The Cosmic Microwave Background and Dark Matter

Constantinos Skordis (Nottingham) Itzykson meeting, Saclay, 19 June 2012

(Cold) Dark Matter as a model

Dark Matter: Particle (microphysics) Dust fluid (macrophysics)

Motivation

- Best evidence for CDM comes from CMB
	- CMB described by linear theory
	- No messy astrophysics
	- Very accurate observations
- Effects of CDM on CMB
	- Pedagogical
	- Can help to test properties of dark matter
	- Can help to test GR
- Does a "dust fluid" imply particle dark matter?
- Can a modification of gravity produce similar effects

Why CMB indicates CDM

CMB angular power spectrum

Fluctuations in the Universe

Metric fluctuations $ds^2 = a^2 \left[-(1 + 2\Psi) d\tau^2 + (1 - 2\Phi) d\vec{x} \cdot d\vec{x} \right]$

 Two "Newtonian" potentials: $\qquad \Phi(\tau, k) \quad \Psi(\tau, k) \quad$ (in Fourier space)

Fluids:

CMB description

(ignoring polarization effects)

CMB Temperature contrast: Θ(τ*,* \overline{k} $k, \hat{p})$

Expand in multipole moments:

$$
\Theta(\tau, k, \mu) = \sum_{\ell} (2\ell + 1) \Theta_{\ell}(\tau, k) P_{\ell}(\mu)
$$

Angular Power

\n
$$
C_{\ell} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int dk k^2 P_0(k) |\Theta_{\ell}(\tau_0, k)|^2
$$

Tight-coupling and Free-streaming

Wednesday, 27 June 2012

Solving the Boltzmann equation

(Hu & Sugiyama 1996)

Tight-coupling: τ *<* τ[∗]

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\ddot{\Theta}_0 + \frac{R}{1+R}\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\Theta}_0 + k^2 c_s^2 \Theta_0 = -\frac{k^2}{3}\Psi + \ddot{\Phi} + \frac{R}{1+R}\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\Phi} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$
\nDamped harmonic oscillator

\n
$$
\Theta_0(\tau, k)
$$

$$
R=\tfrac{3\rho_b}{4\rho_\gamma}\quad \text{:} \textsf{Baryon-photon ratio} \qquad \quad c_s^2=\tfrac{1}{3(1+R)}\quad \text{:} \textsf{Baryon-photon fluid sound speed}
$$

 $\dot{\Theta} + ik\mu\Theta - \dot{\Phi} + ik\mu\Psi = 0$ $\tau > \tau_*$ Free-streaming:

Goodness of the approximation

Silk damping

Acoustic peaks

(Hu & Sugiyama 1996)

Wednesday, 27 June 2012

The baryon drag

(Hu & Sugiyama 1996)

CMB for Baryon-only Universe

Why aren't peak heights alternating?

Potential evolution

 \textbf{R} **adiation era** $\ddot{\Phi} + 4\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\Phi} + \frac{k^2}{3}\Phi = 0$ $\Rightarrow \Phi = \frac{\frac{\sin(k\tau/\sqrt{3})}{k\tau} - \cos(k\tau/\sqrt{3})}{k^2\tau^2}$ oscillatory decay $k²$ $\ddot{\Phi} + 4\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\Phi} + \frac{k^2}{3}\Phi = 0$ $\Rightarrow \Phi = \frac{\frac{\sin(k\tau/\sqrt{3})}{k\tau} - \cos(k\tau/\sqrt{3})}{k^2\tau^2}$ $\dot{\Phi}+$ $\Phi=0$ 3 \dot{a} Matter era $\ddot{\Phi} + 3$ $\Phi = 0 \Rightarrow \Phi = const$ *a* 0.8 no CDM with CDM at LSS 0.6 total 0.5 0.5 photon contr. Φ 0.4 CDM contr. with CDM 0.2 Ω baryon contr. eq $\overline{0}$ $\overline{1.5}$ 0.5 Ω 2 $\overline{0.5}$ 0.1 0.05 0.15 0.2 Ω $\overline{1}$ 1.5 Ω 0.25 \overline{c} τ*/*τ[∗] *k* τ*/*τ[∗] no CDM $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.22$ $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.02$ $\Omega_c h^2 = 0.2$

Potential decay

Acoustic driving

(Hu & Sugiyama 1996)

 $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.02$

 $\Omega_c h^2 = 0.2$

due to potential evolution during tight-coupling

800

1000

1st

LSS

200

2nd

Φ

 0.5

 $\boldsymbol{0}$

 -0.5

 -1

 $\overline{0}$

 $\Theta + \Psi$

400

600

$$
\ddot{\Theta}_0 + \frac{R}{1+R} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\Theta}_0 + k^2 c_s^2 \Theta_0 = -\frac{k^2}{3} \Psi + \ddot{\Phi} + \frac{R}{1+R} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\Phi}
$$

ISW effect

 $\Theta_\ell^{ISW}(\tau_0,k) = \int^{\tau_0}$ τ∗ $d\tau j_{\ell} [k(\tau_0-\tau)]\left(\dot{\Phi}+\dot{\Psi}\right)$ Due to potential evolution during free-streaming

•this is early ISW •occurs just after recombination •nothing to do with dark energy

CDM effect on CMB

- •Baryons raise odd peaks relative to even peaks
- •Increasing CDM density, moves equality forward in time
- •Potentials decay during radiation era, constant in matter era
- •Potential decay during tight-coupling (before recombination) drives the anisotropies
- •Potential decay after recombination boosts anisotropies due to the Integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect

- •Properties of dark matter: Generalized Dark Matter (W. Hu 1998)
- •Assume CDM but test GR
- •Try to replace CDM with a modification of GR

Generalized Dark Matter

(Hu 1998)

- Background equation of state $w \neq 0$, may also be time-varying
- Non-adiabatic speed of sound: $\delta P = c_s^2 \delta \rho + 3$ \dot{a} *a* $(c_s^2 - c_a^2)(\rho + P)\theta$ $c_s \neq c_a$
- Shear viscosity $c_{vis}\;$ obtained from effective shear σ (modelled after neutrinos)

Li et al. 2008:

- •assume background CDM, but general pressure perturbation and shear.
- •parameterize growing mode of CDM
- •certain engineered δP and σ after LSS give identical spectra to standard Λ CDM

Alternative to ΛCDM?

Modified Gravity and Cosmology¹

Timothy Clifton^a, Pedro G. Ferreira^a, Antonio Padilla^b, Constantinos Skordis^b

^a Department of Astrophysics, University of Oxford, UK. ^b School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, UK.

Abstract

In this review we present a thoroughly comprehensive survey of recent work on modified theories of gravity and their cosmological consequences. Amongst other things, we cover General Relativity, Scalar-Tensor, Einstein-Aether, and Bimetric theories, as well as TeVeS, $f(R)$, general higher-order theories, Hořava-Lifschitz gravity, Galileons, Ghost Condensates, and models of extra dimensions including Kaluza-Klein, Randall-Sundrum, DGP, and higher co-dimension braneworlds. We also review attempts to construct a Parameterised Post-Friedmannian formalism, that can be used to constrain deviations from General Relativity in cosmology, and that is suitable for comparison with data on the largest scales. These subjects have been intensively studied over the past decade, largely motivated by rapid progress in the field of observational cosmology that now allows, for the first time, precision tests of fundamental physics on the scale of the observable Universe. The purpose of this review is to provide a reference tool for researchers and students in cosmology and gravitational physics, as well as a self-contained, comprehensive and up-to-date introduction to the subject as a whole.

Keywords: General Relativity, Gravitational Physics, Cosmology, Modified Gravity

Phys. Rep. 513, 1 (2012)

Modified gravity and the CMB

- Equality: New dof may change the background
- •Potential evolution depends on Modified Einstein equations.
- Any potential decay during tight-coupling (before recombination) drives the anisotropies
- •Any potential decay after recombination boosts anisotropies due to the Integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect

(Banados 2009) Eddington-Born-Infeld theory

 $Gr[q^{-1}g] \longrightarrow \overline{GR}$

two metrics *q* two sectors of GR

Describes a massless and a massive graviton *m* ∼ *H*₀ ∼ 10⁻³³*eV*

g

matter

Perturbations: DM with stress (Bañados, Ferreira, C.S. 2009)

Wednesday, 27 June 2012

Posing as Λ CDM

(Bañados, Ferreira, C.S. 2009)

- •Instability due to Boulware-Deser ghost
- Can be curbed by re-introducing bare Λ

but ghost remains theory is not good

Parameterizing deviations from GR

- Inspired by PPN
- Add terms to Einstein equations involving new dof and potentials

C.S. (2009) T. Baker, P. Ferreira, C.S. , J. Zuntz(2011) (similar to Batteye & Pearson 2012)

we can always re-shufle any set of field equations to look like :

$$
\delta G_{\mu\nu}=8\pi G \delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(known)}+\delta U_{\mu\nu}
$$

Parameterize $\delta U_{\mu\nu}$ by requiring diffeo inv. and up-to two time derivatives

Metric Gl variables:

\n
$$
\hat{\Phi} \text{ and } \hat{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{k} \left(\hat{\Phi} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \hat{\Psi} \right) \text{ contain only 1 time derivative}
$$
\nNew dof:

\n
$$
\hat{\chi} \text{ and } \hat{\chi} \text{ }
$$

• Bianchi identity determines field equations for new dof

• Solve to get CMB spectrum $+ P(k)$

Parameterization

(0,0)
$$
U_{\Delta} = k^2 \left[A_0 \hat{\Phi} + F_0 \hat{\Gamma} + \alpha_0 \hat{\chi} + \frac{1}{k} \alpha_1 \dot{\hat{\chi}} + \frac{k M_{\Delta} V}{k M_{\Delta} V} \right]
$$
\n(0,1)
$$
U_{\Theta} = k \left[B_0 \hat{\Phi} + I_0 \hat{\Gamma} + \beta_0 \hat{\chi} + \frac{1}{k} \beta_1 \dot{\hat{\chi}} + \frac{k M_{\Theta} V}{k M_{\Theta} V} \right]
$$
\ntraced (i,i)
$$
U_P = k^2 C_0 \hat{\Phi} + k C_1 \dot{\hat{\Phi}} + k^2 J_0 \hat{\Gamma} + k J_1 \dot{\hat{\Gamma}} + k^2 \gamma_0 \hat{\chi} + k \gamma_1 \dot{\hat{\chi}} + \gamma_2 \ddot{\hat{\chi}} + \frac{k^3 M_P V}{k^3 M_P V}
$$
\ntracles (i,j)
$$
U_{\Sigma} = D_0 \hat{\Phi} + \frac{1}{k} D_1 \dot{\hat{\Phi}} + K_0 \hat{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{k} K_1 \dot{\hat{\Gamma}} + \epsilon_0 \hat{\chi} + \frac{1}{k} \epsilon_1 \dot{\hat{\chi}} + \frac{1}{k^2} \epsilon_2 \dot{\hat{\chi}}
$$

Toy case: no extra fields

Assume background unchanged

(0,0)
$$
U_{\Delta} = k^2 \left[A_0 \hat{\Phi} + F_0 \hat{\Gamma} + \alpha_0 \hat{\chi} + \frac{1}{k} \alpha_1 \hat{\chi} + k M_{\Delta} V \right]
$$

(0,i)
$$
U_{\Theta} = k \left[B_0 \hat{\Phi} + F_0 \hat{\Gamma} + \beta_0 \hat{\chi} + \frac{1}{k} \beta \hat{\chi} + k M_{\Theta} V \right]
$$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} U_P&=&k^2C_0\hat{\Phi}+kC_1\dot{\hat{\Phi}}+k^2\sqrt{\hat{\Gamma}}+k\sqrt{\hat{\Gamma}}+k^2\gamma\sqrt{\hat{\chi}}+k\gamma\sqrt{\hat{\chi}}+k^3M_PV\\ U_\Sigma&=&D_0\hat{\Phi}+\frac{1}{k}D_1\dot{\hat{\Phi}}+K_0\hat{\Gamma}+\frac{1}{k}K_1\hat{\Gamma}+\gamma\hat{\chi}+\frac{1}{k^2}\gamma\hat{\chi}+\frac{1}{k^2}\gamma\hat{\chi}\end{array}$ traced (i,i) traceless (i,j)

Further condition to avoid instability: $D_1 + K_0 = 0$

$$
A_0 = 2\mathcal{H}_k^2 P_0
$$

\n
$$
B_0 = -\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{H}_k (P_1 + P_0)
$$

\n
$$
C_0 = -\frac{2\mathcal{H}_k}{k} \dot{P}_0 + \frac{2}{3} P_1 - 2 \left[\frac{2}{k} \dot{\mathcal{H}}_k + \mathcal{H}_k^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right] P_0
$$

\n
$$
C_1 = -2\mathcal{H}_k P_0 + \frac{3a^2 (X + Y)}{k \mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}_k (-2P_0 + 9\Omega_X)
$$

\n
$$
D_0 = \frac{\mathcal{H}_k}{k} \dot{P}_1 + \left(\frac{1}{k} \dot{\mathcal{H}}_k + 2\mathcal{H}_k^2 + \frac{1}{3} \right) P_1 - \left[\frac{1}{k} \dot{\mathcal{H}}_k - \mathcal{H}_k^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right] P_0
$$

\n
$$
D_1 = \mathcal{H}_k P_1
$$

\n
$$
K_0 = \frac{3a^2 (X + Y)}{2k \mathcal{H}} = \frac{9}{2} \mathcal{H}_k \Omega_X
$$

One function of time remains P_0

Potential engineering

Potentials constant during matter era: $P_0 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(1-3\Omega_X)}{1-\Omega_Y} \Omega_X$

Two parameters: $P_0 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(1 - 3\Omega_X)}{1 - \Omega_X} \Omega_X + 3\alpha_{(i)} \Omega_{(i)} + 3\beta \Omega_r \Omega_X$

departures from constancy during matter era radiation era modification

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\Phi & = & -\frac{8\pi Ga^2}{2k^2\left(1+\frac{9}{2}\mathcal{H}_k^2\Omega_X\right)}\left[\rho\delta + 3\mathcal{H}(1+w)\theta\right] \\
\dot{\Phi} & = & 4\pi Ga^2\rho(1+w)\theta + \frac{k}{2}B_0\Phi - \mathcal{H}\Psi \\
\Psi & = & \frac{2k^2}{2k^2 + 9\mathcal{H}^2\Omega_X}\left\{-8\pi Ga^2(\rho+P)\Sigma + \left[1-D_0\right]\Phi\right\}\n\end{array}
$$

- •Initial conditions different from LCDM
- Work in progress...

Conclusion

- •3rd peak in CMB spectrum appears raised: indication for CDM
- •Why?
	- Potentials decay during radiation domination
	- Potentials stay constant during matter domination
	- Potential decay enhances anisotropies through acoustic driving (tight-coupling) and ISW effect (free-streaming)
	- CDM puts CMB into matter era least potential decay
	- -suppresses 1st and 2nd peak so that 3rd peak "appears" raised.
	- •Can be used to test non-standard properties of CDM and test GR
	- •Linear "Effective CDM" equations do not imply particle DM.
	- •Difficult to have radical departures from CDM (anything non-CDM leads to potential evolution), but need to be quantified.