

The cosmic ray positron excess : the revenge of orthodoxy

Pierre Salati – Université de Savoie & **LAPTH**


Outline

- 1) Observations – evidence for primary CR positrons
- 2) Is it the first hint for DM particles in space ?
- 3) Pulsars as potential sources of the positron excess
- 4) CR acceleration and spallation at the same time
- 5) The revenge of orthodoxy




1) Observations – evidence for primary CR positrons

610 MHz



1412 MHz




The Radio Continuum Halo in NGC 4631

R. D. Ekers and R. Sancisi

Astron. Astrophys. 54, 973—974 (1977)

Milky-Way seen by a cosmic-ray physicist

Cosmic rays propagate inside a diffusive halo



$$-K \Delta \psi + \partial_E \{ b^{\text{loss}}(E) \psi \} = q(\mathbf{x}, E) \quad \text{where} \quad b^{\text{loss}}(E) = -\frac{E^2}{E_0 \tau_E} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_E \simeq 10^{16} \text{ s}$$

Mostly sensitive to the local region

$$\psi_{e^+}(\mathbf{x}, E) = \int_{E_S=E}^{E_S=+\infty} dE_S \int_{\text{DH}} d^3\mathbf{x}_S G_{e^+}(\mathbf{x}, E \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_S, E_S) q_{e^+}(\mathbf{x}_S, E_S)$$

IC on stellar light and CMB – synchrotron

Energy losses dominate

$$E_{\text{obs}} \leq E_S$$




$$\tilde{t}(E) = \tau_E \left\{ v(E) = \frac{\epsilon^{\delta-1}}{1-\delta} \right\}$$

$$G_{e^+}(\vec{x}_\odot, E \leftarrow \vec{x}, E_S) = \frac{\tau_E}{E_0 \epsilon^2} \tilde{G}(\vec{x}_\odot, \tilde{t} \leftarrow \vec{x}, \tilde{t}_S)$$


$$\tilde{G}(\vec{x}_\odot, \tilde{t} \leftarrow \vec{x}, \tilde{t}_S) = \frac{\theta(r_S - r)}{V_S}$$


$$V_S = (\sqrt{2\pi} \lambda_D)^3$$



Secondary positron source term


Interactions of CR protons and He nuclei on the ISM







Confirmation by AMS02 of the positron excess

**First Result from the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station:
Precision Measurement of the Positron Fraction in Primary Cosmic Rays of 0.5–350 GeV**







Evidence for Primary Positrons



2) Is it the first hint for DM particles in space ?

Weakly Interacting Massive particles – WIMPs – may be the major component of the haloes of galaxies. Their mutual annihilations would produce an indirect signature of high-energy cosmic rays :



Antimatter is already manufactured inside the galactic disk

Annihilating DM particles and the positron excess

$$q_{e^+} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle \times \left\{ n_\chi \equiv \frac{\rho_\chi}{m_\chi} \right\}^2 \times \frac{dN_e}{dE_e}$$

A few remarks are in order

(i) The WIMP mass m_χ is expected to be of order 1 TeV – hence the excitement.

(ii) But the annihilation rate needs to be considerably enhanced.

- Thermal freeze-out cross section $\langle \sigma v \rangle = 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
- Local e^+ production means DM density given by $\rho_\odot = 0.3 \text{ GeV cm}^{-3}$

$$m_\chi = 1 \text{ TeV} \text{ needs } \Gamma_{\text{ann}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle \times \frac{\rho_\chi^2}{m_\chi^2} \text{ boosted by } B = 10^3$$

(iii) DM species are **leptophilic** and q channels are suppressed.

(iii) DM species are leptophilic and q channels are suppressed

M. Cirelli et al., Nucl. Phys. **B 813** (2009) 1

Constraints on WIMP Dark Matter from the High Energy PAMELA \bar{p}/p data




FIG. 3: The fiducial case of a 1 TeV LSP annihilating into a W^+W^- pair is featured. In the left panel, the positron signal which this DM species yields has been increased by a factor of 400, hence the solid curve and a marginal agreement with the PAMELA data. Positron fraction data are from HEAT [18], AMS-01 [5, 22] and PAMELA [2]. If the so-called Sommerfeld effect [7] is invoked to explain such a large enhancement of the annihilation cross section, the same boost applies to antiprotons and leads to an unacceptable distortion of their spectrum as indicated by the red solid line of the right panel.

- Peculiar and ad'hoc WIMP models

Leptophilic DM particles



$$\chi \chi \rightarrow l^+ l^-$$

or

$$\chi \chi \rightarrow \phi \phi \rightarrow l^+ l^- l^+ l^- \quad \text{through} \quad \phi \rightarrow l^+ l^-$$

(ii) But the annihilation rate needs to be considerably enhanced

⇒ Abnormally large annihilation cross sections

- Large $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ but **different** thermal decoupling (quintessence)
- Large $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ but **non**-thermal decoupling (gravitino decay)
- **Sommerfeld effect** :
a non-perturbative enhancement of $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ at low velocity

⇒ A consequence of a clumpy DM distribution

DM substructures have $\langle\rho^2\rangle \geq \langle\rho\rangle^2$.

- A statistical analysis is necessary to compute the signal enhancement

$B_{\text{Milky Way}} \leq 20$ in ΛCDM

- How probable is a single nearby clump ?

⇒ May be a combination of both effects

Sommerfeld effect – a non-perturbative enhancement of σ_{ann} at low velocity

J. Hisano, S. Matsumoto and M. M. Nojiri

M. Pospelov & A. Ritz, Phys. Lett. **B671** (2009) 391

N. Arkani-Hamed, D. P. Finkbeiner, T. R. Slatyer & N. Weiner, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 015014

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \left(1 + \frac{v_{esc}^2}{v^2} \right)$$

$$\lambda \geq (\alpha m_\chi)^{-1}$$






FIG. 3: The annihilation diagrams $\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow \phi\phi$ both with (a) and

$$1/m_\phi \sim n^2/\alpha m_\chi$$



- Peculiar and ad'hoc WIMP models

Leptophilic DM particles



$$\chi \chi \rightarrow l^+ l^-$$

or

$$\chi \chi \rightarrow \phi \phi \rightarrow l^+ l^- l^+ l^- \quad \text{through} \quad \phi \rightarrow l^+ l^-$$

- But, strong constraints from the other **messengers** :

✓ Final State Radiation γ -rays in the absence of quarks.



$$\chi \chi \rightarrow l^+ l^- \gamma \quad \text{or} \quad \phi \rightarrow l^+ l^- \gamma$$


✓ Inverse Compton Scattering of e^\pm on CMB and stellar light.


✓ Synchrotron radio emission from e^\pm spiraling in \mathbf{B} .

✓ Energy release in the primordial plasma – constraints from CMB.

Kevork N. Abazajian^a J. Patrick Harding^{a,b}















CONSTRAINTS ON THE GALACTIC HALO DARK MATTER FROM FERMI-LAT DIFFUSE MEASUREMENTS

250 GeV WIMP annihilating into $\mu^+\mu^-$



astrophysical CR source population

CONSTRAINTS ON THE GALACTIC HALO DARK MATTER FROM FERMI-LAT DIFFUSE MEASUREMENTS






FIG. 5: CMB power spectra for three different DM annihilation models, with power injection normalized to that of a 1 GeV WIMP with thermal relic cross section and $f = 1$, compared to a baseline model with no DM annihilation. The models give similar results for the TT (*left*), TE (*middle*), and EE (*right*) power spectra. This suggests that the CMB is sensitive to only one parameter, the average power injected around recombination. All curves employ the WMAP5 fiducial cosmology: the effects of DM annihilation can be compensated to a large degree by adjusting n_s and σ_8 [4].

(ii) But the annihilation rate needs to be considerably enhanced

\Rightarrow Abnormally large annihilation cross sections

- Large $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ but **different** thermal decoupling (quintessence)
- Large $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ but **non**-thermal decoupling (gravitino decay)
- **Sommerfeld effect** :
a non-perturbative enhancement of $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ at low velocity

\Rightarrow A consequence of a clumpy DM distribution

$$\text{DM substructures have } \langle\rho^2\rangle \geq \langle\rho\rangle^2.$$

- A statistical analysis is necessary to compute the signal enhancement


$$B_{\text{Milky Way}} \leq 20 \text{ in } \Lambda\text{CDM}$$

- How probable is a single nearby clump ?

\Rightarrow May be a combination of both effects

The cosmic ray lepton puzzle in the light of cosmological N-body simulations

P. Brun, T. Delahaye, J. Diemand, S. Profumo & P. Salati, arXiv:0904.0812



- Peculiar and ad'hoc WIMP models

Leptophilic DM particles



$$\chi \chi \rightarrow l^+ l^-$$

or

$$\chi \chi \rightarrow \phi \phi \rightarrow l^+ l^- l^+ l^- \quad \text{through} \quad \phi \rightarrow l^+ l^-$$

- But, strong constraints from the other **messengers** :

✓ Final State Radiation γ -rays in the absence of quarks.

$$\chi \chi \rightarrow l^+ l^- \gamma \quad \text{or} \quad \phi \rightarrow l^+ l^- \gamma$$

✓ Inverse Compton Scattering of e^\pm on CMB and stellar light.

✓ Synchrotron radio emission from e^\pm spiraling in \mathbf{B} .


✓ Energy release in the primordial plasma – constraints from CMB.

- Precise measurements from AMS02.

$\chi \chi \rightarrow l^+ l^-$ excluded

Dark matter and pulsar origins of the rising cosmic ray positron fraction in light of new data from AMS

Ilias Cholis^{1,*} and Dan Hooper^{1,2,†}



(ii) But the annihilation rate needs to be considerably enhanced

\Rightarrow Abnormally large annihilation cross sections

- Large $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ but **different** thermal decoupling (quintessence)
- Large $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ but **non**-thermal decoupling (gravitino decay)
- **Sommerfeld effect** :
a non-perturbative enhancement of $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ at low velocity

\Rightarrow A consequence of a clumpy DM distribution

$$\text{DM substructures have } \langle \rho^2 \rangle \geq \langle \rho \rangle^2.$$

- A statistical analysis is necessary to compute the signal enhancement

$$B_{\text{Milky Way}} \leq 20 \text{ in } \Lambda\text{CDM}$$

- How probable is a single nearby clump ?

\Rightarrow May be a combination of both effects

Cosmic ray positron excess

May also be an indication that DM species **decay** in the MW.

$$\Gamma_{\text{ann}} \equiv \langle \sigma v \rangle \times \frac{\rho_\chi^2}{m_\chi^2} \Rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{ann}} \equiv \Gamma_{\text{dec}} \times \frac{\rho_\chi}{m_\chi}$$

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = 3 \times 10^{-23} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}, \rho_\odot = 0.3 \text{ GeV cm}^{-3} \text{ & } m_\chi = 1 \text{ TeV}$$



$$\Gamma_{\text{dec}} \sim 10^{-26} \text{ s}^{-1}$$







$$\tau_{\text{dec}} \sim 10^{27} \text{ sec} \left\{ \frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{m_\chi} \right\}^5 \left\{ \frac{M_{\text{GUT}}}{10^{16} \text{ GeV}} \right\}^4$$



dim 6 operator in GUT theories for instance

- ✓ The lifetime needs to be fine-tuned though – a factor of 2 matters !
- ✓ Leptophilic DM species from antiproton measurements
- ✓ Decaying DM mildly passes the astrophysical tests as $\Gamma_{\text{ann}} \propto \rho_\chi$

CONSTRAINTS ON THE GALACTIC HALO DARK MATTER FROM FERMI-LAT DIFFUSE MEASUREMENTS





3) Pulsars as potential sources of the positron excess



courtesy Solène Le Corre

- Misaligned magnetized neutron stars accelerate electrons which interact with photons – light and magnetic field – to initiate an **electromagnetic cascade**. Pulsars inject electrons and positrons exclusively, not protons or nuclei.

3) Pulsars as potential sources of the positron excess



courtesy Solène Le Corre

$$q(E_s) = Q_0 \left(\frac{E_s}{E_0} \right)^{-\gamma} \exp \left(-\frac{E_s}{E_C} \right)$$

$E_K = \frac{1}{5} M R^2 \Omega^2$ translates into 10^{50} ergs for a 10 ms pulsar


positron propagation for a transient source

$$G_{3D}^t(\vec{x}, E, t \leftarrow \vec{x}_s, E_s, t_s) = \delta(E_s - E_\star) b(E^\star) \times G_{3D}^{stat}(\vec{x}, E \leftarrow \vec{x}_s, E_s)$$

$$E_\star = \frac{E}{1 - E/E_{max}^{Th}}$$


$$E_{max}^{Th} = (\tau_E/\Delta t) E_0$$

maximal positron energy for a source with age Δt




Pulsars provide a large source of high energy positron excess

$$q(E_s) = Q_0 \left(\frac{E_s}{E_0} \right)^{-\gamma} \exp \left(-\frac{E_s}{E_C} \right)$$



Pulsars	Modèle	χ^2	$f W_0(\text{Geminga})$ (10^{50} GeV)	$f W_0(\text{Monogem})$ (10^{50} GeV)	$f W_0(\text{Vela})$ (10^{50} GeV)	γ	E_C (TeV)
Geminga + Monogem + Vela	med	0.66	7.755	4.265	0.59	1.9	1.0
	max	0.26	36.5	45.5	9.5	2.4	1.0


$$q(E_s) = Q_0 \left(\frac{E_s}{E_0} \right)^{-\gamma} \exp \left(-\frac{E_s}{E_C} \right)$$



Pulsars	Modèle	χ^2	$f W_{0(Geminga)}$ (10^{50} GeV)	$f W_{0(Monogem)}$ (10^{50} GeV)	$f W_{0(Vela)}$ (10^{50} GeV)	γ	E_C (TeV)
Geminga + Monogem + Vela	med	0.66	7.755	4.265	0.59	1.9	1.0
	max	0.26	36.5	45.5	9.5	2.4	1.0

PROBING THE PULSAR ORIGIN OF THE ANOMALOUS POSITRON FRACTION WITH AMS-02 AND ATMOSPHERIC CHERENKOV TELESCOPES

TIM LINDEN¹ AND STEFANO PROFUMO^{1,2}



4) CR acceleration and spallation at the same time





FIGURE 3.11: Restes de la SN de Tycho (1572)


- Supernova driven shock waves accelerate the elements of the interstellar medium through a **first order Fermi mechanism**. Nuclei and electrons are injected.

On cosmic ray acceleration in supernova remnants and the FERMI/PAMELA data

Markus Ahlers, Philipp Mertsch, and Subir Sarkar




$$D(E) = 3.3 \times 10^{22} K_B \left(\frac{B}{\mu G} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{\text{GeV}} \right) \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$$



The origin of the positron excess in cosmic rays

Pasquale Blasi


Acceleration and spallation in SN shock waves



B/C and \bar{p}/p should increase at high E

Antiproton-to-proton Ratio

rise in...	\bar{p}/p
DM	(✓)
Pulsars	✗
Acceleration of Secondaries	✓



Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 051101 (2009)

arXiv:1007.0821

Nuclear Secondary-to-Primary Ratios


rise in...

nuclei

DM	X
Pulsars	X
Acceleration of Secondaries	✓


This would be a clear
indication for acceleration of
secondaries!

If nuclei are accelerated in the same
sources as electrons and positrons,
nuclear ratios *must* rise eventually



Boron-to-Carbon Ratio

PM and Sarkar, PRL **103** (2009) 081104; Ahlers *et al.*, PRD **80** (2009) 123017



AMS02 is currently measuring the B/C ratio

A rise would rule out the DM and pulsar explanations

5) The revenge of orthodoxy


New limits on dark matter annihilation from AMS cosmic ray positron data

Lars Bergström,^{1,*} Torsten Bringmann,^{2,†} Ilias Cholis,^{3,‡} Dan Hooper,^{3,4,§} and Christoph Weniger^{5,¶}

In this study, we do not make any attempt to explain the origin of the rise in the positron fraction. Instead, we focus on using the AMS data to derive limits on subdominant exotic contributions to the observed CR positron spectrum, in particular from DM with masses below ~ 300 GeV. While positrons have been used in the past to probe DM annihilation or decay [28–33], we exploit here for the first time the extremely high quality of the AMS data to search for pronounced *spectral features* in the positron flux predicted in some DM models

New limits on dark matter annihilation from AMS cosmic ray positron data

Lars Bergström,^{1,*} Torsten Bringmann,^{2,†} Ilias Cholis,^{3,‡} Dan Hooper,^{3,4,§} and Christoph Weniger^{5,¶}



Conclusions and perspectives

- Annihilating DM species can still explain the CR positron excess. But a quite ad hoc model with Sommerfeld enhancement and possibly substructure effects are needed.

Beware of the EGGR background

- Decaying DM is also an option but τ exceedingly large. The existence of dim 6 operators would make it natural though.
- Pulsars provide a natural explanation but it is difficult to define which sources are responsible for the CR positron excess. Interesting framework.
- Spallations in the acceleration sites are also an appealing possibility.

Several problems are needed

- A rise of B/C and \bar{p}/p would rule out the pulsar and DM explanations.
- Otherwise, anisotropies in the positron sky would help make the difference between DM and pulsars.


Is that really true ?

THE NATURE OF THE COSMIC-RAY ELECTRON SPECTRUM, AND SUPERNOVA REMNANT CONTRIBUTIONS

AHMED BOULARES


Physics Department, Space Physics Laboratory, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Received 1988 October 24; accepted 1988 December 29



back-up slides

The situation before the PAMELA measurements



- Primary e^- from SN driven shock waves
- Secondary e^- & e^+ from CR spallations

$$\Phi_e \propto E^{-\alpha - 1/2 - \delta/2}$$

$$K(E) = K_0 \beta \mathcal{R}^\delta$$

- $\Phi_{\text{primary } e^-} \propto E^{-3}$
- $\Phi_{\text{secondary } e^\pm} \propto E^{-3.5}$

$$J_{e^\pm} = (175.40 \pm 6.09) \left(\frac{E}{1 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{-(3.045 \pm 0.008)} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$$