





NBS project : Batch migration to Grid Engine

Philippe.Olivero @cc.in2p3.fr Rencontre LCG-France 1 décembre 2011



CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE





- Reminder
- \circ Steps of the project
- Migration to GE : Method
- Deployment : fixed technical problems and Oracle Support
- Current situation
- Conclusion : benefit and loss



• ESC recommandations:



- 2008 Local batch system review submitted to foreign external experts
- **2009** Decision to change the bactch system : Local study of batch systems
- 2010 Decision to start a migration to Grid Engine (versus LSF)

• Main reasons :

- To scale face to predicted CPU load increase
- To decrease FTE's (3) necessary to manage BQS
- To break CC isolation in the HEP community
- To minimize efforts to adapt the Batch system to Grid Middleware changes
- GE in the Hep communnity
 - Oracle GE : only CC
 - Oracle Univa : Desy, Kit, PDSF, GSI, Cesga + some small sites
 - Son Of Oracle : 3, 4 sites





- 2009 June Decision to change the batch system
- **o 2010 February** Decision to select Grid Engine (vs LSF)
- 2010 May Pre-production GE cluster for CC-experts to work
- 2010 October Pre-production cluster open to CC : usage and work
- **o 2010 December** Pre-production cluster open to selected guinean pigs
- 2011 April Pre-production cluster open to users, calls for migration
- 2011 June Official Production cluster [29%] with Oracle Support
- \circ 2011 November Production cluster [~ 90%] -- Wlcg jobs on GE
- 2011 December 6th : End of migration to GE BQS decommissioned



Migration to GE - Method

A project manager appointed by CC Steering Commitee

• Constitution of a first group to cope with NBS project (New Batch System)

o the Operation Group leader, 1 batchMaster, 1 BQS developer,

1 SysAdmin, 2 help-desk, 1 Decisional (accounting) and the Quality manager

 ${\scriptstyle \circ}$ Episodic collaborators from other teams :

Accounting, SysGrid, Dev, Storage, sysAdmins

 \circ Definition of milestones and technical problems to solve

A responsible appointed by the group per each technical problem to follow

o ~2 weekly basis coordination meeting

Intermediate specific technical meetings with CC experts

Tests clusters to investigate, to try solutions, to set configurations,

• Tests by first guinea pigs

Deployment : fixed technical points



- AFS tokens renewal
- Force local disk usage for stdout and stderr (and not in /afs::\$HOME)
- **o Disk space limits per job**
- Accounting compatibility, local and Grid reports
- Jobs flow regulation to control storage systems accesses (using GE facilities)
- GPFS secured access control
- Improvements of Jobs information (especially for Grid Jobs)
- o gLite Cream-CE adaptation (on going process to include this work in official release)

(quite) light developments (in prlog/epilogs)

Efforts on internal and end-users documentation

Deployment : Oracle Support tickets

- Available since June 2011
- \circ Loss of AFS tokens when masters switch
- \circ Loss of worker spool files at end of Job
- Episodic and unexplained long scheduling execution
- Bug in midnight jobs accounting
- Statistics since June 2011:
 - o 9 open tickets
 - o 3 correctly closed (patches and workaround)
 - o 6 pending answers for now (5 waiting state, 1 upgrade)
- A globally good satisfaction for Oracle reactivity and answers
- Planned upgrades (current is 2.6 Update 5 with corrective patches):
 - Update 7 as soon as patches are available
 - Update 8 when release is available (2012Q1)

[patched] [patched] [upgrade recommanded] [workaround]





- o ~90% really migrated today
- ~ 5 % minimum will be migrated by December 6th
- \circ LHC grid jobs totally out of BQS since November 24th
- Last machines will be migrated during outage of December 6th
- \circ Use of Oracle support with a (pretty) good reactivity
- Ready to scale for the future needs
- BQS decommissionning on time

• Planned actions to make CC developed code for Cream-CE in the official release

• First actions to initiate a GE community [mainly in HEP]

- Hepix Reports (Batch survey and study, Tests robustness, NBS project)
- First list of contacts (Kit, Desy and smaller sites) to organise a GE-workshop





 \circ Pros

- Batch System FTEs decreased from 3 (inc. 2.2 Dev) to 1.5 (will still decrease)
- One single farm for all types of jobs
- Ease of administration and configuration per user/group/machine, ...
- \circ Cons
 - Lack of jobs information
 - No smooth spawn of jobs (« Distribution rate »)



Questions ?