

CHEF2013 Calorimetry for High Energy Frontier





Yu. Guz (IHEP Protvino / CERN), on behalf of the LHCb collaboration



Other LHCb talks at CHEF 2013:

- First years of running for the LHCb calorimeter system Irina Machikhiliyan 22/04
- Calibration of the electromagnetic calorimeter of the LHCb experiment – Daria Savrina 22/04
- LHCb calorimeter upgrade electronics Eduardo Picatoste Olloqui 24/04



The LHCb detector



Main subdetectors:

- Vertex Locator (VeLo): a silicon strip detector surrounding the IP
- warm magnet, ~4 Tm;

Yu. Guz

tracker stations (inner: silicon; outer: straw)

CHEF 2013

- two RICH detectors
- electromagnetic calorimeter with preshower
- hadron calorimeter
- muon identification system

LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade

The LHCb Calorimetry System



- solid angle coverage
 300x250 mrad
- distance from IP: ~13 m
- four subdetectors: SPD,PS,ECAL,HCAL
- based on scint./WLS technique, light readout with PMT



- ECAL: 25 X₀ Pb/scint. shashlik, 6016 cells, 3 types (4x4, 6x6, 12x12 cm²)
- SPD, PS, ECAL: 6016 cells each, match ECAL
- HCAL: TileCal Fe/scint. technique, 1488 cells

provides:

- L0 trigger on high $p_T e^{\pm}$, π^0 , γ , hadron
- precise energy measurement of e[±] and γ
- particle identification: e[±]/γ/hadron; contributes to Muon ID (HCAL).
- more details on present LHCb CALO system: talk of Irina Machikhiliyan, this conference

The LHCb detector operation

LHCb Integrated Luminosity







LHCb is running at a lower luminosity than ATLAS and CMS (4.10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹ in 2012) using luminosity leveling technique.

The LHCb trigger organization



CHEF 2013

Yu. Guz

➢Hardware Level-0 trigger

- search for a highest ET object:
 - $E_T (e^{\pm}/\gamma) > 2.7 \text{ GeV}$ \leftarrow CALORIMETRY
 - E_T (hadron) > 3.6 GeV ← CALORIMETRY
 - $p_T(\mu) > 1.4 \text{ GeV/c}$ \leftarrow MUON ID system
- up to 1 MHz output

Software High Level Trigger (HLT)

 ~30000 processes in parallel on ~1500 farm nodes

Storage rate: 5 kHz

>Efficiency (LO+HLT):

- ~90 % for di-muon channels
- ~30 % for multi-body hadronic final states limitation from hadron trigger



- UPGRADE										
LHCb Upgrade LoI: CERN-LHCC-2011-001										
LHCb Upgrade Framework TDR: CERN-LHCC-2012-007										
Type	Observable	Current	LHCb	Upgrade	Theory					
		precision	2018	$(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty					
B_s^0 mixing	$2eta_{s}\;(B^{0}_{s} ightarrow J\!/\psi\;\phi)$	0.10 [9]	0.025	0.008	~ 0.003					
	$2eta_{s}\;(B^{0}_{s} ightarrow J\!/\!\psi\;f_{0}(980))$	0.17 [10]	0.045	0.014	~ 0.01					
	$A_{ m fs}(B^0_s)$	$6.4 imes 10^{-3}$ [18]	$0.6 imes10^{-3}$	$0.2 imes 10^{-3}$	$0.03 imes10^{-3}$					
Gluonic	$2eta^{ ext{eff}}_{s}(B^{0}_{s} ightarrow \phi\phi)$	-	0.17	0.03	0.02					
penguin	$2eta^{ ext{eff}}_{s}(B^0_s o K^{st 0}ar{K}^{st 0})$	-	0.13	0.02	< 0.02					
	$2eta^{ m eff}(B^0 o \phi K^0_S)$	0.17 [18]	0.30	0.05	0.02					
Right-handed	$2eta^{ ext{eff}}_s(B^0_s o \phi\gamma)$	-	0.09	0.02	< 0.01					
currents	$ au^{ m eff}(B^0_s o \phi\gamma)/ au_{B^0_s}$	-	5 %	1 %	0.2%					
Electroweak	$S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \mathrm{GeV}^2/c^4)$	0.08[14]	0.025	0.008	0.02					
penguin	$s_0A_{ m FB}(B^0 ightarrow K^{st 0}\mu^+\mu^-)$	25 % [14]	6%	2 %	7 %					
	$A_{\rm I}(K\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6{ m GeV^2/c^4})$	0.25 [15]	0.08	0.025	~ 0.02					
	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25 % [16]	8%	2.5 %	$\sim 10\%$					
Higgs	${\cal B}(B^0_s o\mu^+\mu^-)$	$1.5 imes 10^{-9}$ [2]	$0.5 imes10^{-9}$	$0.15 imes10^{-9}$	$0.3 imes10^{-9}$					
penguin	${\cal B}(B^0 o \mu^+ \mu^-)/{\cal B}(B^0_s o \mu^+ \mu^-)$	-	$\sim 100\%$	$\sim 35\%$	$\sim 5\%$					
Unitarity	$\gamma~(B ightarrow D^{(*)}K^{(*)})$	$\sim 1012^{\circ} \ [19, \ 20]$	4°	0.9°	negligible					
triangle	$\gamma \; (B^0_{m{s}} o D_{m{s}} K)$	-	11°	2.0°	negligible					
angles	$eta \; (B^0 o J/\psi \; K^0_S)$	0.8° [18]	0.6°	0.2°	negligible					
Charm	A_{Γ}	$2.3 imes 10^{-3}$ [18]	$0.40 imes10^{-3}$	$0.07 imes10^{-3}$	-					
CP violation	ΔA_{CP}	$2.1 imes 10^{-3}$ [5]	$0.65 imes 10^{-3}$	$0.12 imes 10^{-3}$	-					

In 2015-2017, LHCb is expected to take 5-7 fb⁻¹ of data @13 TeV.

Next step is to collect other >50 fb⁻¹ \rightarrow probe NP effects at % level.

This requires running at higher luminosities: $(1-2) \cdot 10^{33}$ @ $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.

There is strong physics case to continue the flavor physics programme.

Year	Energy	Int. Lumi		
2010	7 TeV	37 pb ⁻¹		
2011	2.76TeV	71 pb ⁻¹		
2011	7 TeV	1.0 fb ⁻¹		
2012	8 TeV	2.2 fb ⁻¹		
2013	LHC coli	o ropair		
2014		Le repair		
2015	13 TeV			
2016	25 ns bunch	>5 fb ⁻¹		
2017	crossing			
2018	LHCb up	grade		
2019				
2020	5-10 fb	o⁻¹/year		
2021				
2022		i ungrado		
2023	LHC iumi upgrad			
2024	•			

Continue the extensive programme of studies of *B* decays with photons in final state (*e.g.* $B_s \rightarrow \varphi \gamma$ is one of the key measurements).

CHEF 2013

Yu. Guz

LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade



CHEF 2013

Yu. Guz



With the present trigger organization, 1 MHz L0 limit: for all the hadronic final states, no gain from increasing the luminosity! The hadron trigger selects **b**-events, but not particular final state. The increasing of the p_T threshold for hadrons, after certain limit, does not improve the selection purity.

A fully software trigger is necessary to select desired final states.



Solution: get rid of the 1 MHz limit. Enlarge the CPU farm such that it could process the whole 40 MHz input.

Use a LO-like LLT (with 1-40 MHz output) as a throttle for HLT, to follow gradual growth of the HLT farm.

All the Front End electronics should work at 40 MHz. Has to be rebuilt for most subdetectors.



LHCb upgrade: electronics architecture

Current: latency-buffer in FE, and zero-suppress after L0 trigger



Upgrade: zero-suppress in FE, no trigger decision to FE, LLT in back-end.

More details on LHCb CALO electronics upgrade: talk of Eduardo Picatoste, this conferenceYu. GuzCHEF 2013LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade11

LHCb upgrade detector

• VELO: replace the whole detector (rad damage). New readout chips. Choice between strip and pixel options.

• other tracking detectors: leave present OT straw tubes at the periphery. Central part: the options are silicon strips or scintillating fibers.

• RICHes: replace all the photodetectors, as present HPDs include readout electronics. MAPMTs is baseline. Remove aerogel in RICH1 (material budget).

 additional PID detector: Time of Internally Reflected Cherenkov Light (TORCH).
 Quartz plate radiator, 10-15 ps resolution. Installed between RICH2 and calorimeters.



• CALO: reduce PMT gain. Remove PS/SPD. Rebuild Front End electronics. Possibly replace few modules in hottest areas. • MUON: present frontend electronics can work at 40 MHz. Remove the M1 station before calorimeters.



LHCb Calorimetry system upgrade

- present ECAL and HCAL will be kept
- PS and SPD can be removed: for particle ID in HLT the tracker information will be used
- The ECAL and HCAL PMT gain will be reduced by factor of 5
- The ECAL and HCAL Frontend electronics will be rebuilt, with increased x5 preamplifier gain
- detector maintenance should follow radiation degradation of detector components:
 - regular replacement of PMTs / CW bases
 - possible replacement of ECAL Inner modules

Yu. Guz

PMT operation conditions



Entries 1488 Mean 8.294 RMS 10.31 10² N(> 10 C)352 PMTs N(> 20 C)**126 PMTs** 20 PMTs N(> 50 C) 10 20 40 80 100 0 60

Consider anode currents of the HCAL PMTs (they are continuously monitored with integrators of the source calibration system).

Even in 2011-2012, PMT anode current was significant, up to 20-30 µA in the HCAL centre (it is not recommended to exceed 10 μ A). relative gain

The PMT gain was reduced by factor of 2 in 2012.

The integrated anode currents are up to 100 C each year

The dynode system ageing was tested in the lab:

After upgrade, with x5 higher luminosity, to avoid damaging PMTs, we will have to reduce the gain by factor of 5, compensating in by higher gain of the input amplifier of new Front End electronics



integrated anode current. Coulombs

ECAL and HCAL radiation doses

doses per 2 fb⁻¹ at \sqrt{s} =14 TeV



The radiation tolerance is an issue for :

- ECAL modules: scintillator and fibers
- ECAL light readout elements
 - light guides
 - PMTs (entrance window)
 - CW boards

Yu. Guz

HCAL modules: scintillator and fibers
 Not an issue for the HCAL light readout
 elements (lesser dose behind HCAL)

CHEF 2013



Replaceable are:

- ECAL (and HCAL) PMTs, CW bases and light guides (the CW bases remain operational till 1.5-2 Mrad; ~500 CW bases to be replaced while taking 50 fb⁻¹)
- 48 central ECAL modules (although not an easy task)
- WLS fibers of ECAL modules (check)

Not replaceable:

- other ECAL modules
- HCAL modules, plastic and fibers
 - LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade



(x25 for 50 fb⁻¹)



ECAL ageing studies: e⁻ beam





Yu. Guz

These studies were performed with electron beam irradiation of a ECAL module prototype (not final design).

The performance is expected to remain satisfactory till ~2.5 Mrad at maximum (20 fb⁻¹ for the ECAL centre).

Tests with mass production modules are ongoing now; preliminarily, agrees with the above limit.

ECAL ageing studies: proton beam

Irradiation of an Outer ECAL module at CERN PS with 24 GeV protons: 2 runs (2010 and 2012) to $\sim 10^{13}$ p/cm² (~ 2 Mrad @ shower max) each time, total of 4 Mrad.



Outer modules

Irradiation runs: Nov 2010 and Jun 2012 Beam tests with e- at SPS: Jul 2011, Aug 2012 Longitudinal scan with ¹³⁷Cs so



Longitudinal scan with ¹³⁷Cs source: Feb 2012, Apr 2013

The module performance is satisfactory with 2 Mrad; not any more with 4 Mrad. Expected to be better for Inner modules: higher fiber density. We believe therefore that the ECAL modules will remain operational till ~20 fb⁻¹ at least.

ts I							
Outer module #1, not irradiated (2013 scan data) Outer module #1, not irradiated (2012 scan data) Outer module #2, 2 Mrad (2012 scan data)		E beam,	module #2, not irradiated			module #1, 2 Mrad	
	Outer module #2, 4 Mrad (2013 scan data)	GeV	light yield,	resolution,		light yield	resolution,
<u>-</u> E	and the second		ph.el./GeV	%		ph.el./GeV	%
∑1000 	A dama da	50	2598±52	1.37±0.04		583±12	2.16±0.04
600		100	2611±52	1.01±0.03		576±12	1.57±0.03
400		120	2604±52	0.98±0.03		571±12	1.36±0.03
200		125					
o -100	0 100 200 300 400	150					
	x. mn	n					

CHEF 2013

Beam test results: CERN SPS e⁻ beam

Cs scan results

Yu. Guz

LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade

module #1, 4 Mrad

resolution,

2.26±0.05

 2.06 ± 0.05

1.77±0.05

% 2.74±0.04

light yield

ph.el./GeV

223±10

221±10

220±10

219±10

ECAL ageing studies: LHC radiation field

Before the LHC startup, in 2009, two Inner type modules were placed in the LHC tunnel at the opposite side from the LHCb interaction point. Several dosimeters installed.



Readout of dosimeters was performed at the 2011/2012 shutdown (1.2 fb-1) and after the 2012 run (3.4 fb-1)

1.2 fb⁻¹: ~300 krad at the cell near the beam pipe

ECAL Tunnel	Coordinates					
ITEM_ID	X Y Z		Z	Alanine results [Gy]	FLUKA [Gy] 1.22fb-1	Sim/Alanine
4CRCERPW000101	-264	0	-8820	235	2.91E+02	1.24
4CRCERPW000102	-132	0	-8820	762	2.89E+03	3.79
4CRCERPW000103	-264	0	-8380	642	1.17E+03	1.82
4CRCERPW000104	-132	0	-8380	1137	4.18E+03	3.68
4CRCERPW000105	-70	60	-8660	3130	3.01E+03	0.96

For 3.4 fb-1: no dose map yet (~1 Mrad expected).



A (moderate) degradation in the light yield is seen after ~1 Mrad at the ¹³⁷Cs source scan.

ECAL

The second se	I have been and the second
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	All second constants and a constants
👘 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 / · · · · · · · · · ·	
//////································	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
V S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
//////////////////////////////////////	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	「「「「「」」「「」」「」」「」」」「」」」」」「「」」」」「「」」」」」」」
	0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 .

The performance of ECAL central modules is expected to remain satisfactory till 20-30 fb⁻¹.

Yu. Guz

We are considering replacement of central modules during LS3 (2022). This is not a simple task, and even can be found impossible.

The effect of degradation of the ECAL centre to physics performance is under study.



CHEF 2013 LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade

HCAL ageing

The HCAL radiation tolerance can be evaluated *in situ*. using the ¹³⁷Cs calibration system.

HCAL cells are longer in Z than ECAL \rightarrow longer WLS fibers \rightarrow faster degradation expected. However, its performance in the inner area after upgrade is much less crucial.

Anyway, the HCAL modules are not replaceable.



CHEF 2013

Yu. Guz



The hadronic shower maximum lays within the tile row 0 (ECAL is ~1.2 λ_{l}); the dose in the row 5 is much less.

No significant radiation damage to the LED system, PMTs, their Cockcroft-Walton boards, and integrators of the source calibration system, as all that is placed behind row #5.

The light yield degradation in a tile row #*i* can be determined as a decrease of relative response of this row, (A_i/A_5) , with respect to a reference at lumi=0: $R_i = (A_i/A_5)/(A_i/A_5)|_{L=0}$

LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade

HCAL ageing



HCAL ageing

Light yield degradation of front row in each HCAL cell, 2011+2012 (3.4 fb⁻¹).



Can be compensated by calibration (PMT gain).

The HCAL will (finally) not be used to provide the trigger on high-pT hadron.

It will be still usable for Muon ID in the Outer region (does not suffer much from radiation).

Conclusions

• LHCb is running successfully if 2010-2012, demonstrating very good detector performance, and collected by now ~3.2 fb⁻¹ of physics data. The Calorimetry system is an important part of LHCb, providing photon and electron reconstruction, as well as input information for L0 trigger decision.

• LHCb will continue present mode of operation till 2018, then upgrade for higher luminosity is foreseen.

• The Calorimetry system will play an important role in the upgraded detector

- The system will be subject to the following modifications:
 - The Preshower, SPD and lead converter will be removed
 - All the Front End electronics of HCAL and ECAL will be rebuilt.
 - The gain of all the PMTs will be reduced by factor of 5, with corresponding increase of sensitivity of input amplifiers of Front End Boards

• The main components of the system are expected to remain operational under increased radiation. Some components of the central part, namely PMTs and Cockcroft-Walton HV sources, and possibly ECAL modules, will be regularly replaced



Thank you!



Backup

ECAL



Shashlik technology

Yu. Guz

• scintillator: PSM-115 polystyrene +1.5% PTP +0.03% POPOP; WLS fibers: : KURARAY Y11(250)MS Ø1.2 mm

• 4 mm thick scintillator tiles and 2 mm thick lead plates, ~25 X_0 (1.1 λ_1); Moliere radius ~ 35 mm;

• modules 121.2 x 121.2 mm², 66 Pb +67 scintillator tiles;

- Segmentation: 3 zones \rightarrow 3 module types, Inner (9 cells per module), Middle (4), Outer (1). Total of 3312 modules, 6016 cells, (7.7 x 6.3) m², ~100 tons.
- Light readout: PMT R-7899-20, HAMAMATSU. HV supply: individual Cockcroft-Walton circuit at each PMT.
- LED monitoring system. The LED light is distributed by clear fibers running at the ECAL front face

CHEF 2013

Average performance figures from beam test (there is slight difference between zones):

20

0.02

0.01

Light yield: ~ 3000 ph.el. / GeV Energy resolution: $\frac{\sigma_{\rm E}}{\rm E} = \frac{(8 \div 10)\%}{\sqrt{\rm E(GeV)}} \oplus 0.9\%$

HV setting rule: gain ~ proportional to distance to the beam (to measure E_T): $E_t max = (10 + 7^* sin\vartheta) \text{ GeV}$ HV range: ~ 500 – 1000 V

LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade

HCAL



• Tilecal technology (originally developed for ATLAS): iron/scintillator structure arranged parallel to the beam direction. The volume ratio Sc:Fe ~ 3:16.

- Instrumented depth: 1.2 m, 6 tile rows, \sim 5.6 λ_{I}
- Outer cells: 26x26 cm², Inner : 13x13 cm² (half tiles)
- HCAL stack: 26 modules each of A and C side.
- Total of 1488 cells, 47424 full and 34320 half tiles
- ~(8.3 x 6.7) m², 500 tons.
- Scintillator, fibers, PMTs, LED system: similar to ECAL
- unlike ECAL, equipped with ¹³⁷Cs calibration system Performance from the beam test:

• energy resolution
$$\frac{\sigma}{E} = \frac{(69 \pm 5)\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus (9 \pm 2)\%$$

• light yield 105±10 ph.el. / GeV

Yu. Guz CHEF 2013

through the centres of each of the 6 tile rows are connected sequentially. The PMT anode DC current is measured as a function of time by a dedicated system (integrators). The

Source calibration. Hydraulic motion system. The pipes passing

- response of each tile is being dete
- One ~10 mCi sources per side
- Pipe length: ~700 m per side
- Source speed: 30 cm/sec

One source passage (from top to bottom and back): ~90 min The integrators are also used



at data taking to permanently monitor the PMT anode current

HV setting rule: $E_t max = 15 \text{ GeV}$; HV range: ~ 700 – 1300 V

LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade

PS / SPD

Yu. Guz

Preshower detector: two planes of scintillator tiles, with 1.5 cm thick lead plane between them. Size and segmentation: matches ECAL.



The scintillation light is captured by WLS fibers glued into the tiles, and transported via clear fibers to 64-channel HAMAMATSU multianode PMT R7600-00-M64MOD. Both PS and SPD are equipped with LED monitoring system

The light yield of all 12032 cells measured on cosmics at production: ~ 25+-12 ph.el. / MIP

CHEF 2013 LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade

HV setting: uniform, ~700-800 V

Year

Energy

Int. Lumi.

In 2015-20	17, LHCb is expected to	Year	Energy	Int. Lumi.				
							7 TeV	37 pb ⁻¹
There is strong physics case to continue the flavor physics programme.						2011	2.76TeV	71 pb ⁻¹
Next step is to collect other >50 fb ⁻¹ \rightarrow probe NP effects at % level.							7 TeV	1.0 fb ⁻¹
This requires running at higher luminosities: $(1-2) \cdot 10^{33}$ @ $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.							8 TeV	2.2 fb ⁻¹
	→ UP	GRADE				2013		
I HCb Ur	ograde Lol: CERN-LHCC	-2011-001				2014	LHC splice repair	
	ograde Framework TDR:	CFRN-I HC	C-2012-	007		2015	13 TeV	
	Obsemable	Cument	LUCH	Unamada	Theory	2016	25 ns	>5 fb ⁻¹
Type	Observable	precision	2018	$(50\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty	2017	crossing	
B_s^0 mixing	$\frac{2\beta_s}{2\beta_s} \left(B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi \right)$	0.10[9] 0.17[10]	0.025 0.045	0.008 0.014	~ 0.003 ~ 0.01	2018	I HCb up	grade
	$\frac{2\beta_s}{A_{\rm fs}} (B_s^0)$	6.4×10^{-3} [18]	$0.6 imes10^{-3}$	0.2×10^{-3}	$0.03 imes10^{-3}$	2010		8.440
Gluonic	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi \phi)$		0.17	0.03	0.02	2019		
penguin	$2\beta_s^{\mathrm{eff}}(B_s^0 o K^{*0}K^{*0})$	0.17 [19]	0.13	0.02	< 0.02	2020	5-10 fb [·]	⁻¹ /year
Right-handed	$\frac{2\beta^{-}(B \to \phi R_S)}{2\beta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{eff}}(B^0 \to \phi \gamma)}$	0.17 [10]	0.30	0.03	< 0.01	2021		
currents	$ au^{ m eff}_{ m (B^0_s}(B^\circ_s ightarrow\phi\gamma)/ au_{B^0_s}$	_	5%	1%	0.2 %	2021		
Electroweak	$S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \mathrm{GeV^2/c^4})$	0.08 [14]	0.025	0.008	0.02	2022	LHC lum	i ungrade
penguin	$s_0 A_{\rm FB}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25 % [14]	6%	2%	7%	2023	Encluin	rupgiuue
	$A_{\rm I}(K\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 {\rm Gev}^2/c^2)$ $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+\mu^+\mu^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+\mu^+\mu^-)$	0.25 [15] 25 % [16]	0.08	2.5%	~ 10.02	2024	1	
Higgs	$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}$	1.5×10^{-9} [2]	$0.5 imes 10^{-9}$	$0.15 imes 10^{-9}$	0.3×10^{-9}	2024		
penguin	${\cal B}(B^0 o \mu^+ \mu^-) / {\cal B}(B^0_s o \mu^+ \mu^-)$	-	$\sim 100\%$	$\sim 35\%$	$\sim 5\%$	max g	ain for ha	dronic
Unitarity	$\gamma \ (B ightarrow D^{(*)} K^{(*)})$	$\sim 10 12^{\circ} [19, 20]$	4°	0.9°	negligible			
triangle	$\gamma (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$ $\beta (B^0 \to L/2/K^0)$	0.8° [18]	11°	2.0°	negligible	final states; also $B_s \rightarrow \varphi \gamma$		
Charm	$\frac{\rho \left(B \rightarrow J \right) \psi R_{S} }{Ar}$	2.3×10^{-3} [18]	0.00×10^{-3}	0.2 0.07×10^{-3}				
CP violation	ΔA_{CP}	2.1×10^{-3} [5]	$0.65 imes10^{-3}$	$0.12 imes 10^{-3}$	-			
7								

LHCb Calorimeter Upgrade

Yu. Guz

CHEF 2013