## Complex scaling method for multiple particle collisions



## Introduction

## Bound states

- Wave function of finite size (bound to the box)

Variational method, multiple ways to discretize wave function and solve the Schrödinger eq.

## Scattering

- Configuration space wave functions extend to infinity.


Singularities in momentum space...

Scattering requires a much more « fine tuning» of the interactions
When all bound states are $\mathrm{OK}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NN}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NNN}}$ can still fail there !!!
e.g. $n+{ }^{3} H$


A dream: to solve scattering problem with a similar ease as bound state one (avoiding complex singularities or boundary conditions)

- Lorentz integral transform (inclusive process)
N. Barnea, V. D. Efros, W. Leidemann, and G. Orlandini, Phys. Rev. C 63, 057002 (2001)
- Complex energy method in momentum space
E. Uzu, H. Kamada, and Y. Koike, Phys. Rev. C 68, 061001 (2003)
A. Deltuva and A. C. Fonseca, Phys. Rev. C 86, 011001(2012)
- Momentum lattice technique
O. A. Rubtsova, V. N. Pomerantsev, and V. I. Kukulin, Phys. Rev. C 79, 064602 (2009)
- Continuum discretization (above break-up threshold?)
A. Kievsky, M. Viviani, and L. E. Marcucci, Phys. Rev. C 85, 014001 (2012).
- Complex scaling method
B. Giraud, K.Kato and A. Ohnishi, J. of Phys. A37 (2004), 11575 (passing via spectral function)
Y.Suzuki, W.Horiuchi, D.Baye, PTP,123 (2010) (passing via spectral function)


## Complex scaling method (2b scattering

I. Nuttal and H. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. 188 (1969) 1542

Driven radial Schrödinger equation:
$\Psi_{l}(r)=\Psi_{l}^{\text {in }}(r)+\Psi_{l}^{s c}(r)$
$\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \mu}\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}}+V_{l}(r)-k^{2}\right) \Psi_{l}^{s c}(r)=-V_{l}^{s}(r) \Psi_{l}^{\text {in }}(r)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{l}(r \rightarrow \infty)=\hat{j}_{l}(k r)++\begin{array}{c}
A_{l}(k) \exp \left(i k r-l \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\
\Psi_{l}(r \rightarrow \infty)
\end{array}=F_{l}(k r)+\begin{array}{c}
A_{l}(k) \exp \left(i k r-l \frac{\pi}{2}-\eta \ln (2 k r)\right) \\
\Psi_{l}^{i n}(r) \\
\Psi_{l}^{s c}(r \rightarrow \infty)
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

Complex scaling: $r \longrightarrow r e^{i \theta}$

$$
\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \mu}\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{e^{2 i \theta} d r^{2}}+\frac{l(l+1)}{e^{2 i \theta} r^{2}}+V_{l}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)-k^{2}\right) \widetilde{\Psi}_{l}^{s c}(r)=
$$

Exp. bound by the short $-V_{l}^{s}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \Psi_{l}^{\text {in }}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ range pot. term $V^{s}$

$$
\Psi^{\prime} \theta_{1}^{c}(r \rightarrow \infty) \sim \exp (i k r)-\exp (-k r \sin \theta)
$$

$$
\widetilde{H} x=b
$$

Extraction of amplitudes:

- From the asymptote of the solution

$$
A_{l}(k)=\Psi \frac{\omega c c}{1}(r \rightarrow \infty) / \exp \left(i k r e^{i \theta}+\ldots\right)
$$

- Using Green's theorem

$$
A_{l}(k) \sim \int \Psi_{l}^{i n}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) V_{l}^{s}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\left(\Psi_{l} e_{l}^{c}(r)+\Psi_{l}^{i n}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right) e^{i \theta} d r
$$
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## Complex scaling method (Resonances)

Analiticity of the potential, limitation of the scaling angle:

$$
V(r) \sim \exp \left(-\mu r^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \exp \left(-\mu r^{n} e^{i n \theta}\right) \text { Starts to diverge for } \theta>\pi /(2 n)
$$

M. Rittby, N. Elander and E. Brandas, Phys. Rev. A 24 (1981) 1636
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## Complex scaling method (2b scattering

Solution obtained by imposing $\varphi\left(\mathrm{r}_{\max }\right)=0$ condition at the border $\mathrm{r}_{\max }$ of the finite grid. Using spline discretization technique.



FIG. 1: (Color online) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ NN phaseshift at $E_{c m}=$ performed with cut-off imposed at $r_{\max }=50$ and 1 (solid line). Pure strong interaction result is prest for pp-pair are presented in the right figure.


## Complex scaling method (2b scattering

Solution obtained by imposing $\varphi\left(\mathrm{r}_{\max }\right)=0$ condition at the border $\mathrm{r}_{\max }$ of the finite grid. Using spline discretization technique.



FIG. 2: (Color online) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0} \mathrm{NN}_{1}$ respectively. Calculations perforr strong interaction result is prese presented in the right figure.

sing relations eq. 5-6 and 9-10 ation by the angle $\theta=10^{\circ}$. Pure mb interaction for pp-pair are

## Complex scaling method (2b scattering)



FIG. 3: (Color online) Dependence of the calculated NN ${ }^{1} S_{0}$ phaseshift using integral expression as a function of the complex rotation angle. Grid was limited to $\mathrm{r}_{\max }=100 \mathrm{fm}$. The upper curve correspond Coulomb-free case, the bottom one includes Coulomb.

$$
n^{-12} C \text { at } E_{l a b}=30 \mathrm{MeV}\left({ }^{2} S_{1}\right)
$$




## Complex scaling method (3b scattering)

Faddeev eq. (particles of identical


Jacobi coord :
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}r_{k}=r_{j}-r_{i} \\ r_{i}=\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}\left(r_{k}-\frac{r}{r_{j}+r_{i}}\right. \\ y_{k}\end{array}\right)$
Wave function
$\Psi(\stackrel{r}{x}, \stackrel{r}{y})=\sum_{k=1}^{3} F_{k}\left(\stackrel{r}{x}_{k}, \stackrel{r}{y}_{k}\right)$
Faddeev eq.:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(E-V_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\hat{H}_{0}\right) F_{1}-V_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(F_{2}+F_{3}\right)=0 \\ \left(E-V_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)-\hat{H}_{0}\right) F_{2}-V_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(F_{1}+F_{3}\right)=0 \\ \left(E-V_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)-\hat{H}_{0}\right) F_{3}-V_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(F_{1}+F_{2}\right)=0\end{array}\right.$

Outgoing 2b or 3b wave
Driven Faddeev eq.:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}\left(\stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{x_{1}}, \stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{y_{1}}\right)=F_{1}^{\text {in }}\left(\stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{x_{1}}, \stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{y_{1}}\right)-F_{1}^{\text {sc }}\left(\underset{x_{1}}{\mathrm{r}}, \stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{1}\right) \\
& F_{1}^{\text {in }}\left(\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{1}\right)=f_{1}^{l_{x}}\left(x_{1}\right) \hat{j}_{l_{y}}\left(q y_{1}\right)\left\{Y_{l_{x}}(\hat{x}) \otimes Y_{l_{y}}(\hat{y})\right\}_{L M}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(E-V_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\hat{H}_{0}\right) F_{1}^{s c}-V_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(F_{2}^{s c}+F_{3}^{s c}\right)=0 \\
\left(E-V_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)-\hat{H}_{0}\right) F_{2}^{s c}-V_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(F_{1}^{s c}+F_{3}^{s c}\right)=V_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) F_{1}^{i n} \\
\left(E-V_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)-\hat{H}_{0}\right) F_{3}^{s c}-V_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(F_{1}^{s c}+F_{2}^{s c}\right)=V_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) F_{1}^{i n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Complex scaling method (3b scattering

Faddeev eq. (particles of identical mass)


Driven Faddeev eq.:
$F_{1}\left(\stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{x_{1}}, \stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{y_{1}}\right)=F_{1}^{\text {in }}\left(\underset{x_{1}}{\mathrm{r}}, \stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{y_{1}}\right)+F_{1}^{s c}\left(\underset{x_{1}}{\mathrm{r}}, \stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{y_{1}}\right)$
$F_{1}^{i n}\left(\underset{x_{1}}{x_{1}}, y_{1}\right)=f_{1}^{l_{x}}\left(x_{1}\right) \hat{j}_{l_{y}}\left(q y_{1}\right)\left\{Y_{l_{x}}(\hat{x}) \otimes Y_{l_{y}}(\hat{y})\right\}_{L M}$

Complex scaling

$$
x \longrightarrow x e^{i \theta} ; y \longrightarrow y e^{i \theta}
$$

Outgoing wave $F_{1}^{\text {sex }}\left({ }_{\left(r_{1} e^{i \theta}\right.}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}, y_{1} e^{i \theta}\right)$ becomes exponentialy bound:

- 2-body plane out. waves

$$
\sim \exp \left(-k_{b s} x\right) \exp (-q y \sin \theta) \quad k_{b s}=\sqrt{\frac{m}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}}\left|B_{b s}\right|} ; q=\sqrt{\frac{2 m}{3 \mathrm{~h}^{2}} E_{l a b}}
$$

- 3-body break-up out. wave

$$
\sim \exp (-K \rho \sin \theta) \quad K=\sqrt{\frac{m}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}}\left(\frac{2}{3} E_{l a b}-B_{b s}\right)} ; \rho=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}
$$
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## Complex scaling method (3b scattering)

Faddeev eq. (particles of identical mass)


$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(E-V_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\hat{H}_{0}\right) F_{1}^{s c}-V_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(F_{2}^{s c}+F_{3}^{s c}\right)=0 \\
\left(E-V_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)-\hat{H}_{0}\right) F_{2}^{s c}-V_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(F_{1}^{s c}+F_{3}^{s c}\right)=V_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) F_{1}^{i n} \\
\left(E-V_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)-\hat{H}_{0}\right) F_{3}^{s c}-V_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(F_{1}^{s c}+F_{2}^{s c}\right)=V_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) F_{1}^{i n}
\end{array}\right.
$$




$$
\tan \theta<\frac{k \sqrt{3}}{q}=\frac{\sqrt{3 B_{b s}}}{\sqrt{B_{b s}+\frac{2}{3} E_{l a b}}}
$$

Angle $\theta$ becomes small for large scattering energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{lab}}$

$$
V_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) F_{1}^{i n}\left(x_{1} e^{i \theta}, y_{1} e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

Complex scaling

$$
x \longrightarrow x e^{i \theta} ; y \longrightarrow y e^{i \theta}
$$
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## Complex scaling method (3b scattering

## Extraction of the scattering amplitudes:

- From the asymptote of the solution $F_{1}^{\prime \prime r}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{r}\right)$

Cumbersome for break-up!!

- Using Green's theorem



Solution: Faddeev equations+PW decomposition+spline discretization of $\mathbf{x y}$
R. Lazauskas, PhD thesis, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble (2003)
R. Lazauskas and J. Carbonell, Phys. Rev. C 84 (2011), 034002
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## Conpiex scating nethoo (3b scattering

TABLE III: Neutron-deuteron scattering phaseshift and inelasticity parameter as a function of the complex rotation angle compared with benchmark results of [23,24]. Our calculations has been performed by setting $\mathrm{y}_{\max }=100 \mathrm{fm}$.

|  | $3^{\circ}$ | $4^{\circ}$ | $5^{\circ}$ | $6^{\circ}$ | $7.5^{\circ}$ | $10^{\circ}$ | $12.5{ }^{\circ}$ | Ref. [23, 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nd doublet at $\mathrm{E}_{l a b}=14.1 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}$ | 105.00 | 105.43 | 105.50 | 105.50 | 105.50 | 105.49 | 105.48 | 105.49 |
| $\eta$ | 0.4559 | 0.4638 | 0.4653 | 0.4654 | 0.4653 | 0.4650 | 0.4649 | 0.4649 |
| nd doublet at E $\mathrm{E}_{\text {lab }}=42 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}$ | 41.71 | 41.63 | 41.55 | 41.51 | 41.45 | 41.04 |  | 41.35 |
| $\eta$ | 0.5017 | 0.5015 | 0.5014 | 0.5014 | 0.5015 | 0.5048 |  | 0.5022 |
| nd quartet at $\mathrm{E}_{\text {lab }}=14.1 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}$ | 68.47 | 68.90 | 68.97 | 68.97 | 68.97 | 68.97 | 68.97 | 68.95 |
| $\eta$ | 0.9661 | 0.9762 | 0.9782 | 0.9784 | 0.9783 | 0.9782 | 0.9780 | 0.9782 |
| nd quartet at $\mathrm{E}_{l a b}=42 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}$ | 37.83 | 37.80 | 37.77 | 37.77 | 37.74 | 38.06 | - | 37.71 |
| $\eta$ | 0.9038 | 0.9034 | 0.9032 | 0.9030 | 0.9029 | 0.8980 | - | 0.9033 |

Ref.[23] J. L. Friar et al.:; Phys. Rev. C 51 (1995) 2356.
Ref.[24] A. Deltuva, A. C. Fonseca et al.:;, Phys. Rev. C 71 (2005) 064003.
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## Conpiex scating nethoo (3b scattering

TABLE IV: Proton-deuteron scattering phaseshift and inelasticity parameter as a function of the complex rotation angle $\theta$ compared with benchmark values of [24]. Our calculations has been performed by setting $\mathrm{y}_{\text {max }}=150 \mathrm{fm}$.

|  | $3^{\circ}$ | $4^{\circ}$ | $5^{\circ}$ | $6^{\circ}$ | $7.5^{\circ}$ | $10^{\circ}$ | $12.5{ }^{\circ}$ | Ref. [24] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pd doublet at $\mathrm{E}_{\text {lab }}=14.1 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}$ | 108.46 | 108.43 | 108.43 | 108.43 | 108.43 | 108.43 | 108.42 | 108.41[3] |
| $\eta$ | 0.5003 | 0.4993 | 0.4990 | 0.4988 | 0.4986 | 0.4984 | 0.4981 | 0.4983[1] |
| pd doublet at $\mathrm{E}_{\text {lab }}=42 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}$ | 43.98 | 43.92 | 43.87 | 43.82 | 43.78 | 44.83 | - | 43.68[2] |
| $\eta$ | 0.5066 | 0.5060 | 0.5056 | 0.5054 | 0.5052 | 0.5488 | - | 0.5056 |
| pd quartet at $\mathrm{E}_{\text {lab }}=14.1 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}$ | 72.70 | 72.65 | 72.65 | 72.64 | 72.64 | 72.63 | 72.62 | 72.60 |
| $\eta$ | 0.9842 | 0.9827 | 0.9826 | 0.9826 | 0.9826 | 0.9828 | 0.9829 | $0.9795[1]$ |
| pd quartet at $\mathrm{E}_{\text {lab }}=42 \mathrm{MeV}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}$ | 40.13 | 40.11 | 40.08 | 40.07 | 40.05 | 40.35 | - | 39.96[1] |
| $\eta$ | 0.9052 | 0.9044 | 0.9039 | 0.9036 | 0.9034 | 0.9026 | - | 0.9046 |

Ref.[24] A. Deltuva, A. C. Fonseca et al..: Phys. Rev. C 71 (2005) 064003.

## Compiex scaitig methoo (3b scattering

TABLE V: Neutron-deuteron ${ }^{3} S_{1}$ break-up amplitude calculated at $E_{\text {lab }}=42 \mathrm{MeV}$ as a function of the break-up angle $\vartheta$.

|  | $0^{\circ}$ | $10^{\circ}$ | $20^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $40^{\circ}$ | $50^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $70^{\circ}$ | $80^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This work $\operatorname{Re}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ | $1.49[-2]$ | $8.84[-4]$ | $-3.40[-2]$ | $-3.33[-2]$ | $7.70[-2]$ | $2.52[-1]$ | $4.47[-1]$ | $6.47[-1]$ | $6.30[-1]$ | $1.62[-1]$ |
| This work $\operatorname{Im}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ | $1.69[0]$ | $1.74[0]$ | $1.87[0]$ | $1.92[0]$ | $1.80[0]$ | $1.68[0]$ | $1.70[0]$ | $1.96[0]$ | $2.23[0]$ | $3.17[0]$ |
| $\operatorname{Ref.~[23]~\operatorname {~Re}({}^{3}\mathrm {S}_{1})}$ | $1.48[-2]$ | $9.22[-4]$ | $-3.21[-2]$ | $-3.09[-2]$ | $7.70[-2]$ | $2.52[-1]$ | $4.51[-1]$ | $6.53[-1]$ | $6.93[-1]$ | $1.05[-1]$ |
| Ref. [23] $\operatorname{Im}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ | $1.69[0]$ | $1.74[0]$ | $1.87[0]$ | $1.92[0]$ | $1.80[0]$ | $1.67[0]$ | $1.70[0]$ | $1.95[0]$ | $2.52[0]$ | $3.06[0]$ |

Ref.[23] J. L. Friar et al.:; Phys. Rev. C 51 (1995) 2356.

## Complex scaling method (3-body system with optical pot.)

$$
{ }^{13} C+p \Leftrightarrow \begin{gathered}
{ }^{12} C+d \\
{ }^{13} C+p \\
{ }^{12} C+n+p
\end{gathered}
$$
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## 4-body system



Solution: FY equations+PW decomposition+spline discretization of $x y z$
R. Lazauskas, PhD thesis, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble (2003)

## R. Lazauskas, J. Carbonell

## 4-nucleon system

## $n+{ }^{3} H(T=1)$ scattering with MT I-III potential
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## 4-nucleon system

## $n+{ }^{3} H(T=1)$ scattering with MT I-III potential

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{lab}} \\ & (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{aligned}$ | MT I-III (this work) |  |  | INOY (Deltuva) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exp. } \\ & \sigma_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{mb}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{mb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{mb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{mb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{mb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{mb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{mb})$ |  |
| 14.4 | 922 | 11 | 933 | 928 | 19 | 947 | $978 \pm 70$ |
| 18.0 | 690 | 25 | 715 | 697 | 41 | 738 | $750 \pm 60$ |
| 22.1 | 512 | 38 | 550 | 536 | 61 | 597 | $620 \pm 24$ |

## R. Lazauskas, J. Carbonell

## NCSM application?

Deuteron binding energy calculation compared with np scattering calculation at 20 MeV for ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ wave



- Similar convergence (only less regular) as for the weakly bound state calculations
- Results for the soft potentials converge much faster


## Method summary

() Trivial boundary conditions.

Full information: elastic, inelastic and break-up amplitudes obtained after minimal modification of the bound state code
(b) Valid for any exponentially bound interaction, possible to include Coulomb. Inclusion of Coulomb for $\mathrm{A}>2$ systems requires small improvable approximation - neglecting the action of the polarization terms on incoming wave.

P Problem solved in complex arithmetics.
$\odot$ Potential must be analytical and remain short range after complex scaling (sets upper limit on $\theta$ )
:) Additional limitation on $\theta$ for $\mathrm{A}>2$ problem (sets upper limit on $\theta$, this angle reduces when increasing scattering energy)

4 Hamiltonian is not Hermitian anymore. It is more costly to ensure unitarity of the Smatrix. Nevertheless phases are obtained very accurately, convergence of inelasticity parameters if they are small (or close to 1 ) is slower.
Difficulty to treat close to the threshold region (some of outgoing waves converge slowly)

## R. Lazauskas, J. Carbonell

## Conclusion

- Complex scaling method is efficient tool to solve bound, resonant as well as continuum states problem without explicit treatment of the boundary conditions
- Scattering problem might be solved using bound state methods in complex arithmetic (almost by any config. space bound state technique and require very limited effort)
- Simple extension of the formalism to many-body scattering case
- Reliable results are already obtained for 3-body and 4-body elastic and breakup scattering, including long-range and optical potentials
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## 4-nucleon system

## " $p+{ }^{3} H$ " $(T=0)$ scattering with MT I-III potential,

 (by ignoring Coulomb)$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cm}}=20.5 \mathrm{MeV}$

|  |  | $\delta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\eta$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{L}=0$ | $\mathrm{~S}=0$ | -56.6 | 0.650 |
|  | $\mathrm{~S}=1$ | 68.8 | 0.947 |
| $\mathrm{~L}=1$ | $\mathrm{~S}=0$ | -85.3 | 0.945 |
|  | $\mathrm{~S}=1$ | 64.9 | 0.886 |
| $\mathrm{~L}=2$ | $\mathrm{~S}=0$ | 47.1 | 0.678 |
|  | $\mathrm{~S}=1$ | 1.09 | 0.896 |


|  |  | $\delta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\eta$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{L}=0$ | $\mathrm{~S}=0$ | -81.0 | 0.618 |
|  | $\mathrm{~S}=1$ | 56.9 | 0.882 |
| $\mathrm{~L}=1$ | $\mathrm{~S}=0$ | 78.9 | 0.918 |
|  | $\mathrm{~S}=1$ | 52.8 | 0.843 |
| $\mathrm{~L}=2$ | $\mathrm{~S}=0$ | 44.7 | 0.720 |
|  | $\mathrm{~S}=1$ | 4.49 | 0.851 |

$$
\mathrm{J} \pi=0^{+}
$$

| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cm}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | MT I-III (this work) |  | Yamaguchi (Uzu) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\delta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\eta$ | $\delta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\eta$ |
| 7.3 | -4.46 | 0.988 | -5.51 | 0.899 |
| 20.5 | -56.6 | 0.650 | -61.7 | 0.746 |
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