




# Virgo commercial seismic sensors and examples of their use

Irene Fiori for the Virgo collaboration  
and EGO

Workshop on Geophysics and Gravitational-Wave Detectors,  
IPGP Paris, April 17 and 18 2012

# Virgo aerial view






# Environmental sensors map, Central Bd.

By INFN Napoli – Barone, R. De-Rosa, F.Garufi, L.Milano

## Network of probes,

- Permanent and continuously running
- Synchronised with Virgo clock
- GPS time stamped
- also in MC, West, North Bd.

- Temperature,
- Humidity
- Pressure
- ☁️ Weather station
- ⚡️ Lightnings
- Mains power
- ● ● Seismometers
- Magnetometers
- ▲ Microphones
- ★ Radio frequency



# List of sensors

## SLOW sensors (sampling frequency = 1Hz)

- **Weather station**, Vantage Pro2 by Davis (wind speed and direction, external temperature and humidity, rain)
- **Lightning detector**, LD-250 from Boltek (strikes distance and angle up to 400km distance)
- **Temperature probes**, AD 590 MF, 1  $\mu$ A/K thermocouples, monitor buildings and in-vacuum temperature
- **Humidity probes**, based on polymer capacitance,
- **Pressure probes**, piezoresistive transducers, 45.9 mV/kPa

More INFO: <http://wwwcascina.virgo.infn.it/EnvMon/sensors.htm>

# List of sensors

**FAST sensors** (sampling frequency 1kHz, 10kHz or 20kHz depending on probe)

Monitor	probe	type	Flat response range (Hz)	Conditioning	No. Of output	No. of probes
Seismic	velocimeter	Guralp CMG-40T (30s)	0.033 to 50 Hz	custom	3	1
Seismic	accelerometer	Kinometrics Episensor FBA-ES-T	0.1 to 100Hz	custom	3	9
Vibration	accelerometer	Piezotronics 393B12	10Hz to 1000Hz	nexus	1	11
Vibration	accelerometer	B&K – 4378 and Endevco – 7702A	10Hz to 1000Hz	nexus	1	7
Magnetic	Magnetometer	Metronix - MFS-06	4-10000 Hz	custom	1	10
Acoustic	microphone	B&K 4190+2669	0.1-20000	nexus	1	9
Radio Frequency	antenna	ARA-60	4 to 60 MHz	mixer	1	1
Mains	transformer	custom	DC-1000 Hz	custom	1	20


# Vibration sensors




## HIGH FREQUENCY:

- PCB-Piezotronics 393B12 , B&K – 4378, Endevco – 7702A accelerometer, single axis, useful bandwidth 10Hz -2000Hz (sampled 10kHz)
  - 18 sensors, anchored to “critical” interferometer components, such as Vacuum tanks, pipes, external benches.

# Cryogenic vacuum pump









# Seismic sensors

## LOW FREQUENCY:

- Guralp CMG-40-T, velocimeter, tri-axial, nominal bandwidth (-3dB corner frequency) 0.033Hz to 100Hz, 1kHz sampling

1 sensor

deployed on central building concrete ground floor



>>>> Advanced Virgo plans to have 4, one per building

# Seismic sensors

## LOW FREQUENCY:


- Episensor FBA-ES-T, accelerometer, tri-axial, nominal bandwidth 0.1Hz to 100Hz , 1kHz sampling



## 9 sensors:

- 1 sensor anchored to MC building concrete ground floor
- 8 sensors anchored on top of external optical benches: 6 in Central Building, 1 in West terminal Building, 1 in North terminal Building

Sensors on optical benches move as Bd floor ground from DC to up to about 5Hz, above 5Hz sense bench mechanical modes



# Guralp 40T-30s





# MC







# Laser bench



# North end bench



# Seismometers Location



**ORIENTATION: same for all tri-axial sensors**

Horizontal axis are oriented along Virgo interferometer arms:

“X” is along “Virgo West arm”


“Z” is along “Virgo North arm”

“Y” is VERTICAL



# Data acquisition and Online processing


- How sensors data are acquired, processed for online GW data quality monitors, and stored:






# Trend Monitor, examples:

- Traffic activity: band limited RMS 4Hz to 10Hz



# Trend Monitor, examples:

- Sea activity: band limited RMS 0.2Hz to 1Hz




- Short tour of seismic noise “hunting” at Virgo

# How seismic noise couples to GW signal ?


- **Scattered light**

tiny fractions of light happen (unlucky!) to be scattered off the main beam path and impinge on seismically excited surfaces (i.e. vacuum pipes, optics mount on external benches) and then scattered back into the main beam path. The back-scattered beam is phase modulated by the scatterer vibration and couples this noise to the GW signal.

## Example, scattered light from vacuum pipe wall:




Similarly, we can have light scattered by the optics sitting on external benches, which receive pick-off beams for ITF control purposes:






# Example of periodic noise: “VELA killer”

- Periodic vibrations reducing sensitivity at the GW signal from the VELA pulsar (expected at 22.38Hz)
- Sources were engines of TCS laser CHILLERS, then cured.





Geophysical & Gravity Science Center Paris, April 17, 18 2012



# Example of airco. fan vibration

Plots from the “Line monitor”

GW signal




# Transient noise: aircrafts



- Vibration noise induced by sound pressure waves, couples as diffused light (particularly critical during VSR3 science run)

dataDisplay v9r12p7 : started by virgorun on Aug 19 2010 10:04:23 UTC

V1:Em\_ACBDWE01\_FFTTIME



V1:outlierMoni\_Pr\_B1\_ACp\_whitened\_FFTTIME



966244975.00 : Aug 19 2010 09:22:39 UTC dt:4.10s nAv:2


966244975.00 : Aug 19 2010 09:22:39 UTC dt:4.10s nAv:2

966244975.0000 : Aug 19 2010 09:22:40 UTC

# Seismic noise polluting the Virgo detection bandwidth

- 10-1000 Hz coherent seismic noise projected to Virgo sensitivity
- Sources are close to ITF, mainly pumps, cooling fans, HVAC...

(Have plans to drastically reduce this noise in Advanced Virgo, reducing back scattered light, using in vacuum and suspended optical benches, mitigating sources)





- And what about  
low frequency ( $<1\text{Hz}$ ) seismic noise?

# Low frequency seismic noise?

## It is also important to us!



- Back-scattered light coupling is non-linear, and can up-convert low frequency seismic vibrations into the Virgo detection bandwidth

**Example, up-conversion of Sea microseism:**

$v_{sc}(t)$  = scatterer velocity

$f_{fringe}(t)$  = frequency of GW noise

$$f_{fringe}(t) = \left| 2 \frac{v_{sc}(t)}{\lambda} \right|$$




# Microseismic noise “arches”

- These events are identified and “FLAGGED” as “NOISY DATA” by looking at ground seism velocity measured by seismometers (or SA LvdT sensors)
- These are excluded from GW search analyses

J. Aasi et al. *Virgo data characterization and impact on gravitational wave searches*,  
[arXiv:1203.5613v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1203.5613v1) 2012

**Scattered-light glitches**





- Short tour of studies on seismic sources near to EGO-Virgo site >>>>




# Sources: 0.1-1Hz, Sea microseism

- Most intense and persistent peak at 0.3-0.4Hz, seasonally (after large swells) it moves to 0.1-0.2 or 0.8Hz.
- Peak amplitude varies from  $0.5\mu\text{m}$  to  $20\mu\text{m}$
- Seismic array study, measured wave velocity and propagation direction:  
plane wave field from West, 700 m/s or 1600 m/s (E. Marchetti, M. Mazzoni, Acernese F et al. Class. Quantum Grav. 21 S433 (2004))






# Low frequency seism evolution during a sea swell




# Sources: 1-10Hz bridges oscillations

Seismometer underneath bridge:




# Wind turbines

- 1.7Hz seismic vibration from a wind farm 6km distant







# Study of wind turbines signal



**By “Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia” and EGO,**  
( G.Saccorotti et al. *BSSA*, April 2011; v. 101; no. 2; p. 568-578)

- 14 stations, with Lennartz LE3D-5s (0.2-40Hz) or CMG-40 (0.03-50Hz) seismometers, or EpiSensor FBA ES-T (0.1-100Hz)
- Some kept fixed, other arranged in temporary array configurations
- Wind speed recording: atop Virgo control building, 10m height

# Seism propagation model




SUM of two components:


- (1) **DIRECT SURFACE WAVE** in the shallowest layer characterized by slow velocity and large absorption (velocity  $v=200\text{m/s}$ , and quality factor  $Q=20$ : MEASURED in this study).
- (2) **REFRACTED BODY WAVE**, travelling through the intermediate layer and continuously refracted by the 800m deep carbonate layer interface (velocity and Q factors from literature and data fit).
- Simplifying assumptions: energy is equally parted in direct and refracted waves, velocity structure of soil is laterally homogeneous, negligible local amplification effects.

# Studies on the composition of the near subsurface of VIRGO

From the gravimetric study:




- **Drilling soil samples along two arms (A.Paoli)**



# Studies on the composition of the near subsurface of VIRGO

- **Microgravity vertical gradient measurement in the site of VIRGO interferometric antenna (Pisa plain, Italy. P.Stefanelli (1), C.Carmisciano (1), F.Tontini (1), L.Cocchi (1), N.Beverini (2), F.Fidecaro (2) and D.Embriaco (1). ANNALS OF GEOPHYSICS, VOL. 51, N. 5/6, October/December 2008**






# Virgo site seism

Central Building floor, Guralp 40T

SHADOW = spectral noise variation density based on 1-year data




SOLID BLACK = median

PURPLE = 99% of time seism is below this curve, RED = Peterson's Low High Noise models






- our Commercial sensors “versus” Super-Attenuator sensors

# Quiet night




# Some wind (few km/h)






# Stronger wind (>15km/h)





# and one Earthquake (6.2 Japan)



# Same Earthquake, time

RED = WI super-attenuator top stage “x” and “z” sensors  
BLUE= Guralp 40T sensor.





# Same Earthquake, time zoom-in

RED = WI super-attenuator top stage “x” and “z” sensors


BLUE= Guralp 40T sensor (integrated to go from vel  $\rightarrow$  displacement)

No compensation for *sensors frequency response* applied.







# Same Earthquake, spectrum



RED = Super-Attenuator top stage "x" and "z" sensors (WI)  
BLACK= Guralp 40T sensor.







Just simple time integration applied to Guralp (vel -> displ).



- Similar response between 0.1 and 2 Hz
- Around 0.1 Hz difference is presumably due to SA control loop which here is NOT compensated.
- Below  $\approx 0.03$  Hz, Guralp is noisy
- Above 3 Hz, SA sensor is noisy

# Episensor vs Guralp40T



# Conclusions

- Commercial sensors monitor Virgo Buildings floor.
- Their major scope and use has been to correlate to GW signal for noise hunting (above  $\approx 1$  Hz).
- These sensors can be trusted to measure real seism down to  $\approx 0.1$ Hz, also in the quietest noise conditions .
- Below 0.1Hz, teleseisms of magnitude  $\geq 6$  are sensed as well.
- In this range of frequency and intensity, Virgo commercial sensors can be used together with SA sensor.