Performance of the LHC, ATLAS and CMS in 2011

Daniel FOURNIER LAL-Université Paris-Sud and IN2P3/CNRS

1



LHC performance: Beautiful !! 5.6 fb⁻¹ delivered to ATLAS and CMS



Parameter	2010	2011	Nominal
N (10 ¹¹ p/b)	1.2	1.5	1.15
k (n bunches)	368	1380	2808
B. spacing	150	50	25
ϵ (µm rad)	2.4-4	1.9-2.3	3.75
β* (m)	3.5	1	0.55
L (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹) Stored	2 10 ³²	3.6 10 ³³	10 ³⁴
Energy(MJ)	28	110	360

Crossing angle at IP 1,5 = \pm 120 microrads

Factor ~20 gain in Peak Luminosity wrt to 2010 thanks mainly to : number of bunches, beta*, emittance

Increase of peak luminosity over the year



Limitations

With 50 ns operation and with stored intensity of $\sim 2 \times 10^{14}$ protons (1380 bunches) a number of issues related to high intensity have started to surface:

- Vacuum pressure increases (from "electron cloud effect")
- Radiation induced failures of critical tunnel electronics ("SEU"),
- Heating of the beam screen ,
- Heating of injection kickers, collimators,
- Losses due to (supposed) dust particles ("UFO")
- RF beam loading,
- Beam instabilities leading to emittance blow-up.

Those effects have slowed down the pace of the intensity increase, and affected the *machine availability*.

Up time and fill duration



For ~50% of the time there is beam in the machine

Optimum Fill duration would be about 12 hours Average is around 6 SEU, UFO



Integrated number of SEU Follows integrated luminosity UFO at 3.5 TeV are ~evenly distributed At 450 GeV they are concentrated around injection kicker magnets

Luminosity normalisation

Precise measurement of:

- size of the luminous region (VdM scan)
- beam intensity (BCT)

-->absolute luminosity calibration

in a Reference run, where Experiment's lumi monitors are also recorded Used to extrapolate to a whole data taking period.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{n_{\rm b} f_{\rm r} n_1 n_2}{2\pi \Sigma_x \Sigma_y}$$

n_b= nb of colliding bunches n1,n2= nb of protons per bunch

ATLAS δL / L= 3.7 % CMS δL / L= 4.5 %

Dominant error from Bunch charge current (n1xn2)



Exemple of counting rate R during a Van der Meer scan

Luminosity leveling in LHCb



At IP8, beams are progressively moved in to maintain constant luminosity (around 2 to 3 10^{32})

Pile-up : relevant parameters

Recorded Luminosity [pb ⁻¹

<X/U>

10

 10^{3}

10²

10

ATLAS Online 2011,

<u>µ: luminosity-weighted mean number</u> of interactions per crossing.

> Calculated from the instant. luminosity as Dispersion includes: -bunch to bunch variation -drop of L with time,

 $\frac{N_{VX}: number of reconstructed}{primary vertices for a given BC}$

<u>In-time pileup</u> : only due to collisions of a given BC

<u>Out of Time Pile-up</u>: superimposition of signals from preceding (and following) BC (null in average in ATLAS-LAr because of bipolar shaping)

Average value can be subtracted; of course fluctuations remain = "pile-up noise"



Ldt=3.02 fb

* = 1.0 m, <u> = 11.6

 β * = 1.5 m, < μ > = 6.3

Special runs

•Runs with 25 ns bunch spacing

Max reached :2100 bunches B1 and 1020 bunches B2, for scrubbing at 450 GeV Collisions with short bunch trains, to assess trigger and pile-up conditions

 •Runs with "fat bunches" (twice nominal intensity) to assess "high pile-up" in experiments Reached <μ> ~30

• Beta*=90 m runs (ultimate goal is 2650m to reach Coulomb interference region) for <u>elastic scattering in ATLAS/ALFA and TOTEM</u>

ALFA: Detector (240m away) at 6.5 σ from the beam About 1.5 millions elastic events recorded

Bunch scheme: One bunch of 7E10 colliding. 13 bunches of 1E10 colliding. One bunch of 7E10 non-colliding.



ATLAS...



ATLAS trigger at 3 10³³

from 20 MHz to ~ 300Hz on tape About 4 10¹⁴ events "scrutinized" by trigger system !!

Trigger objects	Offline Selection (p _T thresholds)	Trigger Selection		L1 Rate (kHz)	EF Rate (Hz)
		L1	EF	at 3 10 ³³	at 3 10 ³³
Single leptons	Single muon> 20 GeV	11 GeV	18 GeV	8	100
	Single electron > 25 GeV	16 GeV	22 GeV	9	55
Two leptons	2 muons> 4 GeV	11 GeV	15,10 GeV	6	5
	2 electrons, > 15GeV	2x10 GeV	2x12 GeV	2	1.3
	2 τ→ h>45, 30 GeV	15,11 GeV	29,20 GeV	7.5	15
Two photons	2 photons,> 25 GeV	2x12 GeV	2x20 GeV	3.5	5
E_{T}^{miss}	$E_T^{miss} > 170 \text{ GeV}$	50 GeV	70 GeV	0.6	5
Multi-jets	5 jets, > 55 GeV	5x10 GeV	5x30 GeV	0.2	9
Single jet plus E_T^{miss}	$Jetp_T > 130 \text{ GeV}\& \\ E_T^{\text{miss}} > 140 \text{ GeV}$	50 GeV& 35 GeV	75 GeV& 55 GeV	0.8	18
Total rate (peak)	In total more than 350	lines in mer	u	55 kHz	550 Hz



DAQ efficiency : 5.25 / 5.62 (93.5%) Calibration loop : 48 hours Processing at TierO : 3000 CPU cores (~20 sec/evt for <mu>= 15) Reprocessing campaign in Aug/Sept: 0.9 Gevents processed in Tiers 1

CMS...



4-electron event (ZZ candidate) -tracks and EM clusters in red

CMS trigger

Trigger objects	Offline Selection	Trigger Selection		L1 Rate	HLT
	(p _T thresholds in GeV)		HLT (GeV)	(kHz) at 3×10 ³³	Rate (Hz) at 3×10^{33}
Single leptons	1 mu (e) > 35 (70)	14 (20)	24 (32)	6 (6)	34 (10)
	2 mu (e)> 20, 10	2x3.5 (12,5)	17, 8	5 (7)	8 (5)
Two leptons	mu & e > 20, 10	12,5 (0,12)	17,8 (8,17)	2 (2)	4 (9)
	$2\tau \rightarrow h > 50$	2x44	2x45	3	2
Two photons	2 photons> 40, 30	12,5	26,18	7	8
E_{T}^{miss}	$E_T^{miss} > 150$	30	120	4	3
Multi-jets	4 jets, > 80	4x28	4x70	2	9
$1 \text{ jet} + E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$	Both > 100	E _T ^{miss} >30	80, 80	4	9
3 jets + e or mu	Jets > 40, e/mu > 30/20	e/mu > 20/14	J=30, 25/17	7/5	3/1
Peak [avg]rate	In total more than 4	400 paths in N	Nenu	80[70]	400[300]

CMS: Data quality/efficiency, Trigger turn on





<u>Jets</u>

-Reconstructed with anti-kT algo, R=0.6 (often R=0.4) -Vectorial sum of <u>clusters of calorimeter cells</u>, corrected for

hadronic/electromagnetic response, and E-loss in dead material Energy scale

-From single hadrons measurement, in situ(E/p) and test beam

-2.5% uncert.(2010) in wide kinematic range ; ultimate Goal 1% -Validated using in situ methods(γ -jets, multijet balance, track-jets,...)



CMS: di-jets and limits on Q*

1 fb⁻¹





Impact of pile-up-ATLAS

- Do not expect a significant impact on tracking, nor muons, nor even electrons and photons
- Except isolation
- But sizable impact on jets (+E_T^{miss})



Impact of Pile-up on Missing ET- CMS





EWK Physics in ATLAS

8 30000

Present dataset (~ 5.2 fb⁻¹) contains: ~ 100k top-pair events (with \geq 1 lepton) ~ 3 M Z \rightarrow ee, $\mu\mu$ events ~ 35 M W \rightarrow ev, μv events

Also a "Gold mine" for calibration of Physics objects low/medium energy leptons Low/medium energy jets/Etmiss

Spring 2011 alignment

 $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu MC$

ID tracks

Summer 2011 alignment

Improving the $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ mass resolution

ATLAS Preliminary.

 $L dt = 0.70 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

Data 2011, √s = 7 TeV



Electron efficiency: More work needed at low pT!



 $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ resolution also used for MS alignment. Alignment today: barrel=50,EC=100,goal=40µm

EWK Physics: W/Z cross-section in ATLAS and asymmetry from ATLAS, CMS, LHCb



Both measurements have quantitative implications on PDFs already with 40 $\rm pb^{-1}$

EWK Physics: Exemple of Z \rightarrow \tau \tau in CMS

2010 data Best modes are e- μ and μ -had Electrons and muons required to be isolated Eiso/Elep< ~0.1 in Δ R=0.3 Hadronic mode: at least one charged hadron, Large isolation cone Δ R=0.5 PTlept>15 GeV, PThad>20 GeV MT(lept,ETmiss)< 50 GeV



B-tagging : a key to top, Higgs, ...ATLAS exemple



Likelihood ratio of PDF representing b-jets and light jets

At 60% efficiency, IP3D+SV1 has a rejection ~4 times larger than SV0



t-t bar cross-section and mass





ATLAS: single top in t-channel



- Challenging measurement: small cross section, signal difficult to separate from the other SM processes
- Allows to directly measure Vtb, and the b-density in the proton
- Search for New Physics

Require 1 lepton, 1b-jet + 1 or 2 extra jets



Di-boson production : exemple of ZZ in ATLAS (1 fb^{-1})



ATLAS Higgs seach \rightarrow combined constraints from:

 $\begin{array}{l} H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ , H \rightarrow \tau \tau \\ W/ZH \rightarrow \ Ibb+X \\ H \rightarrow \ WW^{(*)} \rightarrow \ IvIv \\ H \rightarrow \ ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow \ 4I, H \rightarrow \ ZZ \rightarrow \ IIvv \\ H \rightarrow \ ZZ \rightarrow \ IIqq \end{array}$



Excluded by ATLAS at 95% CL : 146-466 GeV, except 232-256, 282-296 GeV Expected if no signal at 95% CL : 131-447 GeV

CMS Higgs search

Excluded by CMS at 95% CL : 145-400 GeV, except 216-226, 288-310 GeV Expected if no signal at 95% CL : 130-440 GeV



The best-motivated low-mass region (EW fit: m_H < 161 GeV 95% CL) still open to exploration
 Main channels for low mass range: H→ YY , H→ T T W/ZH→ lbb+X H→4leptons

ATLAS-CMS Combination will be shown later during the Conference

Atlas performance for $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (I)

- High granularity LAr "accordion" EM calorimeter \rightarrow Photon direction \rightarrow Photon/ π^0 additional rejection
- Calorimetric <u>isolation (</u>5GeV E_T) ("ambient" PU subtracted from iso energy)



Data

Atlas performance for $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (II)



CMS performance for $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (I)

- •<u>Vertex</u> selected on the basis of Σp_T^2 (tracks at the vertex) and P_T balance between ($\gamma\gamma$) and tracks
- ·If a photon is converted, use it for Vertexing

For <µ>= 6.5, in average 83% of the selected vertices are within 10 mm from true vertex (ie with small/negligible contribution to invariant mass) For <µ>=12, improved algo in progress



-use of ECAL & HCAL energy in cone complicated by pile-up

-use of Σ |pT| of tracks from vertex, complicated by wrong vertex assignment (pu as well) efficiency ranges from 60% to 90%, being lower where bkg is higher



CMS performance for $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (II)



Combining efficiency, background rejection, M resolution,...the performances of the two detectors, for this channel, are at present quite close from each other

Higgs \rightarrow 4 leptons in ATLAS with ~2 fb⁻¹

 $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4$ leptons combines <u>low background and precision mass reconstruction</u> Drawback is the small combined branching ratio Key point is the reconstruction efficiency for low pT leptons (down to ~5 to 7 GeV) Background(ttbar,Zbb) removed by isolation and impact parameter requirement



Higgs $\rightarrow \tau \tau$ in CMS with 1.6 fb⁻¹





CMS SUSY exemple of $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$

LHCb ГНСр

•Decays highly suppressed in the SM BR(Bs $\Rightarrow \mu\mu$): (3.2±0.2)×10⁻⁹, Bd $\Rightarrow \mu\mu$: (1.0±0.1)×10⁻¹⁰ Indirect sensitivity to new physics MSSM : BR prop to (tan β)⁶

> Require high pT (~4 GeV) and isolation of muons, and L/ σ (flight)>15 (20/EC) Mass resolution ~36(85) MeV (in Barrel/EC)





1.14 fb⁻¹ CMS BR Limits at 95% CL Bs $\rightarrow \mu + \mu - < 1.9 \times 10^{-8}$ Bd $\rightarrow \mu + \mu - < 4.6 \times 10^{-9}$

LHCb BR Limits at 95% CL Bs $\rightarrow \mu + \mu - < 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$

> With 4 times the statistics and improved analysis+ATLAS SM limit is not far away...



1.07 TeV squarks and gluinos excluded by ATLAS as well in a "simplified" model with equal squark and gluino masses

Conclusions

After some difficult time the LHC is now running steadily at √s =7 TeV and L >3×10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹. Over 2011 it delivered 5.6 fb⁻¹ to both ATLAS and CMS
With this inst lumi and 50ns bunch spacing, the average number of collisions per crossing is now over 12. The detectors (incl trigger and reconstruction) have demonstrated excellent performance in these already difficult conditions.

•Analyses of EWK bench mark channels show very good agreement between data and the Standard Model •With the accumulated data cata precision physics (M = M =) can now start

•With the accumulated data sets, precision physics (M_{top}, M_{W}, \dots) can now start

•No phenomenon "beyond standard Model" has so far shown-up. But this exploration is only beginning

•The "hot-topic" of 2011 (and 2012..) is the search for the SM Higgs. A very broad high mass range has been excluded, but the best motivated region (114-140) is still fully open,

•Getting the best from data in this difficult range requires pushing the "objects" reconstruction, and the physics analyses to their ultimate performance

We look forward hearing many updates, new clues, new results,...during this Conference



Triplet



Crossing-angle +- 120 Microrad, benefitting from large Aperture margin in triplets

Parameters after Sept MD

	2010	2011	Nominal
Energy [TeV]	3.5	3.5	7
β* [m] (IP1,IP2,IP5,IP8)	3.5, 3.5, 3.5, 3.5	1.0, 10, 1.0, 3.0	0.55, 10, 0.55, 10
Emittance [µm] (start of fill)	2.0 - 3.5	1.5 - 2.2	3.75
Transverse beam size at IP1&5 [µm]	60	23	16.7
Bunch population	1.2×10 ¹¹ p	$1.4 \times 10^{11} \text{ p}$	1.15×10 ¹¹ p
Number of bunches	368	1380	2808
Number of collisions (IP1 & IP5)	348	1318	-
Stored energy [MJ]	28	110	360
Peak luminosity [cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]	2×10 ³²	3.3×10 ³³	1×10 ³⁴
Max delivered luminosity (1 fill) [pb ⁻¹]	6.23	116	-
Longest Stable Beams fill [hrs]	12:09	25:59	-



CMS slice



CMS pixels

CMS pixels operated at warm (for the time being) for technical reasons—radiation effects more visible



Impact of pile-up on Jets and MET

- Do not expect a significant impact on tracking, nor muons, nor even electrons and photons (except isolation)
 But sizable impact on jets (+E_T^{miss})
- •Bipolar pulse shaping designed so that <ET> ~ 0 for 25 ns bunch-spacing and uniform intensity per BX
- •Abort gap \rightarrow positive bias f(BCID)



• Optimal performance will require correction per cell type in η -bins and as a function of luminosity to set average measured $E_{\rm T}$ to ~0

 \bullet At the moment, introduce increased jet energy scale uncertainty for low-p_T jets (at maximum 7% for jets in forward calo)



0

-0.5



1000

500

eestandes and a service and a se

ATLAS Preliminary

Simulation

1500

B-tagging in CMS



Summary of main electroweak and top cross-section measurements



Good agreement with SM expectations (within present uncertainties)

Measuring cross-sections down to few tens of fb (including leptonic branching ratios)





Improvements with time



Prospects for ATLAS+CMS combined:

with ~5 fb⁻¹ per experiment in 2011 and assuming ~10 fb⁻¹ per experiment by end 2012: □First half 2012: sensitivity to exclude full mass region up to $m_H \sim 600 \text{ GeV}$ (≥ 95% CL) □End 2012: may achieve 5 σ discovery over the same range

Summary of present Higgs searches in ATLAS

Channel	m _H range (GeV)	Int. lumi fb ⁻¹	Main backgrounds	Number of signal events after cuts	S/B after cuts	Excluded σ/σ _{sm} and m _H range
H→ γγ	110-150	1.08	YY, Yj, jj	~ 15	~ 0.02	~ 4
$H \rightarrow \tau\tau \rightarrow +\nu$	110-140	1.06	Z→ тт, top	~ 0.8	~ 0.02	30-60
$H \rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow I \tau_{had}$	100-150	1.06	Ζ→ тт	~ 10	~ 5 10 ⁻³	6-25
W/ZH → bbl(l)	110-130	1.04	W/Z+jets, top	~6	~ 5 10 ⁻³	10-20
$H \to WW \to IvIv$	110-300	1.7	WW, top, Z+jet	~ 50 (150 GeV)	~ 0.6	0.3-10
$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4I$	110-600	up to 2.3	ZZ*, top, Zbb	~ 1 (130 GeV)	~ 1	154-186 Gev 1-10
$H \! \rightarrow Z Z \rightarrow _{\nu \nu}$	200-600	1.04	ZZ, top, Z+jets	~ 10 (400 GeV)	~ 0.3	190-200 GeV 1-5
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \parallel qq$	200-600	1.04	Z+jets, top	~ 5	~0.05	340-450 GeV 2-13
$H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow I v q q$	240-600	1.04	W+jets,top,jets	~ 60	10 ⁻³	~ 3-20

□ Based so far on (conservative) cut-based analyses

Large and sometimes not well-known backgrounds estimated mostly with data-driven

techniques using signal-free control regions

ET miss in Z→ll ບບ



Understanding of ETmiss scale/resolution/cleanliness essential

ET miss in Z→ll ບບ



Understanding of ETmiss scale/resolution/cleanliness essential

Isolation in H $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

Subtraction of "ambient" ET level Cut at 5 GeV ET







SM precision measurement: $sin^2(\Theta_W)$ from Drell-Yan asymmetry in CMS

Difficult measurement:

•Use Boost direction of produced Zs as direction of incoming quark (to sign $\cos(\theta^*)$)



•High dilution factor for small values of |y|where cos (θ^*) acceptance is good •Benefit from high statistics (300 k evts $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in 1.07fb⁻¹)

Sin²(Θ_W) =0.2287 ±0.002 ±0.0025

Precision comparable to Neutrino expt, DIS/Hera (D0 has ~3 times smaller errors with 5 fb⁻¹, and LEP ~10 times smaller)

Limiting systematics <u>: tracker alignment</u>, PDF



CMS : SUSY /CMSSM



Very substantial gain with increased luminosity

CMS: t tbar cross-section and mass difference



Comparing "hadronic top mass" in μ^+ jets and μ^- jets events

∆m (t-tbar)= -1.20±1.21(stat)±0.47(syst)GeV

CMS Preliminary, \s=7 TeV

