

Sterile Neutrinos? (Discussion Session)

S. T. Petcov

**SISSA/INFN, Trieste, Italy, and
Kavli IPMU, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan**

**Workshop of INVISIBLES EU Network
Paris, France
July 15, 2014**

Compelling Evidences for ν -Oscillations: ν mixing

$$|\nu_l\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n U_{lj}^* |\nu_j\rangle, \quad \nu_j : m_j \neq 0; \quad l = e, \mu, \tau; \quad n \geq 3;$$

$$\nu_{lL}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n U_{lj} \nu_{jL}(x), \quad \nu_{jL}(x) : m_j \neq 0; \quad l = e, \mu, \tau.$$

B. Pontecorvo, 1957; 1958; 1967;

Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, S. Sakata, 1962;

U is the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) neutrino mixing matrix.

$\nu_j, m_j \neq 0$: Dirac or Majorana particles.

Data: at least 3 ν s are light: $\nu_{1,2,3}, m_{1,2,3} \lesssim 1$ eV.

We can have $n > 3$ ($n = 4$, or $n = 5$, or $n = 6, \dots$) if, e.g., sterile $\nu_R, \tilde{\nu}_L$ exist and they mix with the active flavour neutrinos ν_l ($\tilde{\nu}_l$), $l = e, \mu, \tau$.

Two (extreme) possibilities:

i) $m_{4,5,\dots} \sim 1$ eV;

in this case $\nu_{e(\mu)} \rightarrow \nu_S$ oscillations are possible (hints from LSND and MiniBooNE experiments, re-analyses of short baseline (SBL) reactor neutrino oscillation data (“reactor neutrino anomaly”, data of radioactive source calibration of the solar neutrino SAGE and GALLEX experiments (“Gallium anomaly”));

ii) $M_{4,5,\dots} \sim (10^2 - 10^3)$ GeV, TeV scale seesaw models;
 $M_{4,5,\dots} \sim (10^{10} - 10^{13})$ GeV, “classical” seesaw models.

We can also have, in principle:

$m_4 \sim 1$ eV ($\nu_{e(\mu)} \rightarrow \nu_S$), $m_5 \sim 5$ keV (DM), $M_6 \sim (10 - 10^3)$ GeV or $M_6 \sim (10^{10} - 10^{13})$ GeV (seesaw).

- **Data (relativistic ν 's):** ν_l ($\tilde{\nu}_l$) - predominantly LH (RH).
Standard Theory: $\nu_l, \tilde{\nu}_l - \nu_{lL}(x)$;

$\nu_{lL}(x)$ form doublets with $l_L(x)$, $l = e, \mu, \tau$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_{lL}(x) \\ l_L(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad l = e, \mu, \tau .$$

- Light, i.e., ~ 1 eV, sterile ν 's: relativistic ν 's ($\tilde{\nu}$'s) which are predominantly RH (LH): ν_R ($\tilde{\nu}_L$.)

If $\nu_R, \tilde{\nu}_L$ exist, must have much weaker interaction than $\nu_l, \tilde{\nu}_l$: $\nu_R, \tilde{\nu}_L$ - "sterile", "inert" .

B. Pontecorvo, 1967

In the formalism of the ST, ν_R and $\tilde{\nu}_L$ - RH ν fields $\nu_R(x)$; can be introduced in the ST as $SU(2)_L$ singlets.

No clear experimental indications exist at present whether the ST should be extended to include $\nu_R(x)$, and if it should, how many $\nu_R(x)$ should be introduced.

$\nu_R(x)$ appear in many extensions of the ST, notably in $SO(10)$ GUT's.

The RH ν 's can play crucial role

- i) in the generation of $m(\nu) \neq 0$,
- ii) in understanding why $m(\nu) \ll m_l, m_q$,
- iii) in the generation of the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry of the Universe (via leptogenesis).

The simplest hypothesis is that to each $\nu_{lL}(x)$ there corresponds a $\nu_{lR}(x)$, $l = e, \mu, \tau$.

ST + $m(\nu) = 0$: $L_l = \text{const.}$, $l = e, \mu, \tau$;
 $L \equiv L_e + L_\mu + L_\tau = \text{const.}$

The current “reference scheme”: 3- ν mixing

$$|\nu_l\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n U_{lj}^* |\nu_j\rangle, \quad \nu_j : m_j \neq 0; \quad l = e, \mu, \tau; \quad n = 3;$$

$$\nu_{lL}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^3 U_{lj} \nu_{jL}(x), \quad \nu_{jL}(x) : m_j \neq 0; \quad l = e, \mu, \tau.$$

The PMNS matrix U - 3×3 unitary to a good approximation (at least: $|U_{l,n}| \lesssim (\ll) 0.1$, $l = e, \mu$, $n = 4, 5, \dots$).

ν_j , $m_j \neq 0$: Dirac or Majorana particles.

Data: the 3 ν s are light: $\nu_{1,2,3}$, $m_{1,2,3} \lesssim 1$ eV.

Sterile Neutrinos - Questions

A. How strong are the evidences for light (~ 1 eV) sterile neutrinos from the

- 1. LSND and/or MiniBooNE experiments (taking into account the MINOS, ICARUS, OPERA, CDHS etc. constraints)?
- 2. Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ anomaly (“new” versus “old” reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux calculation results...)?
- 3. Results of the SAGE and GALLEX calibration experiments (Gallium anomaly)?

B. How strong are the evidences for ν_s with $m_s \sim 7$ keV (possible DM candidate) from the excess of γ 's with $E_\gamma \sim 3.5$ keV, claimed to be present in the data of the XMM-Newton experiment?

C. How strong are the evidences (if any) for light ν_s (number and mass) from the cosmological data?

D. Does theory of massive neutrinos need $\nu_R(x)$?