Creating Federated Data Stores For The LHC

Summary Andrew Hanushevsky SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory

> September 13-14, 2012 IN2P3, Lyon, France

9/13-14/2012@ IN2P3

Creating Federated Data Stores for the LHC

Status

• FAX (ATLAS)

- Increase analysis opportunities (WAN, failover, etc)
 - Ensures only "good" sites join the federation
- Adopting regional federation topology
 - Vector clients to the closest currently available data source
- Looking at WAN access vs local caching
 - Caching seems usually better go but hit-rate and storage issues
- *LFC look-up is the major stumbling block*
 - Will this be the last time such DM will be developed?
- Type of access in user jobs is also a challenge
- Uses a rather detailed site certification process
- Goal is >90% of available data by the end of the year

Status

• AAA (CMS)

- Increase analysis opportunities (WAN, failover, etc)
 - Ensures only "good" sites join the federation
- Covered the US sites and now working on worldwide sites
- WAN access is supportable and works well
 - Caching seems usually better go but hit-rate and storage issues
- Next years tasks include
 - Hardening
 - Public cloud usage (depends on pricing)
 - Data aware job management.
 - Caching proxy
- Client changes take a long time!

Workload Management

• Panda

- Stage in data from the federation as fallback
 - Could be used as a tape avoidance system
- Direct access later (1st for input, later for output data)
 - Jobs shipped to available sites with lowest data access cost

WAN Access

- Need to optimize data access for high latency
 - TTreeCache is key here (chachinh, async pre-reads, etc)
- Caching also helps reduce WAN load
- Monitoring is crucial to track WAN access
 - Identify badly behaving applications

Recent Development

- New xroot client available in September
- EOS being extended for federation & monitoring
 - Pretty much done, minor additions needed
 - LFC translation is ready to go for ATLAS
- DPM (rewritten)
 - Multi-VO data access that can federate using xroot
 - Implemented as plug-ins to basic xrootd front-end
 - Waiting for the final 3.2.x version of xrootd going into EPEL
 - Will then be available in EMI repository
- dCache is adding N2N plugins equivalent to the xrootd ones.

Monitoring

- UCSD Monitoring is in a mature state
 - ActiveMQ feed almost done (needed for world-wide view)
- Monitoring useful for measuring data popularity
 - Rich set of data for mining to see n-order effects
 - Countless ways to render the data to gain usage insights
- Information is being rolled into dashboards
- There is 3-5 months more work to what we need
 - But how long before people deploy the new stuff?
- Seems like this will continue to be very active
 - At least for the next year

• We need to start thinking about monitoring for multi-VO sites.

9/13-14/2012@ IN2P3

Public Clouds

- Federation on cloud storage
 - Example single data point: 11-16MB/sec/stream on EC2.
 - Storage: 1TB \$100-125/month
 - Data flow in cloud 2-3x better than reading from outside
- There is no real cloud standard
 - This makes moving from cloud to cloud difficult
 - EC2 is the biggest player and most popular
 - Google & Microsoft are showing promise as competitors
- Using federated storage (in & out) is key
 - Leaving data in cloud is expensive

WLCG & Federations

- Publicize the concept of federated storage
 - Working group to explore concepts (ends at end of year)
- Separate "federation" from the apps that use it
 - Allows better exploration of fail-over, self-healing, caching ...
- Biggest issue is the historical lfn->sfn translation
 - Complicates creating an efficient global name space
- Federated storage is rapidly progressing
 - Need still to understand security & monitoring issues
- Working group still active

EUDAT Federation

- In year 1 or of 3 year project
 - Provide storage infrastructure for sharing data
- Very diverse group of people
 - Much like a multi-VO system on steriods
- Decided to use iRODS
 - Provides federation glued via a database (similar to LFC)
 - Works well within the confines of itself but has scalability issues.
 - Does not seem to integrate very naturally with other systems.

• Looking for other systems (Xrootd, HTTP) to externalize access

NorduGrid Federation

- dCache based storage federation with caching
 - Data caching is significant activity for worker-node jobs
 - Competing I/O caching vs jobs
 - Cache should be fast for random I/O but managed store need not
 - Cache also solves a number of operational issues.
 - Have a rule-of-thumb sizing caches for WLCG sites: 100TB cache per 1PB store.
- Looking at new ways of federating the caches
 - Either xrootd or ARC based HTTP
- Lesson highlights
 - Have product developers on your own staff
 - Availability is an upper bound for user's happiness
 - One system for all is the (unobtainable) holy grail

HTTP Federations

- EMI funded project revolving around DPM
 - Current system based on Apache + lcgdm_day + dmlite
 - Plus arbitrary plugins
 - Development is ongoing to handle edge cases
 - Endpoint changes (e.g. life, content)
- Project work to align xroot & http approaches
 - http plug-in for xrootd signed off
 - Other possibilities being explored

HTTP Federations

- EMI funded project revolving around DPM
 - Current system based on Apache + lcgdm_day + dmlite
 - Plus arbitrary plugins
 - Development is ongoing to handle edge cacses
 - Endpoint changes (e.g. life, content)
- Project work to align xroot & http approaches
 - http plug-in for xrootd signed off
 - Other possibilities being explored

Goals

- Driving forces for federation
 - Create more opportunities for data access.
 - This seems to be strong enough to foster several efforts.
- Outline broad technical solutions
 - We outlined several monitoring solutions for cohesiveness
 - Protocol alignment to allow more inter-play
- Establish framework for technical co-operation
 - We have this meeting and WLCG framework
 - As witnessed by the protocol alignment project
- Revisit our definition.....

Definition: Storage Federation

• Collection of disparate storage resources managed by co-operating but independent administrative domains transparently accessible via a common name space.

• Maybe we don't change the definition, but differentiate the things unique to the work discussed here:

- Single protocols? Maybe not
- From any entry point, access to all the data in the system.
- Direct end-user access to files from source?

Next Meeting

- So, should we have another meeting?
 - If yes, Jeff Templon offered to host at NIKHEF
- Half-day virtual meeting @ pre-GDB meeting in April.
- Dates (green preferred):



Thank You

- IN2P3
 - For hosting this meeting
- Jean-Yves Nief
 - For local organization & meeting web page
- Stephane Duray & administration team
 - For excellent logistical support