Direct detection of dark matter

E. Armengaud (CEA Saclay - IRFU/SPP) LPC Clermont - 05/04/2013





- Cosmology and dark matter
- Principles of WIMP detection
- Germanium bolometers
- Xenon TPCs
- Hints for low-mass WIMPs ?
- Other approaches

Cosmology today : the «Standard Model»

A large set of observations on many scales, described by a simple model

- General Relativity works at large scales
- Contents of the Universe : known fields (baryons etc) + other «stuff» of unknown nature

Scenario:

- Primordial plasma, inflation, baryogenesis/electroweak transition, QCD transition
- Primordial nucleosynthesis, decouplings of stable relics (neutrinos, dark matter, photons = CMB)
- Formation of gravitational structures
- Dark energy arises



We need dark matter : global structure formation in the linear regime

- Dark matter is not baryonic:
 - Relative intensity of CMB acoustic peaks
 - BAO observation
 - Measurement of Ω_b from abundances
- Dark matter is «cold»
 - Observed distribution of galaxies : clustering on small scales
 - Constraint on «warm» dark matter : m > keV

		Planck (CMB+lensing)		
	Parameter	Best fit	68 % limits	
	$\Omega_{\rm b}h^2$	0.022242	0.02217 ± 0.00033	
	$\Omega_{\rm c}h^2$	0.11805	0.1186 ± 0.0031	
-	100θ _{MC}	1.04150	1.04141 ± 0.00067	1
	τ	0.0949	0.089 ± 0.032	
	<i>n</i> _s	0.9675	0.9635 ± 0.0094	
	$\ln(10^{10}A_{s})$	3.098	3.085 ± 0.057	



We need dark matter : dynamics of individual astrophysical objects

- Galaxy clusters
 - M_{tot} from velocity dispersions, gas temperature, gravitational lensing
 - Mgalaxies ~ 0.02 Mtot
 - Mgas ~ 0.1 Mtot
 - NB: current cluster counts, when compared to CMB data, may suggest ∑m_v > 0
- Interacting clusters : contrarily to the gas, the missing mass does not interact during the collision

Abell 1689 visible : galaxies

X-rays : intergalactic gas





We need dark matter : dynamics of individual astrophysical objects

- Galactic dynamics
- spirals : rotation curves
- elliptics : velocity dispersion
- dwarf galaxies, satellites ...
- Need in general additional masse wrt stars
- +gas (or modif. Newton's law)

CDM «model» (simulations):

- spherical halo of dark matter;
- velocity distribution ~ maxwellian
- tricky observations and predictions at the center (« core » vs « cusp »)
- presence of « clumps » = sub-structures of higher density

NB: Complex physics (baryons...)

Scaling laws are observed, but not clearly understood within the framework of CDM : motivation for modified gravity



We want to know what is «dark matter» in Λ CDM

- New field(s) of gravitationnal nature? (modified gravity, MOND...)
 - justified by scaling laws in galactic dynamics + Λ , but which theory?
 - importance of future tests for GR at large scales
- New field(s) looking more like particles? <u>Many possibilities</u> among which:
 - Axions (especially the µeV-meV window, or more generic ALPs)
 - keV-scale sterile neutrinos
 - Supermassive relics (MPI)
 - etc...
 - WIMPs = Weakly Interacting Massive Particles



7

WIMPs : appealing and testable

Indirect detection - observe WIMP annihilation products from astrophysical objects - many « observatories » involved - current strongest constraint from FERMI : m ≥ 10 GeV for standard WIMPs





Colliders

WIMP production, detection of some
 « missing energy », monojet/photon
 events

- a main goal of LHC, now that the SM is complete

- if new physics signal: does not prove that it makes dark matter



Direct detection

 observe the interaction of galactic
 WIMPs directly on a terrestrial detector
 dedicated experiments, require a strong detector R&D + underground infrastructure





- Cosmology and dark matter
- Principles of WIMP detection
- Germanium bolometers
- Xenon TPCs
- Hints for low-mass WIMPs ?
- Other approaches

Direct proof of WIMP dark matter: direct detection



$$E_r = \left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{2}v^2\right) \times \frac{4m_N m_{\chi}}{\left(m_N + m_{\chi}\right)^2} \times \cos^2 \vartheta_r \quad \sim 1 - 100 \text{ keV}$$

• Scaling with MWIMP : low recoil energies at low MWIMP

$$R \sim \frac{\rho_0 \,\sigma v}{m_{\chi} \,m_N} \sim 0.04 \left(\frac{100}{A}\right) \left(\frac{100 \,GeV}{m_{\chi}}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{10^{-8} \,pb}\right) \left(\frac{\rho_0}{0.3 \,GeV \,cm^{-3}}\right) \left(\frac{v_0}{230 \,km \,s^{-1}}\right) \quad kg^{-1} day^{-1} day^{-1}$$

Low-threshold detectors (~ few keV) Ultra-low-background detectors

WIMP signal in direct detection

- Nuclear recoil spectrum ~ exponential
- Scaling with A : ~ A² for spinindependant (SI) coupling
- *Directionnality* of recoils (~100% modulation)
- Annual modulation 7% effect

Standard assumptions are used by expts to provide a reasonable comparison between sensitivities \Rightarrow put constraints in the plane (M_{WIMP}, σ_0)

Systematics :

- ρ₀~0.3 (0.0 0.8) GeV/cm3
- $f_1(v)$: may include non-maxwellian velocity structures, additional dark disk etc.
- nuclear + hadronic physics parameters !!



WIMP detection is hard : signal vs backgrounds

```
Massive target (kg ... ton[s])
Low detection threshold (~ few keV)
Background rejection :
passive rejection = underground detector, shields, vetos..
active rejection = smart detector design
```

WIMP signal

- Nuclear recoils
- Single scatters in the detector
- Interactions uniformly distributed in the detector volume
- Exponential recoil spectrum
- «A²» dependance
- Annual modulation
- Directionality

Backgrounds

- External gammas
- Internal gamma contamination
- Beta radioactivity : internal and surface
- Alpha radioactivity
- Neutrons from material radioactivity
- Neutrons from cosmic muons
- Neutrino (coherent) scattering

An example of setup : EDELWEISS-II (2006-2012)





- Located at Laboratoire Souterrain de Modane (1700m rock overburden)
- Clean room
- Deradonized air circulation
- Pb shield against gammas
 - Archeological lead near detectors
- Polyethylene shield against neutrons : slows down fast neutrons from the rock or cosmic rays
- Muon veto : tag residual muons coming inside the PE shield

Early times: «single-channel» approaches

- Germanium (eg. Oroville experiment)
- Scintillators (eg. DAMA)
- Strong limitation : no extra handle on potential signal
 - Some discrimination power using pulse shape analysis. but limited near threshold



- Cosmology and dark matter
- Principles of WIMP detection
- Germanium bolometers
- Xenon TPCs
- Hints for low-mass WIMPs ?
- Other approaches

Germanium bolometers : EDELWEISS-CDMS







- Energy deposition E_0 in the absorber $\Rightarrow \Delta T = E_0/C$
- Working point ~ 20 mK (EDW) : C(T)~T³ \implies large sensitivity
- <u>Thermometer</u> : various technologies
 - EDW : NTD sensor, thermal phonons
 - CDMS : TES, sensitive to out-of-equilibrium phonons
- Ionization readout (electrodes)
 - Measure the ionization yield for each event : discrimination between nuclear and electron recoils

Electron vs nuclear recoils in Ge bolometers



Surface events in Ge bolometers



- Local radioactivity : betas from 210Pb (from Radon in the air)
 - Hard to control
- Surface β interaction: ER but interaction depth \sim few microns
 - \Rightarrow incomplete charge collection

Cannot discriminate clearly against NRs



Rejecting surface events with «ID» bolometers



- R&D started 2007, validation 2008
- Physics run 2009-2010

Same thermometer as EDELWEISS-I
Change the E field close to the surface using «interleaved» electrodes
Use 'b' and 'd' signals as a veto



WIMP search with EDELWEISS-II



- 10 ID detectors, all working (redundancy between channels)
- Each detector : 400g \rightarrow 160g after fiducial cut
- Stable cryogenics @ 20 mK for 14 months
- 380 kg.d after all cuts, 98% efficiency @ 20keV threshold



Rejecting surface events with phonons : CDMS-II



• TES measure thermal and athermal phonons, with a complex division into cells \Rightarrow partial reconstruction of the interaction

position (athermal phonon faster for near-surface interactions) • Reject betas, loosing ~50% WIMP efficiency

90% CL Limits: Simple Merger of CDMS and EDELWEISS Data



EDW-CDMS : low-threshold analysis



EDELWEISS-CDMS : current status

EDELWEISS-III

- 40 FID (Full Interdigit) bolometers with better fiducial volume and background rejection : 24 kg (~XENON100)
- Upgraded EDW-II infrastructure, in commissionning

Super-CDMS @ Soudan

- Switched to «iZIP» technology : use the ID concept for surface event rejection
- 15 detectors running

 \Rightarrow Both aim at ~ XENON100 sensitivity soon







FID800

EDELWEISS-CDMS : (joint) future



- Cosmology and dark matter
- Principles of WIMP detection
- Germanium bolometers

• Xenon TPCs

- Hints for low-mass WIMPs ?
- Other approaches

Dual-phase Xenon TPC





Discrimination between ER and NR Strong self-shielding

ER vs NR in practice for Xenon



Low-energy calibration of Xenon

Scintillation signal : Ionization signal :

$$E_{nr} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_{eff}} \cdot \frac{S1}{L_y} \cdot \frac{S_e}{S_n}$$
$$E_{nr} = \frac{S2}{\mathcal{Q}_u}$$

Example of Leff measurement



Neutron diffusion expt Scan over $\theta \Rightarrow$ recoil energy Fit data to *low-energy* MC



XENON100 WIMP search



- r position : from PMT signal pattern
- first «long run» : ~ 100 live days, fiducial Kr bckgd start to be limiting
- reduced Kr \rightarrow new run : 224 live days with expected bg ~ 1 event
- Fiducial volume in the TPC : 34 kg (self-shielding against external radioactivity PMTs ...)
- « 2 events » : may belong to single electron tail ?



XENON-100 : results



Limit derived from likelihood analysis Low-mass sensitivity may be disputed

PandaX, LUX

PandaX @ CJPL:

- Stage Ia in installation
- Light yield 4-5 pe/keVee (low mass WIMPs)

LUX @ Sanford:

- 100-200 kg fid., in commissionning
- Water shield

Stage Ia: 25kg (fid)



Low threshold High light collection



Same inner vessel Quick to implement

Stage Ib: 300kg (fid) Stage II: 1Ton (fid)



Same shield/OV/cooling/+ New inner vessel





XENON1t, XMASS

XMASS @ Kamioka:

- sphere, ~900kg Xenon, water shielkd
- rely only on fiducialization + low radioactivity

- running but currently strongly background limited

XENON-1t @ LNGS

- construction started, physics > 2015



In a ~10 m x 10 m water Cherenkov shield

Argon instead of Xenon?

- Production of singlet and triplet states with very different livetimes → Pulse
 Shape Discrimination between NR and ER
 - Proven above ~50keV
- Very strong ³⁹Ar electron recoil background
 - Need to use depleted Ar, found recently in deep underground site («UAr»)
 + dedicated method to extract and purify





Kinder Morgan CO₂ facility.

DEAP-3600 / MiniCLEAN, DarkSide, ArDM ...

- DEAP/CLEAN @ SNOlab : single phase
 - CLEAN: also Neon.
 - MiniCLEAN: 150 kg fid (360 total), achieve PSD demonstration
 - DEAP-3600: 1t fid (3.6 total), start 2014
- DarkSide (~ WARP) : dual-phase
 - 50kg active mass of UAr, construction/commissionning
 - Within the Borexino CTF tank as a muon veto
 - Prototype for future detector
 - Also ArDM @ Canfranc



DEAP-3600







DarkSide

- Cosmology and dark matter
- Principles of WIMP detection
- Germanium bolometers
- Xenon TPCs
- Hints for low-mass WIMPs ?
- Other approaches

CRESST WIMP search



- Bolometers, reject ER using scintillation signal
- Can choose target material
- CaWO₄ : Oxygen recoils sensitive to low-mass WIMPs
- Many residual bckgd, under control ?



CoGeNT spectrum and annual modulation

- Germanium, ionization only
 - PPC diode : achieve very low threshold
- Clear exponential rise of bgckd spectrum
 - Efficiency of PSD rejection near threshold?
- Annual modulation signal (~2.5 σ), mostly at energy > exponential rise





Annual modulation of the event rate in DAMA

- Nal scintillating crystals (no rejection of ER bckgd)
- 1.2 ton-yr (0.29 DAMA + 0.87 DAMA/LIBRA)
- Search exclusively an annual modulation of the raw single interaction rate
- Large statistical significance, the signal is «as it should be»
- VERY low threshold
- Efficiency close to threshold ?
- ER bckgd in this energy range ?
- Phase ~ modulation of muon flux @ LNGS (LVD) ?





How to check DAMA's claim?

- DAMA upgrade (PMTs, more cycles)
- <u>Direct tests</u> : DM-Ice
 - 17kg of NAIAD crystals @ IceCube - South Pole
 - Continuous running since June 2011
 - Which threshold.. ?
- Indirect tests :
 - NR hypothesis : now quite well checked (high-mass, low-mass, SD, SI, inelastic...)
 - ER hypothesis : XENON100 (but need calibration)

Year	Exposure kg.d	Quoted Significance	Backway Statistical expectation	A and oA of Sm
1997	4 549		1.0	0.037 +-0.008 2-12 keV
1998	19 511	99.6 % CL	2.0	
1999	57 986	4σ	3.6	0.022 +- 0.005 2-6 keV
2003	107 730	6.3 σ	4.9	0.020 +- 0.003 2-6 keV
2008	300 555	8.2 σ	8.2	0.0131 +- 0.0016 2-6 keV



G Gerhier

- Cosmology and dark matter
- Principles of WIMP detection
- Germanium bolometers
- Xenon TPCs
- Hints for low-mass WIMPs ?
- Other approaches

Spin-dependent interactions (SD)

Do not benefit from the «A²» scaling Must distinguish proton/neutron scattering



WIMPs with bubble chambers

Example of COUPP (but also PICASSO, SIMPLE)

- CF₃I chamber with cameras + piezo-acoustic sensors
- Thermodynamical parameters well choosen: see NR above a given threshold, don't see ER !
- Alpha bckgd : rejected with acoustic signal (alpha «louder» than NR)
- \bullet COUPP-4 also has bckgd correlated with activity at the water/CF_3I boundary





- Mostly competitive for SD, but project to compete on the SI channel
- COUPP-4 (4kg) done
- COUPP-60 : under installation at SNOIab
- Ton-scale projected (PICASSO joining)

Measuring the WIMP-induced NR direction

- Strong WIMP signature, allows «WIMP astronomy»
- Difficulty : reconstruct tracks@ low E, using a large mass of gaz
 - ⇒ R&D efforts
 - DRIFT : TPC with MWPC wire readout (Boulby)
 - DMTPC : TPC with light (CCD) and charge readout
 - NewAge (Japan)
 - MIMAC : micro-TPC, low-pressure (~50mbar), using micromegas







MiMAC : Eion~40keV recoil of F (CF₄)



Future sensitivities : where should we stop?



 \rightarrow Try to test also other DM candidates

Search for ~ μ eV axion dark matter : ADMX

Coupling « $g_{ayy} \in B$ » Resonant cavity in a magnetic field B~8T Radio signal @ $f_{cavity} = m_a$ Electric field readout with SQUIDs (sensitivity ~ readout noise) Mechanical scan over f_{cavity}



Low-mass DM axion search : status



NB: can also look for **keV-scale DM axions** using «standard» WIMP detectors

- Axio-electric coupling gaee
- Resonance in ER spectrum at ma

Another possibility : MeV-GeV DM

Example :

 $DM \in dark sector U(1)_D$, DM interacts with ordinary matter through dark photon-photon kinetic mixing

- For MeV-scale DM, kinematics prefers direct detection using ER

- Need ultra-low threshold detectors (eV scale)

- CDMS/EDW-like bolometers may do it



Conclusions

- Understanding the nature of Dark Matter is a major challenge for cosmology/ particle physics - and may remain for some time...
- The WIMP hunt : direct detection in the «golden» SI channel
 - Many competitors a wonderful playground for bright detector ideas
 - Tremendous progress over the past years for several technologies
 Credit: Joerg Jacckel



- Current lead: dual-phase Xenon TPC
- The situation may evolve, as it already did several times over the past 20 years
- WIMPs are NOT the only DM candidates, and new EW physics has not (yet) appeared : need to explore other scenarios !!