



## On the edge of neutron detection







Grześ Jaworski

Faculty of Physics, Warsaw University of Technology HIL, University of Warsaw





EGAN 2012, Orsay, June 28th



#### The goal of the NEDA project:

to build the neutron array which will have neutron detection efficiency larger then the Neutron Wall?

 $\epsilon(1n) \approx 40\% (20-25\%),$   $\epsilon(2n) \approx 6\% (1-3\%),$  $\epsilon(3n) \approx 1\% (0.1\%),$ 

BC501A (proton-based) and BC537 (deuterated) scintillators considered 4 geometrically identical detectors bought by NEDA – 2x BC501A and 2x BC537, 5"x5" cylinders - unique opportunity for experimental comparison

Aims of the tests:

- $\rightarrow$  to optimise digital PSD techniques: NGD and time resolution;
- → to measure efficiency, x-talk, time resolution, NGD capabilities for BC501A / BC537;
- $\rightarrow$  to determine minimum sampling frequency for digital ToF;
- $\rightarrow$  to check light to neutron energy dependence.

# NEDA test setup

The tests were performed at LNL.

Measurements with pairs of detectors placed 1 m from <sup>252</sup>Cf source.

BaF<sub>2</sub> used for timing purposes.

2 x BC501A (5" x 5" cylindrical test detector) 2 x BC537 (5" x 5" cylindrical test detector)

- SIS3302 100 MS/s, 16 bits 8 ch. digitizer (analog setup)
- SIS3350 500 MS/s, 12 bits 4 ch. digitizer
- DAQ by IFIC, J. Agramunt







#### **Results of the tests:**

 $\rightarrow$  efficiency measured: proton based scintillator is ~22% more efficient;

- $\rightarrow$  different n/ $\gamma$  discrimination techniques studied;
- $\rightarrow$  time resolution investigated;

# Digital n-y discrimination



## **Artificial Neural Network**



- 75 signal probes 2 ns distance, first 150 ns of the signal;
- 2 hidden layers: the first made of 20 nodes, the second 5 nodes;
- One output with a value in the interval 0-1 (0= gamma-rays, 1= neutrons)
- Networks for the two scintillators trained separately, using 1e5 signals ( $\approx$  50% neutrons) each

### Signals from the two scintillators



by P-A. Söderström

### Neutron – gamma discrimination – comparison of the methods



by P-A. Söderström

#### Summary:

- $\rightarrow$  BC501A more efficient by ~22%;
- $\rightarrow$  digital PSD better then analog methods;
- $\rightarrow$  BC537 gives less light, thus NGD algorithms works worse;
- $\rightarrow$  so far only off-beam analysis;
- $\rightarrow$  best NGD obtained with ANN.

### TODO:

- $\rightarrow$  time resolution with digital techniques;
- $\rightarrow$  light to energy correlation;
- $\rightarrow$  scattering between detectors.

## Collaborators

J. Agramunt Ros, G. de Angelis, M. Clement, G. de France, A. Di Nitto, J. Egea, N. Erduran, S. Erturk, E. Farnea, A. Gadea, V. Gonzalez, T. Hüyük, J. Nyberg, M. Palacz, B. Roeder, P.-A. Söderström, E. Sanchis, R. Tarnowski, A. Triossi, R. Wadsworth, J.J. Valiente Dobon and G. J.

Thank you for your attention.



# Validation of the simulations



# Analog n- $\gamma$ discrimination



Differences in pulse shapes for neutrons and gammas.

Well known, working in analog units: the Zero Cross-Over (ZCO) method

Signal shaped to bipolar & the zero crossing is extracted.

Usually apply 2-D gates, with ToF, for clean separation.

# BC501A and BC537

Commonly used scintillator for neutron detection: C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub> – BC501A, NE213, BC501 – xylene. Nordball NWall, NWall, NRing, NDA@HRIBF, NShell, ....

New option: deuterated scintillator:  $C_6D_6$  – BC537, NE230, deuterated benzene. DESCANT (TRIUMF).

anisotropic scattering of n on d, may produce signals which are more correlated with the incoming neutron energy – could be used to improve multiple neutron discrimination.

