# NLO event samples for the LHC

### Zoltán Trócsányi



University of Debrecen and Institute of Nuclear Research



in collaboration with A. Kardos, M.V. Garzelli and HELAC group



- Motivation
- Method
- Predictions
- Conclusions and Plans

### Motivation

Wednesday, July 20, 2011

# The importance of being top

1. The higher collider energy, the larger weight in total cross section



# The importance of being top

- 1. The higher collider energy, the larger weight in total cross section
- 2. The t-quark is heavy, Yukawa coupling ~1
- $m_t = 172.0 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.3 \text{ (PDG) } 173.3 \pm 1.1 \text{ (TeVatron)}$  $\Rightarrow$  plays important role in Higgs physics

# The importance of being top

- 1. The higher collider energy, the larger weight in total cross section
- 2. The t-quark is heavy, Yukawa coupling ~1
- 3. The t-quark decays before hadronization  $\Rightarrow$  quantum numbers more accessible than in case of other quarks *b*-jet





1. Present: precision measurement of quantum numbers, decay rates

- 1. Present: precision measurement of quantum numbers, decay rates
- 2. Future: plenty of radiation in association with t-pair

- 1. Present: precision measurement of quantum numbers, decay rates
- 2. Future: plenty of radiation in association with t-pair  $\sigma_{\rm NLO}(pp \rightarrow t \bar{t}) = 806 \, {\rm pb}$  $\sigma_{\rm NLO}(pp \rightarrow t \bar{t}+{\rm jet}; p^{j}_{\perp} > 50 \, {\rm GeV}) = 376 \, {\rm pb}$
- 3. Important backgrounds to Higgs searches:

- 1. Present: precision measurement of quantum numbers, decay rates
- 2. Future: plenty of radiation in association with t-pair  $\sigma_{\rm NLO}(pp \rightarrow t \bar{t}) = 806 \, {\rm pb}$  $\sigma_{\rm NLO}(pp \rightarrow t \bar{t}+{\rm jet}; p^{j}_{\perp} > 50 \, {\rm GeV}) = 376 \, {\rm pb}$
- 3. Important backgrounds to Higgs searches:  $t \, \bar{t} \, b \, \bar{b}, \, t \, \bar{t} + 2 \, jets$

# These require precise predictions for distributions at hadron level

- 1. Present: precision measurement of quantum numbers, decay rates
- 2. Future: plenty of radiation in association with t-pair  $\sigma_{\rm NLO}(pp \rightarrow t \bar{t}) = 806 \, {\rm pb}$  $\sigma_{\rm NLO}(pp \rightarrow t \bar{t}+{\rm jet}; p^{j}_{\perp} > 50 \, {\rm GeV}) = 376 \, {\rm pb}$
- 3. Important backgrounds to Higgs searches:  $t \, \bar{t} \, b \, \bar{b}, \, t \, \bar{t} + 2 \, jets$

These require precise predictions for distributions at hadron level (with decays, top is not detected)

### Method

Wednesday, July 20, 2011

### From NLO to NLO+PS

Idea: use NLO calculation as hard process as input for the SMC

Bottleneck: how to avoid double counting of first radiation w.r.to Born process

### From NLO to NLO+PS

Idea: use NLO calculation as hard process as input for the SMC

Bottleneck: how to avoid double counting of first radiation w.r.to Born process

Solutions:

 MCatNLO [Frixione, Webber hepph/0204244]

### From NLO to NLO+PS

Idea: use NLO calculation as hard process as input for the SMC

Bottleneck: how to avoid double counting of first radiation w.r.to Born process

Solutions:

- MCatNLO [Frixione, Webber hepph/0204244]
- POWHEG [Nason hep-ph/ 0409146, Frixione, Nason, Oleari arXiv:0709.2092]

**Result:** PS events giving distributions exact to NLO in pQCD



In POWHEG-Box the first emission is the hardest one measured by transverse momentum

- In POWHEG-Box the first emission is the hardest one measured by transverse momentum
- If the ordering variable in the shower is different from the transverse momentum of the parton splitting, such as the angular ordering in HERWIG, then the hardest emission is not necessarily the first one

- In POWHEG-Box the first emission is the hardest one measured by transverse momentum
- If the ordering variable in the shower is different from the transverse momentum of the parton splitting, such as the angular ordering in HERWIG, then the hardest emission is not necessarily the first one
- In such cases the HERWIG discards shower evolutions (vetoed shower) with larger transverse momentum in all splittings occuring after the first emission

- In POWHEG-Box the first emission is the hardest one measured by transverse momentum
- If the ordering variable in the shower is different from the transverse momentum of the parton splitting, such as the angular ordering in HERWIG, then the hardest emission is not necessarily the first one
- In such cases the HERWIG discards shower evolutions (vetoed shower) with larger transverse momentum in all splittings occuring after the first emission
- In principle, a truncated shower simulating wide-angle soft emission before the first emission is also needed

- In POWHEG-Box the first emission is the hardest one measured by transverse momentum
- If the ordering variable in the shower is different from the transverse momentum of the parton splitting, such as the angular ordering in HERWIG, then the hardest emission is not necessarily the first one
- In such cases the HERWIG discards shower evolutions (vetoed shower) with larger transverse momentum in all splittings occuring after the first emission
- In principle, a truncated shower simulating wide-angle soft emission before the first emission is also needed
- There is no implementation of truncated shower in HERWIG using external LHE event files, the effect of the truncated showers is absent from our predictions

 POWHEG is used to perform the related calculations to generate unweighted (precisely: equal weight) events for further showering

- POWHEG is used to perform the related calculations to generate unweighted (precisely: equal weight) events for further showering
- HELAC codes are used to provide squared matrix elements

- POWHEG is used to perform the related calculations to generate unweighted (precisely: equal weight) events for further showering
- HELAC codes are used to provide squared matrix elements
- Standard Shower Monte Carlo (SMC) is used to shower the events

- POWHEG is used to perform the related calculations to generate unweighted (precisely: equal weight) events for further showering
- HELAC codes are used to provide squared matrix elements
- Standard Shower Monte Carlo (SMC) is used to shower the events

**RESULT:** 

- POWHEG is used to perform the related calculations to generate unweighted (precisely: equal weight) events for further showering
- HELAC codes are used to provide squared matrix elements
- Standard Shower Monte Carlo (SMC) is used to shower the events

### **RESULT**:

Les Houches file of Born and Born+1st radiation events (LHE) ready for processing with SMC followed by almost arbitrary experimental analysis

### <u>http://grid.kfki.hu/twiki/bin/view/DbTheory/</u> <u>WebHome#</u>Events\_with\_NLO\_accuracy\_for\_par

TWiki > DbTheory Web > TtjProd (2011-07-15, AdamKardos)

### Top quark pair production in association with a jet

This page contains those event files which concern top quark pair production with a jet. The used code can be found here: ttj.tgz.

#### TeVatron @ 1.96 TeV

- m\_t = 172 <u>GeV</u>, \mu = \mu\_R = \mu\_F = m\_t, <u>CTEQ6M</u> PDF, 2-loop running \alpha\_s, p\_{\bot,\mathrm{min}} = 5 <u>GeV</u>. This set was taken for comparison with Melnikov and Schulze(arXiv:1004.3284). <u>ttj-tev-01.tgz</u> (315 Mb)
- m\_t = 174 <u>GeV</u>, \mu = \mu\_R = \mu\_F = m\_t, <u>CTEQ6M</u> PDF, 2-loop running \alpha\_s, p\_{\bot,\mathrm{min}} = 5 <u>GeV</u>. This set was taken for comparison with Dittmaier, Uwer and Weinzierl(arXiv:0810.0452). <u>ttj-tev-02.tgz</u> (152 Mb)

#### LHC @ 7 TeV

- m\_t = 172 <u>GeV</u>, \mu = \mu\_R = \mu\_F = m\_t, <u>CTEQ6M</u> PDF, 2-loop running \alpha\_s, p\_{\bot,\mathrm{min}} = 5 <u>GeV</u>. To reproduce the predictions of arXiv:1101.2672. <u>ttj-lhc-01.tgz</u> (410 Mb)
- m\_t = 172 <u>GeV</u>, \mu = \mu\_R = \mu\_F = m\_\bot (for a precise definition please see arXiv:1101.2672), <u>CTEQ6M</u> PDF, 2-loop running \alpha\_s, p\_{\bot,\mathrm{min}} = 5 <u>GeV</u>. To reproduce the predictions of arXiv:1101.2672. ttj-lhc-02.tgz (397 Mb)

### Comparison to NLO

- ✓ Compare LO and NLO cross sections to published predictions
- ✓ Compare distributions based on events at Born+1st radiation level to those at NLO accuracy

### POWHEG vs. NLO



Transverse momentum and rapidity distributions of the Higgs boson in  $p\overline{p} \rightarrow t\overline{t} H$  at the TeVatron

### POWHEG vs. NLO



Transverse momentum and rapidity distributions of the t-quark in  $p\overline{p} \rightarrow t\overline{t}$  jet at the TeVatron

### Three levels of predictions

**POWHEG:** we use the events at BORN+1st radiation

**POWHEG:** we use the events at BORN+1st radiation

**Decay:** we just include on-shell decays of t-quarks and the heavy bosons, decay of tau's emerging from H-decay as implemented in PYTHIA, turning off any shower and hadronization effects

**POWHEG:** we use the events at BORN+1st radiation

**Decay:** we just include on-shell decays of t-quarks and the heavy bosons, decay of tau's emerging from H-decay as implemented in PYTHIA, turning off any shower and hadronization effects

Full SMC: decays, showering and hadronization have been included, using both PYTHIA and HERWIG

**POWHEG:** we use the events at BORN+1st radiation

**Decay:** we just include on-shell decays of t-quarks and the heavy bosons, decay of tau's emerging from H-decay as implemented in PYTHIA, turning off any shower and hadronization effects

Full SMC: decays, showering and hadronization have been included, using both PYTHIA and HERWIG

Number and type of particles are very different => the possible selection cuts are restricted in comparisons

### Three levels of cuts

No cuts: to compare all three predictions (no leptons, and only one extra jet, beyond Born in POWHEG predictions)

### Three levels of cuts

No cuts: to compare all three predictions (no leptons, and only one extra jet, beyond Born in POWHEG predictions)

**Jet cuts:** to compare decay and full SMC predictions with physical cuts to the extent it is meaningful (leptons are very different at the two levels)

### Three levels of cuts

No cuts: to compare all three predictions (no leptons, and only one extra jet, beyond Born in POWHEG predictions)

**Jet cuts:** to compare decay and full SMC predictions with physical cuts to the extent it is meaningful (leptons are very different at the two levels)

**Physical cuts:** to compare physical predictions from PYTHIA and HERWIG

### POWHEG vs. decay vs. full SMC, no cuts



Transverse momentum and rapidity distributions of the hardest jet in  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} H$  at the LHC

### Decay vs. full SMC, jet cuts



 $H_T$  distributions in pp  $\rightarrow$  tt jet at the TeVatron and pp  $\rightarrow$  tt H at the LHC

### Decay vs. full SMC, jet cuts



Lepton and missing  $p_T$  distributions in pp  $\rightarrow t\overline{T} H$  at the LHC

### Predictions

### Decay vs. full SMC, physical cuts



jet-jet invariant mass distribution in pp  $\rightarrow$  tt H at the LHC left: only jet cuts right: physical cuts

### Conclusions and outlook

✓ First applications of POWHEG-Box to pp→tTX processes

- ✓ First applications of POWHEG-Box to pp→tTX processes
- ✓ SME's obtained easily from HELAC-OneLOop

- ✓ First applications of POWHEG-Box to pp→tTX processes
- ✓ SME's obtained easily from HELAC-OneLOop
- $\checkmark$  NLO cross sections agree with published predictions

- ✓ First applications of POWHEG-Box to pp→tTX processes
- ✓ SME's obtained easily from HELAC-OneLOop
- $\checkmark$  NLO cross sections agree with published predictions
- ✓ Shapes of NLO distributions agree with published ones

- ✓ First applications of POWHEG-Box to pp→tTX processes
- ✓ SME's obtained easily from HELAC-OneLOop
- $\checkmark$  NLO cross sections agree with published predictions
- ✓ Shapes of NLO distributions agree with published ones
- ✓ Effects of decays and showers are often important, depending on shower setup, variables and cuts strongly

- ✓ First applications of POWHEG-Box to pp→tTX processes
- ✓ SME's obtained easily from HELAC-OneLOop
- $\checkmark$  NLO cross sections agree with published predictions
- ✓ Shapes of NLO distributions agree with published ones
- ✓ Effects of decays and showers are often important, depending on shower setup, variables and cuts strongly
- ✓ LHE event files for pp →tT, tTH, tTjet processes available

- ✓ First applications of POWHEG-Box to pp→tTX processes
- ✓ SME's obtained easily from HELAC-OneLOop
- $\checkmark$  NLO cross sections agree with published predictions
- ✓ Shapes of NLO distributions agree with published ones
- ✓ Effects of decays and showers are often important, depending on shower setup, variables and cuts strongly
- ✓ LHE event files for pp →tT, tTH, tTjet processes available
- Easy predictions for LHC with NLO+PS accuracy

### Plans

- Study scale choices and dependences
- Generation of events on request
- Extension to further processes...

### The end