

#### Neutrino Telescopes: Agenda

- 10 years of progress with optical Cherenkov Detectors
- Diffuse flux limits and EG point flux constraints
- Extremely Energetic Neutrinos New Technologies Radio, Air Shower/Flourescence, Acoustic

Noise and transparency

VLV = Teraton -Petaton

#### 10 Years of Diffuse v Progress



#### Diffuse v-Fluxes << CR-Fluxes



#### Diffuse Flux: Limits and Models



#### Excluding AGN Model Predictions for Diffuse Flux

	Model	Source Type	Emission Type	Process	Normalization	Reference
0						
a)	radio-quiet AGN <sup>†</sup>	Seyfert/Quasar	core	Рγ	x-ray diffuse	[Stecker et al., 1991]
Ř	radio-quiet AGN <sup>†</sup>	Seyfert/Quasar	core	рр	x-ray diffuse	[Nellen et al., 1993]
q	radio-loud AGN (B) <sup>†</sup>	Blazars	jets	Рγ	1 MeV $\gamma$ -ray diffuse	[Mannheim, 1995]
2	$\gamma$ -ray loud AGN <sup>†</sup>	Blazars	jets	Рγ	GeV $\gamma$ -ray source	[Protheroe, 1996]
J	AGN <sup>+</sup>	Blazars	jets	Рγ	100 MeV $\gamma$ -ray source	[Stecker and Salamon, 1996]
×	$\gamma$ -ray loud AGN <sup>+</sup>	Blazars	jets	Рγ	GeV $\gamma$ -ray source	[Halzen and Zas, 1997]
	AGN <sup>†</sup>	Blazars	jets	Рγ	100 MeV $\gamma$ -ray source	[Mannheim et al., 2001]
	AGN <sup>+</sup>	Blazars	jets	Рγ	CR's spectrum	[Mannheim et al., 2001]
	radio-loud AGN <sup>+</sup>	FSRQ	jets	Рγ	radio source	[Becker et al., 2005]
5	radio-loud AGN (A)	Blazars	jets	Рγ	100 MeV $\gamma$ -ray diffuse	[Mannheim, 1995]
3	AGN-LBL	Blazars	jets	Рγ	TeV $\gamma$ -ray source	[Mücke et al., 2003]
	AGN-HBL	Blazars	jets	Рγ	CR's spectrum	[Mücke et al., 2003]
	radio-quiet AGN	Seyfert/Quasar	core	pp and p $\gamma$	UV/x-ray source	[Alvarez-Muniz et al., 2004]
	radio-loud AGN	FR-I	core	pp	TeV $\gamma$ -ray source	[Anchordoqui et al., 2004]
	radio-quiet AGN	Seyfert/Quasar	core	Pγ	MeV $\gamma$ -ray diffuse	[Stecker, 2005]
	radio-loud AGN	FR-II	jets	Рγ	radio source	[Becker et al., 2005]
	radio-loud AGN	FR-I	core	PP	TeV $\gamma$ -ray source	[Halzen and O'Murchadha, 2008]

# Normalization to x-ray or 1-1000 MeV $\gamma$ 's overproduces neutrino flux

#### A. Silvestri 2008

#### 10 Years of Point v Progress



#### Constraining EG Point Flux

- Constraint based on sensible collection of suppositions:
  - 1. EG point sources are uniformly distributed
  - 2.  $L_v$  constant or given by luminosity distribution function
  - 3. Source emission described by same energy spectrum, but details of spectrum not critical
- Number of resolvable sources, N<sub>s</sub>, obtained once point source sensitivity is specified.
- In our case:

- 
$$K_v^{\text{diff}}$$
,  $dN/dE = K_v^{\text{diff}} E^{-2}$  diffuse flux

-  $C_{point}$ ,  $dN/dE = C_{point} E^{-2}$  point source sensitivity

$$N_s \simeq \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln(10)}} \frac{H_0}{c} \frac{K_{diff} \sqrt{L_{dec}}}{(C_{point})^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\xi}$$
 First derived by P. Lipari







Suggests EG sources may be difficult to detect -> raises profile of Galactic sources

#### IceCube





When completed, may detect <1 cosmogenic v per year

2008/09: add 18 strings and tank stations

Completion by 2011.

plus AMANDA

### **Quest for EHE neutrinos**

New Technologies

#### **Cosmogenic (or GZK) Neutrinos**

Predictions are secure:

$$p + \gamma_{cmb} \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow n + \pi^+$$

n -> lower energy protons  $\pi \rightarrow \mu + \nu$ 

However, v-Flux Calculations depend on:

- 1. Elemental composition (p, Fe, mixed)
- 2. Cosmology ( $\Lambda$ =0.7)
- 3. Injection Spectra,  $E^{-\gamma}$  and  $E_{max}$
- 4. Evolution of sources with redshift,  $(1+z)^m$ 
  - Star formation, QSO, GRB, little or no

#### GZK neutrino predictions

- Two significant developments
  - Auger confirms HiRes obs. of flux suppression, both  $5\sigma$
  - Auger reports angular correlation between CR and nearby matter (AGN?) - not observed by HiRes (APS08)
    - Strengthens idea that "Ordinary" AGN responsible for UHECR
    - Relatively well known evolution of source
    - Majority of CR must be protons, else B<sub>gal</sub> would scramble directions by more than observed
- If Ang. Correlation confirmed, much (but not all!) of the GZK flux uncertainty disappears.

#### Why Big Detectors?

- GZK v Flux (E~ $10^{18}$  eV): 10 /km<sup>2</sup>/yr
- v Interaction Length: 500 km
- Event Rate/km<sup>3</sup>/yr =  $[10/500] \sim 0.02$
- See about half the sky,  $0.01/km^3/yr$
- Efficiency, livetime, nice if more than one

So GZK v detection requires >  $100 \text{ km}^3$ (aperture >  $600 \text{ km}^3 \text{sr}$ )

### Radio Ice Cerenkov Experiment

MIT, Whitman College, U. of Delaware, U. of Canterbury, University of Kansas, University of Kansas Design Laboratory



- Martin A. Pomerantz Observatory
  - 1 km from S. Pole
- 16 buried radio receivers in 200 m x 200 m x 200 m area
- Detects Cerenkov radiation in 0.2 GHz to 1 GHz frequency range

#### ANITA



#### The ANITA Collaboration

University of California, Irvine Irvine, California

> Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio

University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas

Washington University in St. Louis

**University of Delaware** 

Newark, Delaware

Washington University

in St.Louis

SLAC

UCIrvine KUKANSAS

St. Louis, KMissouri





University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, California

University of Hawaii at Manoa Honolulu, Hawaii

National Taiwan University Taipei, Taiwan

University College London London, England

Jet Propulsion Laboratory Pasadena, California

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Menlo Park, CA





Stephen Hoover, APS April Meeting 2008



California Institute of Technology

#### Lets get to know ANITA





confirmed

cone and frequency dependence confirmed

#### **Calibration Signals**



#### Pointing At Calibration Events

- Anthropogenic background  $\rightarrow$  Need good pointing!
- Pointing resolution  $(\Delta \theta, \Delta \phi) \approx (0.25^\circ, 0.75^\circ)$





Reconstructed locations of calibration signal events

#### Modeling Surface Roughness



#### ANITA Can Constrain $\sigma_{vN}$ ! (F. Wu, ICRC 07, APS08)

( for scenario of N\_v=0,  $10^{19.5}\,eV{<}E_v{<}10^{20}\,eV$  )



# ANITA

#### **Projected Sensitivity (Prelim.)**



Blue= proton only models Green= mixed comp.

ANITA sensitivity [Barwick et al, PRL 96(2006)]

- based on 45 days
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  assume that 0  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  are found

Fluxes are for sum of all  $\nu$  flavors

#### Auger $v_{\tau}$ Capability



#### New Techniques to Observe Cosmogenic Neutrinos

	Current	Under
		Development
Radio	RICE, ANITA	ARIANNA, AURA, IceRay, SALSA
Air Shower	HiRes, Auger	TA, Auger N, OWL
Acoustic		SPATS, AMADEUS



#### **ARIANNA Site Studies**



Amazing fidelity of reflected pulse from sea-water bottom -behaves as nearly flawless mirror

1-way attenuation length, averaged over depth and temperature

#### **ARIANNA Sensitivity**



Greatly increases sensitivity to GZK  $\nu$  in E=10<sup>18</sup>-10<sup>19</sup> eV

ESS baseline: 40 events/yr

#### Neutrino Cross-Section





A fraction of events would be observed by IceCube and AURA

#### **RF** Noise at South Pole



Downgoing neutrino-like RF events used for timing calibration



Multi-band trigger rejects RF noise from AMANDA/Ice3

#### SALSA

Bury radio sensors in salt dome by drilling holes or utilizing existing floors in mine

Main question: what is attenuation length at relevant radio wavelengths?





#### SPATS - <u>Acoustic</u> Detection in Ice



#### Attenuation Length of Acoustic Waves



Additional work required to determine attenuation length in presence of significant noise

## Outlook

- Requisite tools to inaugurate multi-messenger astronomy are available -> IceCube, Mediterranean efforts continue to improve this technique.
  - Flux from EG sources may be low -> galactic sources very important
- To probe the neutrino fluxes and physics at highest energies, new techniques are being developed based on radio cherenkov, air shower and acoustic detection.
- ANITA extends search volume to 10<sup>6</sup> km<sup>3</sup>
  - Launched from McMurdo Dec 15, 2006, and remained aloft 35 day
  - Results imminent (maybe this summer)
- ARIANNA spans the impending energy gap
  - Ice studies in Nov' 06 astonishingly good, but not the only contender (SALSA, AURA/IceRay, Auger, acoustic detection)

# UHE analysis sensitive over the southern sky $\phi_v \sim E^{-2}$



•  $A_{eff}$  as a function of declination  $\delta$  and neutrino energy  $E_{\nu}$ 



#### Air Shower vs Ice Shower (time profiles quite different!)



