

SOFT GLUON RESUMMATION IN $t\bar{t}$ PRODUCTION AT HADRON COLLIDERS

Ben Pecjak

Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz

Grenoble, July 21, 2011

- basics of soft gluon resummation
- applications at NNLL

Based on series of papers on resummation at NNLL in SCET with
V. Ahrens, A. Ferroglia, M. Neubert, and L. L. Yang

arXiv:1106.6051 [hep-ph]

arXiv:1105.5824 [hep-ph] **+computer program for total cross section**

arXiv:1103.0550 [hep-ph]

JHEP 1009 (2010) 097 (arXiv:1003.5827 [hep-ph])

Phys.Lett. B687 (2010) 331-337 (arXiv:0912.3375 [hep-ph])

Two routes:

1) NNLO in fixed order

- goal: calculate all $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ corrections to Born processes

2) soft gluon resummation

Berger, Contopanagos; Bonciani, Catani, Mangano, Nason; Kidonakis, Laenen, Sterman; Kidonakis, Laenen, Moch, Vogt; Langenfeld, Moch, Uwer '08, '09; Czakon, Mitov, Sterman '09; Kidonakis '10; Beneke et. al. '09; Ahrens, Ferroglia, Neubert, BP, Yang ('10, '11)

- applies to total cross section and differential distributions
- goal: calculate (presumably dominant) logarithmic corrections to all orders
- extended from NLL to NNLL in last few years
- often implemented as “approximate NNLO” formulas

IDEA OF RESUMMATION

- 1) a given $d\hat{\sigma}$ has double logs from real emission in soft limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$
- 2) using counting $L \equiv \ln \lambda \sim 1/\alpha_s$, can re-organize the perturbative series as

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{\sigma} &\sim \overbrace{1 + \alpha_s(L^2 + L + 1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)) + \alpha_s^2(L^4 + L^3 + L^2 + L + 1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)}^{\text{approx. NNLO}} \\ &\sim \exp\left(\underbrace{Lg_1(\alpha_s L) + g_2(\alpha_s L)}_{\text{NLL}} + \alpha_s g_3(\alpha_s L) + \dots\right) \underbrace{C(\alpha_s)}_{\text{constants}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{NNLL}} \end{aligned}$$

- resummed formulas (NLL, NNLL, etc.) exponentiate large logs (and can be derived using RG equations in effective theory)
- approximate NNLO formulas keep logarithmic parts of full correction

RESUMMATION IN THREE SOFT LIMITS

$$p_i(p_1) + p_j(p_2) \rightarrow t(p_3) + \bar{t}(p_4) + X(k) \quad (p_i, p_j \in \{q, g\})$$

| Name | Observable | Soft limit |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| production threshold | σ | $\beta = \sqrt{1 - 4m_t^2/\hat{s}} \rightarrow 0$ |
| single-particle-inclusive (1PI) | $d\sigma/dp_T dy$ | $s_4 = (p_4 + k)^2 - m_t^2 \rightarrow 0$ |
| pair-invariant-mass (PIM) | $d\sigma/dM_{t\bar{t}} dy$ | $(1 - z) = 1 - M_{t\bar{t}}^2/\hat{s} \rightarrow 0$ |

$$d\sigma = PDFs \otimes \left[d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{NNLL}}^{\text{leading, soft}}(\ln^n \lambda) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \right]; \quad \lambda \in \{\beta, s_4, 1 - z\}$$

- resummation for partonic cross sections is useful if singular terms in soft limit are enhanced compared to $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ terms after convolution with PDFs (“dynamical threshold enhancement”)

1) production threshold ($\beta \rightarrow 0$, total cross section only)

- Langenfeld, Moch, Uwer '08, '09; Czakon, Mitov, Sterman '09; Beneke, Czakon, Falgari, Mitov, Schwinn '09
- method for joint resummation of soft gluon and Coulomb terms ($\sim 1/\beta$) developed in Beneke, Falgari, Schwinn '09

2) PIM kinematics ($M_{t\bar{t}}$ and y distributions in $t\bar{t}$ frame)

- in SCET Ahrens, Ferroglia, Neubert, BP, Yang '10

3) 1PI kinematics (p_T and y distributions in lab frame)

- Kidonakis '10
- in SCET Ahrens, Ferroglia, Neubert, BP, Yang '11

- total cross section
 - comparison of results in production threshold, PIM, and 1PI limits using “approximate NNLO formulas”
- forward-backward asymmetry
- differential cross sections

RESULTS FROM APPROXIMATE NNLO FORMULAS

$m_t = 173.1$ GeV, $m_t/2 < \mu_f = \mu_r < 2m_t$, MSTW2008 90% CL

1) production threshold (result from HATHOR [Aliiev et. al. '10](#))

| | Tevatron | LHC (7 TeV) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| σ_{NNLO} (pb) | $6.72^{+0.36+0.37}_{-0.76-0.24}$ | 159^{+20+8}_{-21-9} |
| $\sigma_{\text{NNLO approx}}$ | $7.11^{+0.30+0.4}_{-0.40-0.3}$ | 164^{+3+9}_{-9-9} |

2) 1PI threshold ($m_t=173$ GeV) [Kidonakis '10](#)

$$\sigma_{\text{NNLO approx, 1PI}} \left| 7.08^{+0.00+0.36}_{-0.24-0.24} \right| 163^{+7+9}_{-5-9}$$


3) PIM and 1PI threshold in SCET [Ahrens et.al. '10, '11](#)

$$\begin{array}{l} \sigma_{\text{NNLO approx, 1PI}_{\text{SCET}}} \left| 6.63^{+0.00+0.33}_{-0.27-0.24} \right| 155^{+3+8}_{-2-9} \\ \sigma_{\text{NNLO approx, PIM}_{\text{SCET}}} \left| 6.62^{+0.05+0.33}_{-0.40-0.24} \right| 155^{+8+8}_{-8-9} \end{array}$$

Note: large discrepancy between PIM and 1PI kinematics observed in Kidonakis et. al. '01 not present in SCET calculation.

NNLO APPROXIMATIONS AT TEVATRON AND LHC7

$m_t = 173.1$ GeV, $m_t/2 < \mu_f = \mu_r < 2m_t$, MSTW2008



- scale variation not necessarily good indication of uncertainties from subleading terms in soft limits
- $1PI_{SCET}$ and PIM_{SCET} uses insights from SCET to reorganize expansion in soft limit compared to 1PI and PIM used in Kidonakis et '01
- study of NLO cross sections hints that PIM_{SCET} and $1PI_{SCET}$ receive smaller power corrections away from soft limit (backup slides)

$$A_{\text{FB}}^i = \frac{N_t(y^i > 0) - N_t(y^i < 0)}{N_t(y^i > 0) + N_t(y^i < 0)} = \frac{\Delta\sigma_{\text{FB}}}{\sigma}$$

Tevatron:

- total asymmetry measured in $i = p\bar{p}$ or $t\bar{t}$ rest frame
- also with cuts on $M_{t\bar{t}}$ and $\Delta y = y_t - y_{\bar{t}}$ in $t\bar{t}$ frame

LHC:

- $A_{\text{FB}} = 0$, because initial state is symmetric
- can define non-vanishing (differential) charge asymmetries

THE FORWARD-BACKWARD ASYMMETRY IN THE STANDARD MODEL



$$A_{\text{FB}} = \frac{\Delta\sigma_{\text{FB}}}{\sigma} = \frac{\alpha_s^3 \Delta\sigma_{\text{FB},q\bar{q}}^{(0)} + \alpha_s^4 \Delta\sigma_{\text{FB},q\bar{q}}^{(1)} + \dots}{\alpha_s^2 \sigma^{(0)} + \alpha_s^3 \sigma^{(1)} + \dots} = \alpha_s A_{\text{FB}}^{(0)} + \dots$$

Status of Standard Model calculations for A_{FB}

- $A_{\text{FB}}^{(0)}$ in [Kuhn,Rodrigo 1998] (will call “NLO” since uses $d\sigma$ at NLO)
- (mixed QCD)-electroweak corrections
[Kuhn, Rodrigo 1998],[Bernreuther, Si 2010], [Hollik, Pagani 2011]
- A_{FB} to NLO + NLL in $t\bar{t}$ frame with cuts on $M_{t\bar{t}}$
[Almeida, Sterman, Vogelsang 2008]
- A_{FB} to NLO + NNLL with cuts on Δy , $M_{t\bar{t}}$ in $t\bar{t}$ frame, cuts on y in lab frame, also differential charge asymmetry at LHC
[Ahrens, Ferroglia, Neubert, BP, Yang 2011]


TOTAL ASYMMETRY IN $t\bar{t}$ FRAME

$m_t = 173.1$ GeV, $m_t/2 < \mu_f = \mu_r < 2m_t$, MSTW2008 90% CL



- resummation roughly halves scale dependence in $\Delta\sigma_{\text{FB}}$ and σ compared to NLO, but scale dependence of A_{FB} somewhat larger
- theory and experiment agree at about 1σ



$A_{\text{FB}}^{t\bar{t}}$ WITH CUTS ON $M_{t\bar{t}}$



| | $M_{t\bar{t}} \leq 450 \text{ GeV}$ | | $M_{t\bar{t}} > 450 \text{ GeV}$ | |
|----------|--|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | $\Delta\sigma_{\text{FB}}^{t\bar{t}}$ [pb] | $A_{\text{FB}}^{t\bar{t}}$ [%] | $\Delta\sigma_{\text{FB}}^{t\bar{t}}$ [pb] | $A_{\text{FB}}^{t\bar{t}}$ [%] |
| CDF | | $-11.6^{+15.3}_{-15.3}$ | | $47.5^{+11.2}_{-11.2}$ |
| NLO | $0.17^{+0.08+0.02}_{-0.05-0.00}$ | $5.3^{+0.3+0.1}_{-0.4-0.1}$ | $0.21^{+0.12+0.02}_{-0.07-0.00}$ | $10.6^{+1.1+0.3}_{-0.8-0.1}$ |
| NLO+NNLL | $0.21^{+0.04+0.02}_{-0.03-0.00}$ | $5.2^{+0.7+0.1}_{-0.5-0.0}$ | $0.24^{+0.05+0.02}_{-0.04-0.00}$ | $11.1^{+1.9+0.3}_{-1.0-0.0}$ |

Resummation at NNLL is mild effect [[Ahrens,Ferrogli,Neubert,BP,Yang](#)]

INVARIANT MASS AND p_T DISTRIBUTIONS AT NLO+NNLL VS. TEVATRON DATA



- $M_{t\bar{t}}$ and p_T distributions at NLO+NNLL from [Ahrens et. al.]
- normalization and shape of distributions consistent with data
- can also study rapidity distributions (but no measurements so far)

- Total and differential cross sections in top-pair production at hadron colliders have been known at NLO for 20 years.
- Two routes beyond NLO
 - NNLO in fixed order (in progress)
 - soft gluon resummation to NLO+NNLL \leftrightarrow approximate NNLO (known in three different soft limits: production threshold, 1PI, PIM)
- NLO+NNLL calculations have reduced scale uncertainties compared to NLO, but can question whether these reliably estimate uncalculated contributions in different limits
- Higher-order QCD corrections from soft gluon resummation do not explain current discrepancies in A_{FB} at the Tevatron



backup slides

DYNAMICAL THRESHOLD ENHANCEMENT


$$\frac{d\sigma}{dM_{t\bar{t}}} \sim \sum_{i,j} \int_{\tau}^1 \frac{dz}{z} f_{ij}(\tau/z, \mu_f) \left[\delta(1-z) C_0^{ij} + \sum_m \sum_{n \leq 2m-1} \alpha_s^m d_{mn}^{ij} \left[\frac{\ln^n(1-z)}{1-z} \right]_+ + \dots \right]$$

Leading terms in $z \rightarrow 1$ limit dominant if:

- $\tau = M_{t\bar{t}}^2/s \rightarrow 1$ (high invariant mass)
- $f_{ij}(\tau/z, \mu)$ largest as $z \rightarrow 1$, even if τ not close to 1 (“dynamical threshold enhancement”)



DOMINANCE OF SOFT GLUON CORRECTIONS AT NLO



- green band = exact fixed order at NLO ($\mu_f = 200, 800$ GeV)
- dashed lines = leading terms for $z \rightarrow 1$ at NLO ($\mu_f = 200, 800$ GeV)

Soft gluon corrections dominate cross section even at low $M_{t\bar{t}}$

APPROXIMATE NNLO FORMULAS

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij}^{\text{NNLO approx.}}(\beta, \mu_f) = \alpha_s^2 \hat{\sigma}_{ij}^{(0)} + \alpha_s^3 \hat{\sigma}_{ij}^{(1)} + \alpha_s^4 \hat{\sigma}_{ij}^{(2), \text{ approx}}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij=q\bar{q}, gg}^{(2) \text{ approx p.t.}} = \sum_{m=1}^4 d_{2m}^{\text{p.t.}} \ln^m \beta + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(c_{22}^{\text{p.t.}} \ln^2 \beta + c_{11}^{\text{p.t.}} \ln \beta + c_{10}^{\text{p.t.}} \right) + \frac{c_{20}^{\text{p.t.}}}{\beta^2} + \hat{R}'^{\text{p.t.}}(\beta)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij=q\bar{q}, gg}^{(2) \text{ approx. 1PI}} = \int dp_T dy \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^3 d_m^{\text{1PI}} \left[\frac{\ln^m(2E_s(s_4)/\mu_f)}{s_4} \right]_+ + c^{\text{1PI}} \delta(s_4) + \hat{R}'^{\text{1PI}}(s_4) \right\}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij=q\bar{q}, gg}^{(2) \text{ approx. PIM}} = \int dM_{t\bar{t}} dy \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^3 d_m^{\text{PIM}} \left[\frac{\ln^m(2E_s(z)/\mu_f)}{1-z} \right]_+ + c^{\text{PIM}} \delta(1-z) + \hat{R}'^{\text{PIM}}(z) \right\}$$

- pieces in blue determined exactly from NNLL (or Coulomb res. for p.t.)
- in 1PI and PIM, the logs depend on soft energy E_s . Two schemes

| | | |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Ahrens et al '10,'11 | PIM _{SCET} : $2E_s(z) = \frac{M_{t\bar{t}}(1-z)}{\sqrt{z}}$ | 1PI _{SCET} : $2E_s(s_4) = \frac{s_4}{\sqrt{m_t^2 + s_4}}$ |
| Kidonakis et al '01 | PIM: $2E_s(z) \approx M_{t\bar{t}}(1-z)$ | 1PI: $2E_s(s_4) \approx s_4/m_t$ |

COMPARING SOFT LIMITS

If subleading terms in soft limit are known, the production, 1PI, and PIM formulas agree. For instance, at NLO

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_{ij=q\bar{q}, gg}^{(1)} &= \sum_{m=1}^2 d_m^{\text{P.t.}} \ln^m \beta + \frac{C^{\text{P.t.}}}{\beta} + c^{\text{P.t.}} + \hat{R}^{\text{P.t.}}(\beta) \\ &= \int dp_T dy \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^1 d_m^{1\text{PI}} \left[\frac{\ln^m(2E_s(s_4)/\mu_f)}{s_4} \right]_+ + c^{1\text{PI}} \delta(s_4) + \hat{R}^{1\text{PI}}(s_4) \right\} \\ &= \int dM_{t\bar{t}} d\theta \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^1 d_m^{\text{PIM}} \left[\frac{\ln^m(2E_s(z)/\mu_f)}{1-z} \right]_+ + c^{\text{PIM}} \delta(1-z) + \hat{R}^{\text{PIM}}(z) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

By evaluating NLO corrections using only **leading pieces in soft limits** and comparing with exact answer, know size of $\int_{PS}[\hat{R}]$. Will compare using


$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\beta} = \frac{1}{s} \frac{8\beta}{(1-\beta^2)^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbf{f}_{ij} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{s}, \mu_f \right) m_t^2 \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \left(\frac{4m_t^2}{\hat{s}}, \mu_f \right); \quad \hat{s} = 4m_t^2/(1-\beta^2)$$

- if \hat{R} is not small at NLO, weaker case for it to be at NNLO

THE β -DISTRIBUTION AND TOTAL CROSS SECTION

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\beta} = \frac{1}{s} \frac{8\beta}{(1-\beta^2)^2} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{f}_{ij} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{s}, \mu_f \right) m_t^2 \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \left(\frac{4m_t^2}{\hat{s}}, \mu_f \right); \quad \hat{s} = 4m_t^2/(1-\beta^2)$$

- $\mathbb{f}_{ij}(y, \mu_f) = \int_y^1 \frac{dx}{x} f_{i/h_1}(x, \mu_f) f_{j/h_2}(y/x, \mu_f)$ are parton luminosities
- $\hat{\sigma}_{ij} \sim \int_{\text{PS}} [\text{Tr}[\mathbf{HS}]_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)], \quad \lambda = \{E_s(z)/M, E_s(s_4)/m_t\}$



- for $\beta \rightarrow 0$, gluon emission is soft, 1PI, PIM, and exact QCD should agree
- for larger β , expect power corrections to be more important in 1PI kinematics than in PIM kinematics

APPROXIMATE VS. EXACT NLO (RED = $\beta \rightarrow 0$)

