Ghost-free Interacting Spin-2's

Kurt Hinterbichler (University of Pennsylvania)

Based on work with Rachel Rosen arXiv:1203.5783

17th Itzykson Meeting, IPhT CEA-Saclay, June 18, 2012

The cosmological constant problem

One motivation: the cosmological constant problem:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{M_P^2}T_{\mu\nu} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Lambda}{M_P^2} \sim 10^{-122}$$
Really small

Two aspects to the problem:

- existence of the small number (naturalness)
- stability under quantum corrections (technical naturalness)

Two roads to take:

- Take GR and the CC seriously (\rightarrow anthropics, landscape)
- Modify things

Conservative modification of gravity

- Lorentz-Invariance \rightarrow degrees of freedom are classified by mass and spin/helicity

• Should be an infrared modification, to say something about the cosmological constant without messing up solar system tests of gravity

• GR is the unique theory of an interacting massless helicity-2 at low energies \rightarrow to modify gravity is to change the degrees of freedom

First thought: make the graviton massive

$$V(r) \sim \frac{M}{M_P^2} \frac{1}{r} e^{-mr}, \quad m \sim H$$

Extra DOF: 5 massive spin states as opposed to 2 helicity states

Other motivations

1) It is an interesting field theoretic question: is it possible to have a consistent theory of an interacting massive spin-2 particle (or multiple spin 2's)?

2) It shows us new mechanisms: massive gravity is a deformation of GR \rightarrow pathologies should go away as mass term goes to zero \rightarrow new mechanisms for curing pathologies

Massive gravity KH Massive gravity review: arXiv:1105.3735

$$\frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \, \left[(\sqrt{-g}R) - \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{4} m^2 V(g,h) \right], \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

 $V(g,h) = V_2(g,h) + V_3(g,h) + V_4(g,h) + V_5(g,h) + \cdots,$

 $V_{2}(g,h) = \langle h^{2} \rangle - \langle h \rangle^{2},$ $V_{3}(g,h) = +c_{1} \langle h^{3} \rangle + c_{2} \langle h^{2} \rangle \langle h \rangle + c_{3} \langle h \rangle^{3},$ $V_{4}(g,h) = +d_{1} \langle h^{4} \rangle + d_{2} \langle h^{3} \rangle \langle h \rangle + d_{3} \langle h^{2} \rangle^{2} + d_{4} \langle h^{2} \rangle \langle h \rangle^{2} + d_{5} \langle h \rangle^{4},$ $V_{5}(g,h) = +f_{1} \langle h^{5} \rangle + f_{2} \langle h^{4} \rangle \langle h \rangle + f_{3} \langle h^{3} \rangle \langle h \rangle^{2} + f_{4} \langle h^{3} \rangle \langle h^{2} \rangle + f_{5} \langle h^{2} \rangle^{2} \langle h \rangle + f_{6} \langle h^{2} \rangle \langle h \rangle^{3} + f_{7} \langle h \rangle^{5},$: :

The Boulware-Deser ghost



Phase space DOF = 6 spatial metric + 6 canonical momentum - 0 constraints = $12 \rightarrow 6$ real space DOF

Extra non-linear D.O.F. is the <u>Boulware-Deser ghost</u>

Hamiltonian is unbounded.

The effective field theory: longitudinal mode

After extracting longitudinal mode, $h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\phi + \cdots$ Creminelli, Nicolis, Papucci, Trincherini (2005) The smallest scale is carried by a cubic scalar interaction:

$$-3(\partial\hat{\phi})^{2} + \frac{2}{\Lambda_{5}^{5}} \left[(\Box\hat{\phi})^{3} - (\Box\hat{\phi})(\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\hat{\phi})^{2} \right] + \frac{1}{M_{P}}\hat{\phi}T$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\Lambda_{5} \equiv (M_{P}m^{4})^{1/5} \text{ This is the (UV) strong coupling scale of the theory}$$

Higher-derivative interaction \rightarrow fourth order equations \rightarrow extra ghost degree of freedom Expand around the spherical background: $\phi = \Phi(r) + \varphi$

$$\sim -(\partial \varphi)^2 + \frac{(\partial^2 \Phi)}{\Lambda_5^5} (\partial^2 \varphi)^2 + \text{interactions}$$

$$m_{\rm ghost}^2(r) \sim \frac{\Lambda_5^5}{\partial^2 \Phi(r)}$$

Tuning interactions to raise the cutoff (dRGT theory)

$$\begin{split} V_2(g,h) &= \langle h^2 \rangle - \langle h \rangle^2, \\ V_3(g,h) &= +c_1 \langle h^3 \rangle + c_2 \langle h^2 \rangle \langle h \rangle + c_3 \langle h \rangle^3, \\ V_4(g,h) &= +d_1 \langle h^4 \rangle + d_2 \langle h^3 \rangle \langle h \rangle + d_3 \langle h^2 \rangle^2 + d_4 \langle h^2 \rangle \langle h \rangle^2 + d_5 \langle h \rangle^4, \\ V_5(g,h) &= +f_1 \langle h^5 \rangle + f_2 \langle h^4 \rangle \langle h \rangle + f_3 \langle h^3 \rangle \langle h \rangle^2 + f_4 \langle h^3 \rangle \langle h^2 \rangle + f_5 \langle h^2 \rangle^2 \langle h \rangle \\ &+ f_6 \langle h^2 \rangle \langle h \rangle^3 + f_7 \langle h \rangle^5, \end{split}$$

After extracting longitudinal mode, $h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\phi + \cdots$ the bad terms, those with cutoffs $<\Lambda_3 \equiv (m^2 M_P)^{1/3}$ are the scalar self-interactions $(\partial^2 \phi)^n$

Can choose the interactions, order by order in h, so that the scalar self-interactions cancel. Arkani-Hamed, Georgi and Schwartz (2003)

:

Creminelli, Nicolis, Papucci, Trincherini (2005)

Once this is done, the cutoff of the theory will be $\Lambda_3 = (m^2 M_P)^{1/3}$

Galileons

Diagonalize:
$$\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\phi}\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2(6c_3-1)}{\Lambda_2^3}\partial_{\mu}\hat{\phi}\partial_{\nu}\hat{\phi}$$

de Rham, Gabadadze (2010)

$$\frac{1}{2}\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}
-3(\partial\hat{\phi})^{2} + \frac{6(6c_{3}-1)}{\Lambda_{3}^{3}}(\partial\hat{\phi})^{2}\Box\hat{\phi} - 4\frac{(6c_{3}-1)^{2}-4(8d_{5}+c_{3})}{\Lambda_{3}^{6}}(\partial\hat{\phi})^{2}\left([\hat{\Pi}]^{2}-[\hat{\Pi}^{2}]\right)
-\frac{40(6c_{3}-1)(8d_{5}+c_{3})}{\Lambda_{3}^{9}}(\partial\hat{\phi})^{2}\left([\hat{\Pi}]^{3}-3[\hat{\Pi}^{2}][\hat{\Pi}]+2[\hat{\Pi}^{3}]\right)$$

Longitudinal mode is described by Galileon interactions:

Nicolis, Rattazzi, Trincherini (2008)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{2} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^{2} ,\\ \mathcal{L}_{3} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^{2} [\Pi] ,\\ \mathcal{L}_{4} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^{2} \left([\Pi]^{2} - [\Pi^{2}] \right) ,\\ \mathcal{L}_{5} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^{2} \left([\Pi]^{3} - 3 [\Pi] [\Pi^{2}] + 2 [\Pi^{3}] \right) \end{split}$$

- Equations of motion are second order (no ghost)
- Symmetry under shifts of the field and its derivative $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(x) + c + c_{\mu}x^{\mu}$
- Not renormalized at any loop (no quantum corrections in the decoupling limit)

Vainshtein Mechanism in dRGT theory

$$\mathcal{L} = -3(\partial\hat{\phi})^2 - \frac{1}{\Lambda_3^3}(\partial\hat{\phi})^2 \Box\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{M_4}\hat{\phi}T$$

Studied In DGP context by: Nicolis, Rattazzi (2004)

Solution around point source of mass M:

$$\hat{\phi}(r) \sim \begin{cases} \Lambda_3^3 r_V^{(3)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r}{r_V^{(3)}} \end{pmatrix}^{1/2} & r \ll r_V^{(3)}, \\ \Lambda_3^3 r_V^{(3)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r_V^{(3)}}{r} \end{pmatrix}^{1/2} & r \gg r_V^{(3)}. \end{cases}$$
 Vainshtein radius: $r_V^{(3)} \equiv \left(\frac{M}{M_{Pl}}\right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{\Lambda_3}$

5-th force on a test particle, relative to gravity:

$$\frac{F_{\phi}}{F_{\text{Newton}}} = \frac{\hat{\phi}'(r)/M_P}{M/(M_P^2 r^2)} = \begin{cases} \sim \left(\frac{r}{r_V^{(3)}}\right)^{3/2} & r \ll r_V^{(3)}, \\ \sim 1 & r \gg r_V^{(3)}. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\phi} &= \Phi + \varphi, \quad T = T_0 + \delta T \\ &- 3(\partial \varphi)^2 + \boxed{\frac{2}{\Lambda^3} \left(\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Phi - \eta_{\mu\nu} \Box \Phi \right)} \partial^\mu \varphi \partial^\nu \varphi - \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} (\partial \varphi)^2 \Box \varphi + \frac{1}{M_4} \varphi \delta T \\ &\sim \left(\frac{r_V^{(3)}}{r} \right)^{3/2} \end{split}$$

Kinetic terms are enhanced, which means that, after canonical normalization, the coupling to δT is suppressed. The non-linear coupling scale is also raised.

This is known as a <u>Screening mechanism</u>

Quantum corrections and the effective field theory

Non-renormalizable effective theory with a cutoff Λ . Must include all terms compatible with galilean symmetry, suppressed by powers of the cutoff

$$\mathcal{L} \sim (\partial \pi)^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{3n}} (\partial \pi)^2 (\partial \partial \pi)^n + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{m+3n-4}} \partial^m (\partial \partial \pi)^n$$

Galileon terms $\alpha_{cl} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\Lambda^3}$ Terms with at least two derivatives per field $\alpha_q \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\Lambda^2}$



"Good" massive gravity: The Λ_3 theory (dRGT gravity)



• Higher cutoff

• Ghost free in the decoupling limit

• Possesses a screening mechanism in the non-linear regime, which is under control quantum mechanically, and restores continuity with GR as m approaches 0.

"Good" massive gravity: The Λ_3 theory (dRGT gravity)

The theory with this choice can be re-summed

de Rham, Gabadadze, Tolley (2011)

$$\frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - m^2 \sum_{n=0}^4 \beta_n S_n \left(\sqrt{g^{-1} \eta} \right) \right]$$

$$S_0(M) = 1,$$

$$S_1(M) = [M],$$

$$S_2(M) = \frac{1}{2!} \left([M]^2 - [M^2] \right),$$

$$S_3(M) = \frac{1}{3!} \left([M]^3 - 3[M][M^2] + 2[M^3] \right),$$

$$S_4(M) = Det(M)$$

Symmetric Polynomials

$$S_1(M) = \frac{1}{3!} \left([M]^3 - 3[M][M^2] + 2[M^3] \right),$$

• Free of the Boulware-Deser ghost, to all orders in interactions and beyond the decoupling limit Hassan, Rosen (2011)

Vielbein formulation of ghost-free massive gravity

Or in terms of vierbeins $g_{\mu\nu} = e_{\mu}^{\ A} e_{\nu}^{\ B} \eta_{AB}$

KH, Rachel Rosen (2012)

$$\frac{M_P^{D-2}}{2} \int d^D x \ |e|R[e] - m^2 \sum_n a_n \int \epsilon_{A_1 \cdots A_D} e^{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{A_n} \wedge 1^{A_{n+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge 1^{A_n}$$

Ghost-free mass terms are simply all possible ways of wedging a vierbein and background vierbein:

$$\epsilon_{A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}A_{4}}e^{A_{1}} \wedge e^{A_{2}} \wedge e^{A_{3}} \wedge e^{A_{4}}$$

$$\epsilon_{A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}A_{4}}e^{A_{1}} \wedge e^{A_{2}} \wedge e^{A_{3}} \wedge 1^{A_{4}}$$

$$\epsilon_{A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}A_{4}}e^{A_{1}} \wedge e^{A_{2}} \wedge 1^{A_{3}} \wedge 1^{A_{4}}$$

$$\epsilon_{A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}A_{4}}e^{A_{1}} \wedge 1^{A_{2}} \wedge 1^{A_{3}} \wedge 1^{A_{4}}$$

$$\epsilon_{A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}A_{4}}1^{A_{1}} \wedge 1^{A_{2}} \wedge 1^{A_{3}} \wedge 1^{A_{4}}$$

Vielbein formulation of massive gravity

Vielbein formulation makes it easy to see that the theory is ghost free:

Parametrize vierbeins as an upper triangular vierbein times a boost

$$\hat{E}_{\mu}^{\ A} = \begin{pmatrix} N & N^{i}e_{i}^{\ a} \\ 0 & e_{i}^{\ a} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Lambda(p)_{\ B}^{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & p^{a} \\ p_{b} & \delta^{a}_{\ b} + \frac{1}{\gamma+1}p^{a}p_{b} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_{\mu}{}^{A} = \Lambda(p){}^{A}{}_{B}\hat{E}_{\mu}{}^{B} = \begin{pmatrix} N\gamma + N^{i}e_{i}{}^{a}p_{a} & Np^{a} + N^{i}e_{i}{}^{b}(\delta_{b}{}^{a} + \frac{1}{\gamma+1}p_{b}p^{a}) \\ e_{i}{}^{a}p_{a} & e_{i}{}^{b}(\delta_{b}{}^{a} + \frac{1}{\gamma+1}p_{b}p^{a}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Due to structure of epsilons in the wedge product, mass terms are manifestly linear in lapse and shift:

$$N\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{m}}(e,p) + N^{i}\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{m}}_{i}(e,p) + \mathcal{H}(e,p)$$

Ghost free bi-gravity

Hassan, Rosen (2011)



- Linear theory: massless graviton + massive graviton of mass m (= 7 DOF).
- One diff. invariance \rightarrow generically 12 4 = 8 DOF non-linearly
- Special constraint from absence of DB ghost \rightarrow 7 DOF non-linearly

Vierbein formulation:

$$\sim \sum_{n} a_n \epsilon_{A_1 \cdots A_D} e_{(1)}^{A_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{(1)}^{A_n} \wedge e_{(2)}^{A_{n+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{(2)}^{A_D}$$

Ghost free bi-gravity

Multi-metric theory graph: one massless graviton per connected component + tower of massive gravitons

KH, Rachel Rosen (2012)



Ghost-free deconstructed gravitational dimensions

Arkani-Hamed, Georgi and Schwartz (2003)



Ghost free multi-gravity

KH, Rachel Rosen (to appear soon)

Most general ghost-free potential interaction of multiple gravitons

$$\sim T^{I_1 I_2 \cdots I_D} \epsilon_{A_1 A_2 \cdots A_D} e^{A_1}_{(I_1)} \wedge^{A_2}_{(I_2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{A_D}_{(I_D)}$$

New ghost-free multi-metric interactions in 4-dimensions:

$$\epsilon_{A_1A_2\cdots A_D} e_{(1)}^{A_1} \wedge e_{(1)}^{A_2} \wedge e_{(2)}^{A_3} \wedge e_{(3)}^4$$

$$\epsilon_{A_1A_2\cdots A_D} e_{(1)}^{A_1} \wedge e_{(2)}^{A_2} \wedge e_{(2)}^{A_3} \wedge e_{(3)}^4$$

$$\epsilon_{A_1A_2\cdots A_D} e_{(1)}^{A_1} \wedge e_{(2)}^{A_2} \wedge e_{(3)}^{A_3} \wedge e_{(3)}^4$$

$$\epsilon_{A_1A_2\cdots A_D} e^{A_1}_{(1)} \wedge e^{A_2}_{(2)} \wedge e^{A_3}_{(3)} \wedge e^4_{(4)}$$



Interaction of longitudinal modes \rightarrow multi-galileon interactions

UV completion issues

Seeming low scale cutoff: $\Lambda_3 \sim (m^2 M_P)^{1/3}$

Classical duals can be found: linear *inside* the Vainshtein radius, non-linear outside Grregory Gabadadze, KH, David Pirtskhalava arXiv:1202.6364

Superluminality:

Cubic galileon: Speed of radial perturbations around a spherical solution $\pi = \pi_0(r) + \varphi$



Adams, Arkani-Hamed, Dubovsky, Nicolis Rattazzi (2006)

UV completion not a relativistic theory: $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = 0$, $(x - y)^2$ spacelike

Summary and open issues

• Λ_3 massive gravity and its extensions are the best behaved IR modification of gravity proposed so far, with potential to address the CC naturalness problem

- \sim 40 year old problem of the Boulware-Deser ghost has been solved
- Generic appearance of galileons, scalar theories with interesting and promising properties
- Multi-metric theories provide more parameter space in which to address superluminality/strong coupling. More room for model building.
- Still the issue of UV completion/duality