MEMPHYS Simulation & Performance A. Tonazzo, N. Vassilopoulos^{*} / APC for MEMPHYS

* now at IPHC, Strasbourg













ASTROPARTICLILE ET COSMOLOGIE

MEMPHYS: Underground Laboratory and Detector

underground water Cherenkov at Laboratoire Souterrain de Modane Fréjus at 4800 m.w.e.

total fiducial volume: up to 400 kton: 3 x 65mX60 modules could be designed up to 572kton: 3 x 65mX80m

- \cdot size, shape limited by light attenuation length (λ ~80m) and pressure on PMTs
- readout : ~3 x 81k 12" (alternatively 8", 10") PMTs, 30% cover

PMT R&D + detailed study on excavation existing & ongoing + prototype Cherenkov detector MEMPHYNO









one possible design at LSM (by Lombardi SA Inagenieurs – Conseils)

MEMPHYS physics goals

- > Proton decay sensitivity:
 - up to 10^{35} yrs in 10y from the "golden" channel: $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$
 - up to $2x10^{34}$ yrs in 10y from p \rightarrow K⁺ + anti-v
- SuperNova core collapse:
 - huge statistics from galactic SN => spectral analysis in E,t, flavour -> access SN collapse mechanism / neutrino oscillation parameters
 - sensitivity up to ~1 Mpc
 - possibility of early SN trigger (from event coincidence) up to ~5 Mpc
- SuperNova relic neutrinos:
 - observable in few years with significant statistics, according to most of existing models
 - direct measurement of v emission parameters possible

TOPIC	MEMPHYS (440 ktons)	$(\sim 572 \text{ ktons})$
Proton decay: $e^+\pi^0$ $\bar{\nu}K^+$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm in \ 10 \ years} \\ < 1.0 \ {\rm x \ 10^{35}} \ [{\rm y}] \ 90\% \ {\rm CL} \\ < 2 \ {\rm x \ 10^{34}} \ [{\rm y}] \ 90\% \ {\rm CL} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} {\rm in \ 10 \ years} \\ \lesssim 1.4 \ {\rm x \ 10^{35} \ [y] \ 90\% \ CL} \\ \lesssim 2.6 \ {\rm x \ 10^{34} \ [y] \ 90\% \ CL} \end{array} $
SN ν (10 kpc): CC ES	$\begin{array}{c} 2.0 \ {\rm x} \ 10^5 \ (\bar{\nu}_e) \\ 1.0 \ {\rm x} \ 10^3 \ (e) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \sim 2.6 \ \mathrm{x} \ 10^5 \ (\bar{\nu}_e) \\ \sim 1.3 \ \mathrm{x} \ 10^3 \ (e) \end{array} $
$\frac{\text{DSN }\nu \text{ (S/B 5 y)}}{\text{Solar }\nu}$ ⁸ B ES	(43 - 109)/47 (*) 1.1 x 10 ⁶ per v	$(56 - 142)/61 (\star)$ ~ 1.3 x 10 ⁶ per v
Atm. ν (per y) Geo ν Reactor ν (per y)	$4.0 \ge 10^4$ need 2 MeV thr. $6.0 \ge 10^4$ (*)	$\sim 5.2 \times 10^4$ need 2 MeV thr. $\sim 7.8 \times 10^4$ (*)

and, of course... NEUTRINO BEAMS (EUROnu WP2, WP4)

CERN-MEMPHYS: Oscillation measurements with v beams

T. Schweitz, NCW/2006, Oblanto, 15 September 2006 - 0.3

May 2011



 $\succ \theta_{13}$ discovery reach and sensitivity to CP Violation

Three options for future LBL exps

	etaB	SPL	Т2НК
Baseline:	130 km (CERN-Frejus)		295 km (Tokai-Kamioka)
WC Detector:	MEMPHYS (440 kt)		Hyper-K (440 kt)
$\langle E_{\nu} \rangle$:	400 MeV	300 MeV	760 MeV
Channel:	$\stackrel{(-)}{\nu}_{e} \rightarrow \stackrel{(-)}{\nu}_{\mu}$	$\nu^{(-)}$	$\mu \rightarrow \stackrel{(-)}{\nu}_{e}$
Time $(\nu + \bar{\nu})$:	(5+5) y	(2+8) y	
Beam:	$\gamma = 100$	$E_p = 3.6 \text{ GeV} \ E_p = 50 \text{ GeV}$	
	$^{5.8}_{2.2} \ 10^{18} \ ^{ m He}_{ m Ne}$ dcy/y	4	1 MW
Systematics:	2%–5% uncertainty on signal & background		





Figure 4: Comparison of the fluxes from SPL and βB .

CERN-MEMPHYS:

Oscillation measurements Studies with v beams



Latest Results from WP2 SuperBeam Studies



CERN-MEMPHYS: mass hierarchy and degeneracies

without ATM data

for large sin ${}^{2}2\theta_{13}$ degeneracies and mass hierarchy is possible to be resolved:

> addition of ATM data leads to a sensitivity to the neutrino mass hierarchy at 2σ CL for sin ${}^{2}2\theta_{13} \ge 0.025$ for β B and SPL

> the optimal hierarchy sensitivity is obtained from combining βB + SPL + atmospheric data

> beta beam + ATM can not solve degeneracies (no v_{μ} and insufficient spectral info)

Campagne, Maltoni, Mezzeto, Schwertz

super beam + ATM: degeneracies lifted





<u>R&D towards MEMPHYS : PMm2</u>

installed **at APC, Paris**



detailed description of the R&D: pmm2.in2p3.fr

"Innovative electronics for array of photodetectors used in High Energy Physics and Astroparticles". R&D program funded by French national agency for research

(LAL, IPNO, LAPP and Photonis) (2007-2010)

<u>Basic concept</u>: very large photodetection surface \rightarrow macropixels of PMTs connected to an autonomous frontend electronics.

Replace large PMTs (20") by groups of 16 smaller ones (12", 8") with central ASIC :

- Independent channels
- charge and time measurement
- water-tight, common High Voltage
- Only one wire out (DATA + VCC)



- I. studies on 1/2" 8" PMTs design
- parameter correlation
- potting
- pressure resistance

(collaboration with BNL since NNN07)

- II. PArISROC readout chipcomplete front-end chip
 - with 16 channels
 - testboard now in layout, soon available

MEMPHYNO at APC & Demonstrator



MEMPHYS: Full Simulation Present Status

- **Event Generator:**
 - GENIE for v beam new

- MEMPHYS Full Simulation (M. Fechner , J.E. Campagne, N. Vassilopoulos):
 - Interface with the OpenScientist v16r0 framework (G. Barrand/LAL) using distribution kits as Geant4 & CLHEP & AIDA-IO implementation to Rio (also HDF5, XML)
 - 3 modes of running in the same framework:
 - Interactive Viewing, Batch processing, AIDA_ROOT analysis
 - primary + secondary + Optical Photon info, modular detector geometry, ntuples' storage, etc...
- MEMPHYS Event Reconstruction, Analyses (N. Vassilopoulos, A. Tonazzo, M. Marafini).
 - interactive ROOT- cint
 - Solo C++ for complex/high stats using ROOT + AIDA libraries

vatmospheric (1-10GeV)

transparency by J. E. Campagne

ECFA Review Panel, Dansbury May 2011

MEMPHYS v7

11

Attenuation length studies

attenuation length in water as a function of the wavelength in **MEMPHYS** simulation

✓ looks comparable to SK data ECFA Review Panel, Dansbury May 2011

<u>MEMPHYS Single ring studies, electrons</u>

single e- events from 1 to 25 MeV (FC): PMTs and PE infos

27% more FV without light reduction

Number of PMTs with at least one photoelectron as a function of electron energy

MEMPHYS Single ring studies electrons, muons

single e- , μ + (no decays) events from 200 to 1000 MeV: PMTs and PE infos

Number of PMTs with at least one photoelectron as a function of lepton energy

$$\frac{dN}{dx} = 2\pi z^2 \alpha \sin^2 \theta_C \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^2} = 475 z^2 \sin^2 \theta_C \text{ photons/cm}$$
$$\cos \theta_C = \frac{1}{\beta n(\omega)}$$

Number of detected photoelectrons per MeV as a function of lepton energy

Single rings: electrons primary vertex fit

- > pick up a 400 MeV electron (FC), assume point like track length
- > primary vertex fit based only on each PMT's timing info: $t_{i PMT} = t_i + TOF_i = t_i = t_{i PMT} TOF_i$, where $TOF_i = (n / c) \times D$, D = distance between each PMT and grid's coordinates
- > maximize estimator E a la SK $G_p = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \exp\left(-\frac{(t_i t_0)^2}{2(1.5 \times \sigma)^2}\right)$

to find the true vertex of electron :

Single rings: particle direction, outer ring edge

- keep the 400 MeV e-
- calculate roughly the direction:

$$heta_{ ext{edge}}$$

- $\theta_{edge} > \theta_{peak}$
- $d^2 \mathsf{PE}(\theta) / d^2(\theta) = 0$

$$\vec{d_0} = \sum_i q_i \times \frac{\vec{P_i} - \vec{O}_0}{|\vec{P_i} - \vec{O}_0|}$$

<u>Single rings (FC): e-, µ+ 200MeV to 1000MeV</u> <u>ring direction</u>

find the best direction maximizing :

$$Q(\theta_{\rm edge}) = \frac{\int_0^{\theta_{\rm edge}} {\rm PE}(\theta) d\theta}{\sin \theta_{\rm edge}} \times \left(\frac{d {\rm PE}(\theta)}{d\theta} \bigg|_{\theta = \theta_{\rm edge}} \right)^2 \times \exp\left(- \frac{(\theta_{\rm edge} - \theta_{\rm exp})^2}{2\sigma_{\theta}^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{\theta}^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_{$$

PEs angular distribution seen at best vertex and with respect to true direction. Different shapes

> spread e' s rings sharper μ' s rings

Single rings: e-, µ+ 200MeV to 1000MeV pid

- use PEs (PMT) angular distribution from best reconstructed vertex and best direction as fast pid variable

20

<u>Single rings: e-, µ+ up to 1 GeV/c</u> momentum resolution (magnitude)

- > R_{tot}, to correlate momentum with measured charged
- Full detector simulation but low statistics

resolutions in between to SK-I, SK-II: higher energies higher statistics of collected charge lower energies small degradation due to detector size

ECFA Review Panel, Dansbury May 2011

<u>Single rings: e-, µ+ up to 1 GeV/c</u> <u>momentum resolution (direction)</u>

- > make the difference of true and reconstructed: resolution in degrees at 68% of events
- Full detector simulation but low statistics

MEMPHYS energy reconstruction

- momentum resolution could be be applied to any beam design to derive efficiencies, bdg. contamination and migration matrices
- e.g. true versus reconstructed
- uniform neutrino, antinuetrino samples up to 1 GeV, interactions in water simulated with GENIE

conclusions, next steps

conclusions so far:

MEMPHYS detector performance:

- > primary vertex reconstruction, ring direction
- > excellent single-ring identification as $e \text{ or } \mu$ (low stats)
- single-ring momentum and direction resolution: energy reconstruction
- detector optimisation: no light reduction when moving from 65mx60m to 65mx80m detector (+27% FV): similar results are expected in event reconstruction.

next steps:

- optimisation of vertex reconstruction, ring counting
- background: π^0 for SB and single π^{+-} for β B analyses
- high statistical neutrino samples to extract migration matrices (in progress)
- volume vs. performance studies: more detailed

THANKS