Ghostly Messengers from Relativistic Jets

Kohta Murase (Institute for Advanced Study)

GRBs in the Multi-messenger Era, June 2014

Dawn of High-Energy Neutrino Astrophysics



2+26+9 events (~5.7o), 30 TeV-2 PeV

ang. resolution: ~1 deg (μ track), ~10 deg (shower)



Neutrinos & y rays: Messengers from Cosmic-Ray Accelerators



Motivation: Probe of Astrophysics & Neutrino Physics

Neutrinos can probe dense environments like the stellar interior \rightarrow detecting even a few events can give definitive answers \rightarrow will open new windrows of HE astrophysics & v physics



~10 MeV neutrinos from supernova 1987A thermal v: stellar core's grav. binding energy

- explosion mechanisms, progenitor properties, nucleosynthesis, v oscillation etc.



- > GeV neutrinos from jets (ex. γ -ray bursts) nonthermal ν : dissipation in relativistic jets
- relativistic jet properties, relationship with supernovae, new physics (ex. LIV, vv interactions) etc.

Talk Outline

- 1. Prompt Gamma-Ray Burst Neutrinos
- 2. Neutrinos from Hidden Gamma-Ray Burst Jets
- 3. Summary



Transients: temporarily luminous and atm. bkg. reduced Advantage of neutrinos: we can study subphotospheric phenomena ($\tau_T = n_e \sigma_T \Delta > \sim 1$)

Possible Neutrino Production Sites



py Neutrinos: Basics



Meson production efficiency (large astrophysical uncertainty) $f_{py} \sim 0.2n_{\gamma}\sigma_{p\gamma}(r/\Gamma) \propto r^{-1}\Gamma^{-2} \propto \Gamma^{-4}\delta t^{-1}$ (if IS scenario r ~ $\Gamma^{2}\delta t$)

parameters for $f_{p\gamma}$ (L_{γ}, photon spectrum, Γ , r (or δ t)) & CR spectrum

Neutrino Spectra



Recent IceCube Limits on Prompt v Emission



Theor. prediction (but see below)

Obs. limit (based on stacking)

Observational limits start to be powerful but be careful about interpretations 1. $f_{p\gamma}$ is energy-dependent, π -cooling $\rightarrow \sim 4 \downarrow$ (Li 11, Hummer+ 12) 2. $(\epsilon_{\gamma}^2 \phi_{\gamma} \text{ at } \epsilon_{\gamma,pk}) \neq (\int d\epsilon_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma} \phi_{\gamma}) \rightarrow \sim 3-6 \downarrow$ (Hummer+ 12, He+ KM 12) 3. details (multi- π , ν mixing etc.) \rightarrow ex., multi- $\pi \sim 2-3 \uparrow$ (KM & Nagataki 06) independent of "astrophysical" model-uncertainty in calculating $f_{p\gamma}$ \approx The above issues do NOT exist in some pre-IceCube models (ex. KM & Nagataki 06)

Implications of IceCube "Stacking" Searches



- GRB-UHECR(proton) hypothesis: not ruled out yet
- ~10 yr obs. can cover most of relevant parameter space
- Observed diffuse v flux cannot be explained by typical GRBs Exceptions: low-luminosity (LL) GRBs & choked jets inside a star (see ex. KM & loka 13 PRL)

Constraints from One Burst



Classical GRB Picture Has Been Challenged



dissipation: shock/mag./n-p collision



Model-Dependent Predictions: Large r Models



- Large r models required if GRBs are UHE nuclei sources
- $\sigma_{A\gamma} >> \sigma_{p\gamma} \rightarrow$ nucleus-survival=inefficient ν prediction if $\tau_{A\gamma} <\sim 1 \rightarrow \epsilon_{\nu}^{2} \Phi(\epsilon_{\nu}) <\sim 3x10^{-11} \text{ GeV cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ (KM & Beacom 10) - Magnetic models? multi-zone IS? (Zhang's, Allard's & Bustamante's talk)

Model-Dependent Predictions: Dissipative Photospheres



pp: $\tau_T = n_e \sigma_T (r/\Gamma) \sim 1-10 \Leftrightarrow f_{pp} = (\kappa_{pp} \sigma_{pp}/\sigma_T) \tau_T \sim 0.05-0.5 \rightarrow \text{GeV-TeV } v$ py: $f_{py} >> 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{efficient } v \text{ production (calorimetric, UHEp depleted)}$

Internal Dissipation by Neutron-Loaded Outflows

Collision w. compound flow (ex. Meszaros & Rees 00)



Collision w. decoupled neutrons (ex. Bahcall & Meszaros 00, Beloborodov 10) proton flow neutron flow after r_{dec} (ex. Bahcall & Meszaros 00, Beloborodov 10) Dissipation II Inelastic collision N+n $\rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \gamma, \nu, e$

Quasi-Thermal Neutrinos from pn Collisions



• Quasithermal v w. $\varepsilon_v \sim 0.1\Gamma\Gamma_{rel}m_pc^2$: fairly robust

• Strong consequence of the inelastic collision model: $\epsilon_{\nu}^{2}\phi_{\nu}\sim\epsilon_{\gamma}^{2}\phi_{\gamma}=$ prompt emission fluence (less uncertainty)

Prospects for DeepCore+IceCube

- Including DeepCore is important at ~10-100 GeV
- Reducing atm. bkg. is essential (select bright GRBs w. >10⁻⁶ erg cm⁻²
- Quasithermal vs are detectable in ~10 yrs or sooner w. nonthermal p



γ Rays?: Pair Injection via Proton-Induced Cascades

see also Petropoulou's talk

$$\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu} + \nu_{e}(\overline{\nu}_{e}) + e^{\pm} \qquad \gamma + \gamma \rightarrow e^{+} + e^{-} \quad e + B \rightarrow e + \gamma \text{ (syn)}$$

$$\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma \qquad \text{etc.} \qquad e + \gamma \rightarrow e + \gamma \text{ (IC)}$$

Idea: pairs are naturally furnished by cascades and then heated



Possible Neutrino Production Sites



TeV-PeV Neutrinos as a Probe of Jets inside Stars

Motivations

- Clues to GRB-SN connection and progenitors
- Jet acceleration and jet composition (hydrodynamic or magnetic)
- Neutrino mixing including matter effects etc.



More Realistic Picture

Two pieces of important physics were not considered



hydrodynamic jet assumed

- 1. Ballistic jets inside stars \times \rightarrow collimation shock & collimated jet (Bromberg's talk)
- 2. CR acceleration is naively assumed \times \rightarrow inefficient at radiation-mediated shocks

Limitation of Conventional Shock Acceleration

Collisionless shock (plasma-mediated)

Radiation-mediated shock



"Radiation Constraints" on Non-thermal Neutrino Production



TeV-PeV Neutrinos from Low-Power GRBs?

They can give significant contributions to the diffuse neutrino flux



Low-power GRBs and their choked jets may be largely missed \rightarrow Need better wide-field sky monitors (Lobster, WF-MAXI etc.)

High-Energy Neutrinos from Jets inside Stars?

The radiation constraint implies

- Lower-power is better
- Bigger progenitor is better



Implications

- suppressed in powerful GRBs/slow-jet SNe (consistent w. obs.)
- low-power jets (maybe low-luminosity & ultralong GRBs)
- choked jets are favorable (due to difficulty of jet penetration)

It may be disappointing... However, their is a promising mechanism can work in powerful jets

Novel Acceleration Process in Neutron-Loaded Jets

"Neutron-Proton-Converter Acceleration" (Derishev+ 03 PRD) another Fermi acceleration mechanism without diffusion



inelastic collisions allow $p \Leftrightarrow n$

NPC Acceleration: Spectra & Efficiency

We first performed Monte Carlo simulations for test particles

- Characteristic spectra: bumps rather than a power law
- High acc. efficiency: >10% of incoming neutron energy



Kashiyama, KM & Meszaros 13 PRL

NPC Acceleration: Application to GRB Jets



• Higher-energies are better: atm. v bkg. & (effective area) $\propto E^2$

• NPC acc. enhances the detectability of GeV-TeV vs

Summary: Multimessenger Approaches are Crucial

<u>GRB as the UHECR origin? \rightarrow allowed at present</u>

- Classical: most parameter space will be covered in ~10 yrs if UHEp
- Hard to exclude the UHE heavy-nuclei scenario or afterglow scenario
- <u>HE neutrinos from dissipative photospheres? \rightarrow more promising</u>
- GeV-TeV neutrinos from pp/pn interactions (\rightarrow DeepCore, PINGU etc.)
- Quasithermal vs from n-loaded outflows can be detected in ~10 yrs

<u>HE neutrinos from jets inside stars? \rightarrow unique probe of hidden jets</u>

TeV-PeV v production is possible only for low-power GRB jets
 For powerful jets, NPC acc. enhances detectability of TeV neutrinos



Need more GRB/SN data w. rapid followups/surveys in opt., X and γ rays

Backup Slides

Motivation I: Cosmic Rays – A Century Old Puzzle



$$\frac{dN_{\rm CR}}{dE} \propto E^{-s_{\rm CR}}$$

<u>Open problems</u>

- How is the spectrum formed? (ex. transition to extragalactic)
- How are CRs accelerated?
 (ex. Fermi mechanism: s_{CR}~2)

- How do CRs propagate?

The key question **"What is the origin?"** extreme energy (EeV-ZeV) → extreme sources

Next Strategies?





Jets May Be the Key to GRB-SN Connection



indirect counterparts in e,g., opt, X rays

Afterglows

GRB Afterglow Emission

X-ray/FUV Flare: "late" internal dissipation like prompt emission



Afterglow: syn. emission from electrons accelerated at ext. shock

GRB Early Afterglow Emission

Most vs are radiated in ~0.1-1 hr (physically max[T, T_{dec}])
 Afterglows are typically explained by external shock scenario
 But flares and early afterglows may come from internal dissipation



Flares – efficient meson production (f_{pγ} ~ 1-10), maybe detectable
 External shock – not easy to detect both vs and hadronic γ rays

Flares and Low-Luminosity GRBs

Swift

20 November 2004





Swift brought us many novel results ↓ Additional possibilities of CR production and v/γ emission!



PeV-EeV v, GeV γ (KM &Nagataki 06)

(Gupta & Zhang 07)

(KM et al. 06)

Novel Results of Swift (GRB060218)



Neutrinos in Jet Scenario

pγ production efficiency

$$f_{p\gamma} \simeq 0.06 \frac{L_{\max, 47}}{r_{15}(\Gamma/10)^2 E_{5 \text{ keV}}^b} \begin{cases} (E_p/E_p^b)^{\beta-1} & (E_p < E_p^b), \\ (E_p/E_p^b)^{\alpha-1} & (E_p^b < E_p), \end{cases}$$



XLL GRBs accompanying relativistic SNe may produce UHECRs KM+ 06 ApJ (energetics), Wang+ 07 PRD (ext. free exp. shock), KM + 08 PRD (int. or ext. dec. shock)

Novel Results of Swift (Flares)

2. Flares in the early afterglow phase

 Energetic (E_{flareγ} ~ 0.1 E_{GRBγ}) (e.g., Falcone et al. 07) (E_{flareγ} ~ E_{GRBγ} for some flares such as GRB050502B potentially comparable to energy of prompt emission)



Energetics

Neutrino Energy Flux \sim Rate \times Photomeson ($p \rightarrow \pi$) \times Nonthermal Baryon Energy

 \downarrow Normalizing all the typical values for HL GRBs to 1

	HL GRB (Waxman & Bahcall 97)	Flare (Murase & Nagataki 06)	LL GRB (Murase et al. 06) (Gupta & Zhang 07)
Isotropic energy	1	~0.01-0.1	0.001
Meson Production Efficiency	1	10	1
Apparent Rate	1	1	~100-1000
The contribution to neutrino background	1	~0.1-1	~0.1-1

Hence, we can expect flares and LL GRBs are important!

TRSNe Have the Key to the GRB-SN Connection



Novel Results of Swift (GRB060218)



Neutrinos in Jet Scenario

pγ production efficiency

$$f_{p\gamma} \simeq 0.06 \frac{L_{\max, 47}}{r_{15}(\Gamma/10)^2 E_{5 \text{ keV}}^b} \begin{cases} (E_p/E_p^b)^{\beta-1} & (E_p < E_p^b), \\ (E_p/E_p^b)^{\alpha-1} & (E_p^b < E_p), \end{cases}$$



XLL GRBs accompanying relativistic SNe may produce UHECRs KM+ 06 ApJ (energetics), Wang+ 07 PRD (ext. free exp. shock), KM + 08 PRD (int. or ext. dec. shock)

Neutrino Predictions in the Swift Era

KM & Nagataki, PRL, 97, 051101 (2006) KM, Ioka, Nagataki, & Nakamura, ApJL, 651, L5 (2006)



 ν flashes \rightarrow Coincidence with flares/early AGs, a few events/yr

 ν s from LL GRBs \rightarrow little coincidence with bursts, a few events/yr

Approaches to GRBs through high-energy neutrinos

Flares \rightarrow potentially more baryon-rich and efficient neutrino emitters LL GRBs \rightarrow possible indicators of SNe followed by opt. telescopes

Neutrinos from Hidden Supernova Shocks

Low-Luminosity GRBs/Transrelativistic SNe



Nearby GRBs (ex. 060218@140Mpc, 980425@40Mpc) may form another class

- much dimmer ($E_{LL\gamma}^{iso} \sim 10^{50} \text{ erg} \Leftrightarrow E_{GRB\gamma}^{iso} \sim 10^{53} \text{ erg/s}$)
- more frequent (ρ_{LL} ~10²⁻³ Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹ ⇔ ρ_{GRB} ~0.05-1 Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹)
- relativistic ejecta (GRB-SNe + 2009bb, 2012ap) (Soderberg+ 10 Nature)
- maybe more baryon-rich? (e.g., Zhang & Yan 11 ApJ)

Supernovae in Optically-Thick Wind



Shock Breakout & Collisionless Shocks

Interaction between ejecta and circumstellar material

photon diffusion time: $t_{diff} \sim L^2/\kappa (\kappa \sim (c/n \sigma_T))$ dynamical time: $t_{dyn} \sim L/\beta c$, $\beta = V/c$

Before shock breakout: $t_{diff} > t_{dyn} \Leftrightarrow \tau_T > 1/\beta$ $\rightarrow L > L_{dec} \sim (1/n \sigma_T \beta)$: radiation-mediated $\rightarrow CR$ acc. is (typically) inefficient

After shock breakout: $t_{diff} < t_{dyn} \Leftrightarrow \tau_T < 1/\beta$ $\rightarrow L < L_{dec} \sim (1/n \sigma_T \beta)$: radiation-unmediated $\rightarrow CR$ acc. may occur (Waxman & Loeb 01 PRL, KM et al. 11 PRD, Katz et al. 11, Kashiyama, KM+ 13 ApJL) **CRs Should Lead to Efficient Hadronic Interactions**

particle collisions with CSM $p + p \rightarrow N\pi + X$ $\mathbf{t_{pp}} = 1/(\mathbf{n} \kappa_{pp} \sigma_{pp} \mathbf{c})$ $\mathbf{t_{dyn}} = \mathbf{R}/\beta \mathbf{c}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{f_{pp}} = (\mathbf{R}/\beta) \mathbf{n} \kappa_{pp} \sigma_{pp}$ $(\sigma_{nn} \sim 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^2)$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{pp}(\mathbf{r}_{bo}) &\sim \beta^{-2}(\kappa_{pp}\sigma_{pp}/\sigma_{T}) \sim 0.03 \ \beta^{-2} & \text{at breakout: } \tau_{T} = 1/\beta \\ \beta &\sim 0.1-1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \text{ transrelativistic SNe} \\ \beta &\sim 0.01-0.03 \Leftrightarrow \text{ nonrelativistic SNe} \\ & \text{most CR energy goes to pions} \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{0} &\to \gamma + \gamma \\ \pi^{\pm} &\to \nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu} + \nu_{e}(\overline{\nu}_{e}) + e^{\pm} \end{aligned} \qquad \text{new probes} \end{aligned}$$

Neutrinos from Transrelativistic SNe

Kashiyama, KM+ 13 ApJL



- Detectable by IceCube up to ~10 Mpc \rightarrow stacking analyses?
- TeV γ-ray follow-up obs. can detect a SN at ~100 Mpc

Super-Luminous SNe & SNe IIn (β <<1)



Some SNe are super-luminous and long duration Such long duration (~ 0.1-1 yr) is common in SN IIn

Neutrinos from Interaction-Powered SNe



- If CRs carry ~10% of $E_{ei} \rightarrow \#$ of μs ~a few for SN@10Mpc
- Multimessenger implications \rightarrow Pizza Lunch tomorrow