

Charmonium production at LHC beams in a fixed target mode.

N.S.Topilskaya and A.B.Kurepin INR RAS, Moscow

- **1. Physical motivaion.**
- 2. Experimental situation.
- 3. Fixed target suggestion.
- 3. Summary.

N.S.Topilskaya, AFTER@ECT, 7 February 2013.

Charmonium



Important for "large" charm yield, i.e. RHIC and LHC

Fixed-target data (SPS, FNAL, HERA)

	NA38	
AA collisions	S-U 200 GeV/nucleon, 0 <v<sub>cm <1,</v<sub>	√s=19.4 GeV
SU, PbPb, InIn	NA50	
	Pb-Pb 158 GeV/nucleon, 0 <y<sub>cm <1,</y<sub>	√s=17.3 GeV
	NA60	
	In-In 158 GeV/nucleon, 0 <y<sub>cm <1,</y<sub>	√s=17.3 GeV
pA collisions	IERA-B	
P C	p-Cu,(Ti),W 920 GeV, -0.34 <x<sub>F<0.14,</x<sub>	√s=41.6 GeV
I	E866	
	p-Be, Fe, W 800 GeV,-0.10 <x<sub>F<0.93,</x<sub>	√s=38.8 GeV
Ν	NA50	
	p-Be,Al,Cu,Ag,W,Pb 400/450 GeV,-0.	.1 <xf<0.1,< th=""></xf<0.1,<>
		√s=27.4/29.1 GeV
Ν	NA51	
	p-p, d 450 GeV, -0.1 <x<sub>F<0.1,</x<sub>	√s=29.1 GeV
Ν	NA3, NA38	
	p-p,Pt, Cu,U 200 GeV, 0 <x<sub>F<0.6,</x<sub>	$\sqrt{s}=19.4 \text{ GeV}$
Ν	NA60	
	p-Be,Al,Cu,In,W,Pb,U 158/400 GeV,-0	.1 <xf<0.35,< th=""></xf<0.35,<>
	3	√s=17.3/27.4 GeV

Colliders (RHIC,LHC)

AA collisions

 RHIC
 CuCu, AuAu
 \sqrt{s} =39, 62, 130 GeV, 200 GeV

 LHC
 PbPb
 \sqrt{s} = 2.76 TeV (max 5.5 TeV)

pA collisions

RHICpp, dAu $\sqrt{s} = 130, 200 \text{ GeV}$ LHCpp $\sqrt{s} = 2.76, 7, 8 \text{ TeV} (\text{max 14TeV})$ pPb $\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$

Fixed-target (at LHC) — energy between SPS and RHIC was suggested in 2005 and then in 2009 at CERN Workshop "New opportunities at CERN".



Pb-Pb 2750 GeV/nucleon, $\sqrt{s} = 71.8$ GeV

pA collisions

p-A 7000 GeV, $\sqrt{s} = 114.6$ GeV (5000 GeV, $\sqrt{s} = 96.9$ GeV)

J/ψ suppression at SPS

NA50



Suppression (~40%); ψ ' suppression is measured

 σ_{abs} depends on energy; Suppression (~20-30%);

NA60

 $\sigma_{abs} {}^{J/\psi} (158 \text{ GeV}) = 7.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ mb}$ $\sigma_{abs}^{J/\psi} (400 \text{ GeV}) = 4.3 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.6 \text{ mb}$ 5

Npart

J/ψ suppression at PHENIX, RHIC



J/ψ suppression at PHENIX, RHIC

Theoretical models for Au-Au



Models could describe main features but no quantitative agreement.

Is regeneration important?

J/\v suppression at PHENIX, RHIC(+low energy)



Suppression approximately the same.

No *pp*- data at 62.4 and 39 GeV – large systematic errors

D McGlinchey - QM2012

Comparison of SPS and RHIC data at mid rapidity

R_{AA} as a function of multiplicity (~ ϵ)



Which dependence to choose?

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}}$ as a function of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{part}}$



With NA60 data (σ_{abs} depends on energy) suppression of charmonium production at PHENIX larger that at NA50 9

J/ψ production in heavy ions collisions

At LHC energy ? Suppression or/and regeneration ?



Bottomonium production at LHC

				\frown				
State	$J/\psi(1S)$	$\chi_c(1P)$	$\psi'(2S)$	$\Upsilon(1S)$	$\chi_b(1P)$	$\Upsilon(2S)$	$\chi_b(2P)$	$\Upsilon(3S)$
T_d/T_c	2.10	1.16	1.12	≥ 4.0	1.76	1.60	1.19	1.17
				$\overline{}$				

Charmonium production at LHC: ALICE, ATLAS, CMS and LHCb.



Charmonium production in *pp*- collisions at LHC: ALICE, CMS, ATLAS and LHCb.



Good agreement of experimental data of ALICE, CMS and ATLAS for mid-rapidity

and ALICE and LHCb for forward-rapidity

Transverse momentum distribution- dependence on rapidity range.

CMS: Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1575 (2011). ATLAS: Nucl. Phys. **B850**, 387 (2011).

LHCb: Eur. Phys. J. C71, 1645 (2011).

ALICE: Phys. Lett. B704 (2011) 442

J/ψ production in *pp*-collisions and dependence on rapidity and energy



Good agreement of experimental data at ALICE and LHCb for forward-rapidity

CMS: Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1575 (2011). ATLAS: Nucl. Phys. **B850**, 387 (2011). LHCb: Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1645 (2011).

ALICE: Phys. Lett. B718 (2012) 295

Dimuons spectra at CMS in *pp* at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV





The fraction of J/ ψ from B-hadrons decay depends on pt and consists ~10% for pt ~1.5 GeV/c.

J/ψ production in ALICE in *pp*-collisions and dependence on pt and rapidity



Results in agreement with NLO NRQCD calculations.

pp data at 2.76 TeV – reference for PbPb at 2.76 TeV.

ALICE: Phys. Lett. B718 (2012) 295

16

R_{AA} vs number of participant and multiplicity. Comparison of ALICE and PHENIX data.



Suppression for forward rapidity at ALICE lower than at PHENIX.

No significant centrality dependence.

R_{AA} vs number of participant for different rapidity regions. Comparison of ALICE and PHENIX data.



Smaller suppression with respect to RHIC, compatible with J/ψ regeneration model

Comparison with the statistical hadronization model and transport models.



Models with all J/ ψ produced at hadronization or models including large fraction (>50% in central collisions) of J/ψ produced from recombinations can describe results. E.Scomparin, OM12

R_{AA} ALICE for forward rapidity vs centrality for different ranges of transverse momentum. Comparison with models of X.Zhao and Y.P.Liu



At low transverse momentum ~50% J/ψ are produced with regeneration.

At high transverse momentum contribution of regeneration is negligible.

X.Zhao and R.Rapp, Nucl. Phys. A859(2011) 114 Y.Liu, Z. Qiu, N. Xu and P. Zhuang, Phys. Lett. B678(2009) 72

R_{AA} vs rapidity and comparison of ALICE and CMS data



Cold nuclear effects in p-Pb collisions need to be evaluated

ALICE inclusive R_{AA} at low and high transverse momentum.



Suppression is higher for higher transverse momentum

R_{CP} as a function of centrality. **Comparison ALICE and ATLAS data.**



Suppression at ALICE for 2.5<y<4 lower, than at ATLAS for |y| < 2.5 and $p_T > 6.5$ GeV/c.

P. Pillot (ALICE), QM2011 G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), PLB697 (2011) 294

R_{AA} for forward rapidity vs transverse momentum. Comparison ALICE, CMS and PHENIX data.



At LHC suppression is stronger for higher transverse momentum. At lowtransverse momentum suppression islower than at RHIC.24

R_{AA} data at CMS. Prompt J/ ψ .

|y| < 2.4 and $p_T > 6.5$ GeV/c.



Suppression is growing with centrality (up $\sim 80\%$).

Week dependence on rapidity and transverse momentum.

CMS-PAS HIN-12-014

R_{AA} data at CMS. **Non-prompt J/\psi.** |y|<2.4 and p_T >6.5 GeV/c.



Week suppression growing with centrality (from ~30% to ~60%). Indication on lower suppression for mid-rapidity and low transverse momentum.

R_{AA} CMS data for bottomonium



- For all centrality $\Upsilon(1S)$: 0.56±0.08±0.07
- $\Upsilon(2S): 0.12 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02$
- Y(3S): <0.10 at 95% CL

 $\mathbf{R}_{AA}^{\Upsilon(3S)} < \mathbf{R}_{AA}^{\Upsilon(2S)} < \mathbf{R}_{AA}^{\Upsilon(1S)}$

Comparison of J/ψ and bottomonium



arXiv: 1208.2826



Less suppression for states with higher binding energy.

Summary

2010 - 2011.

- At LHC in *p-p* and Pb-Pb collisions: •measured suppression of charmonium and bottomonium states production.
 - the importance of regeneration process for charmonium production was shown, and feed-down contribution from B ~ 10% at pt~1.5 GeV/c. 2012.
 - Measuring of *p-p* at 2.76, 7 and 8 TeV. Test measuring *p*-Pb collisions.

2013.

Measuring *p*-Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV. (CNM effects).

Our suggestion to measure charmonium production at LHC with fixed targets for lower energy with high statistic to clarify the mechanism of production.

A.B.Kurepin, N.S.Topilskaya, M.B.Golubeva

Charmonium production in fixed-target experiments with SPS and LHC beams at CERN.

Phys.Atom.Nucl.74:446-452, 2011, Yad.Fiz.74:467-473, 2011.



No theoretical model that could reproduce all data.

Fixed target experiment at LHC for charmonium production at the energy range between SPS and RHIC in p-A and A-A collisions with planning proton beam at T=7 TeV ($\sqrt{s} = 114.6$ GeV) and Pb beam at 2.75 TeV ($\sqrt{s} = 71.8$ GeV) is possibility to clarify the mechanism of charmonium production, to separate two possibilities:

i): hard production and suppression in QGP and/or hadronic dissociation or

ii): hard production and secondary statistical production
 with recombination, since the probability of recombination
 decrease with decreasing energy of collision in thermal
 ³¹



In the frame of AliRoot fast simulation we calculated the geometrical acceptances for the J/ψ production at LHC (ALICE for testing) and RHIC and at known fixed target experiments.

In the same framework we calculated geometrical acceptances for fixed target experiment at LHC for charmonium production at the energy range between SPS and RHIC in p-A and A-A collisions with planning proton beam at T=7 TeV ($\sqrt{s} = 114.6$ GeV) and Pb beam at 2.75 TeV ($\sqrt{s} = 71.8$ GeV).

Then - luminosity and counting rate estimation and comparison.

Geometrical acceptances for J/ψ at ALICE



Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s}=5.5$ TeV

 J/ψ are generated using CEM y-spectra and CDF scaled p_T -spectra and including shadowing for Pb-Pb.



 J/ψ are generated according R.Vogt 2002 approximation for p_T -spectra and y - distribution.



 $I_{acc} = 5.76\% - w/o p_T cut$ 4.26% - with cut $p_T > 1 GeV/c$



 $I_{acc} = 4.71\% - w/o p_T cut$ 4.01% - with cut $p_T > 1 GeV/c$

EVALUATE: Fixed target experiment Pb-Pb, T=2750 GeV, \sqrt{s} =71.8 GeV. J/ ψ are generated at z=0 and outside of ITS at z=+50 cm.



J/ ψ are generated using p_T-spectra with HERA and PHENIX form, consistent with COM model, but parameters are energy scaled: dN/dp_T~p_T[1+(35 π ·p_T/256 · <p_T>)²]⁻⁶ with <p_T>= 1.4, and using y-spectra as Gaussian with mean value y_{cm}=0 and σ =1.1

J/ ψ are accepted in the rapidity range -2.5< η <-4.0 (-2.98< η <-4.14), and each of 2 muons in the degree range 171⁰< θ <178⁰ (174.2⁰< θ < 178.2⁰) for generation J/ ψ at z=0 (z=+50 cm).

z=0 I_{acc} = 12.0% z=+50 cm I_{acc} = 8.79%



Fixed target experiment pA, T=7000 GeV, √s=114.6 GeV. J/ψ are generated at z=0 and outside ITS at z=+50 cm.



J/ ψ are generated using p_T -spectra with the same parametrization with energy scaled parameter: $dN/dp_T \sim p_T [1+(35\pi \cdot p_T/256 \cdot (p_T)^2)^2]^{-6}$ where $(p_T) = 1.6$, and using y-spectra as Gaussian with mean value $y_{cm} = 0$ and $\sigma = 1.25$.



z=0 $I_{acc} = 8.54\%$ z=+50 cm $I_{acc} = 5.98\%$



System pPb_{fixed}

pt cut	√s (TeV)	z = 0	z = +50 cm	z = -50 cm	
no cut	0.1146	8.54	5.98	5.07	
pt > 1 GeV/c	0.1146	6.77	4.89	4.11	
no cut	0.0718	12.0	7.97	7.44	
pt > 1 GeV/c	0.0718	9.79	6.62	6.20	
η range		-4.0 ↔ - 2.5	-4.09 ↔ -2.97	-3.76 ↔ -2.5	
					3

As it was already used for the experiment on collider with a fixed target at HERA-B K.Ehret, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 446 (2000) 190, the target in the form of thin ribbon could be placed around the main orbit of LHC. The life time of the beam is determined by the beam-beam and beam-gas interactions. Therefore after some time the particles will leave the main orbit and interact with the target ribbon. So for fixed target measurements only halo of the beam will be used. Therefore no deterioration of the main beam will be introduced. The experiments at different interaction points will not feel any presence of the fixed target.

Luminocity, cross sections(x_F>0), counting rates



System	√s (T-V)	$\sigma_{nn} \sigma_{j}$	$\sigma_{\rm A} = \sigma_{\rm nn} \cdot A$	$\mathbf{A}^{0.92} \mathbf{I}$	Ι·Β·σ	\mathbf{L}	Rate
	(1ev)	(μb)	(µD)	(%)	(µD)	(cm ⁻² S ⁻¹)	(nour ¹)
pp	14	32.9	32.9	4.7	0.091	5·10 ³⁰	1635
pp _{RHIC}	0.200	2.7	2.7	3.59	0.0057	2·10 ³¹	410
pPb _{fixed}	0.1146	0.65	88.2	5.98	0.310	$1.10^{29(*)}$	112
pPb _{fixed}	0.0718	0.55	74.6	7.97	0.349	1·10 ²⁹	126
pPb _{NA50}	0.0274	0.19	25.8	14.0	0.212	7.10 ²⁹	535
PbPb _{fixed}	0.0718	0.55	11970	7.97	47.9	2.2·10 ²⁷ (**)) 378

(*) pPb_{fixed} , 500 μ wire, 3.2 \cdot 10¹¹ protons/60 min (**) $PbPb_{fixed}$, 500 μ wire, 6.8 \cdot 10⁸ ions/60 min



- 1. The integrated geometrical acceptances for charmonium measurement by dimuon spectrometer of ALICE are 5.76% for $\sqrt{s}=5.5$ TeV Pb-Pb and 4.71% for $\sqrt{s}=14$ TeV pp collisions.
- 2. For fixed target charmonium measurement in 2.5<y<4 range the geometrical acceptances are of the same order and even larger: 7.97% for √s=71.8 GeV Pb-Pb and 5.98% for √s=114.6 GeV pA at z=+50 cm. The acceptances are compatible with the acceptances from other experiments.
- 3. The measurement in energy range for fixed target experiment between SPS and RHIC with high statistics gives important additional information for charmonium production.

Comparison with AFTER

AFTER has advantages:

- Offers a wide physical program.
- Possibility to use different targets with high thickness higher luminosity (20 times more for 1 cm target vs 500 µm)
- Possibility to use 1 meter-long liquid H₂ and D₂ targets: extremely high luminosity ~20 fb⁻¹ yr⁻¹ -compatible to LHC. But – high cost.

Fixed target experiment with the target in the form of thin ribbon:

- Only after beam tuning with the aid of rotation system-put in the working position
- Used only halo of the beam (and may be used as extra collimator)
- May be placed at existing experimental installation (for example, LHCb?)
- Possibility to measure charmonium production with rather high statistics on different targets in pA and PbA.
 First step to AFTER?



Backup

- The luminosity estimate is shown in the Table . This number we obtain from the LHC proton parameters for the Commissioning Version 3(*)
- http://bruening.home.cern.ch/bruening/lcc/WWWpages/commissioning_parameter.htm
- We get proton loss of $3.2 \cdot 10^{11}$ during first hour $(0.9 \cdot 10^8 \text{ p/s})$ and luminosity about $1.5 \cdot 10^{29} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 500 micron lead ribbon. Mean luminosity ~ $1 \cdot 10^{29} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} (0.1 \mu \text{b}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$. Integrated $\int Ldt = 1 \text{ pb}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

For loss
$$10^9 \text{ p/s}$$
, mean luminosity ~ $1 \cdot 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} (1 \mu \text{ b}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$.
 $\int Ldt = 10 \text{ pb}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Yr (p)= 10^{-7} s .

For lead beam the loss is $6.8 \cdot 10^9$ ions/ hour, and luminosity about $3.2 \cdot 10^{27}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹ for 500 micron lead ribbon.

Mean $L \sim 2.2 \cdot 10^{27} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} (2.2 \text{ mb}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$. $\int Ldt = 2.2 \text{ nb}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Yr (Pb) = 10⁶ s.



R. Arnaldi et al. (NA60), Nucl. Phys. A (2009) 345

Luminosities

Luminosities

J.P. Lansberg (IP

- Expected proton flux $\Phi_{beam} = 5 \times 10^8 \ p^+ s^{-1}$
- Instantaneous Luminosity:

$$\mathscr{L} = \Phi_{beam} \times N_{target} = N_{beam} \times (\rho \times \ell \times \mathcal{N}_{A}) / A$$

[*l*: target thickness (for instance 1cm)]

• Integrated luminosity: $\int dt \mathscr{L}$ over 10^7 s for p^+ and 10^6 for Pb

[the so-cal	led L	.HC y	'ears]
-------------	-------	-------	--------

DQ(

9/17

	Target	ρ (g.cm -3)	Α	£ (µb⁻¹.s⁻¹)	∫£ (pb⁻¹.yr⁻¹)
	Sol. H ₂	0.09	1	26	260
	Liq. H ₂	0.07	1	20	200
	Liq. D ₂	0.16	2	24	240
	Be	1.85	9	62	620
	Cu	8.96	64	42	420
	w	19.1	185	31	310
	Pb	11.35	207	16	160
10, P	aris-Sud U.)		Key figures	s for AFTER	

Luminosities

- 1 meter-long liquid H₂ & D₂ targets can be used (see NA51,...)
- This gives: $\mathscr{L}_{H_2/D_2} \simeq 20 \text{ fb}^{-1} y^{-1}$
- Recycling the LHC beam loss, one gets

a luminosity comparable to the LHC itself !

- PHENIX lumi in their decadal plan
 - Run14pp 12 pb⁻¹ @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$
 - Run14*d*Au 0.15 pb⁻¹ @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$
- AFTER vs PHENIX@RHIC: 3 orders of magnitude larger
- Lumi for Pb runs in the backup slides (roughly 10 times that planned for the LHC)



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

February 3, 2013

=

Key figures for AFTER

Luminosities

Instantaneous Luminosity:

 $\mathscr{L} = \Phi_{beam} \times N_{target} = N_{beam} \times (\rho \times \ell \times \mathcal{N}_{A}) / A$

 $\Phi_{beam} = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ Pb s}^{-1}, \quad \ell = 1 \text{ cm (target thickness)}$

- Integrated luminosity $\int dt \mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L} \times 10^6$ s for Pb
- Expected luminosities with 2×10^5 Pb s⁻¹ extracted (1cm-long target)

Target	ρ (g.cm⁻³)	А	£ (mb ⁻¹ .s ⁻¹)=∫£ (nb ⁻¹ .yr ⁻¹)
Sol. H ₂	0.09	1	11
Liq. H ₂	0.07	1	8
Liq. D ₂	0.16	2	10
Ве	1.85	9	25
Cu	8.96	64	17
w	19.1	185	13
Pb	11.35	207	7

- Planned lumi for PHENIX Run15AuAu 2.8 nb⁻¹ (0.13 nb⁻¹ at 62 GeV)
- Nominal LHC lumi for PbPb 0.5 nb⁻¹

A few figures on the (extracted) proton beam

- Beam loss: 10⁹ p⁺s⁻¹
- Extracted intensity: $5 \times 10^8 \ p^+ s^{-1}$ (1/2 the beam loss) E. Uggerhøj, U.I Uggerhøj, NIM B 234 (2005) 31
- Number of p^+ : 2808 bunches of $1.15 \times 10^{11} p^+ = 3.2 \times 10^{14} p^+$
- Revolution frequency: Each bunch passes the extraction point at a rate of 3.10^5 km.s⁻¹/27 km $\simeq 11$ kHz
- Extracted "mini" bunches:
 - the crystal sees $2808 \times 11000 \text{ s}^{-1} \simeq 3.10^7 \text{ bunches s}^{-1}$
 - one extracts $5.10^8/3.10^7 \simeq 16p^+$ from each bunch at each pass
 - Provided that the probability of interaction with the target is below 5%,
- Extraction over a 10h fill:
 - $5 \times 10^8 p^+ \times 3600 \text{ s } \text{h}^{-1} \times 10 \text{ h} = 1.8 \times 10^{13} p^+ \text{ fill}^{-1}$
 - This means $1.8 \times 10^{13}/3.2 \times 10^{14} \simeq 5.6\%$ of the p^+ in the beam

These protons are lost anyway !

similar figures for the Pb-beam extraction

no pile-up...

12/17

AFTER: also an heavy-flavour observatory in PbA

Luminosities and yields with the extracted 2.76 TeV Pb beam

$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$	=	72	GeV	/)
-----------------	---	----	-----	----

February 3, 2013

15/17

Target	A.B	∫£ (nb-¹.yr-¹)	N(J/Ψ) yr ⁻¹ = AB <i>£B</i> σ _Ψ	N(Υ) yr ⁻¹ =AB <i>£Β</i> σ _τ
1 m Liq. H ₂	207.1	800	3.4 10 ⁶	6.9 10 ³
1cm Be	207.9	25	9.1 10 ⁵	1.9 10 ³
1cm Cu	207.64	17	4.3 10 ⁶	0.9 10 ³
1cm W	207.185	13	9.7 10 ⁶	1.9 10 ⁴
1cm Pb	207.207	7	5.7 10 ⁶	1.1 10 ⁴
LHC PbPb 5.5 TeV	207.207	0.5	7.3 10 ⁶	3.6 10 ⁴
RHIC AuAu 200GeV	198.198	2.8	4.4 10 ⁶	1.1 10 ⁴
RHIC AuAu 62GeV	198.198	0.13	4.0 10 ⁴	61



Mean transverse momentum of J/ψ vs energy in *pp*



ALICE inclusive J/ ψ mean <p_T > and <p_T 2> in comparison with SPS and RHIC data.



Behavior at ALICE is different from obtained at lower energies at SPS and RHIC where increase of the mean transverse momentum and the mean square transverse momentum with centrality was obtained.

ALICE inclusive R_{AA} at forward and central rapidities.



Large uncertainty on the mid-rapidity *pp*- reference. Different behaivior?