PHENOMENOLOGY OF IRREVERSIBLE PROCESSES FROM GRAVITY

Phenomenological equations

Ayan Mukhopadhyay

LPTHE, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

Collaborator: Ramakrishnan Iyer (USC, Los Angeles, USA)

References: arxiv:0908.0797, arxiv:1103.1814, ongoing work

EPS-HEP: July 23, 2011

Gauge/Gravity duality in a nutshell

4D gauge theory at large → 5D classical theory of gravity

 λ and N

A *pure* state ↔ A *horizonless regular* solution

An *equilibrium* state ← A *black hole* solution

A *nonequilibrium* state \leftrightarrow A solution with a *regular future* horizon at late time

Gauge/Gravity duality in a nutshell

4D gauge theory at large → 5D classical theory of gravity

 λ and N

A pure state ↔ A horizonless regular solution

An equilibrium state ← A black hole solution

A *nonequilibrium* state \leftrightarrow A solution with a *regular future* horizon at late time

Further,

gauge-invariant operator

Expectation value of a \leftrightarrow Asymptotic fall-off of the dual *field* in the geometry

Gauge/Gravity duality in a nutshell

4D gauge theory at large → 5D classical theory of gravity

 λ and N

A pure state ↔ A horizonless regular solution

An equilibrium state ← A black hole solution

A *nonequilibrium* state \leftrightarrow A solution with a *regular future* horizon at late time

Further,

Introduction

gauge-invariant operator

Expectation value of a \leftrightarrow Asymptotic fall-off of the dual field in the geometry

eg.

 $< t_{\mu\nu} >$ in a state \leftrightarrow Asymptotic deviation of *metric* from pure AdS giving Balasubramanian-Krauss stress tensor

 Solutions of pure gravity with regular future horizons define the universal sector of gauge/gravity duality.

- Solutions of pure gravity with regular future horizons define the universal sector of gauge/gravity duality.
- Boost invariant solutions dual to an expanding quark-gluon plasma predict hydrodynamic behavior at late time [Janik et. al.].

- Solutions of pure gravity with regular future horizons define the universal sector of gauge/gravity duality.
- Boost invariant solutions dual to an expanding quark-gluon plasma predict hydrodynamic behavior at late time [Janik et. al.].
- Solutions with regular future horizons parametrically slowly varying spatio-temporally with respect to the final equilibrium temperature give systematic exact determination of all linear and non-linear transport coefficients [Bhattacharyya et. al.].

- Solutions of pure gravity with regular future horizons define the universal sector of gauge/gravity duality.
- Boost invariant solutions dual to an expanding quark-gluon plasma predict hydrodynamic behavior at late time [Janik et. al.].
- Solutions with regular future horizons parametrically slowly varying spatio-temporally with respect to the final equilibrium temperature give systematic exact determination of all linear and non-linear transport coefficients [Bhattacharyya et. al.].
- All solutions of pure gravity are determined by BK stress tensor, therefore all states in universal sector are determined by $< t_{\mu\nu}>$ alone.

- Solutions of pure gravity with regular future horizons define the universal sector of gauge/gravity duality.
- Boost invariant solutions dual to an expanding quark-gluon plasma predict hydrodynamic behavior at late time [Janik et. al.].
- Solutions with regular future horizons parametrically slowly varying spatio-temporally with respect to the final equilibrium temperature give systematic exact determination of all linear and non-linear transport coefficients [Bhattacharyya et. al.].
- All solutions of pure gravity are determined by BK stress tensor, therefore all states in universal sector are determined by $< t_{\mu\nu} >$ alone. Further, we have states where $< t_{\mu\nu} >$ is purely hydrodynamic [AM, Gupta]!

- Solutions of pure gravity with regular future horizons define the universal sector of gauge/gravity duality.
- Boost invariant solutions dual to an expanding quark-gluon plasma predict hydrodynamic behavior at late time [Janik et. al.].
- Solutions with regular future horizons parametrically slowly varying spatio-temporally with respect to the final equilibrium temperature give systematic exact determination of all linear and non-linear transport coefficients [Bhattacharyya et. al.].
- All solutions of pure gravity are determined by BK stress tensor, therefore all states in universal sector are determined by $< t_{\mu\nu} >$ alone. Further, we have states where $< t_{\mu\nu} >$ is purely hydrodynamic [AM, Gupta]!
- Quasinormal modes of the black brane dual to thermal state have infinite non-hydrodynamic branches [Starinets et. al].
 Non-hydrodynamic behavior has been numerically explored in boost-invariant configurations [Heller et. al.].

- Solutions of pure gravity with regular future horizons define the universal sector of gauge/gravity duality.
- Boost invariant solutions dual to an expanding quark-gluon plasma predict hydrodynamic behavior at late time [Janik et. al.].
- Solutions with regular future horizons parametrically slowly varying spatio-temporally with respect to the final equilibrium temperature give systematic exact determination of all linear and non-linear transport coefficients [Bhattacharyya et. al.].
- All solutions of pure gravity are determined by BK stress tensor, therefore all states in universal sector are determined by $< t_{\mu\nu} >$ alone. Further, we have states where $< t_{\mu\nu} >$ is purely hydrodynamic [AM, Gupta]!
- Quasinormal modes of the black brane dual to thermal state have infinite non-hydrodynamic branches [Starinets et. al].
 Non-hydrodynamic behavior has been numerically explored in boost-invariant configurations [Heller et. al.].
- Einstein's equations give us fluid mechanics, so can they also give us phenomenology of general irreversible phenomena?

Goal: Field theoretic understanding of universal sector

 Why the energy-momentum tensor alone determines the states? Why can it be purely hydrodynamic in certain cases?

- Why the energy-momentum tensor alone determines the states? Why can it be purely hydrodynamic in certain cases?
- Can we construct phenomenological equations of motion for energy-momentum tensor such that they give us all geometries which equilibrate thermally and have a regular future horizon?

- Why the energy-momentum tensor alone determines the states? Why can it be purely hydrodynamic in certain cases?
- Can we construct phenomenological equations of motion for energy-momentum tensor such that they give us all geometries which equilibrate thermally and have a regular future horizon?
- The relativistic semiclassical Boltzmann equation gives a good perturbative description of non-equilibrium processes in non-Abelian gauge theories [Moore, Yaffe].

- Why the energy-momentum tensor alone determines the states? Why can it be purely hydrodynamic in certain cases?
- Can we construct phenomenological equations of motion for energy-momentum tensor such that they give us all geometries which equilibrate thermally and have a regular future horizon?
- The relativistic semiclassical Boltzmann equation gives a good perturbative description of non-equilibrium processes in non-Abelian gauge theories [Moore, Yaffe].
- We consider states which have equidistribution of quasiparticles in a CFT in internal space which includes color, spin, flavor charge, etc. at any point in phase space so we have one effective quasiparticle distibution f(x, v).

- Why the energy-momentum tensor alone determines the states? Why can it be purely hydrodynamic in certain cases?
- Can we construct phenomenological equations of motion for energy-momentum tensor such that they give us all geometries which equilibrate thermally and have a regular future horizon?
- The relativistic semiclassical Boltzmann equation gives a good perturbative description of non-equilibrium processes in non-Abelian gauge theories [Moore, Yaffe].
- We consider states which have equidistribution of quasiparticles in a CFT in internal space which includes color, spin, flavor charge, etc. at any point in phase space so we have one effective quasiparticle distibution f(x, v).
- Are there special solutions which can be determined by energy-momentum tensor alone? Do they give derivation of phenomenological equations of irreversible processes?

The quasiparticle distribution

 The local velocity moments of the quasiparticle distribution in a local inertial frame:

$$f_{i_1...i_n}(\mathbf{x},t) = \int d^3v \left(v_{i_1} - u_{i_1}(\mathbf{x},t)\right)...\left(v_{i_n} - u_{i_n}(\mathbf{x},t)\right) f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v},t)$$

The quasiparticle distribution

 The local velocity moments of the quasiparticle distribution in a local inertial frame:

$$f_{i_1...i_n}(\mathbf{x},t) = \int d^3v \left(v_{i_1} - u_{i_1}(\mathbf{x},t)\right)...\left(v_{i_n} - u_{i_n}(\mathbf{x},t)\right) f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v},t)$$

- The energy-momentum tensor is parametrised by 10 variables
 (i) the average density n(x, t) given by < 1 >,
 - (ii) the average velocity $u_i(\mathbf{x}, t)$,
 - (iii) the root mean square velocity giving $T(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and
 - (iv) the five independent traceless second velocity moment giving $p_{ij}(\mathbf{x},t)$, the shear stress tensor.
 - In a CFT, $n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is determined by $T(\mathbf{x}, t)$, in order to reproduce local equilibrium properties.

The quasiparticle distribution

 The local velocity moments of the quasiparticle distribution in a local inertial frame:

$$f_{i_1...i_n}(\mathbf{x},t) = \int d^3v \left(v_{i_1} - u_{i_1}(\mathbf{x},t) \right) ... \left(v_{i_n} - u_{i_n}(\mathbf{x},t) \right) f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v},t)$$

- The energy-momentum tensor is parametrised by 10 variables
 (i) the average density n(x, t) given by < 1 >,
 - (ii) the average velocity $u_i(\mathbf{x}, t)$,
 - (iii) the root mean square velocity giving $T(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and
 - (iv) the five independent traceless second velocity moment giving $p_{ij}(\mathbf{x},t)$, the shear stress tensor.
 - In a CFT, $n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is determined by $T(\mathbf{x}, t)$, in order to reproduce local equilibrium properties.
- Boltzmann equation is equivalent to coupled local equations for infinite velocity moments. Exceptionally, the variables n(x, t), u(x, t), T(x, t) follow usual hydrodynamic equations coupling only to the shear-stress tensor p_{ij}(x, t) and the partially traced third velocity moment S_i(x, t), the heat current.

• In the conservative solutions, all higher moments have special solutions where they are just algebraic functions of the 10 variables of the energy-momentum tensor $(n, \mathbf{u}, p_{ij})(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and their spatial derivatives in the local inertial frame where the mean velocity vanishes [AM, Iyer]. Thus they have no independent dynamical parts. For example, in a monoatomic gas

$$S_{j} = \frac{15 \rho R}{2B^{(2)}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{3}{2B^{(2)}} \left(2RT \frac{\partial p_{ir}}{\partial x_{r}} + 7Rp_{ir} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{r}} - \frac{2p_{ir}}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{r}} \right) + \quad , \label{eq:S_{j}}$$

where p is the pressure and $B^{(2)}$ is given by the collision kernel.

Phenomenological equations

 In the conservative solutions, all higher moments have special solutions where they are just algebraic functions of the 10 variables of the energy-momentum tensor $(n, \mathbf{u}, p_{ij})(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and their spatial derivatives in the local inertial frame where the mean velocity vanishes [AM, Iver]. Thus they have no independent dynamical parts. For example, in a monoatomic gas

$$S_{i} = \frac{15pR}{2B^{(2)}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{3}{2B^{(2)}} \left(2RT \frac{\partial p_{ir}}{\partial x_{r}} + 7Rp_{ir} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{r}} - \frac{2p_{ir}}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{r}} \right) + \quad ,$$

where p is the pressure and $B^{(2)}$ is given by the collision kernel

• The ten variables $(n, \mathbf{u}, p_{ij})(\mathbf{x}, t)$ obey a closed set of dynamical equations where all the phenomenological coefficients are determined by the heat kernel of the Boltzmann equation.

• In the conservative solutions, all higher moments have special solutions where they are just algebraic functions of the 10 variables of the energy-momentum tensor $(n, \mathbf{u}, p_{ij})(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and their spatial derivatives in the local inertial frame where the mean velocity vanishes [AM, lyer]. Thus they have no independent dynamical parts. For example, in a monoatomic gas

$$S_i = \frac{15 pR}{2B^{(2)}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} + \frac{3}{2B^{(2)}} \left(2RT \frac{\partial p_{ir}}{\partial x_r} + 7Rp_{ir} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_r} - \frac{2p_{ir}}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_r} \right) + \quad , \label{eq:Si}$$

where p is the pressure and $B^{(2)}$ is given by the collision kernel.

- The ten variables (n, u, p_{ij})(x, t) obey a closed set of dynamical equations where all the phenomenological coefficients are determined by the heat kernel of the Boltzmann equation.
- Further, there are special *purely hydrodynamic* solutions where $p_{ij}(\mathbf{x},t)$ is an algebraic solution of the hydrodynamic variables.

$$p_{ij}(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{p}{B^{(2)}} \left(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} + \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_m} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{mn} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial x_r} \right) + \dots,$$

giving the known *normal* solutions discovered earlier and leading to determination of all transport coefficients [Enskog, Chapman, Burnett, Stewart].

Covariantization :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_i & \rightarrow & u_\mu, \ \text{with} \ u_\mu \eta^{\mu\nu} u_\nu = -1, \ \text{and} \ u^0 > 0. \\ \pi_{ij} & \rightarrow & \pi_{\mu\nu}, \ \text{with} \ \pi_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\mu\nu} = 0 \ \text{and} \ \pi_{\mu\nu} u^\nu = 0, \\ \text{time derivative} & \rightarrow & u^\mu \partial_\mu, \\ \text{spatial derivative} & \rightarrow & P_\mu{}^\nu \partial_\nu, \ \text{with the spatial projector} \\ & P_\mu{}^\nu = u_\mu u^\nu + \delta^\nu_\mu. \end{array}$$

Covariantization :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_i & \rightarrow & u_\mu, \ \text{with} \ u_\mu \eta^{\mu\nu} u_\nu = -1, \ \text{and} \ u^0 > 0. \\ \pi_{ij} & \rightarrow & \pi_{\mu\nu}, \ \text{with} \ \pi_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\mu\nu} = 0 \ \text{and} \ \pi_{\mu\nu} u^\nu = 0, \\ \text{time derivative} & \rightarrow & u^\mu \partial_\mu, \\ \text{spatial derivative} & \rightarrow & P_\mu{}^\nu \partial_\nu, \ \text{with the spatial projector} \\ & P_\mu{}^\nu = u_\mu u^\nu + \delta^\nu_\mu. \end{array}$$

• The phenomenological equations of u^μ , T and $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ can be determined on general grounds. We expect that the conservative solutions will form the universal sector at large N and λ , where the semiclassical Boltzmann equation loses its validity. All the universal phenomenological coefficients will be determined by gravity. Caution: Many coefficients do not vanish at strong coupling.

· Covariantization:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_i & \rightarrow & u_\mu, \ \text{with} \ u_\mu \eta^{\mu\nu} u_\nu = -1, \ \text{and} \ u^0 > 0. \\ \pi_{ij} & \rightarrow & \pi_{\mu\nu}, \ \text{with} \ \pi_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\mu\nu} = 0 \ \text{and} \ \pi_{\mu\nu} u^\nu = 0, \\ \text{time derivative} & \rightarrow & u^\mu \partial_\mu, \\ \text{spatial derivative} & \rightarrow & P_\mu{}^\nu \partial_\nu, \ \text{with the spatial projector} \\ & & P_\mu{}^\nu = u_\mu u^\nu + \delta^\nu_\mu. \end{array}$$

- The phenomenological equations of u^{μ} , T and $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ can be determined on general grounds. We expect that the conservative solutions will form the universal sector at large N and λ , where the semiclassical Boltzmann equation loses its validity. All the universal phenomenological coefficients will be determined by gravity. Caution: Many coefficients do not vanish at strong coupling.
- One can also argue that any arbitrary nonequilibrium state that thermalizes approximates an appropriate conservative solution at sufficiently late time. Thus these phenomenological equations hold for general irreversible processes which are independent of initial state dynamics. Caution: Useful only at strong coupling

· Covariantization:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_i & \rightarrow & u_\mu, \ \text{with} \ u_\mu \eta^{\mu\nu} u_\nu = -1, \ \text{and} \ u^0 > 0. \\ \pi_{ij} & \rightarrow & \pi_{\mu\nu}, \ \text{with} \ \pi_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\mu\nu} = 0 \ \text{and} \ \pi_{\mu\nu} u^\nu = 0, \\ \text{time derivative} & \rightarrow & u^\mu \partial_\mu, \\ \text{spatial derivative} & \rightarrow & P_\mu{}^\nu \partial_\nu, \ \text{with the spatial projector} \\ & & P_\mu{}^\nu = u_\mu u^\nu + \delta^\nu_\mu. \end{array}$$

- The phenomenological equations of u^{μ} , T and $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ can be determined on general grounds. We expect that the conservative solutions will form the universal sector at large N and λ , where the semiclassical Boltzmann equation loses its validity. All the universal phenomenological coefficients will be determined by gravity. Caution: Many coefficients do not vanish at strong coupling.
- One can also argue that any arbitrary nonequilibrium state that thermalizes approximates an appropriate conservative solution at sufficiently late time. Thus these phenomenological equations hold for general irreversible processes which are independent of initial state dynamics. Caution: Useful only at strong coupling
- Conjecture: The phenomenological equations of the energy-momentum tensor give all solutions in pure gravity which equilibrates thermally with a regular future horizon for appropriate values of the phenomenological coefficients. [AM, Iver]

Introduction Construction

Principles of general Weyl-covariant phenomenology (1/3)

• In gravity, it is natural to use Landau definitions :

 u^{μ} : velocity of energy transport,

T: $t_{\mu\nu}u^{\mu}u^{\nu}=(3/4)(\pi T)^4$ is local energy density.

Introduction
OO

Construction

Principles of general Weyl-covariant phenomenology (1/3)

• In gravity, it is natural to use Landau definitions :

 u^{μ} : velocity of energy transport,

T: $t_{\mu\nu}u^{\mu}u^{\nu}=(3/4)(\pi T)^4$ is local energy density.

• Derivatives ∂_{μ} can be promoted to Weyl-covariant derivatives with a Weyl connection made from the hydrodynamic variables only [Loganayagam]. As a result, $u \cdot \partial$ is promoted to a Weyl-covariant time-derivative \mathcal{D} .

Principles of general Weyl-covariant phenomenology (1/3)

In gravity, it is natural to use Landau definitions:

 u^{μ} : velocity of energy transport,

T: $t_{\mu\nu}u^{\mu}u^{\nu}=(3/4)(\pi T)^4$ is local energy density.

- Derivatives ∂_{μ} can be promoted to Weyl-covariant derivatives with a Weyl connection made from the hydrodynamic variables only [Loganayagam]. As a result, $u \cdot \partial$ is promoted to a Weyl-covariant time-derivative \mathcal{D} .
- The full energy momentum tensor is

$$t_{\mu\nu} = (\pi T)^4 \Big(4u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} \Big) + \pi_{\mu\nu},$$

where $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ captures all non-equilibrium corrections, is traceless and satisfies $u^{\mu}\pi_{\mu\nu}=0$.

Conclusion

Construction

Principles of general Weyl-covariant phenomenology (1/3)

In gravity, it is natural to use Landau definitions:

 u^{μ} : velocity of energy transport,

T: $t_{\mu\nu}u^{\mu}u^{\nu}=(3/4)(\pi T)^4$ is local energy density.

- Derivatives ∂_{μ} can be promoted to Weyl-covariant derivatives with a Weyl connection made from the hydrodynamic variables only [Loganayagam]. As a result, $u \cdot \partial$ is promoted to a Weyl-covariant time-derivative \mathcal{D} .
- The full energy momentum tensor is

$$t_{\mu\nu} = (\pi T)^4 \Big(4u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} \Big) + \pi_{\mu\nu},$$

where $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ captures all non-equilibrium corrections, is traceless and satisfies $u^{\mu}\pi_{\mu\nu}=0$.

• Einstein's equations imply $\partial^{\mu}t_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Also $t_{\mu\nu}$ is Weyl-covariant.

Principles of general Weyl-covariant phenomenology (1/3)

In gravity, it is natural to use Landau definitions :

 u^{μ} : velocity of energy transport,

T: $t_{\mu\nu}u^{\mu}u^{\nu}=(3/4)(\pi T)^4$ is local energy density.

- Derivatives ∂_μ can be promoted to Weyl-covariant derivatives with a Weyl connection made from the hydrodynamic variables only [Loganayagam]. As a result, u · ∂ is promoted to a Weyl-covariant time-derivative D.
- The full energy momentum tensor is

$$t_{\mu\nu} = (\pi T)^4 \Big(4u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} \Big) + \pi_{\mu\nu},$$

where $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ captures all non-equilibrium corrections, is traceless and satisfies $u^{\mu}\pi_{\mu\nu}=0$.

- Einstein's equations imply $\partial^\mu t_{\mu\nu}=$ 0. Also $t_{\mu\nu}$ is Weyl-covariant.
- Without loss of generality,

 $\pi_{\mu\nu} = \pi_{\mu\nu}^{(h)} + \pi_{\mu\nu}^{(nh)}$, where π^h is purely hydrodynamic

 $\pi_{\mu\nu}^{\mu}$ is purely hydrodynamic built out of u^{μ}, T and their derivatives.

 $\pi^{\eta h}_{\mu \nu}$ is independent dynamically from the hydrodynamic variables.

Principles of general Weyl-covariant phenomenology (2/3)

• The purely hydrodynamic part $\pi_{\mu\nu}^{(h)}$ is slowly varying spatio-temporally and can be systematically obtained using Weyl-covariance only in the derivative expansion ($\mathcal T$ times the length scale of variation). Up to second order in derivative expansion in gravity

$$\begin{split} \pi_{\mu\nu}^{(h)} &=& -2(\pi T)^3 \sigma_{\mu\nu} + (2-\ln 2)(\pi T)^2 \mathcal{D} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ 2(\pi T)^2 \left(\sigma_{\mu}^{\ \alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{3} P_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \\ &+ \ln 2(\pi T)^2 (\sigma_{\mu}^{\ \alpha} \omega_{\alpha\nu} + \sigma_{\nu}^{\ \alpha} \omega_{\alpha\mu}) + O(\epsilon^3), \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu}^{\ \alpha} P_{\nu}^{\ \beta} \left(\partial_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta} u_{\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{3} P_{\mu\nu} (\partial \cdot u), \\ \omega_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu}^{\ \alpha} P_{\nu}^{\ \beta} (\partial_{\alpha} u_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} u_{\alpha}). \end{split}$$

Principles of general Weyl-covariant phenomenology (2/3)

• The purely hydrodynamic part $\pi_{\mu\nu}^{(h)}$ is slowly varying spatio-temporally and can be systematically obtained using Weyl-covariance only in the derivative expansion (T times the length scale of variation). Up to second order in derivative expansion in gravity

$$\begin{split} \pi_{\mu\nu}^{(h)} &=& -2(\pi T)^3 \sigma_{\mu\nu} + (2-\ln 2)(\pi T)^2 \mathcal{D} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ 2(\pi T)^2 \left(\sigma_{\mu}^{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{3} P_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \\ &+ \ln 2(\pi T)^2 (\sigma_{\mu}^{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\nu} + \sigma_{\nu}^{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\mu}) + O(\epsilon^3), \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu}^{\ \alpha} P_{\nu}^{\ \beta} \left(\partial_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta} u_{\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{3} P_{\mu\nu} (\partial \cdot u), \\ \omega_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu}^{\ \alpha} P_{\nu}^{\ \beta} \left(\partial_{\alpha} u_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} u_{\alpha} \right). \end{split}$$

• $\pi_{\mu\nu}^{(nh)}$ is slowly varying spatially but not temporally near equilibrium as quasinormal modes of gravity indicate. Its equation of motion can be constructed phenomenologically using both derivative and amplitude expansion (the typical value/equilibrium pressure), where we sum up time-derivatives at each order. Also $\pi_{\mu\nu}^{(nh)} = 0$, because gravity also admits purely hydrodynamic limit. Its equation is Weyl-covariant too.

Principles of general Weyl-covariant phenomenology (3/3)

• Up to some orders in derivative and amplitude expansion, the most general equation for $\pi_{uv}^{(nh)}$ is

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_R^{(1,n)}(\pi T)^n \mathcal{D}^n\right) \pi_{\mu\nu}^{(nh)} &= \frac{(\pi T)\lambda_1}{2} \left(\pi_{\mu}^{(nh)\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\nu} + \pi_{\nu}^{(nh)\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\mu} - \frac{2}{3} P_{\mu\nu} \pi_{\alpha\beta}^{(nh)} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \\ &+ \frac{(\pi T)\lambda_2}{2} \left(\pi_{\mu}^{(nh)\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\nu} + \pi_{\nu}^{(nh)\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\mu}\right) \\ &- (\pi T)^4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m=0\\n+m \ is \ even}}^n D_R^{(2,n,m)}(\pi T)^n \\ &\sum_{\substack{a,b=0\\a+b=n\\a+b=m}} \left[\mathcal{D}^a \pi_{ik} \mathcal{D}^b \pi_{kj} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \mathcal{D}^a \pi_{pq} \mathcal{D}^b \pi_{pq}\right] \\ &+ O(\epsilon^2 \delta, \epsilon \delta^2, \delta^3). \end{split}$$

Principles of general Weyl-covariant phenomenology (3/3)

• Up to some orders in derivative and amplitude expansion, the most general equation for $\pi_{uv}^{(nh)}$ is

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_R^{(1,n)}(\pi T)^n \mathcal{D}^n\right) \pi_{\mu\nu}^{(nh)} &= \frac{(\pi T)\lambda_1}{2} \left(\pi_{\mu}^{(nh)\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\nu} + \pi_{\nu}^{(nh)\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\mu} - \frac{2}{3} P_{\mu\nu} \pi_{\alpha\beta}^{(nh)} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \\ &+ \frac{(\pi T)\lambda_2}{2} \left(\pi_{\mu}^{(nh)\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\nu} + \pi_{\nu}^{(nh)\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\mu}\right) \\ &- (\pi T)^4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m=0\\n+m \ is \ even}}^{n} D_R^{(2,n,m)}(\pi T)^n \\ &\sum_{\substack{a,b=0\\|a-b|=m}}^{n} \left[\mathcal{D}^a \pi_{ik} \mathcal{D}^b \pi_{kj} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \mathcal{D}^a \pi_{pq} \mathcal{D}^b \pi_{pq}\right] \\ &+ O(\epsilon^2 \delta, \epsilon \delta^2, \delta^3). \end{split}$$

• New non-hydrodynamic phenomenological coefficients : $D_R^{(1,n)}$, $D_R^{(2,n,m)}$, λ_1 , λ_2 , ... (by definition these are dimensionless).

Verification

Special case: Homogeneous relaxation from gravity

• There are special *purely non-hydrodynamic solutions* which are spatially homogeneous. Here u^{μ} and T are constants, so $\pi_{\mu\nu}^{(h)}=0$. In a global inertial frame $u^{\mu}=(1,0,0,0)$. Also in this frame $\pi_{00}^{(h)}=\pi_0^{(h)}=\pi_0^{(h)}=0$ and $\pi_{ij}^{(nh)}(t)$, so the conservation of energy and momentum are trivially satisfied.

Verification

Special case: Homogeneous relaxation from gravity

- There are special *purely non-hydrodynamic solutions* which are spatially homogeneous. Here u^{μ} and T are constants, so $\pi_{\mu\nu}^{(h)}=0$. In a global inertial frame $u^{\mu}=(1,0,0,0)$. Also in this frame $\pi_{00}^{(n)}=\pi_{0i}^{(nh)}=\pi_{i0}^{(nh)}=0$ and $\pi_{ij}^{(nh)}(t)$, so the conservation of energy and momentum are trivially satisfied.
- The dual solutions of gravity in these special case can be argued to be manifestly regular at the future horizon in ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates [AM, Iyer]. We can show that the metric is regular provided the equation for $\pi_{ij}^{(nh)}$ is satisfied with $\mathcal{D}=d/dt$. We also find $D_R^{(1,0)}=-1$, $D_R^{(1,1)}=(\pi/2)+(1/4)$ In 2, etc.; $D_R^{(2,0,0)}=1/2$, etc. A complicated explicit recursion relation can be derived for $D_R^{(1,n)}$'s and $D_R^{(2,n,m)}$'s.

Verification

Special case: Homogeneous relaxation from gravity

- There are special *purely non-hydrodynamic solutions* which are spatially homogeneous. Here u^{μ} and T are constants, so $\pi_{\mu\nu}^{(h)}=0$. In a global inertial frame $u^{\mu}=(1,0,0,0)$. Also in this frame $\pi_{00}^{nh)}=\pi_{0i}^{(nh)}=\pi_{i0}^{(nh)}=0$ and $\pi_{ij}^{(nh)}(t)$, so the conservation of energy and momentum are trivially satisfied.
- The dual solutions of gravity in these special case can be argued to be manifestly regular at the future horizon in ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates [AM, Iyer]. We can show that the metric is regular provided the equation for $\pi_{ij}^{(nh)}$ is satisfied with $\mathcal{D} = d/dt$. We also find $D_R^{(1,0)} = -1$, $D_R^{(1,1)} = (\pi/2) + (1/4) \ln 2$, etc.; $D_R^{(2,0,0)} = 1/2$, etc. A complicated explicit recursion relation can be derived for $D_D^{(1,n)}$'s and $D_D^{(2,n,m)}$'s.
- Connection to quasinomal modes: Consider the series

$$D_R(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_R^{(1,n)} (-i\pi T\omega)^n,$$

and analytically continue it to the LHP of ω . If this series has simple zeroes at discrete points in the LHP, then the analytic continuation of $\pi_{ij}(\omega)$ in LHP also has simple poles at those points. This should reproduce all the quasinormal modes of black branes when $\mathbf{k}=0$. We hope to verify this numerically.

Significance of Homogeneous Relaxation

• Homogeneous relaxation gives estimate of transition to hydrodynamic regime.

Introduction

Significance of Homogeneous Relaxation

- Homogeneous relaxation gives estimate of transition to hydrodynamic regime.
- ullet At weak coupling, the poles in $\pi_{ij}(\omega)$ corresponding to homogeneous relaxation are purely imaginary, but gravity predicts an oscillating real part of the same magnitude. Is there entropy current beyond hydrodynamics at strong coupling?

Introduction

Significance of Homogeneous Relaxation

- Homogeneous relaxation gives estimate of transition to hydrodynamic regime.
- At weak coupling, the poles in $\pi_{ij}(\omega)$ corresponding to homogeneous relaxation are purely imaginary, but gravity predicts an oscillating real part of the same magnitude. Is there entropy current beyond hydrodynamics at strong coupling?
- Can be mapped to boost invariant expansion with same quasinormal modes at linear order [Janik, Peschanski], at non-linear order is there a qualitative change from exponential approach to thermalization?

Significance of Homogeneous Relaxation

- Homogeneous relaxation gives estimate of transition to hydrodynamic regime.
- At weak coupling, the poles in $\pi_{ij}(\omega)$ corresponding to homogeneous relaxation are purely imaginary, but gravity predicts an oscillating real part of the same magnitude. Is there entropy current beyond hydrodynamics at strong coupling?
- Can be mapped to boost invariant expansion with same quasinormal modes at linear order [Janik, Peschanski], at non-linear order is there a qualitative change from exponential approach to thermalization?

Open questions

 What are the field-theoretic definitions of the general phenomenological coefficients?

Significance of Homogeneous Relaxation

- Homogeneous relaxation gives estimate of transition to hydrodynamic regime.
- At weak coupling, the poles in $\pi_{ij}(\omega)$ corresponding to homogeneous relaxation are purely imaginary, but gravity predicts an oscillating real part of the same magnitude. Is there entropy current beyond hydrodynamics at strong coupling?
- Can be mapped to boost invariant expansion with same quasinormal modes at linear order [Janik, Peschanski], at non-linear order is there a qualitative change from exponential approach to thermalization?

Open questions

- What are the field-theoretic definitions of the general phenomenological coefficients?
- How to check the conjecture in gravity for general spatially inhomogeneous non-hydrodynamic configurations? We are trying to do this numerically.