHORT REVIEW OF EXPERIMENTS & DATA





COMPASS at CERN



- Multiple tracking devices (to increase redundancy)
- Multiple Particle ID detectors

Comparison

exp	E_b (GeV)	x	Q^2 (GeV 2)	P_b
HERMES	27.6 e [±]	0.02-0.6	1 - 15	± 0.55
COMPASS	160 μ	0.003 - 0.6	1 - 100	-0.76
JLAB	<6 <i>e</i> ⁻	0.1 - 0.7	1 - 4.5	±0.7

exp	P_t	target	\mathcal{L} (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)
HERMES	0.85	Ĥ, Ď	10^{31}
COMPASS	0.50	ĹĪĎ	$5 \cdot 10^{32}$
Hall A	0.35	³ He	10^{36}
CLAS	0.8 (0.3)	NH_3 (ND_3)	10^{34}



SMC Target (left) vs. Reconstructed VTX





* Stony Brook University

Measurements:

Proton Target

Deuteron Target



* Stony Brook University



Some fundamental tests of QCD?

- So far we have focused only about:
 - Low x behavior of spin structure function
 - Its Q² evolution
 - Because those were needed to check various high energy sum rules

• What about high x?

- A region were we know gluons do NOT play a dominant role
- Should we not test the predictions of structure functions and their behavior in this region?
- Motivation for Jefferson Laboratory Physics





0

-0.2

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.7

0.8

0.9

хы

High x measurements

- Jlab focused on high x measurements: *Luminosity Crucial!*
- A₁ of proton, neutron and deuteron
- pQCD predicts A₁=1 when x=1



GET POLARIZED PARTON DISTRIBUTIONS

Next-to-Leading Order Perturbative QCD with DGLAP equation

SIMILAR IN SPIRIT TO WHAT IS DONE IN UNPOALRIZED PDFS.... CTEQ, MRST...



7/03/2014

Similar to extraction of PDFs at HERA (RECALL)



Ston*Dokshitzer, Gribov, Lipatov, Altarelli, Parisi

Global analysis of Spin SF ABFR analysis method by SMC PRD 58 112002 (1998)



tony Brook University

- World's all available g₁ data
- Coefficient and splitting functions in QCD at NLO
- Evolution equations: DGLAP $f(x) = x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+ax+bx^2)$
 - Quark distributions fairly well determined, with small uncertainty
 - $\Delta\Sigma = 0.23 + 0.04$
 - Polarized Gluon distribution has largest uncertainties

• ∆G = 1 +/- 1.5



Consequence:

- Quark + Anti-Quark contribution to nucleon spin is definitely small: Ellis-Jaffe sum violation confirmed $\Delta\Sigma=0.23\pm0.03$
- Is this small ness due to some cancellation between quark +anti-quark polarization: Semi-Inclusive data could address this.
- The gluon's contribution seemed to be large! $\Delta G = 1 \pm 1.5$
- While I am only presenting one global analysis result: Most NLO analyses by theoretical and experimental collaboration consistent with HIGH gluon contribution
 - Anomaly scenario gained weight
 - Direct measurement of gluon spin with other probes warranted. Seeded the RHIC Spin program



Large amount of polarized data since 1998... but not in NEW kinematic region! Large uncertainty in gluon polarization (+/-1.5) results from lack of wide Q^2 arm



Consistent with previous data sets

0.2

 Measurement range the same as the previous muon beam experiment SMC at CERN

0.4

 Not much help in furthering the cause of extracting gluon distribution through NLC

Abhay Deshpande

Stony Brook University

0

Photon-Gluon Fusion: access to Gluon's spin contribution

Most recent COMPASS data:



Flavor separation of quarks/anti-quark spin: Do quarks and anti-quarks work against each other to reduce the $\Delta\Sigma$?



Flavor tagging: semi-inclusive DIS



Method led By HERMES Now COMPASS Jlab Experiment

- Inclusive DIS + detect additional beam/target fragments
- Selectively tagging *pions, kaons* separates the flavors involved in interactions, needs Particle ID
- Purity and efficiency of tagging studied extensively using MC simulations to overcome our ignorance in fragmentation process.

Stony Brook University

Significance of SIDIS

- In addition to scattered lepton, a hadron is observed and tagged, some times even identified.
- Probability to find a hadron H with fractional momentum z of the parton which evolved in to that hadron H: D_f^H(z,Q²)
- pQCD predicts the Q² dependence identical to that of PDFs
- We get frag. functions from e+e- collisions (LEP, NOW BELLE)
- The reason we learn from the SIDIS data:

at LO:
$$\int_{f}^{\gamma^{*}} \int_{H} d\Delta \sigma \sim \sum_{q=u,\bar{u},...,\bar{s}} \Delta q(x,Q^{2}) D_{q}^{H}(z,Q^{2})$$
extra weight for each quark

breaks the $\Delta q + \Delta \overline{q}$ deadlock of DIS plus better flavor separation





Flavor Separation $A_1^h(x,Q^2) = \frac{\int dz \sum_f e_f^2 \Delta q_f(x,Q^2) \cdot D_f^h(z,Q^2)}{\int dz \sum_f e_f^2 q_f(x,Q^2) \cdot D_f^h(z,Q^2)}$

- Early 2000
- Charge tagging $\pi^{+/-}$
- Un-polarized fragmentation functions from LEP
- Evolution a la Altarelli Parisi
- Limited information of s, sbar due to lack of data on kaons
- LO extraction, MC used for purity and efficiency studies

Semi-Inclusive DIS Data & NLO pQCD



Sassot et al. NLO calculations/fits for inclusive+semi-inclusive data

Largest uncertainties in polarized gluon & flavor separated anti-quark
 Stony Brook University
 Abhay Deshpande

Results/Conclusions

By the time the fixed target polarized DIS experiments were over we learnt conclusively that:

• The quark+anti-quarks, $\Delta\Sigma$, indeed contribute very little

 $\Delta \Sigma = 0.3 + - 0.03$ (recall: 0.12 + -0.17 of EMC)

The new results were calculated at Next-to-Leading Order pQCD.

Low x extrapolations were consistent with pQCD

- Ellis Jaffe spin sum rule was still violated
- Bjorken Spin rule was found to be correct.

Polarized gluon distribution was found to be LARGE, but with large uncertainties

Dependence of results on Anti-Quark/Quark separation on non-perturbative objects such as Fragmentation functions was a reason for concern....



Transverse Spin Puzzle

Had been observed but *ignored* for almost 3 decades...



Transverse spin introduction



 $\begin{array}{l} A_{N} = \underbrace{m_{q}}{L \simeq R} \alpha_{S} & \text{Kane, Pumplin, Repko 1978} \\ A_{N} = \underbrace{p_{T}}{L + R} \gamma_{T} & \text{Since people starved to measure effer} \vec{S}_{\perp} \cdot \left(\vec{P} \times \vec{p}_{\perp}^{\pi}\right) \text{rpret} \\ \text{them in pQCD frameworks, this was "} \vec{S}_{\perp} \cdot \left(\vec{P} \times \vec{p}_{\perp}^{\pi}\right) \text{rpret} \end{array}$ expected to be small..... However....

• $|A_N| \sim \mathcal{I}m(M_+M_-^*)$) is verse spin collisions showed us Someaning anteres

Stony Brook University $\, {m_q \over -\!\!\! - \,} \, lpha_s \, \ll \, 1$

Pion: single transverse spin asymmetries! $x_F = P_L/P_L^{\text{max}} = 2P_L/\sqrt{s}$





Seeds for RHIC Spin program:

Hadrons are almost full of gluons.... 95% of the mass of the hadrons comes from self interaction of gluons!

So if one wants to study gluons and their spin contribution to proton's spin, *why not directly explore the gluon spin with polarized proton collisions?*

A very nice measurement of anti-quark polarization was suggested, which <u>did not require fragmentation functions</u>

Curious and bothersome transverse spin asymmetries in p-p scattering persistent in every experiment performed.... US physicists heavily involved... decided to investigate further

Technical know-how of polarizing proton beams at high energy became available!

