Ultrahigh energy cosmic rays and pulsars





Kumiko Kotera Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris



Ultrahigh energy cosmic rays and pulsars





Kumiko Kotera Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris







- Energies that cannot be reproduced on Earth!
- Universe thru different eyes
- What source(s)? Physical mechanisms?

Astrophysical issues:

- UHECRs are charged particles and the Universe is magnetized
- Physics of powerful astrophysical objects is not known in detail

Particle Physics issues:

ultrahigh energies that cannot be reproduced on Earth ($E \sim 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ eV}$) shower development (hadronic interactions) still unknown





K.K. & *Olinto* 11 4





What observational information do we have?





other messengers: secondary gamma-rays, neutrinos

What observational information do we have?





other messengers: secondary gamma-rays, neutrinos







UHECR energy budget [@E=10¹⁹ eV]: $\mathcal{E}_{\text{UHECR}}\dot{n} \sim 0.5 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ *Katz et al.* 09



UHECR energy budget [@E=10¹⁹ eV]: $\mathcal{E}_{\text{UHECR}}\dot{n} \sim 0.5 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ *Katz et al.* 09

acceleration to E>10²⁰ eV

Hillas criterion *Hillas 84* necessary magnetic luminosity ($L_B \equiv \epsilon_B L_{outflow}$): $L_B > 10^{45.5}$ erg/s $\Gamma^2 \beta^{-1}$ *Lemoine & Waxman 09*



confinement of particle in source: particle Larmor radius < size of source

$$r_{\rm L} \leq L$$
$$r_{\rm L} = 1.08 \text{ Mpc} Z^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{10^{18} \text{ eV}}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1 \text{ nG}}\right)^{-1}$$

! caution when applied to relativistic outflows





$$r_{\rm L} \leq L$$
$$r_{\rm L} = 1.08 \text{ Mpc} Z^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{10^{18} \text{ eV}}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1 \text{ nG}}\right)^{-1}$$

! caution when applied to relativistic outflows



black holes/jets/hot spots acceleration limited by radiation losses e.g. Norman et al. 1995, Rachen & Biermann 1995, Henri et al. 1999, Lemoine & Waxman 2009







black holes/jets/hot spots acceleration limited by radiation losses e.g. Norman et al. 1995, Rachen & Biermann 1995, Henri et al. 1999, Lemoine & Waxman 2009

accretion shocks **R ~ 1-10 Mpc, B**_{downstr} ~ 1 μ G --> E ~ 10²⁰ eV ? but maybe B_{upstream} << 1 μ G e.g. Kang et al. 1997, Miniati et al., 2000, Murase et al. 2008

confinement of particle in source: particle Larmor radius < size of source

$$r_{\rm L} \leq L$$
$$r_{\rm L} = 1.08 \text{ Mpc} Z^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{10^{18} \text{ eV}}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1 \text{ nG}}\right)^{-1}$$

! caution when applied to relativistic outflows



confinement of particle in source: particle Larmor radius < size of source

$$r_{\rm L} \leq L$$
$$r_{\rm L} = 1.08 \text{ Mpc} Z^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{10^{18} \text{ eV}}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1 \text{ nG}}\right)^{-1}$$

! caution when applied to relativistic outflows







black holes/jets/hot spots acceleration limited by radiation losses e.g. Norman et al. 1995, Rachen & Biermann 1995, Henri et al. 1999, Lemoine & Waxman 2009

accretion shocks **R** ~ 1-10 Mpc, B_{downstr} ~ 1 μ G --> E ~ 10²⁰ eV ? but maybe B_{upstream} << 1 μ G e.g. Kang et al. 1997, Miniati et al., 2000, Murase et al. 2008

accelation ok, but tight energy budget because rare source

e.g. Waxman 1995, Vietri 1995, Murase 2008



confinement of particle in source: particle Larmor radius < size of source

 $r_{\rm L} \leq L$ $r_{\rm L} = 1.08 \text{ Mpc} Z^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{10^{18} \text{ eV}}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1 \text{ nG}}\right)^{-1}$

! caution when applied to relativistic outflows







black holes/jets/hot spots acceleration limited by radiation losses e.g. Norman et al. 1995, Rachen & Biermann 1995, Henri et al. 1999, Lemoine & Waxman 2009

accretion shocks **R ~ 1-10 Mpc, B**_{downstr} ~ 1 μ G --> E ~ 10²⁰ eV ? but maybe B_{upstream} << 1 μ G e.g. Kang et al. 1997, Miniati et al., 2000, Murase et al. 2008

accelation ok, but tight energy budget because rare source

e.g. Waxman 1995, Vietri 1995, Murase 2008



very promising for those found in superluminous supernova!

Fang, K.K., Olinto 2012



confinement of particle in source: particle Larmor radius < size of source

> $r_{\mathrm{L}} \leq L$ $r_{\rm L} = 1.08 \,\,{\rm Mpc}\,Z^{-1}\,\left(\frac{E}{10^{18}\,\,{\rm eV}}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1\,\,{\rm nG}}\right)^{-1}$

! caution when applied to relativistic outflows



acceleration limited by radiation losses e.g. Norman et al. 1995, Rachen & Biermann 1995, Henri et al. 1999, moine & Waxman 2009 etion shocks **...~** 1-10 Mpc, B_{downstr} ~ 1 μG --> E ~ 10²⁰ eV ? but maybe $B_{upstream} << 1 \ \mu G$ e.g. Kang et al. 1997, Miniati et al., 2000, Murase et al. 2008



accelation ok, but tight energy budget because rare source

e.g. Waxman 1995, Vietri 1995, Murase 2008



very promising for those found in superluminous supernova!

Fang, K.K., Olinto 2012



confinement of particle in source: particle Larmor radius < size of source

 $r_{\rm L} \leq L$ $r_{\rm L} = 1.08 \text{ Mpc} Z^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{10^{18} \text{ eV}}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1 \text{ nG}}\right)^{-1}$

! caution when applied to relativistic outflows





UHECR energy budget [@E=10¹⁹ eV]: $\mathcal{E}_{\text{UHECR}}\dot{n} \sim 0.5 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ *Katz et al.* 09

acceleration to E>10²⁰ eV

Hillas criterion *Hillas 84* necessary magnetic luminosity ($L_B = \epsilon_B L_{outflow}$): $L_B > 10^{45.5}$ erg/s $\Gamma^2 \beta^{-1}$ *Lemoine & Waxman 09*

for particles with E > E_{GZK} (~6x10¹⁹eV) sources within ~ few 100 Mpc

Greisen 1966, Zatsepin & Kuzmin 1966



UHECR energy budget [@E=10¹⁹ eV]: $\mathcal{E}_{\text{UHECR}}\dot{n} \sim 0.5 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ Katz et al. 09

acceleration to E>10²⁰ eV

Hillas criterion *Hillas 84* necessary magnetic luminosity ($L_B = \epsilon_B L_{outflow}$): $L_B > 10^{45.5}$ erg/s $\Gamma^2 \beta^{-1}$ *Lemoine & Waxman 09*

for particles with E > E_{GZK} (~6x10¹⁹eV) sources within ~ few 100 Mpc *Greisen 1966, Zatsepin & Kuzmin 1966*



UHECR energy budget [@E=10¹⁹ eV]: $\mathcal{E}_{\text{UHECR}}\dot{n} \sim 0.5 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ Katz et al. 09

acceleration to E>10²⁰ eV

Hillas criterion *Hillas 84* necessary magnetic luminosity ($L_B = \epsilon_B L_{outflow}$): $L_B > 10^{45.5}$ erg/s $\Gamma^2 \beta^{-1}$ *Lemoine & Waxman 09*

for particles with E > E_{GZK} (~6x10¹⁹eV) sources within ~ few 100 Mpc *Greisen 1966, Zatsepin & Kuzmin 1966*



UHECR energy budget [@E=10¹⁹ eV]: $\mathcal{E}_{\text{UHECR}}\dot{n} \sim 0.5 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ Katz et al. 09

acceleration to E>10²⁰ eV

Hillas criterion *Hillas 84* necessary magnetic luminosity ($L_B \equiv \epsilon_B L_{outflow}$): $L_B > 10^{45.5}$ erg/s $\Gamma^2 \beta^{-1}$ *Lemoine & Waxman 09*

for particles with E > E_{GZK} (~6x10¹⁹eV) sources within ~ few 100 Mpc *Greisen 1966, Zatsepin & Kuzmin 1966*

more statistics needed!



UHECR energy budget [@E=10¹⁹ eV]: $\mathcal{E}_{\text{UHECR}}\dot{n} \sim 0.5 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ *Katz et al.* 09

acceleration to E>10²⁰ eV

Hillas criterion *Hillas 84* necessary magnetic luminosity ($L_B \equiv \epsilon_B L_{outflow}$): $L_B > 10^{45.5}$ erg/s $\Gamma^2 \beta^{-1}$ *Lemoine & Waxman 09*

for particles with E > E_{GZK} (~6x10¹⁹eV)
sources within ~ few 100 Mpc
Greisen 1966, Zatsepin & Kuzmin 1966

more statistics needed!



UHECR energy budget [@E=10¹⁹ eV]: $\mathcal{E}_{\text{UHECR}}\dot{n} \sim 0.5 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ *Katz et al. 09*

acceleration to E>10²⁰ eV

Hillas criterion *Hillas 84* necessary magnetic luminosity ($L_B \equiv \epsilon_B L_{outflow}$): $L_B > 10^{45.5}$ erg/s $\Gamma^2 \beta^{-1}$ *Lemoine & Waxman 09*

for particles with E > E_{GZK} (~6x10¹⁹eV) sources within ~ few 100 Mpc *Greisen 1966, Zatsepin & Kuzmin 1966*

more statistics needed!

















What observational information do we have?





other messengers: secondary gamma-rays, neutrinos

What observational information do we have?





other messengers: secondary gamma-rays, neutrinos
Puzzling composition measurements at UHE

T. Pierog (KIT), MACROS workshop, IAP Nov. 2013 **PAO vs TA after LHC Composition with TA and PAO are similar** light composition below the Ankle change toward heavier composition above the Ankle 900 900 • Pierre Auger Observatory (2013) TA BR/LR Stereo ICRC2013 850 850 800 (g/cm^2) 800 <X max> 750 750 <X_{max}> 700 700 iron iron 650 650 ····· QGSJetII-04 Sibyll 2.1 ····· EPOS LHC 600 600 <u>-</u> 18 18.2 18.4 18.6 18.8 19 19.2 19.4 19.6 19.8 20 18.2 19.2 19.8 20 19.4 19.6 18.4 18.8 19 18.6 log(E/eV)log(E/eV)

Puzzling composition measurements at UHE



T. Pierog (KIT), MACROS workshop, IAP Nov. 2013





12





12



12









What observational information do we have?





other messengers: secondary gamma-rays, neutrinos

What observational information do we have?





other messengers: secondary gamma-rays, neutrinos

Arrival directions in the sky & magnetic fields























Extragalactic magnetic fields?

poorly known (no observation) **upper limits: B** *l*_{coh}^{1/2} < **1-10 nG Mpc**^{1/2} simulations --> complex and contradictory

Beck 08, Vallée 04, Dolag et al. 05, Sigl et al. 05, Ryu et al. 98, Donnert et al. 09...





Extragalactic magnetic fields?

poorly known (no observation) **upper limits: B** *l*_{coh}^{1/2} < **1-10 nG Mpc**^{1/2} simulations --> complex and contradictory

Beck 08, Vallée 04, Dolag et al. 05, Sigl et al. 05, Ryu et al. 98, Donnert et al. 09...

Propagation of UHECR in extragalactic magnetic fields?

complicated because B not known standard B lead to low proton deflections

e.g., Dolag et al. 05, Sigl et al. 05, Ryu et al. 98, Takami & Sato 08, KK & Lemoine 08a, KK & Lemoine 08b

+ Galactic magnetic fields...



density map of 2MRS



density map of 2MRS

density map of 2MRS







- particularly strong extragalactic magnetic field
- UHECR = heavy nuclei



- particularly strong extragalactic magnetic field
- UHECR = heavy nuclei

source already extinguished when UHECR arrives correlation with LSS with no visible counterpart

no correlation with

secondary neutrinos, photons, grav. waves

density map of 2MRS



- particularly strong extragalactic magnetic field

- UHECR = heavy nuclei

source already extinguished when UHECR arrives correlation with LSS with no visible counterpart

no correlation with

secondary neutrinos, photons, grav. waves

density map of 2MRS

to make a distinction

Arrival directions in the sky seen by Auger

more statistics needed!



- particularly strong extragalactic magnetic field

- UHECR = heavy nuclei

source already extinguished when UHECR arrives correlation with LSS with no visible counterpart

no correlation with

secondary neutrinos, photons, grav. waves

to make a distinction

Spectrum, composition, anisotropies: tensions





spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)









Tibet Coll. (2005) EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)





spectrum



EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)





spectrum



EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)

composition



UHE

extragalactic protons

---> anisotropy? ---> auger results?

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)

composition



UHE

extragalactic protons

---> anisotropy? ---> auger results?

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

UHE
spectrum

anisotropy

composition

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



spectrum

anisotropy

composition

Tibet Coll. (2005) EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) **EAS-TOP** (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



composition

UHE

extragalactic

---> anisotropy?

extragalactic

heavy nuclei

ankle

---> anisotropy?

Galactic Fe

---> how?

---> auger results?

protons

spectrum

anisotropy

Tibet Coll. (2005) EAS-TOP (2003) Akeno (1986) Auger Coll. (2010,2012a,b)



UHE



---> anisotropy? ---> auger results?

extragalactic heavy nuclei ---> how? ---> light/heavy transition?

ankle

Galactic protons ---> anisotropy? ---> in which source?

Galactic Fe ---> composition? ---> in which source?

extragalactic protons ---> light/heavy transition?

































- neutron star
- fast rotation, period P
- strong magnetic field B
- spins down by electromagnetic losses (timescale t_p)

- neutron star
- fast rotation, period P
- strong magnetic field B
- spins down by electromagnetic losses (timescale tp)



- neutron star
- fast rotation, period P
- strong magnetic field B
- spins down by electromagnetic losses (timescale tp)



- neutron star
- fast rotation, period P
- strong magnetic field B
- spins down by electromagnetic losses (timescale t_p)



e.g., Shapiro & Teukolsky 83



Pulsar properties

e.g., Shapiro & Teukolsky 83

 $r < R_{\rm L} \equiv \frac{c}{\Omega}$

 $B(r) = \frac{1}{2}B(R_*)\left(\frac{R_*}{r}\right)^3$

light cylinder



- neutron star
- fast rotation, period P
- strong magnetic field B
- spins down by electromagnetic losses (timescale t_p)



e.g., Shapiro & Teukolsky 83

 $B\propto \frac{\mathbf{I}}{r}$

10⁸

Pulsar properties

light cylinder $r < R_{\rm L} \equiv \frac{c}{\Omega}$ $B(r) = \frac{1}{2}B(R_*)\left(\frac{R_*}{r}\right)^3$ - neutron star - fast rotation, period P - strong magnetic field B - spins down by electromagnetic losses (timescale t_p) relativistic wind Distribution of (P,B) among population $E_{\rm p} = \frac{I\Omega_{\rm i}^2}{2} \sim 1.9 \times 10^{52} \,{\rm erg} \, I_{45} P_{\rm i,-3}^2$ total energy dN/d log B $L_{\rm p}(t) = \frac{E_{\rm p}}{t_{\rm p}} \frac{1}{(1 + t/t_{\rm p})^2}$ pulsar luminosity 34.1% 34.1% $B = 10^{13} G$ 1048 0.1% $\log(B/[G])$ 10⁴⁶ 11.55 12.1 12.65 13.2 P=1 ms 1044 2% : millisecond pulsars at birth (NOT recycled) [s/6J] ⁴² [e⁴⁰/₄₀] 34.1% 34.1% P=10 ms 2.1% 2.1 0.1% 0.1% 150 300 450 P [ms] 0 10³⁸ P=100 ms Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi (2006) t_p~ a few years 10³⁶ **Popov et al. (2010)** for ms pulsars 10⁰ 10^{2} 10⁶ 10⁴ 10⁻² t [days since explosion]

Blasi et al. 00, Arons 03, Fang, KK, Olinto 2012

unipolar induction in the pulsar windor reconnection+Fermi acceleration, Lemoine, KK, Petri, in prep.strong magnetic field B
fast rotation velocity Ω \longrightarrow $\mathbf{E} = -\Omega \times \mathbf{B}$ particles accelerated to energy:
 $E(\Omega) \sim 8.6 \times 10^{20} Z_2 (\eta \Omega^2 \mu_3) eV$
10%: fraction of voltage
experienced by particlesmagnetic moment
 10^{31} cgs (B~10^{13} G)pulsar spins downrotation velocity 10^4 s⁻¹

energy spectrum for one pulsar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}E} = \dot{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}E}$$

spin-down rate:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{E}_{\mathrm{EM}} + \dot{E}_{\mathrm{grav}}}{I\Omega} = \frac{1}{9} \frac{B_*^2 R_*^6 \Omega^3}{Ic^3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\mathrm{g}}} \right)^2 \right]$$

angular velocity at which e.m. losses = grav. losses

Blasi et al. 00, Arons 03, Fang, KK, Olinto 2012

unipolar induction in the pulsar windor reconnection+Fermi acceleration, Lemoine, KK, Petri, in prep.strong magnetic field B
fast rotation velocity Ω \longrightarrow $\mathbf{E} = -\Omega \times \mathbf{B}$ particles accelerated to energy:
 $E(\Omega) \sim 8.6 \times 10^{20} Z_{26} \eta_1 \Omega_4^2 \mu_3)$ eV
10%: fraction of voltage
experienced by particlesmagnetic moment
 10^{31} cgs (B~10^{13} G)pulsar spins downrotation velocity 10⁴ s⁻¹

energy spectrum for one pulsar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}E} = \dot{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}E}$$

N fast Ω N spin-down rate:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{E}_{\mathrm{EM}} + \dot{E}_{\mathrm{grav}}}{I\Omega} = \frac{1}{9} \frac{B_*^2 R_*^6 \Omega^3}{Ic^3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\mathrm{g}}} \right)^2 \right]$$

angular velocity at which e.m. losses = grav. losses

Blasi et al. 00, Arons 03, Fang, KK, Olinto 2012

unipolar induction in the pulsar windor reconnection+Fermi acceleration, Lemoine, KK, Petri, in prep.strong magnetic field B
fast rotation velocity $\Omega \rightarrow E = -\Omega \times B$ particles accelerated to energy:
 $E(\Omega) \sim 8.6 \times 10^{20} Z_{26} \eta_1 \Omega_4^2 \mu_3)$ eV
10%: fraction of voltage
experienced by particlesmagnetic moment
 10^{31} cgs (B~10^{13} G)pulsar spins downrotation velocity 10^4 s⁻¹

energy spectrum for one pulsar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}E} = \dot{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}E}$$

N t_0 t_0

spin-down rate:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{E}_{\mathrm{EM}} + \dot{E}_{\mathrm{grav}}}{I\Omega} = \frac{1}{9} \frac{B_*^2 R_*^6 \Omega^3}{Ic^3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\mathrm{g}}} \right)^2 \right]$$

angular velocity at which e.m. losses = grav. losses
unipolar induction in the pulsar windor reconnection+Fermi acceleration, Lemoine, KK, Petri, in prep.strong magnetic field B
fast rotation velocity Ω $\mathbf{E} = -\Omega \times \mathbf{B}$ particles accelerated to energy:
 $E(\Omega) \sim 8.6 \times 10^{20} Z_2 (\eta_1 \Omega_4^2 \mu_3) \text{ eV}$
10%: fraction of voltage
experienced by particles $\mathbf{E}(\Omega) = 0$ pulsar spins downrotation velocity 10^4 s^{-1}

energy spectrum for one pulsar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}E} = \dot{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}E}$$

N t_1 t_0 E

spin-down rate:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{E}_{\mathrm{EM}} + \dot{E}_{\mathrm{grav}}}{I\Omega} = \frac{1}{9} \frac{B_*^2 R_*^6 \Omega^3}{Ic^3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\mathrm{g}}} \right)^2 \right]$$

angular velocity at which e.m. losses = grav. losses

unipolar induction in the pulsar windor reconnection+Fermi acceleration, Lemoine, KK, Petri, in prep.strong magnetic field B
fast rotation velocity Ω $\mathbf{E} = -\Omega \times \mathbf{B}$ particles accelerated to energy:
 $E(\Omega) \sim 8.6 \times 10^{20} Z_2 (\eta_1 \Omega_4^3 \mu_3) \text{ eV}$
10%: fraction of voltage
experienced by particlesmagnetic moment
 $10^{31} \text{ cgs} (B\sim 10^{13} \text{ G})$ pulsar spins downrotation velocity 10^4 s^{-1}

energy spectrum for one pulsar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}E} = \dot{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}E}$$

 $N \xrightarrow{t_2} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_1 \\ t_0 \\ t$

spin-down rate:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{E}_{\mathrm{EM}} + \dot{E}_{\mathrm{grav}}}{I\Omega} = \frac{1}{9} \frac{B_*^2 R_*^6 \Omega^3}{Ic^3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\mathrm{g}}} \right)^2 \right]$$

angular velocity at which e.m. losses = grav. losses

unipolar induction in the pulsar windor reconnection+Fermi acceleration, Lemoine, KK, Petri, in prep.strong magnetic field B
fast rotation velocity Ω $\mathbf{E} = -\Omega \times \mathbf{B}$ particles accelerated to energy:
 $E(\Omega) \sim 8.6 \times 10^{20} Z_2 (\eta \Omega^2 \mu_3) \text{ eV}$
10%: fraction of voltage
experienced by particlesmagnetic moment
 $10^{31} \text{ cgs} (B \sim 10^{13} \text{ G})$ pulsar spins downrotation velocity 10^4 s^{-1}

energy spectrum for one pulsar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}E} = \dot{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}E}$$

N $fast \Omega$ N $t_3 t_2 t_1 t_0$ spin-down rate:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{E}_{\mathrm{EM}} + \dot{E}_{\mathrm{grav}}}{I\Omega} = \frac{1}{9} \frac{B_*^2 R_*^6 \Omega^3}{Ic^3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\mathrm{g}}} \right)^2 \right]$$

angular velocity at which e.m. losses = grav. losses

unipolar induction in the pulsar wind or reconnection+Fermi acceleration, *Lemoine, KK, Petri, in prep.* strong magnetic field ${f B}$ fast rotation velocity ${f \Omega}$ \rightarrow $\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{B}$ particles accelerated to energy: $E(\Omega) \sim 8.6 \times 10^{20} Z_{26} \eta_1 \Omega_4^2 \mu_{31} \text{ eV}$ 10%: fraction of voltage magnetic moment experienced by particles 10^{31} cgs (B~ 10^{13} G) rotation velocity 10⁴ s⁻¹ pulsar spins down

energy spectrum for one pulsar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}E} = \dot{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}E}$$

fast slow t_3 t_2 Ν E spin-down rate:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{E}_{\mathrm{EM}} + \dot{E}_{\mathrm{grav}}}{I\Omega} = \frac{1}{9} \frac{B_*^2 R_*^6 \Omega^3}{Ic^3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\mathrm{g}}} \right)^2 \right]$$

angular velocity at which e.m. losses = grav. losses

unipolar induction in the pulsar wind or reconnection+Fermi acceleration, *Lemoine, KK, Petri, in prep.* strong magnetic field **B** fast rotation velocity Ω \rightarrow **E** = $-\Omega \times \mathbf{B}$ particles accelerated to energy: $E(\Omega) \sim 8.6 \times 10^{20} Z_{26} \eta_1 \Omega_4^2 \mu_{31} \text{ eV}$ 10%: fraction of voltage magnetic moment experienced by particles 10^{31} cgs (B~ 10^{13} G) rotation velocity 10⁴ s⁻¹ pulsar spins down energy spectrum for one pulsar: spin-down rate: $-\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{E}_{\mathrm{EM}} + \dot{E}_{\mathrm{grav}}}{I\Omega} = \frac{1}{9} \frac{B_*^2 R_*^6 \Omega^3}{Ic^3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\mathrm{g}}} \right)^2 \right]$ $\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}E} = \dot{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{\mathrm{d}E}$ angular velocity at which e.m. losses = grav. losses slow



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{d}E} = \frac{9}{2} \frac{c^2 I}{ZeB_* R_*^{\mathrm{g}} E} \left(1 + \frac{E}{E_{\mathrm{g}}}\right)^{-1}$$

hard injection spectrum: -1 slope

UHECR escape

SN envelope = dense baryonic background UHECR experience hadronic interactions



UHECR escape

Fang, KK, Olinto 2012

SN envelope = dense baryonic background UHECR experience hadronic interactions



Ke Fang

UHECR escape Fa

Fang, KK, Olinto 2012

SN envelope = dense baryonic background UHECR experience hadronic interactions



Ke Fang

pulsar magnetic moment μ, rotation velocity Ω, particle acceleration rate η

UHECR escape

SN envelope = dense baryonic background UHECR experience hadronic interactions



Ke Fang

pulsar magnetic moment μ, rotation velocity Ω, particle acceleration rate η

> **supernova** ejecta energy E_{ej}, ejected mass M_{ej},

SN envelope = dense baryonic background UHECR experience hadronic interactions



UHECR escape

Ke Fang

pulsar magnetic moment μ , rotation velocity Ω , particle acceleration rate η

> supernova ejecta energy E_{ej}, ejected mass M_{ej},

tight for protons

(would work for very dilute SN envelopes)



OK for iron:

accelerated to Z x higher E when SN envelope dilute



UHECR escape Fang, KK, Olinto 2012

SN envelope = dense baryonic background UHECR experience hadronic interactions



Ke Fang

tight for protons

pulsar magnetic moment μ , rotation velocity Ω , particle acceleration rate η

> supernova ejecta energy E_{ej} ejected mass M_{ej},

OK for iron:

accelerated to Z x higher E when SN envelope dilute





escaped spectrum





escaped spectrum

pure iron injection



escaped spectrum

pure iron injection







A scenario that fits UHECR Auger data (rare)

Fang, KK, Olinto 2012 Fang, KK, Olinto, 2013



propagated in the IGM

uniform source emissivity evolution @injection: 50%P, 30%CNO, 20%Fe





contribution to cosmic rays?



identical distribution of pulsar parameters in any galaxy, distribution following *Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi 06*



log E [eV]



log E [eV]



log E [eV]

24

log E [eV]

Contribution of all Galactic+extragactic pulsars?



Contribution of all Galactic+extragactic pulsars?





What observational information do we have?





other messengers: secondary gamma-rays, neutrinos, etc.

VProofs and signatures of the pulsar model???





other messengers: secondary gamma-rays, neutrinos, etc.

VProofs and signatures of the pulsar model???





Ultrahigh energy neutrinos from the pulsar model

Fang, KK, Murase, Olinto, in prep.





Ultrahigh energy neutrinos from the pulsar model

Fang, KK, Murase, Olinto, in prep.





Signatures in supernova lightcurves



Signatures in supernova lightcurves





Signatures in supernova lightcurves

$$\begin{split} M_{ej} &= 5 \ M_{sun} \\ E_{SN} &= 10^{51} \ erg \end{split}$$





Signatures in supernova lightcurves

$$\begin{split} M_{ej} &= 5 \ M_{sun} \\ E_{SN} &= 10^{51} \ erg \end{split}$$





Signatures in supernova lightcurves

$$\begin{split} M_{ej} &= 5 \ M_{sun} \\ E_{SN} &= 10^{51} \ erg \end{split}$$





related works

Gaffet et al. 77: can a pulsar power the SN? *McCray et al. 1987:* X-ray emission from SN1987A?

- possibly ultraluminous

- interesting lightcurve @ few years high

high plateau (in bol.)

28

Signatures in supernova lightcurves







related works







Follow up of SN lightcurves over **a few years** in **all wavelengths** will be crucial



Surprisingly promising candidate: pulsars

acceleration? *Lemoine, KK, Pétri, in prep.*

successful escape from acceleration region and source good adequacy with UHECR observables

Fang, KK, Olinto 2012

Galactic+extragalactic pulsar populations ---> explain cosmic rays from 10¹⁷ eV to UHE

Fang, KK, Olinto 2013

signatures: UHE neutrinos! *Fang, KK, Murase, Olinto, in prep.* signatures if birth in our Local Group look for signatures in SN light curves @ few months-years after explosion *KK, Phinney, Olinto 2013*

UHECR data to improve

more statistics for anisotropy signatures (transient/steady sources) more statistics for shape of energy spectrum at highest E more statistics for chemical composition at highest E shower development, parameters for hadronic interactions

Surprisingly promising candidate: pulsars

acceleration? *Lemoine, KK, Pétri, in prep.*

successful escape from acceleration region and source good adequacy with UHECR observables

Fang, KK, Olinto 2012

Galactic+extragalactic pulsar populations ---> explain cosmic rays from 10¹⁷ eV to UHE

Fang, KK, Olinto 2013

signatures: UHE neutrinos! *Fang, KK, Murase, Olinto, in prep.* signatures if birth in our Local Group look for signatures in SN light curves @ few months-years after explosion *KK, Phinney, Olinto 2013*

UHECR data to improve

more statistics for anisotropy signatures (transient/steady sources) more statistics for shape of energy spectrum at highest E more statistics for chemical composition at highest E shower development, parameters for hadronic interactions



Surprisingly promising candidate: pulsars

acceleration? *Lemoine, KK, Pétri, in prep.*

successful escape from acceleration region and source good adequacy with UHECR observables

Fang, KK, Olinto 2012

Galactic+extragalactic pulsar populations ---> explain cosmic rays from 10¹⁷ eV to UHE

Fang, KK, Olinto 2013

signatures: UHE neutrinos! *Fang, KK, Murase, Olinto, in prep.* signatures if birth in our Local Group look for signatures in SN light curves @ few months-years after explosion *KK, Phinney, Olinto 2013*

UHECR data to improve

more statistics for anisotropy signatures (transient/steady sources) more statistics for shape of energy spectrum at highest E more statistics for chemical composition at highest E shower development, parameters for hadronic interactions

es) JEM-EUSO Auger upgrades, radio detection, etc.

Kumiko Kotera - LPNHE - 16/01/14