Soft gluon resummation for Slepton pair-production

Alessandro Broggio

in collaboration with

Matthias Neubert, Leonardo Vernazza

Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz

EPS-HEP 2011, Grenoble, July 23

Outline

- Introduction
- Kinematics and factorization at threshold
- K factor, Invariant mass distribution, Total cross section
- Conclusions

Introduction

Drel	I-Yan

- important role in the description of hard interactions in QCD [Altarelli, Ellis, Martinelli 79']
- serves as **prototype** for other (Drell-Yan like) collider processes (production of new particles)

slepton pair production in the context of a Supersymmetric extension of SM

[Baer, Harris, Reno 97'; Beenakker, Klasen, Krämer, Plehn, Spira, Zerwas 99']

- sleptons expected to be among the lightest supersymmetric particles
- signal: energetic lepton pair + missing energy
- for a precise prediction, big threshold logarithms need to be resummed [Bozzi, Fuks, Klasen 07']. We perform resummation in the context of effective field theory [Becher, Neubert, Xu 07']

Kinematics at Threshold



Resummation in SCET

soft function contains plus distributions of the form

 $\alpha_s^n \left[\frac{\ln^m (1-z)}{1-z} \right]_+ \qquad m = 0, \dots, 2n-1 \qquad \qquad \ln^m (1-z) \sim \ln^m \left(\frac{\mu_s}{\mu_h} \right)$ Large Logs: need to be resummed

- Within effective field theory the hard and the soft function are calculated as matching coefficients of operators defined in SCET (Becher, Neubert, Xu, 07')
- The resummation of threshold logarithms arising in the $z \rightarrow I$ region can be accomplished by solving RG equations for the hard and soft function

$$C(z, M, m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{q}}, \mu_f) = \frac{|C_V(M^2, m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{q}}, \mu_h)|^2 U(M, \mu_h, \mu_s, \mu_f)}{|V(M, \mu_h, \mu_s, \mu_f)} \frac{z^{-\eta}}{(1-z)^{1-2\eta}} \tilde{s} \left(\ln \frac{M^2(1-z)^2}{\mu_s^2 z} + \partial_\eta, \mu_s \right) \frac{e^{-2\gamma_E \eta}}{\Gamma(2\eta)}$$

Evolution function which evolves the soft μ_s and the hard μ_h scale to a

common factorization scale μ_f

• The hard and the soft function in the resummed expression should be evaluated at their own characteristic scale

Fixed order result

- The "pure" virtual QCD and the "pure" SUSY-QCD contributions can be distinguished at one loop
- The **supersymmetric** contribution is **small** compared to QCD
- Susy virtual effects would never be appreciable in the lepton invariant mass distribution of the usual Drell-Yan



Choice of the matching scales

- The matching scales μ_h and μ_s should be chosen such that the the perturbative expansions of the Wilson coefficients are well behaved
- The hard matching scale should be chosen of order M
- The soft matching scale is a dynamic scale which depends on T and M (it must be determined) separately for each process)



K factor – NLO vs Resummed



Slepton pair invariant mass

$$d\sigma^{\text{NLO}+\text{NNLL}} \equiv d\sigma^{\text{NNLL}}\Big|_{\mu_h,\mu_s,\mu_f} + \left(d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}\Big|_{\mu_f} - d\sigma^{\text{NNLL}}\Big|_{\mu_h=\mu_s=\mu_f}\right)$$
Matching fixed order NLO and NNLL



Total cross sections LHC 7 TeV

MSSM point: $m_{\tilde{l}} = 150 \,\text{GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{g}} = 750 \,\text{GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{q}} = 600 \,\text{GeV}$

Resummed and matched results with errors combined in quadrature

$\sigma_{ m LO} [{ m fb}]$	$16.90^{+0.63}_{-0.63}$
$\sigma_{ m NLO} [{ m fb}]$	$22.27_{-0.38}^{+0.45}$
$\sigma_{ m NLL}[m fb]$	$20.18^{+2.61}_{-1.43}$
$\sigma_{\rm NNLL} [{\rm fb}]$	$22.00^{+0.18}_{-0.28}$
$\sigma_{\rm NLO+NNLL}[{\rm fb}]$	$22.47_{-0.26}^{+0.16}$

Preliminary!

MSTW 2008 pdf used, LO, NLO, NNLO

Conclusions

- We calculated Slepton pair production considering the susy virtual effects and resumming threshold soft gluons to NNLL accuracy by means of effective field theory methods
- "Purely" supersymmetric corrections are small, therefore difficult to observe in the Drell-Yan invariant mass distribution
- We provided the results for the slepton pair invariant mass distribution and the total cross section at NLO + NNLL accuracy
- Resummation stabilize the result by reducing the scale dependence of the cross sections. It has a small impact (few percent) on the total cross section

Factorization at threshold

hard-scattering kernel factorizes:

$$C(z, M, m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{q}}, \mu_f) = H(M, m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{q}}, \mu_f) S(\sqrt{\hat{s}}(1-z), \mu_f) + \mathcal{O}(1-z)$$

hard function: virtual corrections so

soft function: real emission of soft gluons

- The threshold contributions are enhanced near the kinematic limit $~ au \sim 1~$ and hence $~z \geq au~$ is near 1
- The relevance of the threshold region is due to the steeply falling behavior of the parton luminosity function



Different MSSM Benchmark Point



A. Broggio 23/07/2011

Soft scale



Resummation Effect



Log. accuracy table

RG-impr. PT	Log. approx.	Accuracy $\sim \alpha_s^n L^k$	$\Gamma_{\rm cusp}$	$\gamma^V,~\gamma^\phi$	$C_V, \widetilde{s}_{ m DY}$
	LL	k = 2n	1-loop	tree-level	tree-level
LO	NLL	$2n-1 \le k \le 2n$	2-loop	1-loop	tree-level
NLO	NNLL	$2n - 3 \le k \le 2n$	3-loop	2-loop	1-loop
NNLO	NNNLL	$2n-5 \le k \le 2n$	4-loop	3-loop	2-loop

for Sudakov problems the counting of logarithms is done in the exponent!

Logaritmic structure

(Chiu, Kelley, Manohar, 08')

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha L^2 & \alpha L & \alpha \\ \alpha^2 L^4 & \alpha^2 L^3 & \alpha^2 L^2 & \alpha^2 L & \alpha^2 \\ \alpha^3 L^6 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \end{pmatrix}$$
Logaritmic structure of the scattering amplitude for Sudakov problems

Resummed Invariant Mass

