

Particle detectors for low-energy Coulomb excitation

Magda Zielińska
IRFU/SPhN, CEA Saclay, France

- Coulomb excitation: Why do we need particle detectors?
- Coulex detectors:
 - Stable beams: detectors in backward angles
 - Stable and exotic beams: Detectors in forward angles – identification problems
- What next?
 - Future developments
 - What else has to be measured when we have our Coulex data?

Why do we like Coulomb excitation?

- it's a very precise tool to measure the collectivity of nuclear excitations and in particular nuclear shapes
- shape = fundamental property of a nucleus, "condensed" information about its structure
- excitation mechanism purely electromagnetic, the only nuclear properties involved: matrix elements of electromagnetic multipole operators
- nuclear structure information extracted in a model-independent way



Why do we like Coulomb excitation?

- studies no longer limited to stable or long-lived nuclei
- beam energies at exotic beam facilities perfect for Coulomb excitation (2-5 MeV/A)
- high cross sections (excitation of 2_1^+ : barns)
- practical at the neutron-rich side

- direct measurement of nuclear shape (quadrupole moment including sign)
 - ideal tool to study shape coexistence
- B(E2) as a measure of collectivity - studies around magic numbers

Basic facts about Coulex

- Due to the purely electromagnetic interaction the nucleus undergoes a transition from state $|i\rangle$ to $|f\rangle$.
- Then it decays to the lower state, emitting a γ -ray (or a conversion electron).
- The matrix elements $\langle f||M(E2)||i\rangle$ describe the excitation and decay pattern \rightarrow they are directly connected with γ -ray intensities observed in the experiment.
- In the intrinsic frame of the nucleus they are related to the deformation parameters.

Basic facts about Coulex **experiments**

- Due to the purely electromagnetic interaction the nucleus undergoes a transition from state $|i\rangle$ to $|f\rangle$.
→ Cline's "**safe energy**" criterion – if the distance between nuclear surfaces is greater than 5 fm, the nuclear interaction is negligible.
- Then it decays to the lower state, emitting a γ -ray (or a conversion electron).
- The matrix elements $\langle f||M(E2)||i\rangle$ describe the excitation and decay pattern → they are directly connected with γ -ray intensities observed in the experiment.
- In the intrinsic frame of the nucleus they are related to the deformation parameters.

Basic facts about Coulex **experiments**


- Due to the purely electromagnetic interaction the nucleus undergoes a transition from state $|i\rangle$ to $|f\rangle$.
 - Cline's "**safe energy**" criterion – if the distance between nuclear surfaces is greater than 5 fm, the nuclear interaction is negligible.
 - to properly describe the excitation process - **particle detectors** needed
- Then it decays to the lower state, emitting a γ -ray (or a conversion electron).
- The matrix elements $\langle f||M(E2)||i\rangle$ describe the excitation and decay pattern → they are directly connected with γ -ray intensities observed in the experiment.
- In the intrinsic frame of the nucleus they are related to the deformation parameters.

Basic facts about Coulex **experiments**

- Due to the purely electromagnetic interaction the nucleus undergoes a transition from state $|i\rangle$ to $|f\rangle$.
 - Cline's "**safe energy**" criterion – if the distance between nuclear surfaces is greater than 5 fm, the nuclear interaction is negligible.
 - to properly describe the excitation process - **particle detectors** needed
- Then it decays to the lower state, emitting a γ -ray (or a conversion electron).
 - **gamma detectors** needed
- The matrix elements $\langle f||M(E2)||i\rangle$ describe the excitation and decay pattern → they are directly connected with γ -ray intensities observed in the experiment.
- In the intrinsic frame of the nucleus they are related to the deformation parameters.



Why are particle detectors useful in Coulex experiments?

- to select Coulomb excitation events (intermediate-energy Coulex, exotic beam experiments, experiments with oxide targets...)
- to describe the excitation process \rightarrow information on θ scattering angle needed
- to perform a Doppler correction of gamma-rays \rightarrow information on θ and ϕ necessary
- to measure particle-gamma angular correlations \rightarrow information on ϕ generally more useful




Gamma-particle angular correlations

- feasible at several thousands of counts in a given gamma line
- determination of E2/M1 mixing ratios
- determination of spin of a decaying level
- distribution in phi usually more conclusive than in theta



Exotic beam experiments


- usually one- or two-step excitation; level schemes not well known on the neutron-rich side
- beam intensities rather low: particle detectors at forward angles to maximise the statistics
- normalisation to target excitation or Rutherford scattering needed
- low statistics, sometimes only one gamma line observed
- differential measurements at the limits of feasibility
- high background from β decay
→ experiments without particle detection impossible



Simplest Coulex detector: no detector at all

Doppler correction impossible; how can we manage?

- traditional "thick target" measurements
→ lifetimes should be long compared to stopping time
- strongly asymmetric inverse kinematics, everything goes forward
→ favours one-step excitation - suitable for example to search for mixed symmetry 2^+ states





^{128}Xe on ^{12}C

L. Coquard *et al.*, PRC 80 (2009) 061304

Simplest Coulex detector: no detector at all




- possibility of collecting gamma singles in a particle- γ coincidence measurement:
 - independent data set (different ranges of incident energy and scattering angles)
 - can help to disentangle various excitation patterns!



^{32}S on ^{100}Mo
K. Hadyńska-Kłęk *et al.*, to be published

"Standard" stable beam Coulex: detectors at backward angles

- only scattered beam particles detected – in principle no need to know their energy
 - (although it may help – makes possible to make cuts on incident energy)
- very compact geometry possible (chambers of 5 cm radius)
- detectors used: Si (segmented/PIN diodes), plastic, solar cells, MCP,...



Munich Chamber, HIL Warsaw


K. Wrzosek *et al.*, *Acta Phys. Pol.* B39 (2008) 513

LUNA, JAEA Tokai

Y. Toh *et al.*, *Rev. Sci. Instr.* 73 (2002)



"Standard" exotic beam Coulex: detectors at forward angles

- simultaneous detection of scattered projectiles and recoils
- unambiguous identification necessary for excitation process description & Doppler correction
- detectors used: PPAC (stable beams), segmented Si / CsI(Tl) (exotic beams)



Identification ejectile-recoil: time


- CHICO: 4π PPAC array designed for GAMMASPHERE
- chamber diameter 36 cm (distance target-detector 15 cm)
- timing resolution 500 ps
- for $^{136}\text{Xe} + ^{178}\text{Hf}$ Coulex: 10 ns TOF difference, ejectile and recoil well resolved



A. Hayes *et al.*, PRC 75 (2007) 034308


Identification ejectile-recoil: energy

- for Si detectors and targets of 1-2 mg/cm²: ejectile and recoil should differ in mass by roughly a factor of two
- this limits observed excitation for mass > 100 (heavy targets like Pt or Pb cannot be used)





Exotic beam experiments: future

- increase in RIB intensities
- multi-step excitation experiments will become common
- Coulex one of the most important methods to measure transition probabilities on the neutron-rich side
- need for novel particle detectors



Si detectors for high-intensity RIB Coulex?



Coulomb excitation of ^{44}Ar



Direct beam of intensity 10^3 pps hitting 5-10% of detector area

Si detectors for high-intensity RIB Coulex?

Coulomb excitation of ^{44}Ar




Direct beam of intensity 10^3 pps hitting 5-10% of detector area

Rate equivalent to Rutherford scattering of 10^8 pps beam at $15^\circ < \theta < 25^\circ$

Diamond CVD detectors


- promising detector material for harsh environment: used for beam monitoring in high-energy physics (CERN, HADES...)
- high E_g → negligible leakage current at the room temperature
- low dielectric constant → low capacitance → low noise
- high carrier mobility → fast signal collection → excellent timing properties
- but... contradictory information on radiation hardness of SC diamond detectors



I. Zamboni *et al.*, submitted to Diamond and Related Materials

Additional measurements needed for Coulex data analysis...


- lifetime measurements
 - necessary for integral cross-section measurements



A.M. Hurst *et al.*,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 072501 (2007)

Additional measurements needed for Coulex data analysis...

- lifetime measurements
 - necessary for integral cross-section measurements




J. Ljungvall *et al.*,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 102502 (2008)

- increase precision of quadrupole moments/intra-band matrix elements for differential measurements

Additional measurements needed for Coulex data analysis...

- lifetime measurements
 - necessary for integral cross-section measurements







J. Ljungvall *et al.*,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 102502 (2008)

- increase precision of quadrupole moments/intra-band matrix elements for differential measurements
- beam composition (isobaric contamination/isomeric ratio)
- beam energy
- conversion coefficients/E0 branchings

Incident energy


- Strong dependence of multi-step excitation and reorientation effect on beam energy
- Correct beam energy required!



K. Wrzosek-Lipska, PhD thesis (2011)

E0 strengths

- decay branch invisible for Ge detectors
- important for 0^+ states (^{74}Kr , ^{100}Mo , ...) and heavy nuclei



$\alpha (2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ in ^{184}Hg : **23(5)**

E. Rapisarda *et al.*, to be published

- electron spectroscopy measurements for strongly converted transitions?

Summary

- low-energy Coulomb excitation has a long tradition and a great future
- high intensity RIBs bring both opportunities and challenges
- progress in gamma-ray detection should be accompanied by development of new particle detectors for Coulex