Recent CP violation results from





Gagan Mohanty Tata Institute (TIFR), Mumbai

Outline of the talk

- 1) $\sin(2\varphi_1)$ in $B^0 \rightarrow (c\overline{c})K^0$ decays
- 2) $sin(2\varphi_1)$ using B- π tagging at Y(5S)
- 3) ϕ_3 in a model-independent DP study
- 4) ϕ_3 using GLW and ADS methods
- 5) Direct CP violation in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \eta h^{\pm}$
- 6) Conclusions and future prospect

CP violation in the standard model

Single phase in the CKM matrix (flavor \rightleftharpoons mass eigenstates) is the key piece



- Check consistency of the CKM framework by precisely measuring the sides and angles of the unitarity triangle
- Possible inconsistency between various measurements could be interpreted as potential new physics contribution

Detector and data



- World's largest samples of Y(4S), Y(5S), Y(1S) and Y(2S) data in clean e⁺e⁻ environment
- Results presented here comprise the full Y(4S) [711 fb⁻¹ 772M BB] and Y(5S) [121 fb⁻¹] data

- Large-solid-angle magnetic spectrometer that operated at the KEKB asymmetricenergy e⁺e⁻ collider
- Goal: CP violation in the B system, and rare decays of B, D mesons and τ leptons as an indirect probe of the SM
- Stopped taking data w.e.f. June 2010 to make way for the planned upgrade Belle II at SuperKEKB

Integrated Luminosity(cal)



How to measure CP violation?

- $\succ \text{ Reconstruct the B} \rightarrow f_{CP} \text{ decay}$
- \blacktriangleright Measure the proper time difference (*t*) between the two B mesons



> Determine the flavor of B_{tag} (whether B^0 or \overline{B}^0) and then evaluate

$$A_{CP}(t) = \frac{N[\overline{B}^0(t) \to f_{CP}] - N[B^0(t) \to f_{CP}]}{N[\overline{B}^0(t) \to f_{CP}] + N[B^0(t) \to f_{CP}]}$$

 $S_f \sin(\Delta m t) + A_f \cos(\Delta m t)$

 \succ S_f and A_f are measures of mixing-induced and direct CP violation, respectively

$sin(2\phi_1)$ in $B^0 \rightarrow (c\bar{c})K^0$ decays

- Golden mode for CP violation study with very small theoretical uncertainty and also experimentally easy to identify
- Final measurement with 772M BB pairs
- In addition to more data, improved track reconstruction algo. and finely retuned ECL seed threshold (~18% improvement)
- ► CP-odd eigenstates $J/\psi K_S, \psi(2S)K_S$ and $\chi_{c1}K_S$, and CP-even eigenstate $J/\psi K_L$



$sin(2\phi_1)$ in $B^0 \rightarrow (c\bar{c})K^0$ decays

- Most precise measurement of the mixing-induced CP violation in B-meson decay
- Asymmetry pattern in line with the CP eigenvalue of the decay final state
- Direct CP asymmetry is consistent with zero, as expected negligible height difference between B⁰ and B⁰ tagged decays
- Vertex reconstruction is the major source of the systematic uncertainty for sin(2φ₁) followed by Δt resolution function
- □ In case of A_f the tag-side interference is the main contributor





A solid anchor for the SM

- Provides a great SM reference point against which to test for evidence of physics beyond it
- A recent example is close to 2.8 σ discrepancy between the measured BF(B⁺ $\rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$) and its indirect determination from a global fit in which sin(2 ϕ_1) plays a major role





> Important to improve the precision on directly measured $BF(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})$ to confront this tantalizing difference

$sin(2\phi_1)$ with a new method using Y(5S) data



S and *A* are mixing-induced and direct CP violation parameters and $x = (m_H - m_L)/\Gamma$, where $m_{H(L)}$ is the mass of the heavy (light) neutral B mass eigenstate and Γ is their average decay width

Plots presented are results of a fit to the missing mass for π⁺ & π⁻-tagged events

Two peaks denote $B\overline{B}^*\pi + B^*\overline{B}\pi$ (first) and $B^*\overline{B}^*\pi$ (second) contributions



$sin(2\phi_1)$ with a new method using Y(5S) data

The combined fit yields number of $B\pi^+(B\pi^-)$ -tagged events to be 7.8±3.9 (13.7±5.3)

$$A_{BB\pi} = 0.28 \pm 0.28 (\text{stat})$$

Assuming direct CP violation term A to be zero and using the world-average value of the mixing parameter x (0.771±0.007), we obtain

$$\sin 2\phi_1 = 0.57 \pm 0.58(\text{stat}) \pm 0.06(\text{syst})$$



- Dominant systematic uncertainty from the parameters fixed to MC values
- This method is complimentary to time-dependent analyses carried at the Y(4S) peak using flavor tagging
- □ At the moment we are limited by the available statistics
- Has a great potential for experiments at the super flavor factory

Measurement of the angle φ_3



- Interference between the two amplitudes where both D⁰ and D

 ⁰ coming from B⁺ or B⁻ decay to a common final state
- Current sensitivity is dominated by measurements in the D mesons decay to three-body final states, e.g. $K_S \pi^+ \pi^-$, that exploit difference between the decay Dalitz plot (DP) Giri et al., PRD 68, 054018 (2003)

Our results with conventional Dalitz method

PRD 81, 112002 (2010)

 $\phi_3 = (78.4^{+10.8}_{-11.6} \pm 3.6 \pm 8.9)^{\circ}$

 $r_B = 0.160^{+0.040}_{-0.038} \pm 0.011^{+0.050}_{-0.010}$

• Uncertainties are respectively statistical, systematic and DP model dependence

- Accuracy in the DP model description (last error in above results) is the second largest contributor to φ_3 following the statistical uncertainty
- ► It would *call the shot* in the precise determination of φ_3 at the next-generation flavor factories \implies look for a suitable alternative

Model-independent DP analysis

DP density of the D decay from $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$ \succ

 $|M_{+}(m_{+}^{2}, m_{-}^{2})|^{2} = |f_{D}(m_{+}^{2}, m_{-}^{2}) + r_{B}e^{i\delta_{B}\pm i\phi_{3}}f_{D}(m_{-}^{2}, m_{+}^{2})|^{2}$

 $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$ amplitude f_D is parameterized as a set of quasi-two-body amplitudes in the conventional DP method Giri et al., PRD 68, 054018 (2003)



Bonder, Poluektov, EPJ C 55, 51 (2008)

In contrast, model-independent approach invokes study of the binned DP

$$M_i^{\pm} = h\{K_i + r_B^2 K_{-i} + 2\sqrt{K_i K_{-i}} (x_{\pm} c_i + y_{\pm} s_i)\}$$

where
$$x_{\pm} = r_B \cos(\delta_B \pm \phi_3), \ y_{\pm} = r_B \sin(\delta_B \pm \phi_3)$$

 M_i : # events in D $\rightarrow K_{\rm S}\pi^+\pi^-$ bins from B[±] \rightarrow DK[±]

 K_i : # events in bins of flavor $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ from $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$

 c_i , s_i contain info about the strong-phase difference between symmetric DP points $[m^2(K_S\pi^+), m^2(K_S\pi^-)]$ and $[m^2(K_S\pi^-), m^2(K_S\pi^+)]$

 \succ $c_i = \langle \cos \Delta \delta_D \rangle$ and $s_i = \langle \sin \Delta \delta_D \rangle$ are the external inputs, obtained from quantum correlated $D^0\overline{D}^0$ decays at the $\psi(3770)$ resonance in CLEO-c PRD 82, 112006 (2010)

ϕ_3 from model independent DP fit

Combined likelihood fit to signal selection variables in all bins with floating parameters: x_{\pm} , y_{\pm} , overall normalization and background fractions



φ_3 using GLW and ADS methods

➤ Two complementary approaches where D mesons decay to

- 1) CP states, e.g., K^+K^- , $\pi^+\pi^-$ (CP+) & $K_S\pi^0$, $K_S\eta$ (CP-) GLW PLB 265, 172 (1991)
- 2) doubly CKM suppressed final state ADS **PRL 78, 3257 (1997) PRD 63, 036005 (1991)**



PLB 253, 483 (1991)



➤ Large B →η'K and small B → ηK branching fractions are an artifact of η-η' mixing along with constructive and destructive interference between the two penguin processes, (c) and (d)
Large B →η'K and small B → ηK branching fractions are an artifact of η-η' mixing along with constructive and destructive interference between the two penguin processes, (c) and (d)

- ➢ Direct CPV effect could be significant depending on the level of interference between b → d penguin and b → u tree diagrams
- ➤ Owing to the color-suppressed tree amplitude in case of B → ηK⁰ (b), its branching fraction is expected to be lower than that of B[±] → ηK[±]

Evidence for direct CPV in $B \rightarrow \eta h$ and observation of $B^0 \rightarrow \eta K^0$



- Dominant systematics errors on BF are due to MC modeling of η and π^0 (4% each)
- Clear evidence for direct CP violation





> First observation of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow \eta K^0$ with a significance of 5.4 standard deviations

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \eta K^0) = (1.27^{+0.33}_{-0.29} \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-6}$$

Conclusions and future prospect

Results presented here

- → Most precise measurement of $sin(2\phi_1)$ with B → $(c\bar{c})K^0$ decays
- Significantly improved results in the $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)+}D^{(*)-}$ decays \implies silver mode for sin(2 ϕ_1) See the YSF presentation by B. Kronenbitter
- A new method of B- π tagging to measure sin(2 ϕ_1) at the Y(5S) resonance
- > Model independent DP analysis reducing model uncertainty on φ_3 to 4°
- > Updates of GLW and ADS modes to obtain complimentary constraint on φ_3
- > Evidence for direct CP violation in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \eta h^{\pm}$

What is in store?

- □ Many related analyses are ongoing, such as the angle φ_2 of the unitarity triangle and branching fraction measurement of B⁺ → $\tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$
- □ Looking forward to an exciting summer at Melbourne ☺

Thanks for your kind attention

Bonus slides

Summary of systematics in $B \rightarrow (c\bar{c})K^0$

	$\sin 2\phi_1$	\mathcal{A}_{f}
Vertex reconstruction	± 0.007	± 0.007
Flavor tagging	± 0.004	± 0.003
Δt resolution function	± 0.007	± 0.001
Physics parameters	± 0.001	< 0.001
Possible fit bias	± 0.004	± 0.005
$J/\psi K_S^0$ signal fraction	± 0.002	± 0.001
$J/\psi K_L^0$ signal fraction	± 0.004	± 0.002
$\psi(2S)K_S^0$ signal fraction	< 0.001	< 0.001
$\chi_{c1} K_S^0$ signal fraction	< 0.001	< 0.001
Background $\Delta t \ \rm PDFs$	± 0.001	< 0.001
Tag-side interference	± 0.001	± 0.008
Total	± 0.012	± 0.012

Study of $B \rightarrow hh'$ [$h^{(')} = K,\pi$]

- A rich ground to probe direct CP violation (mostly two contributing amplitudes, b → u tree and b → s/d penguin) and to test various models for B decays
- ➢ Potential new physics contribution in electroweak penguins: $\Delta A_{K\pi} = A_{CP}(K\pi^0) A_{CP}(K\pi)$ and ratios of branching fractions (R_c, R_n)



 Nature paper:
 Nature 452, 332 (2008)

 $\Delta A_{K\pi} = +0.164 \pm 0.037$ with 4.4 σ

 Preliminary:

 $\Delta A_{K\pi} = +0.112 \pm 0.028$ with 4.0 σ

Modes	Belle 2007	Belle 2011
$2\Gamma(K^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0\pi^+)$	$1.08 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.08$	$1.05 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05$
$\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)/2\Gamma(K^0\pi^0)$	$1.08 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.08$	$1.04 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06$
$\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^0\pi^+)$	$0.94 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05$	$0.90 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03$
$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+\pi^-)$	$0.26 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.25 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01$
$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/2\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^0)$	$0.42 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.46 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03$
$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0\pi^0)$	$0.66 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04$	$0.56 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$
$2\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0\pi^+)$	$0.57 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04$	$0.49 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$

These ratios of BF including R_c and R_n (first two rows) are consistent with SM predictions with different approaches

PI	RD 72, 114005 (2005)	PRD 68, 054023 (2003))
	PLB 572, 43 (2003)	EPJ C45, 701 (2006)	