# Search for Charged Lepton Flavor Violation with Muons at J-PARC

Hajime NISHIGUCHI, KEK hajime.nishiguchi@kek.jp 3

Seminarié - 08.Dec.2011 - LPNHE, Université et Marie Curie - Paris

### CONTENTS

- Flavour Physics
- Charged LFV in Muon Decay
- Are You Ready ?
- R & D
- Current Status

#### - Flavour Physics -

in particular, charged lepton flavour violation (so called "CLFV")





- Quark Sector
  - Mixed by CKM mechanism
  - Experimentally Verified
    - ➡ by B-factories



#### Quark Sector

- Mixed by CKM mechanism
- Experimentally Verified
  - ➡ by B-factories

- neutral Lepton Sector
  - Neutrino Oscillation
  - Experimentally Verified
    - ➡ by SK, KamLAND, T2K etc.



Quark Sector

- Mixed by CKM mechanism
- Experimentally Verified
  - ➡ by B-factories

#### Sector Sector

- never observed yet !!
- source from beyond SM ??

#### neutral Lepton Sector

- Neutrino Oscillation
- Experimentally Verified
  - ➡ by SK, KamLAND, T2K etc.

### Why charged LFV has never been observed ?



### Why charged LFV is so Attractive ?

- \* Only charged LFV has never been observed.
- Neutrino Oscillation is possible by "SM + v mass"
- \* Quark Mixing is generally contaminated by SM



- \* Experimental Upper Limit is already sensitive to predicted region.
- \* Search for Muon Rare Decay is the most suitable.
  - \* Once we have a powerful proton driver, muon can be generated very easily.

### - Charged LFV in Muon Decay -

in particular, rare decay search for muon to electron conversion (so called "µ-e conversion")

### **Two Muon LFV Processes**

### **Two Muon LFV Processes**









## History of Muon LFV Experiments



- \* Long Tradition on the  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma / \mu N \rightarrow eN$ Search Experiment
- Started right after the muon discovery
- µ→eγ has already entered the predicted region !!
- ► µN→eN is shitting at just in front of the predicted region !!
- NOW VERY VERY ATTRACTIVE !!!!!

Hajime NISHIGUCHI (*KEK*) "Search for Charged Lepton Flavour Violation with Muons at J-PARC"

## History of Muon LFV Experiments



- \* Long Tradition on the  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma / \mu N \rightarrow eN$ Search Experiment
- Started right after the muon discovery
- $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  has already entered the predicted region !!
- \* µN→eN is shitting at just in front of the predicted region !!
- NOW VERY VERY ATTRACTIVE !!!!!

## What is a Muon to Electron Conversion?

\* 1S state in a muonic atom



\* If μ-e Conversion is Occurred ...



Branching Ratio is Determined as

$$\mathcal{B}(\mu^- N \to e^- N) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^- N \to e^- N)}{\Gamma(\mu^- N \to \nu N')}$$

### **Experimental Signature**

$$\mu^- + (A,Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^- + (A,Z)$$

\* Signal

Single Monochromatic Electron

 $m_{\mu} - B_{\mu} \sim 105 \mathrm{MeV}$ 

- Coherent Process
  - N<sub>initial</sub> and N<sub>final</sub> is Same
    - $\propto Z^5$

#### Backgrounds

- \* Muon Decay in Orbit (DIO)
  - \* Endpoint comes to the signal region  $\propto \left(\Delta E
    ight)^5$
- \* <u>Radiative Muon Capture</u>
- \* <u>Radiative Pion Capture</u>
  - Pulsed Beam Required
  - Wait until Pions Decay
- \* Electrons from muon DIO
- \* Cosmic Rays
- \* etc.

#### $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma VS. \mu N \rightarrow eN (Physics point of view)$

\* Sensitivity for "**photonic**" and "**non-photonic**" processes is different.



#### $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma VS. \ \mu N \rightarrow eN \ (Experimental point of view)$

	μ→eγ	μN→eN	
Dominant B.G.	Accidental	Beam related	
Challenge	<b>Detector Performance</b>	Beam Quality	
Suitable Muon Source	DC Muon Beam	Pulsed Muon Beam	
<b>Beam Intensity</b>	(almost) Limited	No Limitation	

- \*  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  : accidental B.G.  $\propto$  (rate)<sup>2</sup>
  - \* MEG (and its upgrade) may be the final experiment
- discovery

measurement

- \*  $\mu N \rightarrow eN$  : Required Beam is recently/finally achievable
  - Once we get a required beam, mu-e conversion might be a next step.

### Present Best Limit on $\mathcal{B}(\mu N \rightarrow eN)$

- \* *SINDRUM-II* (present record holder)
  - \* 1989-1993 @ PSI
  - Continuous Beam with Beam Veto Counters





 $\mathcal{B}(\mu Ti \rightarrow e^{-}Ti) < 6.1 \times 10^{-13}$ (1993)  $\mathcal{B}(\mu Au \rightarrow e^{-}Au) < 7 \times 10^{-13}$ (2000)

(!!!) Significant Backgrounds Rate Limited

\* **COMET** : The Next Generation Experiment

\* Seeking to Improve Sensitivity by a factor of <u>10 000</u>

### - Are You Ready ? -

YES ! J-PARC is **Ready** to start the R&D for "µ-e conversion" (so called "COMET Experiment")

#### Potential Improvements for Next Generation µ-e Conversion

#### **High Intensity Muon**

Pion capture and muon transport by superconducting solenoids would provide high beam intensity.

#### **Pulsed Muon Source**

Beam pulsing is very important in order to suppress prompt BG. Pulse Separation should be ~ 1µsec.

#### **Special Muon Transport**

A muon beam line should be sufficient long to eliminate pions in a muon beam, and dedicated to reject DIO electrons.

#### **High Resolution Detectors**

Endpoint of spectrum of DIO electron comes to the signal region. Good  $\sigma_E$  is mandatory.

Hajime NISHIGUCHI (KEK)"Search for Charged Lepton Flavour Violation with Muons at J-PARC"08.Dec.2011 at LPNHE, Paris

## The COMET Experiment





R&D-1: High Intensity Muon Beam R&D-2: Pulsed Muon Source R&D-3: Special Muon Transport R&D-4: Good Resolution Detectors

## R&D-1: High Intensity Muon Beam

#### **High Intensity Muon**

Pion capture and muon transport by superconducting solenoids would provide high beam intensity.

#### **Pulsed Muon Source**

Beam pulsing is very important in order to suppress prompt BG. Pulse Separation should be ~ 1µsec.

#### **Special Muon Transport**

A muon beam line should be sufficient long to eliminate pions in a muon beam, and dedicated to reject DIO electrons.

#### **High Resolution Detectors**

Endpoint of spectrum of DIO electron comes to the signal region. Good  $\sigma_E$  is mandatory.

- \* J-PARC : Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex
- \* Joint project between KEK and JAEA
- \* New and exciting accelerator research facility, using MW-class high power proton beams at both 3 GeV and 30 GeV (currently)
- Various secondary particle beams
  - \* n, μ, K, v, etc. produced in proton-nucleus reactions
- \* Three major scientific goals using these secondary beams
  - \* Particle and Nuclear Physics
  - Material and Life Sciences
  - \* R&D for nuclear transmutation (in phase-2)
- \* The anticipated goal is 1 MW





08.Dec.2011 at LPNHE, Paris



Hajime NISHIGUCHI (KEK)"Search for Charged Lepton Flavour Violation with Muons at J-PARC"08.Dec.2011 at LPNHE, Paris

### Pion Capture Solenoid System



- Large muon yield by Large Solid Angle
  - Powerful Solenoid
  - Surround p target

$$P_T(\text{GeV}/c) = 0.3 \times B(\text{T}) \times \left[\frac{R(m)}{2}\right]$$

B=5T, R=0.2m  $\rightarrow P_T$ =150MeV/c

- Super-conducting solenoidal magnet
  - 15cm radium bore
  - \* 5T
  - \* 30 cm thick W shield.
- Issue : Heat Load

22

### MuSIC Project at Osaka University



## Demonstration of Powerful Pion Capture



- 3 commissioning runs in 2010/11 with reduced beam I (6pA @ 2.4mW)
- Per Watt of proton power, MuSIC is producing 3000x more muon's / sec than PSI



Hajime NISHIGUCHI (KEK)"Search for Charged Lepton Flavour Violation with Muons at J-PARC"08

24

### R&D-2: Pulsed Muon Source

#### **High Intensity Muon**

Pion capture and muon transport by superconducting solenoids would provide high beam intensity.

#### **Pulsed Muon Source**

Beam pulsing is very important in order to suppress prompt BG. Pulse Separation should be ~ 1µsec.

#### **Special Muon Transport**

A muon beam line should be sufficient long to eliminate pions in a muon beam, and dedicated to reject DIO electrons.

#### **High Resolution Detectors**

Endpoint of spectrum of DIO electron comes to the signal region. Good  $\sigma_E$  is mandatory.

- \* **Extinction** (= Residual protons in between the pulses)
- Dominant Backgrounds
  - <u>Beam Pion Capture</u>
    - \*  $\pi + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z-1)^*$  $\rightarrow \gamma + (A,Z-1), \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$
    - Prompt Timing
    - \* cf.  $\tau$ (muonic Al)=0.88 $\mu$ s
  - \* <u>Muon DIO, e<sup>-</sup> scattering</u>



- \* **Extinction** (= Residual protons in between the pulses)
- Dominant Backgrounds
  - Beam Pion Capture
    - \*  $\pi + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z-1)^*$  $\rightarrow \gamma + (A,Z-1), \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$
    - Prompt Timing
    - \* cf.  $\tau_{(muonic Al)}=0.88 \mu s$
  - \* <u>Muon DIO, e<sup>-</sup> scattering</u>



- <u>Extinction</u> (= Residual protons in between the pulses)
- Dominant Backgrounds
  - \* Beam Pion Capture
    - \*  $\pi + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z-1)^*$  $\rightarrow \gamma + (A,Z-1), \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$
    - Prompt Timing
    - \* cf.  $\tau$ (muonic Al)=0.88 $\mu$ s
  - \* <u>Muon DIO, e<sup>-</sup> scattering</u>



- <u>Extinction</u> (= Residual protons in between the pulses)
- Dominant Backgrounds
  - \* Beam Pion Capture
    - \*  $\pi + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z-1)^*$  $\rightarrow \gamma + (A,Z-1), \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$
    - Prompt Timing
    - \* *cf.*  $\tau_{(muonic Al)} = 0.88 \mu s$
  - \* <u>Muon DIO, e<sup>-</sup> scattering</u>



- <u>Extinction</u> (= Residual protons in between the pulses)
- Dominant Backgrounds
  - \* Beam Pion Capture
    - \*  $\pi + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z-1)^*$  $\rightarrow \gamma + (A,Z-1), \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$
    - Prompt Timing
    - \* cf.  $\tau$ (muonic Al)=0.88 $\mu$ s
  - \* <u>Muon DIO, e<sup>-</sup> scattering</u>



- \* **Extinction** (= Residual protons in between the pulses)
- Dominant Backgrounds
  - Beam Pion Capture
    - \*  $\pi + (A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z-1)^*$  $\rightarrow \gamma + (A,Z-1), \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$
    - Prompt Timing
    - \* cf.  $\tau$ (muonic Al)=0.88 $\mu$ s
  - \* <u>Muon DIO, e<sup>-</sup> scattering</u>



#### **Extinction should be <10<sup>-9</sup> : To achieve 10<sup>-16</sup> Single Event Sensitivity**

### J-PARC Proton Acceleration for COMET



•

 Possible leakage of chopped beam in empty buckets



### ed Eonsistentir





- \* Further Improvement is Required (at least 2 orders more)
  - \* **AC-dipole devices** before proton target (3 orders improvement is expected)
  - \* **Double Kicking** Injection into the MR (6 orders improvement is expected)

### R&D-3: Special Muon Transport

#### **High Intensity Muon**

Pion capture and muon transport by superconducting solenoids would provide high beam intensity.

#### **Pulsed Muon Source**

Beam pulsing is very important in order to suppress prompt BG. Pulse Separation should be ~ 1µsec.

#### **Special Muon Transport**

A muon beam line should be sufficient long to eliminate pions in a muon beam, and dedicated to reject DIO electrons.

#### **High Resolution Detectors**

Endpoint of spectrum of DIO electron comes to the signal region. Good  $\sigma_E$  is mandatory.

## Design of Transport Solenoid

Curved Solenoid

- Requirements:
  - Long enough for pions to decay to muons (>20m)
  - High transport efficiency  $(P_{\mu} \sim 40 \text{MeV}/c)$
  - Negative charge selection
  - Low momentum \* selection  $(P_{\mu} < 75 \text{MeV}/c)$



Matching Solenoid Production Target Transfer Solenoid Target Solenoid 1-3 Curved Solenoid 2 Decay Solenoid Stopping Target Curved Solenoid 3 Spectrometer Calorimeter Tracker Detector Solenoid

Capture Solenoid

2m

### Charged Particle Trajectory in Curved Solenoids

 In a curved solenoidal field, a centre of helical trajectory of charged particles is drifted by

$$D = \frac{P}{qB} \theta_{bend} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \cos \theta + \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \right],$$

where *D* is drift distance and  $\theta = \tan^{-1}(P_T/P_L)$ .

 This effect is suitable for charge and momentum selection.  This drift can be compensated by an auxiliary field parallel to the drift direction given by

$$B_{\rm comp} = \frac{P}{qr} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \cos \theta + \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \right],$$

where  $B_{\text{comp}}$  is a compensation field and r is a major radius of the solenoid



## Engineering Design Work



#### Still ongoing

\* Optimization, Cost reduction *etc*. (with companies)

## R&D-4: High Resolution Detectors

#### **High Intensity Muon**

Pion capture and muon transport by superconducting solenoids would provide high beam intensity.

#### **Pulsed Muon Source**

Beam pulsing is very important in order to suppress prompt BG. Pulse Separation should be ~ 1µsec.

#### **Special Muon Transport**

A muon beam line should be sufficient long to eliminate pions in a muon beam, and dedicated to reject DIO electrons.

#### **High Resolution Detectors**

Endpoint of spectrum of DIO electron comes to the signal region. Good  $\sigma_E$  is mandatory.

## COMET Detector Apparatus



## **COMET** Detector Apparatus



## **Curved Solenoid Spectrometer**

 Torus drift for rejecting low energy DIO electrons.

$$D(m) = \frac{1}{0.3 \times B(T)} \times \frac{s}{R} \times \frac{P_L^2 + \frac{1}{2}P_T^2}{P_L}$$

Rejection Power : ~10<sup>-6</sup>

- Good Acceptance for signal electrons (w/o including event selection and trigger acceptance)
  - **\*** ~20%



## Electron Detector (Tracker+Crystal)

- \* Rate < 800 kHz
- \* Straw-tube tracker to measure electron mom.
  - \* 5 planes with 48cm spacing
  - \*  $\sigma_P = 230 \text{ keV/c}$ 
    - \* 4 layers / plane
    - 5mm diam. straw-tube
       with 25 μm thick
  - \* <u>should be operational in</u> <u>vacuum</u>
  - \* <500µm spacial resolution</p>
- \* Crystal calorimeter for trigger
  - \* BGO, PWO, LYSO, or new crystals...



Trigger Calorimeter

#### - Current Status -

after the big disaster ...

### Earthquake attacked



## Earthquake attacked

- \* J-PARC was damaged, too.
  - \* No damage by Tsunami
  - \* All equipments are standing at where they should be but...
    - Need to align again
  - Linac/T2K ND floors were covered by underground water
    - \* quickly removed
  - \* Many cracks on the wall in tunnels
  - \* Inspection and recovery are ongoing
  - Plan to provide beam for experiment at the end of FY
  - Acceleration test will start in Dec.2011 !!

# ents are standing at where the stoal the fact.

o align again

K near detector floors were covered by nd water emoved when the cleverasy damaged too. ks on the wall in tunnelse by Tsunami and recovery are in progress vide beam for experiments at the end of this \* Need to align again tion test in Dec. 2011! 200.0 Reference Linac/T2K ND floors were co<sup>1000</sup> リング形状 \* quickly removed 0.0 0.0 100.0 200.0 300.0 400.0 500.0 600.0 700.0 \* Many cracks on the wall in t1,000 変位を 2000倍に拡大 \* Inspection and recovery are (200.0 Plan to provide beam for exp Acceleration test will start in KEK\_J-PARC 座標系 400.0

## Earthquake attacked

- \* J-PARC was damaged, too.
  - \* No damage by Tsunami
  - \* All equipments are standing at w
    - \* Need to align again



- Linac/T2K ND floors were covered by underground water
  - \* quickly removed
- \* Many cracks on the wall in tunnels
- \* Inspection and recovery are ongoing
- Plan to provide beam for experiment at the end of FY
- Acceleration test will start in Dec.2011 !!

## Earthquake attacked

- \* J-PARC was damaged, too.
  - \* No damage by Tsunami
  - \* All equipments are standing at where they should be but...
    - Need to align again
  - Linac/T2K ND floors were covered by underground water
    - \* quickly removed
  - \* Many cracks on the wall in tunnels
  - \* Inspection and recovery are ongoing
  - Plan to provide beam for experiment at the end of FY
  - Acceleration test will start in Dec.2011 !!

### COMET R&D



Hajime NISHIGUCHI (KEK)

"Search for Charged Lepton Flavour Violation with Muons at J-PARC"

08.Dec.2011 at LPNHE, Paris

### Sensitivity Studies



Momentum selection of µ as passes down transport beamline



Momentum distributions of muons stopped in Al target

- \* Using MARS/G4Beamline and Geant4
  - Still much to do in terms of optimizing collimators / beam blockers / target *etc*.

### Sensitivity Estimation

\* Single Event Sensitivity (2×10<sup>7</sup> sec running):

$$\mathcal{B}(\mu^- + \mathrm{Al} \to \mathrm{e}^- + \mathrm{Al}) \sim \frac{1}{N_{\mu} \cdot f_{\mathrm{cap}} \cdot A_{\mathrm{e}}}$$

- \*  $N_{\mu}$  is a # of stopped muons
  - ★ 2.0×10<sup>18</sup> muons
- \*  $f_{cap}$  is a fraction of muon capture
  - \* 0.6 for aluminum
- \*  $A_{\rm e}$  is the detector acceptance
  - \* 0.031

Single Event Sensitivity 2.6×10<sup>-17</sup>

total # of p's	8.5×10 <sup>20</sup>	
μ yield / p μ stopping ε	0.0035	
# of stopped µ's	2.0×10 <sup>18</sup>	

1

<b>Upper Limit (CL.90)</b>			
6.0×10 <sup>-17</sup>			

## Background Estimation (10-9 extinction is assumed)

	Events	Comments
Radiative Pion Capture	0.05	
Beam Electrons	< 0.1	MC stat. limited
Muon Decay in Flight	< 0.0002	
Pion Decay in Flight	< 0.0001	
Neutron Induced	0.024	for high E neutron
Delayed-Pion Radiative Capture	0.002	
Anti-Proton Induced	0.007	for 8 GeV proton
Muon Decay in Orbit	0.15	
Radiative Muon Capture	< 0.001	
Muon Capture with neutron Emission	< 0.001	
Muon Capture with Charged Particle Emission	< 0.001	
Cosmic-Ray Muons	0.002	
Electrons from Cosmic-Ray Muons	0.002	
Total	0.34	

## Schedule



- Budget request to realize;
  - \* Construction starts in 2014
  - \* Engineering run in 2018

- R&D, Preparation
- Construction
- Installation
- Engineering Run
- Physics Run

### The COMET Collaboration



Hajime NISHIGUCHI (*KEK*) "Search for Charged Lepton Flavour Violation with Muons at J-PARC"

### Summary

- \* **Charged Lepton Flavour Violation** is very attractive to explore the new physics.
- Charged Lepton Flavour Violation have no observable SM rate and not withstanding backgrounds etc. are excellent probes of beyond SM.
- \* In particular, muon decay is the most sensitive probe. ( $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  and  $\mu N \rightarrow eN$ )
  - \*  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  : MEG experiment (PSI) is ongoing
  - \*  $\mu N \rightarrow eN$  : COMET experiment was proposed (J-PARC)
    - \* CDR completed in 2009 and secured stage-1 (of 2 stages) approval from J-PARC PAC. Expect to complete TDR ASAP in readiness of 2nd stage of approval
    - Significant Milestones have already been reached in Proton Extinction, S/C magnet design and Pion Capture System
    - \* Mu2E (FNAL) is aiming the same goal with similar schedule, competitive.
- \* Even we were damaged severely by the earthquake, COMET is not delayed so much.
  - \* J-PARC recovery is progressing, and acceleration test will start soon.
  - COMET R&D is also progressing
  - \* Aiming to start construction in 2014, engineering run in 2018