Heavy flavor production in ATLAS



A. Salzburger, CERN for the ATLAS Collaboration EPS-HEP, 21st-27nd of July 2011, Grenoble K K

Heavy flavor production

- heavy flavor production at LHC provides testing ground of QCD (calculations) at the energy frontier $\alpha_s^2 g \omega \omega \omega$
 - NLO contributions are large
 - large theoretical uncertainties due to factorization scale and renormalization scale
- heavy flavor tagging is a crucial ingredient in many new physics searches
 - needed to understand the QCD background
 - requires a deep understanding of the detector performance
- A. Salzburger EPS-HEP 2011 Heavy flavor product
 A. Salzburger EPS-HEP 2011 Heavy flavor product
 Cas³
 Guide Constant
 Guide



b

b

00000

g 20000

goood

g 2000

 $\alpha_{\rm S}^{3}$

precise innermost tracking detector

pixels, strip and transition radiation straws

 hermetic calorimeter with excellent energy and rapidity resolution

liquid argon EM calorimeter, iron tile HCAL

stand-alone muon trigger
 & tracking system



precise innermost tracking detector

pixels, strip and transition radiation straws

 hermetic calorimeter with excellent energy and rapidity resolution

liquid argon EM calorimeter, iron tile HCAL

stand-alone muon trigger
 & tracking system

Secondary vertex reconstruction:

- pixel module as described in simulation



- tomography made with data using vertices from hadronic interactions



precise innermost tracking detector

pixels, strip and transition radiation straws

 hermetic calorimeter with excellent energy and rapidity resolution

liquid argon EM calorimeter, iron tile HCAL

stand-alone muon trigger
 & tracking system



precise innermost tracking detector

pixels, strip and transition radiation straws

hermetic calorimeter with excellent energy and rapidity resolution

liquid argon EM calorimeter, iron tile HCAL

stand-alone muon trigger & tracking system

Jet energy scale:

 $r_{\rm trk} \equiv$

- well described response by simulation
- 2010 (w/o significant pileup): uncertainty 2-3 %



precise innermost tracking detector

pixels, strip and transition radiation straws

 hermetic calorimeter with excellent energy and rapidity resolution

liquid argon EM calorimeter, iron tile HCAL

stand-alone muon trigger
 & tracking system



precise innermost tracking detector

pixels, strip and transition radiation straws

 hermetic calorimeter with excellent energy and rapidity resolution

liquid argon EM calorimeter, iron tile HCAL

stand-alone muon trigger
 & tracking system



Muon reconstruction setup:

- high efficient stand-alone and combined muon reconstruction efficiency
- very well modeled by simulation

combined reconstruction efficiency (Inner Detector and Muon System)



Inclusive b-jet cross sections: dataset and strategy (1) ATLAS-CONF-2011-056

- data taken between March and August 2010
 - p-p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV
 - integrated luminosity: $\mathcal{L} = 3.0 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
 - trigger: level 1 jet trigger, MBTS trigger (extend to low jet p_T) trigger efficiency: $\varepsilon_{trig} \sim 98 \%$

▶ measurements

- inclusive cross section: $20 \text{ GeV} < p_T^{jet} < 260 \text{ GeV}, 0 < |y^{jet}| < 2.1$

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_b}{dp_T dy} = \frac{1}{\Delta p_T \Delta y} \frac{N_b \cdot frac_b}{\varepsilon_{trig} \cdot \varepsilon_{sel} \cdot \varepsilon_{btag} \cdot \mathcal{L}} \times C$$

- dijet cross section: $m_{jj} < 670 \text{ GeV}$ for $p_T^{jet} > 40 \text{ GeV}$, $0 < |y^{jet}| < 2.1$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{b\bar{b}}}{dM} = \frac{1}{\Delta M} \frac{N_{b\bar{b}} \cdot frac_b}{\varepsilon_{trig} \cdot \varepsilon_{sel} \cdot \varepsilon_{btag_2} \cdot \mathcal{L}} \times C$$

Inclusive b-jet cross sections: dataset and strategy (1) ATLAS-CONF-2011-056

- I data taken between March and August 2010
 - p-p collisions at \s = 7 TeV ATLAS-CONF-2011-011
 - integrated luminosity: $\mathcal{L} = 3.0 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ systematic uncertainty: $\pm 0.1 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
 - trigger: level 1 jet trigger, MBTS trigger (extend to low jet pT)

trigger efficiency: Etrig ~ 98 %

systematic uncertainty negligible

▶ measurements

- inclusive cross section: 20 GeV < p_T^{jet} < 260 GeV, 0 < $|y^{jet}|$ < 2.1

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_b}{dp_T dy} = \frac{1}{\Delta p_T \Delta y} \frac{N_b \cdot frac_b}{\varepsilon_{trig}} \times C$$

selection and reconstruction efficiency - dijet cross section: $m_{jj} < 670 \text{ GeV}$ for $p_T^{jet} > 40 \text{ GeV}$, $0 < |y^{jet}| < 2$ (resolution effects)

$$\frac{d\sigma_{b\bar{b}}}{dM} = \frac{1}{\Delta M} \frac{N_{b\bar{b}} \cdot frac_b}{\varepsilon_{trig} \varepsilon_{sel} \varepsilon_{btag_2} \mathcal{L}} \times C$$

Inclusive b-jet cross sections: dataset and strategy (1) ATLAS-CONF-2011-056

- I data taken between March and August 2010
 - p-p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV ATLAS-CONF-2011-011
 - integrated luminosity: $\mathcal{L} = 3.0 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ systematic uncertainty: $\pm 0.1 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
 - trigger: level 1 jet trigger, MBTS trigger (extend to low jet p⊤)

trigger efficiency: Etrig ~ 98 %

systematic uncertainty negligible

▶ measurements

- inclusive cross section: 20 GeV < p_T^{jet} < 260 GeV, 0 < $|y^{jet}|$ < 2.1 will be covered in detail in the following

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_b}{dp_T dy} = \frac{1}{\Delta p_T \Delta y} \frac{N_b \cdot frac_b}{\varepsilon_{trig}} \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$$

selection and reconstruction efficiency - dijet cross section: $m_{ii} < 670 \text{ GeV}$ for $p_T^{\text{pet}} > 40 \text{ GeV}$ unfolding corrections $v^{jet} | < 2$ (resolution effects)

$$\frac{d\sigma_{b\bar{b}}}{dM} = \frac{1}{\Delta M} \frac{N_{b\bar{b}} \cdot frac_b}{\varepsilon_{trig} |\varepsilon_{sel}| |\varepsilon_{btag_2}|\mathcal{L}|} \times$$

b-jet topology

- exploit long lifetime of the Bhadrons
 - displaced decay vertex



used for calibration













b-tagging efficiency & data/MC scale factor

scale factors for b-tag efficiency and mistag rate

- p_T^{rel} method is used up to $p_T > 80$ GeV, then collimation becomes dominant
- above p_T > 80 GeV: value of highest p_T bin taken with double syst. uncertainty for jet
- obtained as ε_{b-tag}(p_T,y), ε_{bb-tag}(M,y)

Extraction of N_b and $N_{b\overline{b}}$

 light, charm and b-quark templates for SV0 mass/sum vertex mass obtained from MC QCD samples

- main sources of syst. uncertainties
 - b-tagging efficiencies, mistag rate
 - jet energy scale,
 b-jet energy correction

- unfolding to compare to "truth b-jets"
 - a jet is labelled as a b-jet if a b-quark is found within an η - ϕ cone of R = 0.3 of the truth jet direction
 - bin-by-bin unfolding correction applied

Inclusive b-jet c ATLAS-CONF-2011-057

- 2010 data set & reco
- trigger: µ trigger with p
- integrated luminosity (f
- jet reconstruction : ant jet p_T corrected for ν ar
- μ -jet association if μ ar
- measurement
 - inclusive cross sec

template fit using p1

branching ratio of inclusive decay $b \rightarrow \mu X$ efficiency to detect and select a jet with associated µ

Inclusive b-jet cross section

*0.52 is calculated by normalizing the PYTHIA prediction to the measured integrated cross section

p_T^{rel} and SV0 method agree well !

 p_{τ}^{b-jet} [GeV]

b-jet to inclusive jet production: ratio measurement

many systematic uncertainties cancel in the ratio

- luminosity uncertainty cancels
- largely independent of jet energy scale
- dominated by uncertainty on b-tagging efficiency
- Inclusive jet cross section measurement from ATLAS <u>Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1512</u>
- POWHEG underestimates the b-jet fraction by 30 % (≈1σ effect)

Inclusive bb dijet cross section

- POWHEG (NLO):
- predicts
 systematically higher
 cross-section
- PYTHIA (LO):
- renormalized by 0.52
- shape reasonably well described

Conclusions

- presented inclusive b-jet cross section as a function of transverse momentum and rapidity (with two methods that yield consistent results)
 - secondary vertex based, semi-leptonic b-decays via µ associated to jet
- inclusive bb dijet cross section measurement as a function of the dijet mass
- measurements are dominated by systematic uncertainties
 - jet energy scale and b-tagging efficiency
- measurement compared with POWHEG (NLO) and PYTHIA (LO) predictions
 - POWHEG, PYTHIA describe shape dependence on y, p_T rather well
 - POWHEG shows an underestimation of the b-jet to inclusive jet fraction by about 30% (≈1σ effect)

Subdetector	Number of Channels	Operational Fraction
Pixels	80 M	96.9%
SCT Silicon Strips	6.3 M	99.1%
TRT Transition Radiation Tracker	350 k	97.5%
LAr EM Calorimeter	170 k	99.5%
Tile calorimeter	9800	97.9%
Hadronic endcap LAr calorimeter	5600	99.6%
Forward LAr calorimeter	3500	99.8%
LVL1 Calo trigger	7160	99.9%
LVL1 Muon RPC trigger	370 k	99.5%
LVL1 Muon TGC trigger	320 k	100%
MDT Muon Drift Tubes	350 k	99.8%
CSC Cathode Strip Chambers	31 k	98.5%
RPC Barrel Muon Chambers	370 k	97.0%
TGC Endcap Muon Chambers	320 k	98.4%

Simulation & Reconstruction setup

- Track and vertex reconstruction in Inner Detector (ID)
 - track reconstruction with $p_T > 400$ MeV and 6 silicon cluster requirements
 - multi-adaptive primary vertex finding (requiring at least 10 tracks in the PV)
 - *iterative secondary vertex reconstruction*
- Jet reconstruction
 - jets reconstructed from topological clusters on EM scale
 - anti- k_t algorithm, with R = 0.4
- Muon reconstruction (for b-tagging calibration)
 - combined muon reconstruction starting from stand-alone muon tracks
 - combination of muon track and ID track parameters

Simulation

- simulated data from event generator output processed by Geant4 detector simulation

Geant 4

- reconstructed with identical setup as data (applying detector condition calibration)

SVO mass templates

b-tagging calibration: summary of systematics

Source	Relative Uncertainty		
	$25 < p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{jet}} < 40 \ \mathrm{GeV}$	$40 < p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet} < 60 { m ~GeV}$	$60 < p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{jet}} < 85~\mathrm{GeV}$
Modelling of the <i>b</i> -hadron direction	6%	6%	6%
Non- <i>b</i> -jet templates	6%	6%	6%
Jet $p_{\rm T}$ spectrum	6%	3%	3%
Scale factor for inclusive <i>b</i> -jets	5%	4%	0.7%
$p_{\rm T}^{\rm rel}$ template statistics	2%	2%	2%
Modelling of <i>b</i> -decays	1.3%	0.2%	0.5%
Fake muons in <i>b</i> -jets	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
Jet energy scale	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Modelling of <i>b</i> -production	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Fragmentation	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Total	12%	10%	10%

Source	Relative Uncertainty	
	$20 < p_{\rm T} < 40 { m ~GeV}$	$p_{\rm T} > 40~{\rm GeV}$
Track Impact Parameter Resolutions	12%	14%
Run Dependence, Trigger	16%	19%
Other	7%	4%
Total	21%	24%

Summary of (fractional) systematic errors: SVO method

