Quantum integrable models with boundaries and q-Onsager algebras.

Samuel BELLIARD, INFN, Bologna

LAPTH-June 2011

Samuel BELLIARD, INFN, Bologna Quantum integrable models with boundaries and q-Onsager algebras.

JQC.

э

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Plan

- Quantum integrable models
- Quantum algebras and their subalgebras
- q-Onsager algebras
- Conclusion

э

DQC

Quantum integrable models

Quantum groups and their subalgebras q-Onsager algebras Conclusion Quantum integrable models and hidden symmetries Different families of the quantum integrable models Quantum integrable models with boundary Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line

Quantum integrable models

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

э

Quantum integrable models and hidden symmetries Different families of the quantum integrable models Quantum integrable models with boundary Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line

Quantum integrable models and hidden symmetries

The Quantum Integrability is a mimic of the classical Liouville's definition :

Definition

A quantum model with Hamiltonian H is said Integrable if we can find N algebraic independent operators H_i such that H and H_i form an abelian algebra. N is the number of degrees of freedom of H.



Quantum integrable models and hidden symmetries Different families of the quantum integrable models Quantum integrable models with boundary Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line

Different families of the quantum integrable models

► One-dimensional Spin chains (XXX, XXZ, XYZ , Hubbard model, generalizations,...)

► Two-dimensional Quantum field theories (Conformal models, Chiral principal models, affine Toda models, sigma models,...)

► Two-dimensional Statistical models (Ising, Chiral-Potts, 6-8 vertex, ...)

All these models are related by the R-matrix solution of the Yang Baxter equation (Star-triangle equation, factorization equation), (Yang 1967, Baxter 1971-73) :

 $R_{12}(u,v)R_{13}(u)R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v)R_{13}(u)R_{12}(u,v).$

▶ One-dimensional Spin chains : $l(u) = tr_0(L_0(u)) = tr_0(R_{01}(u) \dots R_{0N}(u))$ are generating functions of Halmitonian (Leningrad school 1979).

▶ Two-dimensional Quantum field theories : scattering amplitudes $S(u) \propto R(u)$ (Zamolodchikov and Zamolodchikov 1979).

▶ Two-dimensional Statistical models : Boltzmann weights are related to *R*(*u*) (Baxter 1982).

Quantum integrable models and hidden symmetries Different families of the quantum integrable models Quantum affine Toda field theories on the hine Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line

Quantum integrable models with boundary

For Quantum integrable models with boundary we need one more object, the K-matrix, solution of the Reflection equation ($\hat{R}, \hat{R}, \bar{R}$ are related to R and depends of the type of boundary) (Cherednik 1984, Sklyanin 1988) :

 $R_{12}(u,v)K_1(u)\bar{R}_{12}(u,v)K_2(v) = K_2(v)\tilde{R}_{12}(u,v)K_1(u)\hat{R}_{12}(u,v).$

▶ One dimensional Spin chains : K(u) encodes the boundary of the spin chain and appears in the construction of the generating functions of the hamiltonian (Sklyanin 1988).

▶ Two dimensional Quantum field theories : boundary scattering amplitudes $B(u) \propto K(u)$ (Cherednik 1984).

▶ Two dimensional Statistical models : boundary Boltzmann weights are related to K(u).

 \Rightarrow Solution of Yang Baxter equation and Reflection equation are central for integrable models but both are nonlinear equations hard to solve directly.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト -

Quantum integrable models and hidden symmetries Different families of the quantum integrable models Quantum affine Toda field theories on the line Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line

Quantum affine Toda field theory

The Quantum affine Toda field theory on the line are defined by the Euclidean action :

$$A_{bulk} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dx dt \left(\partial \phi \overline{\partial} \phi + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{n} n_j \exp\left(-i\hat{\beta} \frac{1}{|\alpha_j|^2} \alpha_j \cdot \phi\right) \right)$$

where $\phi(x,t)$ is an *n*-component bosonic field, $\{\alpha_j\}$ and n_j are the simple roots and the Kac labels of \hat{g} , λ is related with the mass scale and $\hat{\beta}$ is the coupling constant.

Remark

▶ non-local conserved currents close on the algebra $U_q(\hat{g})$ which is the symmetry algebra, (Bernard and Leclair 1991)

From this symmetry algebra we can compute the scattering matrix S up to a scalar function from a linear equation. Unitarity and Crossing symmetry constrain the scalar function.

Quantum integrable models and hidden symmetries Different families of the quantum integrable models Quantum integrable models with boundary Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line

Quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line

The Quantum affine Toda field theory on the half-line (soliton non-preserving) are defined by the Euclidean action :

$$A_{boundary} = S_{bulk}|_{x<0} + \frac{\lambda_b}{2\pi} \int dt \sum_{j=0}^n \epsilon_j \exp\left(-i\frac{\hat{\beta}}{2}\alpha_j \cdot \phi(0,t)\right)$$

 λ_b is related with the mass scale and $\{\epsilon_j\}$ are the boundary parameters or operators.

Remark

▶ non local conserved currents are in $B \subset U_q(\hat{g})$ (Mezincescu and Nepomechie 1998, Delius and MacKay 2002).

We can compute the boundary scattering matrix K up to a scalar function **from linear equation**. Unitarity and Crossing symmetry constraint the scalar function.

(4月) とうりょうり

Different presentation of the Quantum algebra $U_q(\hat{g}$ q-Serre-Chevalley presentation RLL presentation Applications of different presentations Reflection algebras Other presentations of the reflection algebras

Quantum algebras and their subalgebras

Samuel BELLIARD, INFN, Bologna Quantum integrable models with boundaries and q-Onsager algebras.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

э

Different presentation of the Quantum algebra $U_q(\hat{g})$ q-Serre-Chevalley presentation RLL presentation Applications of different presentations Reflection algebras Other presentations of the reflection algebras

Different presentation of the Quantum algebra $U_q(\widehat{g})$



Different presentation of the Quantum algebra U_q (\hat{g} q-Serre-Chevalley presentation RLL presentation Applications of different presentations Reflection algebras Other presentations of the reflection algebras

q-Serre-Chevalley presentation

Let $\{a_{ij}\}$ be the extended Cartan matrix of the an affine Lie algebra \widehat{g} and integers d_i are such that $d_i a_{ij}$ is symmetric. $U_q(\widehat{g})$ is an associative algebra over \mathbb{C} with unit 1 generated by the elements $\{x_i^{\pm}, q_i^{\pm \frac{h_i}{2}}\}$, $i \in 0 \dots n$ subject to the relations (Drinfeld 1986, Jimbo 1986) :

$$\begin{split} q_i^{\pm \frac{h_i}{2}} q_i^{\pm \frac{h_i}{2}} &= 1, \qquad q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}} q_j^{\frac{h_j}{2}} = q_j^{\frac{h_j}{2}} q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}} \ ,\\ q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}} x_j^{\pm} q_i^{-\frac{h_i}{2}} &= q_i^{\pm \frac{a_{ij}}{2}} x_j^{\pm}, \quad [x_i^+, x_j^-] = \delta_{ij} \frac{q_i^{h_i} - q_i^{-h_i}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \ ,\\ \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 - a_{ij} \\ r \end{array} \right]_{q_i} (x_i^{\pm})^{1-a_{ij}-r} x_j^{\pm} (x_i^{\pm})^r = 0 \ . \end{split}$$

with $q_i = q^{d_i}$, $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[b]_q!}{[r]_q![b-r]_q!}$, $[b]_q! = [b]_q[b-1]_q \dots [1]_q$ and $[b]_q = \frac{q^b - q^{-b}}{q - q^{-1}}$. \blacktriangleright Hopf structure : $\Delta(x_i^{\pm}) = x_i^{\pm} \otimes q_i^{-\frac{h_i}{2}} + q_i^{\frac{h_i}{2}} \otimes x_i^{\pm}$, $\Delta(q_i^{\pm \frac{h_i}{2}}) = q_i^{\pm \frac{h_i}{2}} \otimes q_i^{\pm \frac{h_i}{2}}$.

Different presentation of the Quantum algebra $Uq\left(\hat{g}\right)$ q-Serre-Chevalley presentation **RLL presentation** Applications of different presentations Reflection algebras Other presentations of the reflection algebras

RLL presentation

 $U_q(\widehat{g})$ is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, i.e. there is an R-matrix such that (intertwiner equation) :

$$R_{12}(u/v)(\pi_u \otimes \pi_v)\Delta(x) = (\pi_u \otimes \pi_v) \sigma \circ \Delta(x) R_{12}(u/v), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$$

with $\sigma(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$. For $\pi_u \otimes \pi_v$ an irreducible representation, R is unique and is a solution of the Yang-Baxter Equation :

 $R_{12}(u/v)R_{13}(u)R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v)R_{13}(u)R_{12}(u/v).$

The algebra $U_q(\widehat{g})$ can be formulate with generators $L(u) = \sum_{ij} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{ij} L_{ij}^{(n)} u^{-n}$ subject to the relations (Leningrad school 80'ies) :

 $R_{12}(u/v)L_1(u)L_2(v) = L_2(v)L_1(u)R_{12}(u/v),$

• Hopf structure : $\Delta(L(u)) = L(u) \dot{\otimes} L(u)$

・ 何 ト ・ ラ ト ・ ラ ト ・

Different presentation of the Quantum algebra $U_q\left(\hat{g} \right.$ q-Serre-Chevalley presentation RLL presentation Applications of different presentations Reflection algebras Other presentations of the reflection algebras

Applications of different presentations

▶ q-Serre Chevalley presentation : construction of the *R*-matrices from the intertwiner equation (Jimbo 1986), study of the finite dimensional representations (Chary Presley 1991),...

▶ RLL presentation : for c = 0 construction of the abelian algebra l(u) = tr(L(u)) with [l(u), l(v)] = 0, diagonalisation of l(u) using the algebraic Bethe ansatz method, calculation of correlation functions (for $\hat{g} = \hat{sl}_2$ Lyon group's 1998),...

▶ Current presentation : Infinite dimensional representation (Frenkel and Jing 1988), Vertex operators and Correlation functions of infinite XXZ spin chains (Jimbo and all 1992), Scalar products of the eigenvectors of the $U_q(\widehat{sl}_3)$ spin chains (Belliard, Ragoucy and Pakuliak 2010),...

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Different presentation of the Quantum algebra $U_q\left(\widehat{g}\right)$ q-Serre-Chevalley presentation RLL presentation Applications of different presentations **Reflection algebras** Other presentations of the reflection algebras

Reflection algebras

There are two families of reflection algebras, $B_q(\widehat{g})$ and $B_q^*(\widehat{g})$, generated by the generators $\mathcal{K}(u)$ and $\mathcal{K}^*(u)$, respectively, subject to the relations (Cherednik 1984, Sklyanin 1988) :

 $\begin{aligned} R_{12}(u/v)\mathcal{K}_{1}(u)R_{21}(uv)\mathcal{K}_{2}(v) &= \mathcal{K}_{2}(v)R_{12}(uv)\mathcal{K}_{1}(u)R_{21}(u/v)\\ R_{12}(u/v)\mathcal{K}_{1}^{*}(u)R_{12}^{t_{1}}(1/uv)\mathcal{K}_{2}^{*}(v) &= \mathcal{K}_{2}^{*}(v)R_{12}^{t_{1}}(1/uv)\mathcal{K}_{1}^{*}(u)R_{12}(u/v) \end{aligned}$

These algebras are two subalgebras of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g})$:

$$\mathcal{K}(u) = L(u)K(u)L(u^{-1})^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{K}^*(u) = L(u)K^*(u)L(u^{-1})^t.$$

► Coideal structure, $\delta : B_q^{(*)}(\widehat{g}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g}) \otimes B_q^{(*)}(\widehat{g}).$ $\delta(\mathcal{K}(u)) = L(u)\mathcal{K}(u)L(u^{-1})^{-1}, \ \delta(\mathcal{K}^*(u)) = L(u)\mathcal{K}^*(u)L(u^{-1})^t.$

Remark :

▶ Automorphisms : $\mu_1(L(u)) = L(u^{-1})^{-1}$ and $\mu_2(L(u)) = L(u^{-1})^t$. ▶ If the matrix R satisfy the crossing symetry $R_{12}(u) = M_2 R_{12}^{t_1}(u^{-1}a)M_2$ with $M^2 = \mathbb{I}$ then $\mathcal{K}^*(u) = \mathcal{K}(u\sqrt{a})M$.

 \Rightarrow Other presentations of the reflection algebras?

Different presentation of the Quantum algebra $U_q\left(\widehat{g}\right)$ q-Serre-Chevalley presentation RLL presentation Applications of different presentations Reflection algebras Other presentations of the reflection algebras

Other presentations of the reflection algebras

▶ In the case of finite Lie algebra *g*, reflection algebras appear in the study of quantum symmetric spaces Noumi and Sugitani (1995) :

- \mathcal{K} : deformation of $AIII = SU(n+p)/(SU(n) \times SU(p))$.
- \mathcal{K}^* : deformation of AI = SU(n)/SO(n) or AII = SU(2n)/Sp(2n).

▶ For the case infinite Lie algebra \hat{g} , the generator of the symmetry algebra of the quantum Toda field theory on the half-line are given by :

$$A_i = c_i (x_i^+ q_i^{h_i/2} + x_i^- q_i^{h_i/2}) + w_i q_i^{h_i}.$$

Remark :

The operator A_i belong to a subalgebra of U_q(ĝ) invariant by the automorphism : η(x_i[±]) = x_i[∓], η(h_i) = −h_i, η(q_i) = q_i⁻¹ (i.e. η(A_i) = A_i).
Coideal structure : Δ(A_i) = c_i(x_i⁺q_i^{h_i/2} + x_i⁻q_i^{h_i/2}) ⊗ 1 + q_i^{h_i} ⊗ A_i.

\Rightarrow On which algebra these generator close?

- 4 回 5 - 4 三 5 - 4 三 5 - -

Definition (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010) Some direct applications Example : the case of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ Dynamical K-matrix Dynamical boundary amplitudes

q-Onsager algebras

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 三> < 三>

э

JAC.

Definition (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010) Some direct applications Example : the case of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ Dynamical K-matrix Dynamical boundary amplitudes

Definition (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010)

Let $\{a_{ij}\}$ be the extended Cartan matrix of the an affine Lie algebra \widehat{g} . The generalized q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$ is an associative algebra with unit 1, elements A_i and scalars $\rho_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $i, j \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$, $k \in \{0, 1, ..., [-\frac{a_{ij}}{2}] - 1\}^1$ and $l \in \{0, 1, ..., -a_{ij} - 1 - 2k\}$ (k and l are positive integer). The defining relations are (the γ_{ij}^{kl} are known) :

$$\sum_{r=0}^{l-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} \mathsf{A}_i^{1-a_{ij}-r} \mathsf{A}_j \mathsf{A}_i^r = \\ \sum_{k=0}^{[-\frac{a_{ij}}{2}]-1} \rho_{ij}^k \sum_{l=0}^{-2k-a_{ij}-1} (-1)^l \gamma_{ij}^{kl} \mathsf{A}_i^{-2k-a_{ij}-1-l} \mathsf{A}_j \mathsf{A}_i^l ,$$

▶ Coideal structure, $\delta : \mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g}) \to \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{g}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g}).$

$$\delta(A_i) = c_i (x_i^+ q_i^{h_i/2} + x_i^- q_i^{h_i/2}) \otimes 1 + q_i^{h_i} \otimes A_i$$

with relations between the c_i and the ρ_{ij} .

1. [a] means the nearest higher integer of a with [1/2]=1.

Definition (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010) Some direct applications Example : the case of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ Dynamical K-matrix Dynamical boundary amplitudes

Some direct applications

- Admissible integrable scalar conditions ϵ_i for quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line are given by the one dimensional representation \mathcal{E} of the q-Onsgager algebra. In the classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$ we recover the known results (Corrigan and col. 1994-1995).
- ► Construction of the scalar K-matrices from intertwiner relations :

$$K^*(u)\left(\pi_u \otimes \mathcal{E}\right) \circ \delta(A_i) = \left(\bar{\pi}_{u^{-1}} \otimes \mathcal{E}\right) \circ \delta(A_i) K^*(u)$$

for $a_n^{(1)}$ and $d_n^{(1)}$ (Delius and MacKay 2002-2003).

Admissible integrable algebraic "dynamical" conditions for quantum affine Toda field theories on the half-line :

 $\epsilon_i = W_i = \psi(A_i)$ with ψ an homomorphism of q-Onsager algebras (Bazhanov, Hibberd and Khoroshkin 2002, Baseilhac and Koizumi 2003).

► Construction of Dynamical *K*-matrices from intertwiner relations

$$K^*(u)\left(\pi_u \otimes \psi\right) \circ \delta(A_i) = \left(\bar{\pi}_{u^{-1}} \otimes \psi\right) \circ \delta(A_i) K^*(u)$$

case $a_2^{(2)}$ and $a_2^{(1)}$ (Belliard and Fomin, in preparation)

Definition (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010) Some direct applications Example : the case of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ Dynamical K-matrix Dynamical boundary amplitudes

Example : the case of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$

 related physical models : Bullough-Dodd, Mikhailov-Zhiber-Shabat or Izergin-Korepin models.

The algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ is given by the relations :

$$\begin{split} & [A_0, [A_0, A_1]_{q^4}]_{q^{-4}} - \rho A_1 = 0, \\ & [A_1, [A_1, [A_1, [A_1, A_0]_{q^4}]_{q^{-4}}]_{q^2}]_{q^{-2}}, A_0] \\ & - [A_1, \bar{\rho} (A_1 A_1 A_0 - w A_1 A_0 A_1 + A_0 A_1 A_1) + \tilde{\rho} A_0] = 0, \\ & w = \frac{(q - 1 + q^{-1})(q^4 + 2 q^2 + 4 + 2 q^{-2} + q^{-4})}{q^4 + 3 + q^{-4}}. \end{split}$$

With the coaction :

$$\begin{array}{lll} \delta(\mathsf{A}_1) &=& c_1(x_1^+ q^{h_1/2} + x_1^- q^{h_1/2}) \otimes 1 + q^{h_1} \otimes \mathsf{A}_1 \ , \\ \delta(\mathsf{A}_0) &=& c_0(x_0^+ q^{2h_0} + x_0^- q^{2h_0}) \otimes 1 + q^{4h_0} \otimes \mathsf{A}_0 \ . \end{array}$$

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Definition (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010) Some direct applications Example : the case of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ Dynamical K-matrix Dynamical boundary amplitudes

Dynamical K-matrix

► A finite dimensional subalgebra is given by the Zhedanov or Askey-Willson algebra (Zhedanov 1992) :

 $[\mathsf{W}_1, [\mathsf{W}_1, \mathsf{W}_0]_{q^4}]_{q^{-4}} = \mu \mathsf{W}_0 + C, \qquad [\mathsf{W}_0, [\mathsf{W}_0, \mathsf{W}_1]_{q^4}]_{q^{-4}} = \bar{\mu} \mathsf{W}_1$

The map $\psi(A_i) \to W_i$ defines an algebra homomorphism (with $\rho, \bar{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ function of q, μ and $\bar{\mu}$).

▶ For this case, the two family of reflection algebra are equivalent (crossing symmetrie). We consider the intertwiner relation :

$$K^{d}(u)\left(\pi_{u}\otimes\psi\right)\circ\delta(\mathsf{A}_{i})=\left(\pi_{u^{-1}}\otimes\psi\right)\circ\delta(\mathsf{A}_{i})K^{d}(u).$$

If $K^d(u)$ existe and the space is irreducible then $K^d(u)$ is unique (up to a scalar function) and is a solution of the reflection equation :

$$R_{12}(u/v)K_1^d(u)R_{12}(uv)K_2^d(v) = K_2^d(v)R_{12}(uv)K_1^d(u)R_{12}(u/v).$$

- 4 回 トーイ ヨトー

Definition (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010 Some direct applications Example : the case of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ Dynamical K-matrix Dynamical boundary amplitudes

A solution of the intertwiner relation is given by :

$$K(u) = K^{(1)}(u) + K^{(2)}(u)$$

with

$$K^{(1)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1(u, C, Q) & 0 & h(u, C) \\ 0 & h_2(u, C, Q) & 0 \\ h(u, C) & 0 & h_3(u, C, Q) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{split} K^{(2)}(u) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} {}^{\alpha\beta g \, u \, W_1^2} + \frac{q}{\beta(q+q^{-1})} W_0 & q^{-\frac{7}{2}} [W_1, W_0]_{q^{-4}} + q^{\frac{7}{2}} \alpha \, u \, W_1 & \beta \, [[W_0, W_1]_{q^4}, W_1]_{q^4} \\ q^{-\frac{7}{2}} [W_0, W_1]_{q^{-4}} + q^{\frac{7}{2}} \alpha \, u \, W_1 & -\frac{q^4}{\beta} W_0 & q^{\frac{7}{2}} [W_0, W_1]_{q^4} + q^{-\frac{7}{2}} \alpha \, u^{-1} \, W_1 \\ \beta \, [W_1, [W_1, W_0]_{q^4}]_{q^4} & q^{\frac{7}{2}} [W_1, W_0]_{q^4} + q^{-\frac{7}{2}} \alpha \, u^{-1} \, W_1 & -\alpha\beta \frac{g}{q^8 \, u} \, W_1^2 + \frac{q^7}{\beta} \frac{q^7}{(q+q^{-1})} \, W_0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Samuel BELLIARD, INFN, Bologna Quantum integrable models with boundaries and q-Onsager algebras.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 三> < 三>

DQC

3

Definition (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010) Some direct applications Example : the case of $\mathcal{O}_q(a_2^{(2)})$ Dynamical K-matrix Dynamical boundary amplitudes

Dynamical boundary amplitudes

The Dynamical boundary amplitudes of the Dynamical boundary Toda field theory $a_2^{(2)}$ with imaginary coupling is given by :

$$B(u) = k(u)K^d(u)$$

with satisfy the axiom of :Unitarity :

$$B(u)B(u^{-1}) = B(u^{-1})B(u) = 1$$

Crossing symmetrie :

$$tr_2(S_{12}(u^2)M_1^{t_1}B^{t_1}(-q^{-6}u^{-1})M_1P_{12}) = B_1(u)$$

It fixes B(u) up to CDD factor b(u) such that $b(u)b(u^{-1}) = 1$ and $b(u) = b(-q^{-6}u^{-1})$.

伺下 イラト イラト

The results and work in progress Some open problems and direction of research

Conclusion

Samuel BELLIARD, INFN, Bologna Quantum integrable models with boundaries and q-Onsager algebras.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

= 990

The results and work in progress Some open problems and direction of research

The results and work in progress

▶ The symmetry algebra of the affine Toda field theories on the half-line with non preserving boundary conditions has been obtained and allowed to classify admissible boundary condition (scalar, dynamic) : $O_q(\hat{g})$. (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010)

▶ For the case $O_q(a_1^{(1)})$ a systematic derivation of the integrable hierarchy has been obtained. (Baseilhac and Belliard 2010)

For the case $O_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $O_q(a_2^{(1)})$ the dynamical *K*-matrices are obtained (Belliard and Fomin 2011).

▶ Dynamical amplitudes for quantum Toda fields theories related to $O_q(a_2^{(2)})$ and $O_q(a_2^{(1)})$, weak strong duality? (Belliard, in preparation).

Same result could be obtain for the Principal Chiral model : $\mathcal{Y}^t(a_1)$ (Belliard and Crampé, in preparation).

4 D K 4 B K 4 B K 4 B K

Some open problems and direction of research

- Finite dimensional representation theory of $\mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{g})$?
 - -Case $O_q(\hat{sl}_2)$: Tridiagonal pairs (Terwilliger and Ito 2008, Baseilhac 2006)

-Case $O_q(\widehat{sl}_n)$: evaluation to the Gavrilik and Klimyk algebra = $O_q(sl_n)$ and use the this representation theory (Gavrilik and lorgov 1997)

 \Rightarrow application to the diagonalisation of finite spin chains (Baseilhac and Kozumi (2007) for XXZ spin chain), Orthogonal polynomials,...

▶ Infinite dimensional representation theory of $\mathcal{O}_q(\hat{g})$, Vertex operators. -Case $O_q(\hat{sl}_2)$: Baseilhac and Belliard (2011)

 \Rightarrow application to semi-infinite spin chains (Jimbo and all), construction of boundary Q Baxter operator,...

▶ "q-Serre-Chevalley" formulation for $\mathcal{Y}^t(g)$, case other families of reflection equations, clarify the link with affine symmetric spaces,...