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## Anti- $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ disappearance in reactor experiments

The $V_{e}\left(a n t i-V_{e}\right)$ survival probability has 2 factors coming into play at different $L / E$ values. By exploring the medium baseline ( $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{E} \approx 0.5 \mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{MeV}$ ) one have access to the $\theta_{13}$ value (middle term of the PMNS matrix).
$U=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}c_{13} & 0 & s_{13} e^{-i \delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13} e^{i \delta} & 0 & c_{13}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \quad P_{e e} \approx 1-\sin ^{2} 2 \theta_{13} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{13}^{2} L}{4 E_{v}}\right)-\cos ^{4} \theta_{13} \sin ^{2} 2 \theta_{12} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{21}^{2} L}{4 E_{v}}\right)$

## Reactor neutrinos:

- Good flux ( I GWth $=2 \cdot 10^{20} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$ )
- Well known flux (error of the few \%)
- Pure source of anti- $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (no contamin.)
- Energy of few MeV (<E> $\approx 3 \mathrm{MeV}$ )



Reactor neutrinos experiments
Detection principles: Inverse $\beta$ decay (IBD) $\Rightarrow$ Prompt-Delayed coincidence
Disappearance experiment: Compare the number of interacting neutrinos with the expected one, globally (rate analysis) or per energy bin (rate+shape)

## IBD signature:

- Prompt signal e+ ionization + annihilation $\rightarrow \gamma s(1-8 \mathrm{MeV})$
- Separation time $n$ thermalization $\rightarrow \Delta t(\sim 30 \mu s$ for $G d)$
- Delayed signal n capture $\rightarrow \gamma \mathrm{s}(\sim 8 \mathrm{MeV}$ on Gd$)$



## The Double Chooz experiment



Far detector only (4/201 I-5/20/4):

- Flux deficit and spectral distortion measured
Two identical detectors (5/2014):
- Flux uncertainty cancellation



## Gamma Catcher:

I2 tons un-doped scintillator in acrylic vessel.
Detect $\gamma$ s escaping the IT.

## Buffer:

80 tons non scintillating mineral oil and 390 IO" PMTs in stainless steel vessel.

## The Double Chooz experiment



Detection strategy
Far detector only (4/2011-5/2014):

- Flux deficit and spectral distortion measured
Two identical detectors (5/2014): - Flux uncertainty cancellation



## Other tools

Outer Veto:
Array of plastic scintillator strips ( $13 \mathrm{~m} \times 7 \mathrm{~m}$ ).Vetoes cosmic $\mu \mathrm{s}$.

Inner Veto:
70 tons un-doped liquid scintillator and 78 I0" PMTs in stainless steel vessel. Cosmic $\mu$ s veto and spallation neutrons shielding.

## Chimney

Target shielding:
15 cm steel external shielding
Rock shielding:
300/I20 MWE rock overburden for far/near detector

## Double Chooz signal selection and background

Criteria to identify a neutrino event:

- Prompt-delayed time coincidence ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}<\Delta \mathrm{t}<\mathrm{I} 50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ )
- Energy selection in Prompt \& Delayed signals
- Selections on Outer Veto \& Inner Veto signals

Remaining backgrounds:
Accidental coincidences between radioactivity $\gamma s$ and spallation neutrons captures.
Correlated, i.e. physics events simulating the IBD e ${ }^{+}$- n coincidence (scheme)
I. Stopping $\mu \quad$ (in the chimney)
2. Fast neutron (isotropic)
3. Cosmogenic $\beta=n$ (isotropic)

Correlated bkg scheme


Fast neutrons (and possibly stopping $\mu$ ) can be selected with the Pulse Shape Discrimination (PSD) using the difference in the Pulse Shape between positrons and protons (muons).

## Organic scintillators and pulse shape discrimination (PSD)

Organic scintillator's behavior:
I. Incident radiation populates vibrational states of SI level.

2. Non radiative transfer of energy from vibrational states to fluorescent state.
3. Decay of fluorescent state: direct (fast) or via triplet state (slow).

The global waveform profile of the scintillation light (Pulse Shape) reflects the scintillation process:

- Initial rise ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{\prime}}$ )
- Two components fall (fast $\mathrm{T}_{2}$, slow $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ ).


Excited state population depends on $\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}$ : higher slow component ( $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ ) for heavy particles (protons, alphas, ions) than for light particles (electrons, positrons, photons).

The Pulse Shape Discrimination (PSD) aims to disentangle $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and protons from $e^{+}, e^{-}$and $\gamma s$ by using this difference.


My analysis in Double Chooz: PSD on the correlated background.
Not trivial: PXE+PPO (DC scintillator) has shorter $\mathrm{T}_{2,3}$ than LAB or PC.

| Scintillator | $T_{1}[\mathrm{~ns}]$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2}[\mathrm{~ns}]$ | $\mathrm{T}_{3}[\mathrm{~ns}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PC}+1.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{PPO}$ | 3.57 | 176 | 59.9 |
| PXE $+1.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{PPO}$ | 3.16 | 7.70 | 34.0 |
| $\mathrm{LAB}+1.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{PPO}$ | 7.46 | 22.3 | 115.0 |

## Pulse Shape construction in Double Chooz

For this analysis Pulse Shape (PS) = Time distribution of PMTs light pulses corrected for the TOF (single event).

Pulse Shape construction:

- Each pulse is fitted to get a starting time
- Times are corrected for the TOF and the PMT transit time
- Times are combined together in a global light profile

This Pulse Shape is sensitive to:

- Particle nature ( $\alpha, \mathrm{p}$ vs $\mathrm{e}^{+}, \mathrm{e}^{-}, \gamma$ )
- Reconstructed vertex (TOF correction)

Cumulative PS (sum of all PSs) of a sample = high statistics representation of the mean PS behavior.

By comparing cumulative PSs of different samples we can look for differences (differences $\rightarrow$ discrimination?)


## Pulse Shape distributions

We study a cumulative Pulse Shape of a Stopping Muons and Fast Neutrons samples. By using the Outer Veto (OV) trigger and considering that Stopping Muons have short Prompt-Delayed $\Delta t$, we select these raw samples:

| Neutrinos | OV not triggered | (prompt $=\mathrm{e}^{+}$, delayed $=\mathrm{Gd} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| «Stopping $\mu »$ | OV triggered $\& \Delta \mathrm{t}<10 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | (prompt $=\mu$, delayed $=$ Michel electron) |
| «Fast neutrons» | OV triggered $\& \Delta \mathrm{t}>10 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | (prompt $=$ recoil p, delayed = Gd $\gamma \mathrm{s}$ ) |

${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$
Calibration source run ( $2.5 \mathrm{MeV} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ ) added in both prompt and delayed

- Recoil protons i.e. « Fast Neutrons » prompt have a different PS than e+ and ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ (expected).
- « Stopping $\mu »$ have a different PS both on prompt and on delayed (unexpected, vertex).


We use this differences to discriminate Stopping Muons (Fast Neutrons) in the neutrino sample.

## Stopping Muons separation via Gatti method

The Gatti method [Nuclear Electronics, vol .2, pp. 265-276, IAEA Wien (1962)] is designed to perform PSD:

- Given 2 reference PSs $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we build a bin per bin weight $P_{i}=\frac{\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}}-\overline{\beta_{i}}\right)}{\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}}+\overline{\beta_{i}}\right)}$, $\left(\alpha_{i}\right.$ and $\beta_{i}=$ pulses in a $t_{i}$ bin $)$
- Given a PS S (bin content $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ), the Gatti discrimination parameter is $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{s}}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}}$ (positive $\alpha$-like event, negative $\beta$-like)

We use the Gatti method to separate Stopping $\mu \mathrm{s}$. References:

- Neutrino Delayed cumulative Pulse Shape ( $G>0$ )
- "Stoping Muon" Delayed cumulative PS (G < 0)

The Gatti analysis applied to "Stoping Muons" and "Fast Neutrons" separate the two populations.




## Vertex position correction using Pulse Shape

Stopping Muons' Pulse Shape is due to vertices reconstructed in the target while they must be in the chimney.

Re-computing the Pulse Shape using a vertex inside the chimney, Stopping Muons (Gatti < 0) generally have a Pulse Shape more similar to the ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ cumulative PS.

Approach extension: scan the whole detector volume, re-compute the Pulse Shape for each vertex position and search the best agreement with the the ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ reference using a likelihood approach.
Position of maximum likelihood = new alternative vertex.


For most $(\geqslant 70 \%)$ of the Stopping Muons (Gatti $<0$ ) this new vertex is in the chimney. By changing the vertex with the new one and re-running the Gatti analysis, the new Gatti value is positive.


## Ortho-Positronium formation



## Possibility of o-Ps signature in Double Chooz

DC neutrinos' prompt event $=\mathrm{e}^{+}$ionization followed by $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$annihilation ( $2 \cdot 5 \mathrm{II} \mathrm{KeV} \gamma \mathrm{f}$ ).
In case of oPs formation, the time between the two signals can be enough to be seen in the single event's PS with the DC time resolution ( $\approx 2 n s$ ).

We developed a fit which searches for a double bump structure in the single event PS. Using the ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ cumulative PS, we build a reference with two signals (normalized as IMeV for the $2^{\text {nd }}$, remaining energy for the $I^{\text {st }}$ ) separated by a $\Delta \mathrm{t}$. The fitted $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ value gives the oPs lifetime for that event.
— $1^{\text {st }}$ bump, — $2^{\text {nd }}$ bump, — Total PS, Fit and Chi-square with MINUIT.




Applying the fit to all the neutrino sample we obtained a $\Delta t$ distribution. The formation probability and a time of life extracted from the $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ distribution are in agreement with the expected values for the DC scintilators.

## Conclusions

- Double Chooz, a liquid scintillator reactor anti-neutrino disappearance experiment, studies the oscillation parameter $\theta_{13}$ by measuring a deficit in the flux of neutrinos coming from the Chooz nuclear plant.

$$
\sin ^{2}\left(2 \theta_{13}\right)=1.109 \pm 0.039 \text { (Phys. Rev. D 86, 052008-20I2) }
$$

- Double Chooz detects neutrinos' IBDs by looking for a Prompt-Delayed signal. Correlated background from cosmic muons interacting in the detector simulates the IBD signal.
- The Pulse Shape Discrimination (PSD), a technique specific for scintillators relying on the PMT pulses time profile (Pulse shapes), aims to disentangle some backgrounds from the signal, for example using a Gatti analysis.
- My work in Double Chooz mostly consisted in implementing a PSD analysis. Up to now, I have been able to disentangle the Stopping Muons' background and l'm working on a Fast Neutron separation.
- The ortho-Positronium (oPs) can be exploited as a method to separate $\mathbf{e}^{+}$and $\mathbf{e}^{-}$events using the distortion in the Pulse Shape induced by the oPs formation.
- We developed a technique (fit) to look at the oPs formation in DC for the first time. With this fit, we measured a formation probability and a mean lifetime in agreement with the ones expected for the Double Chooz scintillators.


## Thank you for you attention

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unicamp | CEADSMIRFU | MPK | Tokyo it | IPC RAS |  | ANL |
| ufabc | Spp | Heidelerg | Toky Merro U | RRC Kurcha |  | UChicago |
|  | Sphn | ${ }^{\text {RWTH A Aachen }}$ | Nigata U |  |  | Columbia $u$ |
|  | SED | TU Munchen | Kobe U |  |  | Uc Davis |
|  | sis |  | Tonoku Gakuin |  |  | Drexelu |
|  | senac |  | Hirsshima IT |  |  | ॥т |
|  | NCRSIN2P3 |  |  |  |  | ksu |
|  | Subatech |  |  |  |  | LINL |
|  | IPHC |  |  |  |  | Mit |
|  | ulbrub |  |  |  |  | U Norre Dame |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | UTennessee |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Virginia Tech. |

## Backup



## Muon event:

- Total charge in IV > 30000 DUQ
- Energy in ID > 20 MeV

Valid trigger:

- Not a muon
- $\Delta t$ w.r.t last muon $>1000 \mu \mathrm{~s}$
- $\quad \mathrm{E}>0.4 \mathrm{MeV}$

Light Noise Rejection:

- MQ/TQ < 0.12
- Qdiff < 30000 DUQ
- RMS(Tstart) < 36 or RMS(Q) < 464-8*RMS(Tstart)

Prompt energy window:

- $0.5<\mathrm{E}<20 \mathrm{MeV}$

OV veto (prompt):

- (if good OV) candidates whose prompt signal is coincident with an OV trigger (fCoincidentOVTrigger $==$ true) are rejected
IV veto (prompt):
- IV PMT multiplicity $>=2$

| Scintillator | Composition |
| :---: | :---: |
| Target (10.3 m) | $80 \%_{\text {vol }}$ n-dodecane ( $99.1 \%$ ) <br> $20 \%_{\text {vol }}$ o-PXE (ortho-Phenylxylylethane) ( $99.2 \%$ ) <br> $4.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l} \mathrm{Gd}$-(thd) $)_{3}(\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{III})$-tris-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl- <br> heptane-3,5-dionate)) (sublimed) <br> $0.5 \%_{\mathrm{wt}}$. Oxolane (tetrahydrofuran, THF, > $99.9 \%$ ) <br> $7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ PPO (2,5-Diphenyloxazole, neutrino grade) <br> $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ bis-MSB (4-bis-(2-Methylstyryl)benzene) |
| $\mathrm{GC}\left(22.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ | $66 \%_{\text {vol }}$ Mineral oil (Shell Ondina 909) <br> $30 \%_{\text {vol }}$ n-dodecane <br> $4 \%_{\text {vol }}$ o-PXE (ortho-Phenylxylylethane) <br> $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{PPO}$ (2,5-Diphenyloxazole) <br> $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ bis-MSB (4-bis-(2-Methylstyryl)benzene) |
| Buffer (100 m ${ }^{3}$ ) | $53 \%_{\text {vol }}$ Mineral oil (Shell Ondina 917) <br> $47 \%_{\text {vol }}$ n-paraffins (Cobersol C 70) |
| Inner Veto (90 m ${ }^{3}$ ) | $50 \%_{\text {vol }}$ Linear Alkyl Benzene (LAB) <br> $47 \%_{\text {vol }}$ n-paraffins (Cobersol C 70) <br> $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ PPO (2,5-Diphenyloxazole) <br> $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ bis-MSB (4-bis-(2-Methylstyryl)benzene) |

- Total charge in IV $>400$ DUQ
- ID-IV space coincidence: $\Delta \mathrm{d}<3.7 \mathrm{~m}$
- ID-IV time coincidence (ID - IV): $-110<\Delta \mathrm{t}<-10 \mathrm{nsec}$

Li-9 reduction (prompt)

- Li-9 likelihood < 0.4

Gd Analysis
Multiplicity:

- No valid triggers allowed in the $200 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ preceding the prompt
- Only one trigger (the delayed) in the time window from $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ to 600
$\mu \mathrm{s}$ following the prompt
- Delayed energy window: $4<\mathrm{E}<10 \mathrm{MeV}$

Coincidence (prompt and delayed):

- Space coincidence: $\Delta \mathrm{R}<100 \mathrm{~cm}$
- Time coincidence: $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}<\Delta \mathrm{t}<150 \mu \mathrm{~s}$

FV veto (delayed):

- $\quad \mathrm{E}>0.068$ * $\exp ($ FuncV(time likelihoood)/1.23)

