

Andrey Golutvin Imperial College London



# Triumph of the Standard Model



# SM may well be a consistent effective theory all the way up to the Plank scale

- ✓  $M_H$  < 175 GeV → SM is a weakly coupled theory up to the Plank energies !
- ✓  $M_H$  > 111 GeV → EW vacuum is stable or metastable with a lifetime greatly exceeding the age of our Universe (Espinosa et al)



✓ No sign of New Physics seen

3

Stable vacuum is perfectly

#### Hard to believe that this is a pure coincidence !



### No sign of New Physics seen What is not found..

#### ATLAS SUSY Searches\* - 95% CL Lower Limits

Status: SUSY 2013

full data

partial data

full data

	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	E <sup>miss</sup> T	∫£ dt[fb	1] Mass limit		Reference
Inclusive Searches	$\begin{array}{l} \text{MSUGRA/CMSSM} \\ \text{MSUGRA/CMSSM} \\ \text{MSUGRA/CMSSM} \\ \overline{q} \overline{q}, \overline{q} \rightarrow q \overline{k}_{1}^{D} \\ \overline{g} \overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q \overline{q} \overline{k}_{1}^{D} \\ \overline{g} \overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q \overline{q} (\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{V})/\mathcal{V})_{1}^{D} \\ \overline{g} \overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q q (\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{V})/\mathcal{V})_{1}^{D} \\ \overline{g} \overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q (\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{V})/\mathcal{V})_{1}^{D} \\ \overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q (\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{V}))_{1}^{D} \\ \overline{g} $	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1  e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2  e, \mu \\ 2  e, \mu \\ 2  e, \mu \\ 1 \cdot 2  \tau \\ 2  \gamma \\ 1  e, \mu + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ 2  e, \mu (Z) \\ 0 \\ \end{matrix}$	2-6 jets 3-6 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 3-6 jets 0-3 jets 0-2 jets 	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	1.7 TeV       2       1.2 TeV       2       1.1 TeV       3       740 GeV       2       1.1 TeV       3       740 GeV       2       1.1 TeV       2       1.3 TeV       2       1.18 TeV       2       1.24 TeV       2       1.07 TeV       2       619 GeV       690 GeV       690 GeV       690 GeV       645 GeV	$\begin{split} m(\tilde{q}) &= m(\tilde{g}) \\ any m(\tilde{q}) \\ m(\tilde{t}_1^2) &= 0 \text{ GeV} \\ tar(\tilde{s}^2) &= 18 \\ m(\tilde{t}_1^2) &= 20 \text{ GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_1^2) &= 200 \text{ GeV} \\ m(\tilde{t}_1^2) &= 220 \text{ GeV} \\ m$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 1308,1841 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 1208,4688 ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 1208,4688 ATLAS-CONF-2012-042 1209,0753 ATLAS-CONF-2012-144 1211,1167 ATLAS-CONF-2012-142 ATLAS-CONF-2012-142
g med.	$\hat{g} \rightarrow b \tilde{b} \tilde{v}_1^0$ $\hat{g} \rightarrow t \tilde{v}_1^0$ $\hat{g} \rightarrow t \tilde{v}_1^0$ $\hat{g} \rightarrow b \tilde{v}_1^0$ $\hat{g} \rightarrow b \tilde{v}_1^0$	0 δ 0-1 e,μ 0-1 e,μ	3 b 7-10 jets 3 b 3 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 20.1 20.1	2 1.2 TeV 2 1.1 TeV 2 1.34 TeV 2 1.34 TeV	m( $\tilde{\ell}_1^3$ )<600 GeV m( $\tilde{\ell}_1^3$ )<350 GeV m( $\tilde{\ell}_1^3$ )<400 GeV m( $\tilde{\ell}_1^3$ )<400 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 1308,1841 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061
or gen. squerias direct production	$ \begin{array}{l} \overline{b}_1 \overline{b}_1, \ \overline{b}_1 \rightarrow b \overline{k}_1^0 \\ \overline{b}_1 \overline{b}_1, \ \overline{b}_1 \rightarrow t \overline{b}_1^{-1} \overline{b}_1 \\ \overline{b}_1, \ \overline{b}_1 \rightarrow t \overline{b}_1 - \overline{b}_1 \overline{b}_1 \\ \overline{b}_1 \rightarrow t \overline{b}_1 - \overline{b}_1 \overline{b}_1 - \overline{b}_1 \overline{b}_1 - \overline{b}_1 \overline{b}_1 \\ \overline{b}_1 \rightarrow \overline{b}_1 - \overline{b}_1 \overline{b}_1 -$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (\text{SS}) \\ 1-2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 3 \ e, \mu \ (Z) \end{array}$	2 b 0-3 b 1-2 b 0-2 jets 2 jets 2 b 1 b 2 b on0-jet/c-12 1 b 1 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.7 4.7 20.3 20.3 20.1 20.7 20.5 20.3 20.7 20.7	bit         100-620 GeV           bit         275-430 GeV           tit         110-167 GeV           tit         130-220 GeV           tit         130-220 GeV           tit         150-580 GeV           tit         200-610 GeV           tit         320-660 GeV           tit         320-660 GeV           tit         500 GeV           tit         500 GeV           tit         500 GeV	$\begin{split} m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &< 90  \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 2  m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 55  \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 56  \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 0  \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 50  \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 50  \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 50  \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 150  \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 160  \text{GeV} \end{split}$	1308.2831 ATLA5-CDNF-2013-007 1208.4305, 1209.2102 ATLA5-CDNF-2013-048 ATLA5-CDNF-2013-045 1308.2531 ATLA5-CDNF-2013-025 ATLA5-CDNF-2013-025 ATLA5-CDNF-2013-025 ATLA5-CDNF-2013-025
direct	$ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{t}_{\perp,\mathbf{R}}\tilde{t}_{\perp,\mathbf{R}},\tilde{t}\rightarrow \tilde{t}\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}\\ \tilde{x}_{1}^{-}\tilde{x}_{1}^{-},\tilde{x}_{1}^{-}\rightarrow \tilde{t}\nu(\tilde{r})\\ \tilde{x}_{1}^{+}\tilde{x}_{1}^{-},\tilde{x}_{1}^{-}\rightarrow \tilde{r}\nu(\tilde{r})\\ \tilde{x}_{1}^{+}\tilde{x}_{0}^{0}\rightarrow \tilde{t}_{\nu}v_{1}^{0}\ell(\tilde{r}\nu), (\tilde{r}\tilde{t}_{\perp}\ell(\tilde{r}\nu))\\ \tilde{x}_{1}^{+}\tilde{x}_{0}^{0}\rightarrow W\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}\ell(\tilde{r})\\ \tilde{x}_{1}^{+}\tilde{x}_{0}^{0}\rightarrow W\tilde{x}_{1}^{0}h\tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \end{array} $	2 e, µ 2 e, µ 2 τ 3 e, µ 3 e, µ 1 e, µ	0 - 0 2 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{split} m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 0 \text{ GeV } \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 0 \text{ GeV }, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) &= 0.5(m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) + m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2})) \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 0 \text{ GeV }, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) &= 0.5(m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) + m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2})) \\ (\tilde{\xi}_{2}^{2}), m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= 0, m(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\nu}) &= 0.5(m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) + m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2})) \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= m(\tilde{\xi}_{2}^{2}), m(\tilde{\xi}_{2}^{2}) &= 0, \text{ steptors decoupled} \\ m(\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}) &= m(\tilde{\xi}_{2}^{2}), m(\tilde{\xi}_{2}^{2}) &= 0, \text{ steptors decoupled} \end{split}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-049 ATLAS-CONF-2013-049 ATLAS-CONF-2013-028 ATLAS-CONF-2013-035 ATLAS-CONF-2013-035 ATLAS-CONF-2013-083
particles	Direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$ Stable, stopped $\tilde{g}$ R-hadron GMSB, stable $\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{p})_{\uparrow} \tau (e$ GMSB, $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$ , long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow qq\mu$ (RPV)	Disapp. trk Ω (μ) 1-2 μ 2 γ 1 μ, displ. vtx	1 jet 1-5 jets - -	Yes Yes Yes	20.3 22.9 15.9 4.7 20.3	Ît         270 GeV         822 GeV           Ît         822 GeV         822 GeV           Ît         475 GeV         822 GeV           Ît         230 GeV         1.0 TeV	$\begin{split} m(\tilde{r}_1^{-1}) &= m(\tilde{r}_1^{-1}) = = 160 \ \text{MeV}, \ r(\tilde{r}_1^{-1}) = = 0.2 \ \text{ms} \\ m(\tilde{r}_1^{-1}) &= 100 \ \text{GeV}, \ 10 \ \mu \text{err}(\tilde{g}) < 1000 \ \text{s} \\ 10 < \tan \beta < 50 \\ 0 < \tan \beta < 50 \\ 0 < 10^{-1} \text{(}^{-1}) < 2 \ \text{ms} \\ 1.5 < \text{cr} < 156 \ \text{mm}, \ \text{BR}(\mu) = 1, \ m(\tilde{r}_1^{-1}) = 108 \ \text{GeV} \end{split}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 ATLAS-CONF-2012-057 ATLAS-CONF-2013-058 1304,6310 ATLAS-CONF-2013-082
RPV	$ \begin{array}{l} LFV \ \rho p \rightarrow \bar{v}_{\tau} + X, \ \bar{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e + \mu \\ LFV \ \rho p \rightarrow \bar{v}_{\tau} + X, \ \bar{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e(\mu) + \tau \\ Bilinear \ RPV \ CMSSM \\ \bar{x}_1^+ \tilde{x}_1^-, \ \bar{x}_1^+ \rightarrow WX_1^0, \ \bar{x}_1^0 \rightarrow ee\bar{v}_{\mu}, \ e\mu\bar{\nu}, \\ \bar{x}_1^- \tilde{x}_1^-, \ \bar{x}_1^+ \rightarrow WX_1^0, \ \bar{x}_1^0 \rightarrow er\bar{v}_{\mu}, \ er\bar{\nu}, \\ \bar{x}_2^- \bar{x}_1, \ \bar{x}_1^+ \rightarrow WX_2^0, \ \bar{x}_1^0 \rightarrow rr\bar{v}_{\theta}, \ er\bar{\nu}, \\ \bar{g} \rightarrow \bar{q}qq \\ \bar{g} \rightarrow \bar{q}_1, \ \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow bs \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 4 \ e, \mu \\ 3 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 0 \\ 2 \ e, \mu (SS) \end{array}$	7 jets 7 jets - 6-7 jets 0-3 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes	4.6 4.6 4.7 20.7 20.7 20.3 20.3	9.         1.61 TeV           9.         1.1 TeV           9.         1.1 TeV           9.         1.2 TeV $k_1^*$ 750 GeV $k_1^*$ 350 GeV           8         880 GeV	$\begin{split} & \mathcal{A}_{121}^{i}=0.10,  \mathcal{A}_{122}=0.05 \\ & \mathcal{A}_{211}^{i}=0.10,  \mathcal{A}_{12323}=0.05 \\ & m(\tilde{g})=m(\tilde{g}),  c_{2,23}=c1  mm \\ & m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{2})<300  \mathrm{GeV},  \mathcal{A}_{123}>0 \\ & m(\tilde{t}_{1}^{2})>300  \mathrm{GeV},  \mathcal{A}_{133}>0 \\ & \mathrm{BR}(c)=\mathrm{BR}(b)=\mathrm{BR}(c)=0\% \end{split}$	1212.1272 1212.1272 ATLAS-CONF-2012-140 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 ATLAS-CONF-2013-091 ATLAS-CONF-2013-007
Other	Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow q\bar{q}$ Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$ WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac $\chi$ )	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 e, \mu (SS) \\ 0 \end{array} $	4 jets 1 b mono-jet	Yes Yes	4.6 14.3 10.5	sgluon 100-287 GeV S00 GeV M' scale 704 GeV	incl. limit from 1110.2693 m(χ)<80 GeV, limit α1<887 GeV for D6	1210.4826 ATLAS-CONF-2013-051 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
		actial data	45 = 6			10-1 1	Mass scale [TeV]	

Mass scale [TeV]

ATLAS Preliminary

 $\int \mathcal{L} dt = (4.6 - 22.9) \text{ fb}^{-1}$   $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$ 

#### No sign of New Physics seen

# What is not found..

#### Summary of CMS RPV SUSY Results\*

EPSHEP 2013



#### Bounds on the scale of New Physics

Most stringent limits come from observables in K<sup>0</sup> & B<sup>0</sup> mixing



## Theoretical motivation

 Discovery of the 126 GeV Higgs boson → Triumph of the Standard Model The SM may work successfully up to Planck scale !

## Short comings of the SM:

- Neutrino masses & oscillations
- Excess of matter over antimatter in the Universe
- The nature of non-baryonic Dark Matter

### Strong motivation to search for physics BSM

# Search for BSM physics: how and where ?



hidden sector:

HNL: baryon asymmetry of the Universe, dark matter, neutrino masses sgoldstino, light neutralino: SUSY paraphoton: mirror matter, dark matter

### Hidden portals: impressive list of ideas in the past

New light hidden particle must be singlet with respect to the gauge group of the SM  $\rightarrow$  they may couple to different singlet composite operators (portals) of the SM

- ✓ Dim 2: Hypercharge U(1) field,  $B_{\mu\nu}$ : **vector portal**. New particle massive vector photon (paraphoton, secluded photon, ...); renormalisable coupling kinetic mixing  $\rightarrow \varepsilon B_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$
- ✓ Dim 2 Higgs field  $H^{\dagger}H$ : Higgs portal. New particle – hidden (dark) scalar; renormalisable coupling  $\rightarrow (\mu \chi + \lambda \chi^2) H^{\dagger}H$
- ✓ Dim 5/2 Higgs-lepton  $H^T L$ : neutrino portal. New particles Heavy Neutral Leptons, HNL; renormalisable coupling  $→ Y H^T \overline{N}L$

✓ Dim 4 Axion-like Particles, ALP, pseudo-scalars: **axion portal** Non-renormalisable couplings →  $\frac{a}{F}G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{F}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi$ 

#### Vector portal

Mirror matter: P, C and PC are not conserved - to restore the symmetry between left and right mirror particles should be introduced.

Okun, Voloshin, Ellis, Schwarz, Tyupkin, Kolb, Seckel, Turner, Georgi, Ginsparg, Glashow, Foot, Volkas, Blinnikov, Khlopov, Gninenko, Ignatiev, Berezhiani,...

Even more general approach: dark hidden sector may have complicated structure, not associated with ideas of mirror symmetry (e.g. "SuperUnified theory of Dark Matter" of Arkani-Hamed and Weiner). A possible bridge between hidden and and our world is the vector portal.

The mass of paraphoton (U-boson, secluded photon, dark photon, dark gauge boson, ...) can be in GeV region (SUSY models, arguments coming from DM - change of DM annihilation cross-section, etc).

Holdom, Galison, Manohar, Arkani-Hamed, Weiner, Schuster, Essig, Pospelov, Toro, Batell, Ritz, Andreas, Goodsell, Abel, Khoze, Ringwald, Fayet, Cheung, Ruderman, Wang, Yavin, Morrissey, Poland, Zurek, Reece, Wang, ...

### Higgs portal

Higgs portal: convenient parametrisation of an extended Higgs sector: two Higgs doublets, SUSY (e.g. light sgoldstino), scalar singlets, Higgs triplets,...

Extra scalars may be helpful for solution of hierarchy problem, flavour problem, baryogenesis, Dark Matter, neutrino masses, inflation, etc

Patt, Wilczek, Schabinger, Wells, No, Ramsey-Musolf, Walker, Khoze, Ro, Choi, Englert, Zerwas, Lebedev, Mambrini, Lee, Jaeckel, Everett, Djouadi, Falkowski, Schwetz, Zupan, Tytgat, Pospelov, Batell, Ritz, Bezrukov, Gorbunov, Gunion, Haber, Kane, Dawson,... Neutrino portal (Heavy Neutral Leptons)

Minkowski, Yanagida, Gell-Mann, Ramond, Slansky, Glashow, Mohapatra, G. Senjanovic + too many names to write, the whole domain of neutrino physics

#### Axion portal

Axions to solve strong CP-problem; string theory, extra dimensions: axion-like particles - ALPs (or pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons), dark matter, SUSY, ...

Weinberg, Wilczek, Witten, Conlon, Arvanitaki, Dimopoulos, Dubovsky, Kaloper, March-Russell, Cicoli, Goodsell, Ringwald, Lazarides, Shafi, Choi, Essig, Harnik, Kaplan, Toro, Gorbunov,...

# Vector portal observables

Production: through a virtual photon: electron or proton fixed-target experiments,  $e^+e^-$  and hadron colliders,  $\sigma \propto \epsilon^2$ . Decay due to the mixing with photon to the pair of charged particles:

 $e^+e^-$ ,  $\mu^+\mu^-$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-$ , *etc*, *etc* or to invisible particles from the dark sector.

Constraints are coming from:

- SLAC and Fermilab beam dump experiments E137, E141, E774
- electron and muon anomalous magnetic moments
- KLOE, BaBar
- PS191, NOMAD, CHARM (CERN)

arXiv:1311.3870, Bluemlein et al.



*Kinetic mixing of dark (massive) photons with our world* 

 $\epsilon B_{\mu
u}F^{\prime\mu
u}$ 

# SHIP sensitivity to dark photons

Use the following decays of neutral mesons:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \checkmark & \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \\ \checkmark & \eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \\ \checkmark & \omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma \\ \checkmark & \eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \end{array}$$





#### Higgs portal observables (inflaton)

 $(\mu\chi + \lambda\chi^2)H^{\dagger}H + L_{SM} + L_{hidden}$ 

Direct production  $p + ext{target} o Y + \chi$ 

Production via intermediate (hadronic) state

 $p + target \rightarrow mesons + ..., and then hadron \rightarrow \chi + ....$ 

- Subsequent decay of  $\chi$  to SM particles
- Recent example a model to produce 7 keV N<sub>1</sub> (DM candidate) and inflate the Universe in accordance with BICEP and Planck





If  $\mu \ll v$ , the new scalar  $\chi$  may be long-lived

### Axion portal observables

Axion-like particles (or pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons), dark matter, SUSY, ...  $\frac{a}{F}G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{F}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi$ 



Left:  $K^+ \to \text{anything} + e^+e^-$  (green);  $K^+ \to \pi^+ + \text{invisible (blue)}$ ;  $B^+ \to K^+l^+l^-$  (yellow) ( $l = e, \mu$ );  $B^+ \to K^+ + \text{invisible (red)}$ . Right: Gray: the combined exclusion region from meson decays; green: CHARM; blue: supernova SN 1987a; red: muon anomalous magnetic moment.

#### **Neutrino portal observables:** (Heavy Neutral Leptons)

vMSM (T.Asaka, M.Shaposhnikov PL B620 (2005) 17) explains all short comings of the SM at once by adding 3 HNL:  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  and  $N_3$ 

ш

S

muor

173.2 GeV

D

bottom

0 g

gluon

photor

1.2 GeV

0.4 GeV

<sup>1</sup>\۸

126 GeV

spin 0



#### N = Heavy Neutral Lepton - HNL

Role of  $N_1$  with mass in keV region: dark matter Role of  $N_2$ ,  $N_3$  with mass in 100 MeV – GeV region: "give" masses to neutrinos and produce baryon asymmetry of the Universe Role of the Higgs: give masses to quarks, leptons, Z and W and inflate the Universe

### See-saw generation of neutrino masses

Most general renormalisable Lagrangian of all SM particles (+3 singlets wrt the SM gauge group):

$$L_{\text{singlet}} = i\bar{N}_I\partial_\mu\gamma^\mu N_I - Y_{I\alpha}\bar{N}_I^c\tilde{H}L^c_\alpha - M_I\bar{N}_I^cN_I + \text{h.c.}$$

Yukawa term: mixing of  $N_l$  with active neutrinos to explain oscillations

Majorana term which carries no gauge charge

The scale of the active neutrino mass is given by the see-saw formula:  $m_{\nu} \sim where m_D \sim Y_{I\alpha}v$  - typical value of the Dirac mass term

#### Example:

For  $M \sim 1$  GeV and  $m_v \sim 0.05$  eV it results in  $m_D \sim 10$  keV and Yukawa coupling  $\sim 10^{-7}$ 



# Masses and couplings of HNLs

- $N_1$  can be sufficiently stable to be a DM candidate,  $M(N_1) \sim 10 \text{ keV}$
- M(N<sub>2</sub>) ≈ M(N<sub>3</sub>) ~ a few GeV → CPV can be increased dramatically to explain Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe (BAU)

Very weak  $N_{2,3}$ -to-v mixing (~  $U^2$ )  $\rightarrow N_{2,3}$  are much longer-lived than the SM particles



 $10^{-9}$ 

0.2

0.5

1.0

M [GeV]

2.0

5.00

10.0

Br(N →  $\mu^{-}/e^{-} \rho^{+}) \sim 0.5 - 20\%$ Br(N →  $\nu\mu e$ ) ~ 1 - 10%

•

### Dark Matter candidate HNL N<sub>1</sub>

Yukawa couplings are small  $\rightarrow$  *N* can be very stable.



Main decay mode:  $N \rightarrow 3\nu$ . Subdominant radiative decay channel:  $N \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ . For one flavour:

$$au_{N_1} = 10^{14}\, ext{years} \left(rac{10\ ext{keV}}{M_N}
ight)^5 \left(rac{10^{-8}}{ heta_1^2}
ight)$$

$$\theta_1 = \frac{m_D}{M_N}$$

### Dark Matter candidate HNL N<sub>1</sub>

DM particle is not stable. Main decay mode  $N_1 \rightarrow 3\nu$  is not observable. Subdominant radiative decay channel:  $N \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ . Photon energy:  $E_{\gamma} = \frac{M}{2}$ 

Radiative decay width:

$$\Gamma_{
m rad} = rac{9\,lpha_{ extsf{EM}}\,G_F^2}{256\cdot 4\pi^4}\,\sin^2(2 heta)\,{M_{ extsf{N}}}^5$$

# Constraints on DM HNL N<sub>1</sub>

- ✓ **Stability** →  $N_1$  must have a lifetime larger than that of the Universe
- ✓ **Production** →  $N_1$  are created in the early Universe in reactions  $l\overline{l} \rightarrow vN_1$ ,  $q\overline{q} \rightarrow vN_1$  etc. Need to provide correct DM abundance
- ✓ Structure formation →  $N_1$  should be heavy enough ! Otherwise its free streaming length would erase structure non-uniformities at small scales (Lyman- $\alpha$ forest spectra of distant quasars and structure of dwarf galaxies )
- ✓ **X-ray spectra** → Radiative decays  $N_1 \rightarrow \gamma v$  produce a mono-line in photon galaxies spectrum.

### Allowed parameter space for DM HNL N<sub>1</sub>



# Searches for DM HNL N<sub>1</sub> in space

- Has been previously searched with XMM-Newton, Chandra, Suzaku, INTEGRAL
- Spectral resolution is not enough (required  $\Delta E/E \sim 10^{-3}$ )
- Proposed/planned X-ray missions with sufficient spectral resolution:



#### New line in photon galaxy spectrum ???

*Two recent publications in arXiv:* 

- arXiv 1402.2301 Detection of an unidentified emission line in the stacked X-ray spectrum of Galaxy Clusters,  $E_{\gamma} \sim 3.56 \text{ keV}$
- arXiv 1402.4119 An unidentified line in X-ray spectra of the Andromeda galaxy and Perseus galaxy cluster,  $E_{\gamma} \sim 3.5 \text{ keV}$

Will soon be checked by Astro-H with better energy resolution



### Masses and couplings of HNLs

 $M(N_2) \approx M(N_3) \sim a$  few GeV  $\rightarrow$  CPV can be increased dramatically to explain Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe (BAU)

Very weak  $N_{2,3}$ -to-v mixing (~ U<sup>2</sup>)  $\rightarrow N_{2,3}$  are much longer-lived than the SM particles



- •
- Typical BRs (depending on the flavour mixing):

 $Br(N \rightarrow \mu/e \pi) \sim 0.1 - 50\%$  $Br(N \rightarrow \mu^{-}/e^{-} \rho^{+}) \sim 0.5 - 20\%$  $Br(N \rightarrow v\mu e) \sim 1 - 10\%$ 



### Baryon asymmetry

#### Sakharov conditions:

• CP is not conserved in vMSM

6 CPV phases in the lepton sector and 1 CKM phase in the quark sector (to be compared with only one CKM phase in the SM)

- Deviations from thermal equilibrium
- ✓ HNL are created in the early Universe
- ✓ CPV in the interference of HNL production and decay
- ✓ Lepton number goes from HNL to active neutrinos
- ✓ Then lepton number transfers to baryons in the equilibrium sphaleron processes
  - **PS** Explanation of DM with  $N_1$  reduces a number of free parameters  $\rightarrow$  Degeneracy of  $N_{2,3}$  masses is required to ensure sufficient CPV

### Masses and couplings of HNLs

# Very weak $N_{2,3}$ -to-v mixing (~ $U^2$ ) $\rightarrow N_{2,3}$ are much longer-lived than the SM particles

**Example:** N<sub>2,3</sub> production in charm



and subsequent decays



- Typical lifetimes > 10  $\mu$ s for  $M(N_{2,3}) \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$ Decay distance O(km)
- Typical BRs (depending on the flavour mixing): <sup>™</sup>

Br(N → μ/e π) ~ 0.1 - 50% Br(N → μ<sup>-</sup>/e<sup>-</sup> ρ<sup>+</sup>) ~ 0.5 - 20% Br(N → νμe) ~ 1 - 10%



## Experimental and cosmological constraints



- Recent progress in cosmology

 The sensitivity of previous experiments did not probe the interesting region for HNL masses above the kaon mass

Strong motivation to explore cosmologically allowed parameter space

**Proposal for a new experiment at the SPS, SHIP to search for new long-lived particles produced in charm decays** (more details can be found at <u>http://ship.web.cern.ch/ship</u>) Experimentally this domain has not been very well explored ! 30

# **Experimental requirements**

• Search for HNL in Heavy Flavour decays

Beam dump experiment at the SPS with a total of 2×10<sup>20</sup> protons on target (pot) to produce large number of charm mesons

• HNLs produced in charm decays have significant  $P_{T}$ 



Detector must be placed close to the target to maximize geometrical acceptance

Effective (and "short") muon shield is essential to reduce
 muon-induced backgrounds (mainly from short-lived resonances accompanying charm production) 31



Generic setup, not to scale!

### **Detector concept** (based on existing technologies)

• Reconstruction of the HNL decays in the final states:  $\mu^-\pi^+$ ,  $\mu^-\rho^+$  &  $e^-\pi^+$ 

Requires long decay volume, magnetic spectrometer, muon detector and electromagnetic calorimeter, preferably in surface building



# Detector concept (cont.)

#### Geometrical acceptance

- Saturates for a given HNL lifetime as a function of detector length
- The use of two magnetic spectrometers increases the acceptance by 70%

Detector has two almost identical elements





### Expected event yield (cont.)

Assuming  $U_{\mu}^{2} = 10^{-7}$  (corresponding to the strongest experimental limit currently for  $M_{N} \sim 1$  GeV) and  $\tau_{N} = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$  s

~12k fully reconstructed N  $\rightarrow \mu^{-}\pi^{+}$  events are expected for  $M_{N}$  = 1 GeV



120 events for cosmologically favoured region:  $U_{\mu}^{2} = 10^{-8} \& \tau_{N} = 1.8 \times 10^{-4} s_{M}$ 

# Status of the SPSC review

- Oct 2013: submitted our EOI: CERN-SPSC-2013-024 ; arXiv:1310.1762 ; SPSC-EOI-010. 2013
- SPSC assigned 4 referees, who came with a list of questions.
- 3/1/2014: answers to questions: snoopy.web.cern.ch/snoopy/EOI/SPSC-EOI-010\_ResponseToReferees.pdf
- 15/1/2014: SPSC discussed our proposal.

17/1/2014: The official feedback from the Committee is as follows :

"The Committee received with interest the response of the proponents to the questions raised in its review of EOI010.

The SPSC **recognises** the interesting physics potential of searching for heavy neutral leptons and investigating the properties of neutrinos.

Considering the large cost and complexity of the required beam infrastructure as well as the significant associated beam intensity, such a project should be designed as a general purpose beam dump facility with the broadest possible physics programme, including maximum reach in the investigation of the hidden sector.

To further review the project the Committee **would need** an extended proposal with further developed physics goals, a more detailed technical design and a stronger collaboration."

Cheers,

Gavin, Lau, Matthew and Thierry

(for the SPS Committee).

### Physics case for general beam dump facility

 $\checkmark$  Study of  $v_{\tau}$  interactions (guarantied SM physics)

Ideally suited since  $v_{\tau}$  is produced in  $D_s \rightarrow \tau v_{\tau}$  with similar to HNL kinematics

- Search for any weakly interacting yet unstable particles such as HNL, low mass SUSY or paraphotons or ...
- ✓ Review of the SHIP sensitivities for  $v_{\tau}$  physics and wide class of models with hidden portals is ongoing

**Expect significant improvement of currently available measurements and constrains everywhere** !



- Good physics program with a compact neutrino detector Expect ~3400  $v_{\tau}$  interactions in 6 tons emulsion target ( 5% of OPERA )
- Tau neutrino and anti-neutrino physics
- Charm physics with neutrinos and anti-neutrinos
- Electron neutrino studies (high energy cross-section, only low energy studies for oscillations) and  $v_e$  induced charm (~1000 events)
- Interested groups: Bari, Bern, Dubna, Frascati, Gran Sasso, Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow State University, Nagoya, Naples, Rome, Toho

# Strengthen collaboration ...

http://ship.web.cern.ch/ship



SHIP - Search for Hidden Particles

CERN, Universität Zürich, EPFL Lausanne, INFN Cagliari, Università Federico II and INFN Napoli, Imperial College London

Tracking chambers



#### Experiment to search for Heavy Neutral Leptons at the SPS

We propose a new fixed-target experiment at the CERN SPS accelerator to search for *hidden particles*. In particular, to search for Heavy Neutral Leptons (HNLs) produced in charm decays. HNLs are right-handed partners of the Standard Model neutrinos. The existence of such particles is strongly motivated by theory, as they can simultaneously explain the baryon asymmetry of the Universe, account for the pattern of neutrino masses and oscillations, and provide a Dark Matter candidate.

SHIP is a collaboration of six institutes: CERN, Universität Zürich, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Università Federico II and INFN Napoli, Imperial College London. Groups interested in joining should contact <u>Andrey Golutvin</u> and <u>Jaap Panman</u>. The extension of the collaboration will be discussed at the <u>First SHIP Workshop</u> that will be take place in **Zürich the 10-12 June 2014**.

#### 1<sup>st</sup> SHIP workshop, 10-12 June, Zurich

#### Tuesday 10 June 2014

#### Registration and coffee - (12:30-13:30)

#### Welcome - (13:30-13:40)

time [id] title	presenter
13:30 [0] Welcome and opening of the workshop	STRAUMANN, Ueli

### <u>S1 - Theoretical and experimental status of SM and perspectives for new physics</u> - (13:40-14:40)

time [id] title	presenter
13:40 [1] Theory confronts the naturalness riddle	ALTARELLI, Guido
14:10 [2] What is next? - Experiment view	Dr. TITOV, Maxim

#### S2 - Vector, axion and Higgs portals - (14:40-16:00)

time [id] title	presenter
14:40 [5] Scalars and pseudo-scalars	BEZRUKOV, Fedor
15:10 [3] Dark photons	ANDREAS, Sarah
15:40 [4] Experimental sensitivity to dark photons	BRUNNER, Jurgen

#### S3 - Neutrino portal - (16:30-18:30)

time [id] title	presenter
16:30 [6] The scale of see-saw and models for neutrino masses	Prof. LINDNER, Manfred
17:00 [7] Expectations for properties of heavy neutral leptons from BSM physics	SHROCK, Robert
17:30 [8] Previous searches of heavy neutral leptons	ROZANOV, Alexandre
18:00 [9] Summary of constraints on heavy neutral leptons	PASCOLI, Silvia

#### Bar-storming Discussion - (21:30-22:30)

#### Wednesday 11 June 2014

#### S4 - Neutrino portal, continued - (08:30-10:30)

time [id] title	presenter
08:30 [10] Lepton number violation and heavy neutral leptons	HAMBYE, Thomas
09:00 [11] Overview of NuMSM	ASAKA, Takehiko
09:30 [12] Baryogenesis	GARBRECHT, Bjorn
10:00 [13] Heavy neutral leptons in cosmology and astrophysics	RUCHAYSKIY, Oleg

#### S5 - SUSY and BSM physics - (11:00-12:30)

timę	[id] title	presenter
11:00	[14] New physics in charm and bottom decays	ISIDORI, Gino
11:30	[15] R-parity violation and light neutralino	POROD, Werner
12:00	[16] Sgoldstino	Dr. GHILENCEA, Dumitru

#### Introduction to SHIP Detector - (13:30-13:55)

timę	[id] title	presenter
13:30	[17] Overall requirements and layout of SHIP	JACOBSSON, Richard

#### S6 - Experimental facility and infrastructure - (13:55-15:15)

time [id] title	presenter
13:55 [18] SPS configuration and beam transfer	Dr. GODDARD, Brennan
14:25 [19] Target complex	CALVIANI, Marco
14:50 [20] Muon shield	RUF, Thomas

#### The role of CERN in the diversity of physics programs - (15:15-15:45)

time [id] title	presenter
15:15 [21] The role of CERN in the diversity of physics programs	BERTOLUCCI, Sergio

#### Coffee break - (15:45-16:10)

#### S7 - Experimental facility and infrastructure, continued - (16:10-17:00)

timę	[id] title	presenter
16:10	[22] Radiation protection aspects	VINCKE, Heinz
16:35	[23] Civil engineering	OSBORNE, John Andrew

#### S8 - SHIP detector - (17:00-18:40)

time [id] title	presenter
17:00 [24] Spectrometer - Overview and requirements	FERRO-LUZZI, Massimiliano

17:20	[25] Straw tracker - a possible option
17:40	[26] Straw tracker - mechanics and manufacturing
18:00	[27] Calorimeter
18:20	[28] Calorimeter electronics

#### Bar-storming discussion - (21:30-22:30)

#### Thursday 12 June 2014

#### S9 - SHIP detector, continued - (08:30-09:30)

time [id] title	presenter
08:30 [29] Muon detector – MWPC	LANFRANCHI, Gaia
08:50 [30] Muon detector – RPC	Dr. PAOLUCCI, Pierluigi
09:10 [31] Upstream tagger	BONIVENTO, Walter

#### S10 - Tau neutrino physics and detector - (09:30-10:00)

time [id] title	presenter
09:30 [32] The upstream detector for neutrino physics	DE LELLIS, Giovanni

#### Coffee break - (10:00-10:20)

#### S11 - Tau neutrino physics and detector - (10:20-11:20)

time [id] title	presenter				
10:20 [33] Emulsions and scanning technologies	KOMATSU, Masahiro				
10:40 [34] Silicon pixel detector	CASSE, Gianluigi				
11:00 [35] Scintillating fibre tracker	TBC				

#### S12 - Computing - (11:20-12:30)

time [id] title	presenter
11:20 [36] Readout architecture and trigger	DIJKSTRA, Hans
11:45 [37] Status of MC	RADEMAKERS, Fons
12:05 [38] Framework for computing	USTYZHANIN, Andrey

#### Workshop summary and conclusions - (12:30-13:00)

timę	[id] title	presenter
12:30	[39] Workshop Summary	GOLUTVIN, Andrei

#### Collaboration matters - (14:00-16:00) Activate SHIP collaboration at this session

# Next steps: schedule of the SHIP facility

		2014	2015	2016	2017	20	18	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	
Activity		Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2	Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4											
	LHC operation															
	SPS operation															
	Technical Proposal															
	SHIP Project approval															
Ħ	Technical Design Reports and R&D															
ner	TDR approval															
srin .	Detector production															
d d	Detector installation															
Ω	SHIP dry runs and HW commissioning									T						
	SHIP commissioning with beam											<b>,</b>		<b>↓</b>		
	SHIP operation		•													
	Pre-construction activities(Design, tendering, permits)															
fre	CE works for extraction tunnel, target area						L									
_⊑. +	CE works for TDC2 junction cavern															
, ė	CE works for shield tunnel and detector hall															
Ū	General infrastructure installation															
	Detailed design, specification and tender preparation															
	Technical Design Report Approval															
	Integration studies															
Je	Production and tests															
	Refurbishment of existing equipment						L									
an	Removal of TT20 equipment for CE								,							
ä	Installation of new services and TT20 beam line															
	Installation of services for new beam line to target															
	Installation of beam line and tests															
	Muon shield installation (commissioning)															
et	Design studies and prototyping															
arg	Production and installation															
1																

#### A few milestones:

#### ✓ Form SHIP collaboration

- ✓ Technical proposal
- ✓ Technical Design Report
- ✓ Construction and installation
- ✓ Data taking and analysis of  $2 \times 10^{20}$  pot →

- $\rightarrow$  June-August 2014
- → 2015
- → 2018
- → 2018 2022
- → 2023 2027

# Conclusion

- The proposed experiment will search for NP in the largely unexplored domain of new, very weakly interacting particles with masses below the Fermi scale
- Detector is based on existing technologies Ongoing discussions of the beam lines with experts
- The impact of HNL discovery on particle physics is difficult to overestimate !
- The proposed experiment perfectly complements the searches for NP at the LHC and in neutrino physics

A collaboration is currently being setup Let us know if you are interested to join !

# **BACK UP SLIDES**



### Muon shield optimization

### **Passive** $\mu$ -filter

- Geant studies to estimate flux.
- MS and €: limit W-length to 40 m.
- High-p at small  $\theta$ : WØ12-50 cm
- +20-30 m of Pb/Fe :
- reduction of 10<sup>7</sup> possible
- Robust/easy to operate





# Muon shield optimization

### Alternative: Active (+passive) $\mu$ -filter

- Use 6 m long C-shaped magnets.
- Produces 40 Tm total field with 4 magnets: high-p swept out.
- Problem: return-B of low-p  $\mu$ :
- alternate return-B left/right
- Add passive Fe-shield
- reduction of  $10^7\ {\rm possible}$



Work in progress, need to optimize together with SPS-spill length, and induced background.

# Experimental requirements (cont.)

- Minimize background from interactions of active neutrinos in the detector decay volume
  - Requires evacuation of the detector volume



2×10<sup>4</sup> neutrino interactions per 2×10<sup>20</sup> pot in the decay volume at atmospheric pressure  $\rightarrow$  becomes negligible at 0.01 mbar

# **Residual backgrounds**

Use a combination of GEANT and GENIE to simulate the Charged Current and Neutral Current neutrino interaction in the final part of the muon shield (cross-checked with CHARM measurement)

yields CC(NC) rate of ~6(2)×10<sup>5</sup> per int. length per 2×10<sup>20</sup> pot

Instrumentation of the end-part of the muon shield would allow the rate of CC + NC to be measured and neutrino interactions to be tagged

- ~10% of neutrino interactions in the muon shield just upstream of the decay volume produce Λ or K<sup>0</sup> (as follows from GEANT+GENIE and NOMAD measurement)
- *Majority of decays occur in the first 5 m of the decay volume*
- Requiring  $\mu$ -id. for one of the two decay products

 $\rightarrow$  150 two-prong vertices in 2×10<sup>20</sup> pot



Ample discrimination between high mass tail from small number of residual  $K_{I} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\mu^{-}v$  and 1 GeV HNL

# Detector concept (cont.)

Impact Parameter resolution

*K<sub>L</sub>* produced in the final part of the muon shield have very different pointing to the target compared to the signal events

> Use Impact Parameter (IP) to further suppress K<sub>L</sub> background

- IP < 1 m is 100% eff. for signal and leaves only a handful of background events
- The IP cut will also be used to reject backgrounds induced in neutrino interactions in the material surrounding the detector



### Low energy SUSY sector

Light s-goldstinos (super-partners of SUSY goldstinos), e.g.  $D \rightarrow \pi X$  with  $X \rightarrow \mu \mu$ D.S. Gorbunov (2001)

$$N_{\pi^+\pi^-} \simeq 2 \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ TeV}}{\sqrt{F}}\right)^8 \left(\frac{M_{\lambda_g}}{3 \text{ TeV}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{m_X}{1 \text{ GeV}}\right)^2$$

*R*-parity violating neutralinos in SUSY goldstinos, e.g.  $D \rightarrow \mu \bar{\chi}_0$  with  $\bar{\chi}_0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu$ 

A. Dedes, H.K. Dreiner, P. Richardson (2001)

$$N \simeq 20 \times \left(\frac{m_{\chi_0}}{1 \,\text{GeV}}\right)^6 \left(\frac{\lambda}{10^{-8}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\text{Br}\left(D \to \chi_0 + \ldots\right)}{10^{-10}}\right)$$

#### **Detector apparatus** based on existing technologies

- Experiment requires a dipole magnet similar to LHCb design, but with ~40% less iron and three times less dissipated power
- Free aperture of ~ 16 m<sup>2</sup> and field integral of ~ 0.5 Tm
  - Yoke outer dimension: 8.0×7.5×2.5 m<sup>3</sup>
  - Two Al-99.7 coils
  - Peak field ~ 0.2 T
  - Field integral ~ 0.5 Tm over 5 m length





# Detector apparatus (cont.) based on existing technologies

#### NA62 vacuum tank and straw tracker

- < 10<sup>-5</sup> mbar pressure in NA62 tank
- Straw tubes with 120 μm spatial resolution and 0.5% X<sub>0</sub>/X material budget Gas tightness of NA62 straw tubes demonstrated in long term tests



### Detector apparatus (cont.) based on existing technologies



#### LHCb electromagnetic calorimeter

- Shashlik technology provides economical solution with good energy and time resolution

## **Expected event yield**

- Integral mixing angle  $U^2$  is given by  $U^2 = U_e^2 + U_{\mu}^2 + U_{\tau}^2$
- A conservative estimate of the sensitivity is obtained by considering only the decay  $N_{2,3} \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+$  with production mechanism  $D \rightarrow \mu^+ NX$ , which probes  $U_{\mu}^{2}$
- $U^2 \longleftrightarrow U_{\mu}^2$  depends on flavour mixing
- Expected number of signal events:

 $N_{signal} = n_{pot} \times 2\chi_{cc} \times BR(U_{\mu}^{2}) \times \varepsilon_{det}(U_{\mu}^{2})$ 

$$n_{pot} = 2 \times 10^{20}$$

 $\chi_{cc} = 0.45 \times 10^{-3}$ 

 $BR(U_{\mu}^{2}) = BR(D \rightarrow N_{2,3}X) \times BR(N_{2,3} \rightarrow \mu\pi)$ BR(N<sub>2,3</sub>  $\rightarrow \mu^{-}\pi^{+}$ ) is assumed to be 20%

 $\varepsilon_{det}$  ( $U_{\mu}^{2}$ ) is the probability of the  $N_{2,3}$  to decay in the fiducial volume and  $\mu$ ,  $\pi$  are reconstructed in the spectrometer

# Expected event yield (cont.)

- ECAL will allow the reconstruction of decay modes with  $\pi^0$  such as  $N \rightarrow \mu^- \rho^+$  with  $\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$ , doubling the signal yield
- Study of decay channels with electrons such as  $N \rightarrow e\pi$ would further increase the signal yield and constrain  $U_e^2$

In summary, for  $M_N < 2$  GeV the proposed experiment has discovery potential for the cosmologically favoured region with  $10^{-7} < U_{\mu}^{2} < a$  few × 10<sup>-9</sup>