SUSY and the source of EWSB



Marcela Carena Fermilab and U. of Chicago.

Rencontres de Moriond, Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories La Thuile, March 7, 2013 2012-2013: an amazing time for HEP: "The" Standard Model Scalar Boson, or not CMS: $m_h \sim 125.8 \text{ GeV}$ (in ZZ); $m_h = 124.9 \text{ GeV}$ (in $\gamma\gamma$) ATLAS: $m_h = 124.3 \text{ GeV}$ (in ZZ); $m_h = 126.8 \text{ GeV}$ (in $\gamma\gamma$) Observation with a significance > 5 σ

In the ZZ channel $\mu = 1.7^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ ATLAS $\mu = 0.91^{+0.3}_{-0.24}$ CMS

In the WW channel (m_h ~ 125 GeV) $\mu = 1.5 \pm 0.6 \text{ ATLAS}$ $\mu = 0.76 \pm 0.21 \text{ CMS}$

> In the YY channel $\mu = 1.65 \pm 0.24 \stackrel{+0.25}{_{-0.18}} \text{ ATLAS}$ $\mu \approx 1.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ CMS} \text{ (July 4th)}$



The Discovery of a Scalar boson like particle puts the final piece of the Standard Model in place

and marks the birth of the hierarchy problem: one of the main motivations for physics beyond the SM

The SM works beautifully, no compelling hints for deviations

But many questions remain unanswered:

Dynamical Origin of electroweak symmetry breaking Origin of generations and structure of Yukawa interactions Matter-antimatter asymmetry Unification of forces Neutrino masses Dark matter and dark energy

Hence, the "prejudice" (the hope) that there must be "New Physics"

What if the newly discovered particle is not the SM Scalar?

- it can still be the scalar boson responsible for EWSB -

The SM Scalar Boson:

Spin 0

Neutral CP even component of a complex SU(2)_L doublet with Y=1 Singlet under the residual SU(2) custodial symmetry after EWSB ==> $g_{WWH}/g_{ZZH} = m_W^2/m_Z^2$ at tree level Couplings to SM fermions proportional to fermion masses Self-coupling strength determined in terms of its mass and v = 246 GeV

A SM-like Scalar Boson:

Could be a mixture of CP even and CP odd states Could have non-SM couplings to vector bosons and fermions ==> non-SM decay widths and production cross sections in many/all channels Could have decays into new particles Could be partly singlet or triplet instead of an SU(2)_L doublet ? Could be composite

How well can the Scalar Boson properties be resolved at the LHC?

Effective Theory Analyses Chiral Lagrangian for a light SM-like scalar boson see Eboli's and Azatov's talks $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} h)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_h^2 h^2 - \frac{d_3}{6} \left(\frac{3m_h^2}{v}\right) h^3 - \frac{d_4}{24} \left(\frac{3m_h^2}{v^2}\right) h^4 \dots$ $a = b = c = d_3 =$ In the SM: $a=b=c=d_3=d_4=1$ $-\left(m_W^2 W_{\mu} W_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2 Z_{\mu} Z_{\mu}\right) \left(1 + 2\partial \frac{h}{v} + b \frac{h^2}{v^2} + \dots\right)$ all h.d.o. coefficients are 0 $\frac{m_{a/,(i)} \, \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \psi^{(i)}}{2^0 \, \bar{\psi}^{(i)}} \left(1 + c_{\psi} \frac{h}{v} + c_{2\psi} \frac{h^2}{v^2} + \dots \right)$ + dimension 6 operators 2.0 ပီ 18 U 18 V 2.0 CMS Preliminary $Vs = 7 \text{ TeV}, L = 5.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ΔIn 18 1.8 vs = 7 TeV. L = 5.1 fb¹ s = 8 TeV, L = 5.3 fb 16 N 1.6 yon 1.5 √s = 8 TeV, L = 5.3 fb⁻¹ Ч 16 N 1.6 ATLAS Preliminary SM 14 1.4 × Best fit $-2 \ln \Lambda(\kappa_V, \kappa_F) < 2.3$ $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. $\int Ldt = 4.8$ fb⁻¹ 14 1.4 12 1.2 $-2 \ln \Lambda(\kappa_{\nu}^{\nu},\kappa_{\rm F}) < 6.0$ s = 8TeV, Ldt = 5.8-5.9 fb 12 1.2 1.0 10 1.0 0.8 1.0 10 8 0.6 6 0.8 8 0.5 0.4 4 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.8 1.6 0.8.0 0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 0.2 Official results differ in each experiment due to different 0.8.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 $\frac{c_{hhgg}}{\Lambda 2} G_{\mu\nu}^2 G_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{hhgg}{\Lambda 2} G_{\mu\rho} (Results, before March 6, 2013)$ cv

Thursday, March 7, 2013

What does a 125 GeV Scalar Boson mean for Supersymmetric Models?

- Minimal Scalar Boson Sector: Two Scalar Boson doublets
- $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$

2 CP-even h (SM-like), H with mixing angle α + 1 CP-odd A + 1 charged pair H⁺⁻

 \Rightarrow v= $\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}$ = 246 GeV

- One Scalar boson doublet couples to up quarks, the other to down quarks/leptons only Scalar Boson interactions are flavor diagonal if SUSY preserved
- Quartic couplings determined by SUSY as a function of the gauge couplings
 - -- lightest (SM-like) scalar boson strongly correlated to Z mass (naturally light!)
 - -- other scalar bosons can be as heavy as the SUSY breaking scale
- Important quantum corrections to the lightest Scalar boson mass due to incomplete cancellation of top and stop contributions in the loops
 - -- also contributions from sbottoms and staus for large tan beta --



For moderate to large values of tan beta and large non-standard Scalar boson masses

$$m_h^2 \simeq M_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \frac{m_t^4}{v^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \tilde{X}_t + t + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{m_t^2}{v^2} - 32\pi\alpha_3 \right) \left(\tilde{X}_t t + t^2 \right) \right]$$

$$t = \log(M_{SUSY}^2/m_t^2) \qquad \tilde{X}_t = \frac{2X_t^2}{M_{SUSY}^2} \left(1 - \frac{X_t^2}{12M_{SUSY}^2}\right) \qquad X_t = A_t - \mu/\tan\beta \rightarrow \text{LR stop mixing}$$

 $M_{SUSY} \sim m_Q \sim m_U$

M.C. Espinosa, Quiros, Wagner '95; M.C. Quiros, Wagner '95; Haber, Hempfling, Hoang '96

SM-like MSSM Scalar Boson Mass:



Many contributions to two-loop calculations

Brignole, M.C., Degrassi, Diaz, Ellis, Haber, Hempfling, Heinemeyer, Hollik, Espinosa, Martin, Quiros, Ridolfi, Slavich, Wagner, Weiglein, Zhang, Zwirner, ...

Given the Discovery of a SM-like Scalar boson particle with mass ~ 125 GeV

- Do we still expect SUSY (some type of low energy SUSY) ?
- If yes, what does it imply for SUSY models?

large mixing in the stop sector or new matter or gauge superfields

Both alternatives have important implications for the Higgs production and decay rates

They also have implications for the flavor-Higgs connection within assumption of MFV at the SUSY breaking scale

DM constraints less strongly correlated since predictions depend strongly on gaugino soft masses, not very relevant for Higgs rad. corrections.

Soft supersymmetry Breaking Parameters in the MSSM

 A_t and $m_{\tilde{t}}$ for 124 GeV < m_h < 126 GeV and Tan β = 60





Large stop sector mixing At > 1 TeV

No lower bound on the lightest stop One stop can be light and the other heavy or in the case of similar stop soft masses. both stops can be below 1TeV

Large mixing also constrains SUSY breaking model building

Similar results from Arbey, Battaglia, Djouadi, Mahmoudi, Quevillon 'I I Draper Meade, Reece, Shih'I I Shirman et al.

Can departures from the SM in the production/decay rates at the LHC disentangle among different SUSY spectra?

The event rates:

$$B\sigma(p\bar{p} \to h \to X_{\rm SM}) \equiv \sigma(p\bar{p} \to h) \frac{\Gamma(h \to X_{\rm SM})}{\Gamma_{\rm total}}$$

• All three quantities may be affected by new physics.

• If one partial width is modified, the total width is modified as well, modifying all BR's.



How much can we perturb the gluon production mode?

Is it possible to change WW and ZZ decay rates independently? Can we vary the Higgs rate into di-photons independently from the rate into WW/ZZ? Can we change the ratio of b-pair to tau pair decay rates? Possible departures in the production and decay rates at the LHC

Through SUSY particle effects in loop induced processes



Through enhancement/suppression of the Hbb and Hττ coupling strength via mixing in the scalar boson sector : This affects in similar manner BB's into all other particles

This affects in similar manner BR's into all other particles

♦ Through vertex corrections to Yukawa couplings: different for bottoms and taus This destroys the SM relation BR(h→bb)/BR(h→ττ) ~ m_b²/m_τ²

> Through decays to new particles (including invisible decays) This affects in similar manner BR's to all SM particles

Gluon Fusion in the MSSM

Light stops can increase the gluon fusion rate, but for large stop mixing X_t as required for $m_h \sim 125$ GeV mostly leads to moderate suppression [light sbottoms lead to suppression for large tan β]



M.C.,Gori, Shah, Wagner, Wang See also Dermisek, Low'07. Natural SUSY fit: Espinosa, Grojean, Saenz, Trotta '12

Squark effects in gluon fusion overcome opposite effects in di-photon decay rate:

$$\delta A^{\tilde{t}}_{\gamma\gamma,gg} \propto \frac{m_t^2}{m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2} \left[m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2 - X_t^2 \right]$$

Ellis, Gaillard, Nanopoulos '76 Shifman, Vainshtein, Voloshin, Zakharov'79; MC. Low, Wagner'12

If one stop much heavier: $m_Q >> m_U$ and large tan β

$$\delta A^{\tilde{t}}_{\gamma\gamma,gg} \propto \frac{m_t^2}{m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2} \left[1 - \frac{A_t^2}{m_Q^2} \right]$$

$$\frac{\sigma(gg \to h)BR(h \to \gamma\gamma)}{\sigma(gg \to h)_{SM}BR(h \to \gamma\gamma)_{SM}} < (>)1$$

$$\mathrm{If}\frac{\sigma(\mathrm{gg}\to\mathrm{h})}{\sigma(\mathrm{gg}\to\mathrm{h})_{\mathrm{SM}}} < (>)1$$

Higgs Production in the di-photon channel in the MSSM

Charged scalar particles with no color charge can change di-photon rate without modification of the gluon production process



Additional modifications of the Higgs rates into gauge bosons via stau induced mixing effects in the Higgs sector

Important A_{τ} induced radiative corrections to the mixing angle α



 $g_{har{b}b,h au^+ au^-} \propto -\sinlpha / \coseta$

Similar results for example within pMSSM/MSSM fits: Arbey, Battagllia, Djouadi, Mahmoudi '12 Benbrik, Gomez Bock, Heinemeyer, Stal, Weiglein, Zeune'12

Suppression of the h to taus to h to b's ratio

due to different radiative SUSY corrections to higgs-fermion couplings



M. C., Gori, Shah, Wagner, Wang'12

Benchmark Scenarios for the Search of MSSM Scalar Bosons with 125.5 GeV signal interpreted as h (or H)

 m_h^{max} scenario (updated with $M_{gluino} = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$, $m_t = 173.2 \text{ GeV}$)



Green region favored by LHC observation

Lower bound on $\tan\beta$, M_A and M_{H+} (slightly relaxed if M_{SUSY} ~ 2TeV)

M.C., Heinemeyer, Stal, Wagner, Weiglein '13

Benchmark Scenarios for the Search of MSSM Scalar Bosons

with 125.5 GeV signal interpreted as h (or H)

m_h^{mod} scenario (moderate stop mixing scenario)



Additional Benchmark Scenarios: M.C., Heinemeyer, Stal, Wagner, Weiglein '13 Light stops, Light staus, τ-phobic and SM-like H with m_H ~125 GeV with interesting phenomenology for the MSSM scalar boson sector

Many Minimal SUSY models can produce m_h =125 GEV



NMSSM :At low tan beta, trade requirement on large stop mixing by sizeable trilinear Higgs-Higgs singlet coupling $\lambda \longrightarrow$ more freedom on gluon fusion production

• Higgs mixing effects can be also triggered by extra new parameter $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

- Higgs-Singlet mixing ==> wide range of ZZ/WW and Diphoton rates
- Light staus cannot enhance the di-photon rate (at low tanβ stau mixing is negligible)
- Light chargino at low tanβ can contribute to enhance the di-photon rate

Ellwanger' 12; Benbrik, Bock, Heinemeyer, Stal, Weiglein, Zeune'12; Gunion, Jiang, Kraml '12



How are $m_h \sim 125$ GeV SUSY scenarios constrained by data?

- --- Third generation direct particle searches (**stau, stops**, sbottoms, charginos) more experimental efforts needed in these direction
- LHC looks for staus produced through SUSY cascade decays
- LHC looks at long-lived staus
- Interesting channel to look for:

M.C., Gori, Shah, Wagner, Wang

signature: Lepton, 2 taus, missing energy

Estimation at the parton level shows promising results at 8 TeV LHC

Physical background: Wγ*, WZ*Final **Fake background: W+jets**

- In principle also $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow (\tau LSP)(\tau LSP)$ can be interesting, but more challenging
- Another interesting possibility: Staus in "light" Stop decays

$$\tilde{t}_1 \to b \tilde{\chi}^+ \to b \tilde{\tau} \nu$$

Thursday, March 7, 2013

How are $m_h \sim 125$ GeV SUSY scenarios constrained by data?

--- Direct searches of other SUSY Higgs particles more comprehensive searches/decay modes need to be considered

Example:

- -- Strong constraints in MSSM on m_A -tan beta from A/H to $\tau\tau$, however, change of analysis/results if other channels open up;A/H to charginos/staus/...
- -- NMSSM; many possible Higgs decay chains H_i to A A (Ellwanger's talk)
- -- other extensions with different relations among Higgs masses The channels A/H to hh and H+ to hW+ replaced by h/H to AA and H+ to AW+ in BMSSM
- -- spectra with quasi degenerate Higgs bosons?
- How to disentangle between SUSY Higgs vs 2HDM's in the absence of obvious SUSY partner effects?

CP-violation in the Higgs sector:

MSSM: Upper bound on Higgs mass same as in the CP conserving case Similar requirement on the SUSY parameters harder to achieve enhanced di-photon rate (?)

Other extensions: CP violation at tree level

Strong bounds on CP phases from EDM's

Interplay between collider and EDM's/MDM's data (only in model dependent scenarios) ? The Higgs discovery and the Higgs-flavor connection in the MFV MSSM

 $M_h \sim 125 \; GeV$ and flavor in the MSSM

 $B_u \rightarrow \tau v$ transition MSSM charged Higgs & SM contributions interfere destructively

M_h ~125 GeV and Higgs-flavor connection in the MFV MSSM

Altmannshofer, MC, Shah, Yu '12

SUSY effects intimately connected to the structure of the squark mass matrices Positive values of At less constraining for sizeable mA and large tan beta

Conclusions:

The Higgs discovery is of paramount importance

but

We need more precise measurements of Higgs properties

and/or

direct observation of new physics

to further advance in our understanding of EWSB

Extras

receiving one loop corrections that depend on the sign of $\mu M_{ ilde{g}}$

and staus:
$$\Delta m_h^2 \simeq \Theta \frac{h_\tau^4 v^2}{48\pi^2} \frac{\mu^4}{M_\tau^4}$$

with $h_\tau \simeq \frac{m_\tau}{v \cos\beta(1 + \tan\beta\Delta h_\tau)}$ Dep. on the sign of μM_2

Both corrections give negative contributions to the Higgs mass hence smaller values of μ and positive values of μM_2 and $\mu M_{\tilde{g}}$ enhance the value of the Higgs mass

Maximal effect: lower m_h by several GeV

Mixing Effects in the CP- even Higgs Sector

can have relevant effects in the production and decay rates

$$\mathcal{M}_{H}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{A}^{2} \sin^{2} \beta + M_{Z}^{2} \cos^{2} \beta & -(m_{A}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2}) \sin \beta \cos \beta + \log_{12} \\ -(m_{A}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2}) \sin \beta \cos \beta + \log_{12} & m_{A}^{2} \cos^{2} \beta + M_{Z}^{2} \sin^{2} \beta + \log_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Loop_{12} = \frac{m_{t}^{4}}{16\pi^{2}v^{2}\sin^{2} \beta} \frac{\mu \tilde{A}_{t}}{M_{SUSY}^{2}} \left[\frac{A_{t} \tilde{A}_{t}}{M_{SUSY}^{2}} - 6 \right] + \frac{h_{b}^{4}v^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} \sin^{2} \beta \frac{\mu^{3} A_{b}}{M_{SUSY}^{4}} + \frac{h_{\tau}^{4}v^{2}}{48\pi^{2}} \sin^{2} \beta \frac{\mu^{3} A_{\tau}}{M_{\tau}^{4}} \right]$$
Radiative corrections to the CP-even mass matrix

that governs couplings of Higgs to fermions

$$\sin \alpha \cos \alpha = M_{12}^2 / \sqrt{(\text{Tr } M^2)^2 - 4 \text{ det } M^2)}$$

Normalized $g_{hu\bar{u},Hu\bar{u},Au\bar{u}} \rightarrow \cos \alpha / \sin \beta$, $\sin \alpha / \sin \beta$, $1/\tan \beta$ to SM ones $g_{hb\bar{b},Hb\bar{b},Ab\bar{b}} \rightarrow -\sin \alpha / \cos \beta$, $\cos \alpha / \cos \beta$, $\tan \beta$ (same for leptons)

If off diagonal elements **suppressed/enhanced**: same occurs for sin α or cos α ==> **suppression/enhancement** of SM-like Higgs coupling to bb and TT leads to enhancement/suppression of BR(h/H to WW/ZZ/YY) for m_{h/H} < 135 GeV After SUSY breaking all fermions couple to both Higgs Doublets

$$g_{hbb,h\tau\tau} = -h_{b,\tau} \sin \alpha + \Delta h_{b,\tau} \cos \alpha$$

can change the relative strength of Higgs decays to b and tau pairs Modification of the tree level relation between $h_{b,\tau}$ and $m_{b,\tau}$

$$m_{b,\tau} \simeq \frac{h_{b,\tau}v}{\sqrt{2}} \cos\beta \left(1 + \underbrace{\Delta_{h_{b,\tau}}}{h_{b,\tau}} \tan\beta\right) \Delta_{b,\tau}$$

$$g_{hbb,h\tau\tau} = -\frac{m_{b,\tau}\sin\alpha}{v\cos\beta(1+\Delta_{b,\tau})} \left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{b,\tau}}{\tan\beta\tan\alpha}\right] \qquad \text{destroy basic relation} \\ g_{h,H,Abb}/g_{h,H,A\tau\tau} \propto m_b/m_{\tau}$$

M.C. Mrenna, Wagner '98 Haber, Herrero, Logan, Penaranda, Rigolin, Temes '00

Radiative corrections ==> main decay modes of the SM-like MSSM Higgs into b- and tau-pairs can be drastically changed

Minimal Flavor Violation

• At tree level: the quarks and squarks diagonalized by the same matrices $\tilde{D}_{L,R} = D_{L,R}$; $\tilde{U}_{L,R} = U_{L,R}$

Hence, in the quark mass eigenbasis the only FC $_$ _____ effects arise from charged currents via V_{CKM} as in SM.

• At loop level: FCNC generated by two main effects:

1) Both Higgs doublets couple to up and down sectors
 => important effects in the B system at large tan beta

2) Soft SUSY parameters obey RG equations:

given their values at the SUSY scale, they change significantly at low energies ==> RG evolution adds terms prop. to $h_d h_d^+$ and $h_u h_u^+$, and h.c.

In both cases the effective coupling governing FCNC processes

 $(X_{FC})_{ij} = (h_u^+ h_u)_{ij} \propto m_t^2 V_{3i}^{CKM*} V_{3j}^{CKM}$ for $i \neq j$ D'Ambrosio, Giudice, Isidori, Strumia

 $M_h \sim 125 \; GeV$ and Minimal Flavor Violation in the MSSM

• Charged Higgs and chargino-stop contributions to $BR(B \rightarrow X_S \gamma)$

FCNC and the scale of SUSY Breaking

 FCNC's induced by Higgs-squark loops depend on the flavor structure of the squark soft SUSY breaking parameters

• If SUSY is transmitted to the observable sector at high energies M~M_{GUT}

even starting with universal masses (MFV) in the supersymmetric theory:

Due to RG effects:

Ellis, Heinemeyer, Olive, Weiglein M.C, Menon, Wagner

1) The effective FC strange-bottom-neutral Higgs is modified: $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

 $\left(X_{\text{RL}}^{\text{H/A}}\right)^{bs} \approx -\frac{m_{b}}{v} \frac{\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{3} - \varepsilon_{0}^{1,2} + h_{t}^{2}\varepsilon_{Y}\right) \tan\beta^{2}}{\left(1 + \varepsilon_{0}^{3} \tan\beta\right)\left(1 + \Delta_{b}\right)} V_{\text{CKM}}^{tb^{*}} V_{\text{CKM}}^{\text{ts}} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{0}^{3} - \varepsilon_{0}^{1,2} > 0 \text{ and proportional to } \mu M_{\tilde{g}} \\ \text{If } \mu A_{t} < 0 \text{ and } \mu M_{\tilde{g}} > 0 \end{array}$

2) Flavor violation in the gluino sector induces relevant contributions to $b \rightarrow s\gamma$

 $A_{\tilde{g}} \propto \alpha_{S}(m_{0}^{2} - m_{Q_{3}}^{2})M_{\tilde{g}}\mu \tan\beta F(m_{0}, m_{R}, m_{\tilde{b}_{i}}, m_{\tilde{d}_{i}}, M_{\tilde{g}})$

Borzumati, Bertolini, Masiero,Ridolfi

If SUSY is transmitted at low energies: M~ M_{SUSY},

Squark mass matrices approx. block diag, only FC effects in the chargino-stop& H⁺ loops

$M_h \sim 125 \text{ GeV and flavor in the MSSM}$ Bounds from $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

Red solid line: $B_s \rightarrow mu^+mu^-$ with low energy SUSY breaking effects Red dashed (dotted) line has high energy MFV with running of all (1st-,2nd vs 3rd gen.) parameters

Positive values of A_t and μ less constraining for sizeable m_A and large tan be

$M_h \sim 125 \; \text{GeV}$ and flavor in the MSSM $\begin{array}{c} \text{Bounds from } B_s \longrightarrow X_s \; \gamma \end{array}$

Altmannshofer, MC, Shah, Yu

Orange solid line from B X_s gamma with low energy SUSY breaking effects Orange dashed (dotted) line has high energy MFV with running of all (1st-,2nd vs 3rd generation) parameters