Evolution of the CMS ECAL response, R&D studies on new scintillators and possible design options for electromagnetic calorimetry at the



MS Experiment at the LHC, CERN warecorded: 2012-May-13 20:08:14.621490 GMT an/Event: 194108 / 564224000

> CHEF 2013 24. April, 2013

Adi Bornheim Caltech



#### **CMS ECAL**

**Barrel crystals** 

Endcap "Dees" // with "supercrystals"

(5x5 crystals)

Pb/Si preshower

Barrel

Super Module

(1700 crystals)



Homogeneous, compact, hermetic, fine grain PbWO<sub>4</sub> crystal calorimeter

- → Emphasis on energy resolution
- →No longitudinal segmentation (except preshower)
- Barrel (EB) :
  - |η| < 1.48
  - 36 Super Modules: 61200 crystals
  - (2.2×2.2×23 cm<sup>3</sup>) ~26X<sub>0</sub>

#### > Endcaps (EE) :

- 1.48 < |η| < 3.0</li>
- 4 Dees:14648 crystals
- $(3.0 \times 3.0 \times 22 \text{ cm}^3) \sim 25 X_0$

#### > Preshower (ES) :

- 1.65 < |η| < 2.6</li>
- 3X<sub>0</sub> of Pb/Si strips
- 1.90 × 61 mm<sup>2</sup> x-y view
- CMS Characteristics:
  - Tracker coverage: |η| < 2.5;</li>
  - CMS Magnetic field: B = 3.8 T
  - ECAL fully contained inside the coil



## HL-LHC Upgrades – CMS Phase II



- Anticpated time frame >2022 to beyond 2032.
- Integrated luminosity : 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> (today :30 fb<sup>-1</sup>)
- ► L<sub>peak</sub> = 10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, leveled to 5×10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (today : 7.7×10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)
- Expect >100 pile-up interactions per bunch crossing







#### Physics goals for HL-LHC

Precise measurement of Higgs boson properties

- Measurement of exclusive channels and rare decays
- VV-scattering and Higgs self-coupling
- Continued search for BSM physics

CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN Data recorded: Mon Sep 26 20:18:07 2011 CEST Run/Event: 177201 / 625786854 Lumi section: 450



#### **Radiation levels in CMS**



#### **>** Radiation levels uniform in EB, strong dependence on $\eta$ in EE

- Radiation dose at the EM shower max for L = 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>:
  - 0.3 Gy/h in EB
  - 6.5 Gy/h at η=2.6

- Charged fluence per cm<sup>2</sup> for L<sub>Int</sub> = 500 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - 4×10<sup>11</sup> in EB
  - 3×10<sup>13</sup> in EE at η=2.6







## **Additional Challenges for HL-LHC**



- To achieve luminosity target, LHC will need to operate with more than 100 pile-up interactions.
- In-time and out-of-time pile-up activity will have a significant impact on physics object performance.
- Present ECAL may not have sufficient granularity to maintain performance.
- Example : Cut-based photon identification efficiency vs pile-up.





## **Evolution of the CMS ECAL response**



- Short term ECAL response variations measured with light monitoring system.
- Additional long term corrections with physics calibration.
- Response change currently dominated by transient, dose rate dependent ionisation effects (`EM damage`).
- See presentations by M. Dejardin and M. Obertino (Monday).







- Response change correlates with crystal raditation hardness (μ<sub>ind</sub>) measured as quality control with standardized γ irradiation.
- Non-zero intercept suggests additional effects uncorrelated with crystal properties (eg. conditioning of photo detectors).



#### **Proton Induced Crystal Damage**

- Hadron fluence causes damage to the crystals by a different mechanism.
- Does not recover at room temperatue, cumulative with luminosity.
- Results in transparency loss as for EM induced damage, however damage depth profile different.
- Performance of crystals from different producers (BTCP, Russia and SIC, China) identical.







#### Validation of MC simulations (I) Crystals with proton damage



- Compare light output from traversing or absorbed particles with light transmission.
- ➢ Ray tracing MC (LITRANI) accurately describes relation.

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Proton Damaged Induced Resolution Degradation

- Proton irradiated crystals (24 GeV proton beam, fluence 6×10<sup>13</sup> p/cm<sup>2</sup>, μ<sub>Ind</sub>=11 m<sup>-1</sup>) exposed to electron test beam.
- Measured energy resolution in agreement with simulation.

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Validation of MC simulations (II) Response Uniformity under Irradiation

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

- > Correlation between  $\mu_{int}$  and variation of light output between 4 X<sub>0</sub> and 13 X<sub>0</sub> (FNUF).
- Important benchmark, affecting the constant term of the energy resolution.

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Resolution Degradation at Large Transparency Loss**

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

- With large transparency losses, energy resolution will degrade :
  - Photo statistics reduced.
  - Relative noise increased
  - Crystal non-uniformity.
- Impact on physics analysis is being investigated.

![](_page_12_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **R&D studies on new scintillators**

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

## R&D on new crystal materials ongoing. See eg.

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Investigations on LYSO, YSO, LuAG, CeF<sub>3</sub>.
- Key objective : Increase radiation hardness, in particular against hadron damage

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Possible design options for electromagnetic Calorimetry at HL-LHC

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Some scintillator materials under consideration can be machined easily into thin plates with holes.
  - Shashlik design with fiber readout can be considered
  - Depth segmentation and dual readout is an option.
- Sampling option has several desirable features :
  - Reduce light path length from >20 cm (length of current EE crystals) to <1cm (transverse size of active material).
  - Reduced amount of active material needed to achieve full shower containment.
  - Very dense absorber allows smaller cell size ⇒ optimize segmentation.
- A prototype using LYSO and lead or tungsten is being built to go into test beams in late 2013 / early 2014.

![](_page_14_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Possible design options for electromagnetic Calorimetry at HL-LHC

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Dual Read Calorimeter of singlecrystalline LuAG Fibers.
- Prototype was tested in CERN beams in November 2012.

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

- CeF3 or YSO based sampling calorimeter.
- Less sensitive to hadron damage then LYSO.
- Detailed detector confiuration being studied.

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Pico-second Timing**

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Current ECAL has excellent time resolution of <100 ps in test beam.</p>
- > Better than 200 ps in-situ, even though not optimised for precision timing.
- Studies on precision timing for the upgrade ongoing. One target application is pile-up mitigation, desired resolution is order 10 ps.

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

- CMS ECAL performance under LHC radiation conditions is being studied in detail.
- Very good understanding of response variation achieved.
- Dedicated studies on performance and requirement for HL-LHC are ongoing.
- Options for precision calorimetry under consideration for luminosities of 10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> and cumulative data sets of 3ab<sup>-1</sup>.
- First prototypes are being built and exposed to test beams.

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)