# CMB power spectrum results from the South Pole Telescope

Christian Reichardt EPS-HEP, July 22, 2011

**Photo: Keith Vanderlinde** 

# Outline

The South Pole Telescope & survey
Primary CMB results
SPT cluster cosmology

### Overview



### The South Pole Telescope (SPT):

- 10 meter telescope 1 arcmin resolution at 150 GHz
- 1 deg FOV

Receiver

cryostat

(250 mK)

DO

- 960 feed-horn coupled, backgroundlimited detectors
- Observe simultaneously in 3 bands 95, 150, 220 GHz with modular focal plane

Secondary

(10 K)

mirror cryostat

### Overview



#### Funded by NSF



### The South Pole Telescope (SPT):

- 10 meter telescope 1 arcmin resolution at 150 GHz
- 1 deg FOV
- 960 feed-horn coupled, backgroundlimited detectors
- Observe simultaneously in 3 bands 95, 150, 220 GHz - with modular focal plane



# SPT Focal Plane





Modular design: 960 pixels fabricated on six silicon wafers

 Incoming radiation is:
 Low-pass filtered (capacitive mesh)
 Coupled to waveguide via smoothwalled conical feedhorns
 High-pass filtered by circular waveguide
 Confined to an integrating cavity
 Absorbed by detector



### Why the South Pole?

- Atmospheric transparency and stability:
  - Extremely dry and cold (average winter temperature below -60 C).
  - High altitude ~ 10,500 feet.
  - Sun below horizon for 6 months.
- Unique geographical location:
  - Observe the clearest views through the Galaxy 24/7/52 "relentless observing"
  - Clean horizon.
- Excellent support from existing research station.



# **SPT Heroes Gallery**

Dana Hrubes and

**Daniel Luong-Van** 

2010 AND 2011!!





315

Zak Staniszewski 2007 Ross Williamson and Erik Shirokoff 2009



Steve Padin 2007

# The SPT Survey

- Finish 3-frequency survey of 6% of the sky this November
- Area chosen based on galactic dust and observable elevations
- Active optical & X-ray followup program
- Full DES coverage



### What a map looks like



Full survey: 2500 deg<sup>2</sup> Noise: 40, 18, 65  $\mu$ K-arcmin at 95, 150, 220 GHz

Zoom in on 150 GHz map ~4 deg<sup>2</sup> of actual data

### CMB anisotropies and foregrounds

### **Galaxy clusters**

### Point sources

### A Brief History of the Universe





# A dark energy dominated Universe



# Maps to bandpowers

### Beam + Calibration + 800 deg<sup>2</sup> Map



Pseudo-C<sub>I</sub> methods



Direct Fourier transform:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{a}_{\ell m}^{i} &= \int d\hat{n} \left[ \Delta T^{i}(\hat{n}) W(\hat{n}) \right] Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) \\ \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{ii} &= \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{m = -\ell}^{\ell} |\tilde{a}_{\ell m}^{i}|^{2} \end{split}$$

Need to explicitly account for: •Experimental beam shape

 $< \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{ii} > = B_{\ell}^2 < C_{\ell'} >$ 



Direct Fourier transform:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{a}_{\ell m}^{i} &= \int d\hat{n} \left[ \Delta T^{i}(\hat{n}) W(\hat{n}) \right] Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) \\ \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{ii} &= \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{m = -\ell}^{\ell} |\tilde{a}_{\ell m}^{i}|^{2} \end{split}$$

Need to explicitly account for:Experimental beam shapeFiltering of timestream data

 $< \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{ii} > = F_{\ell} B_{\ell}^2 < C_{\ell'} >$ 



Direct Fourier transform:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{a}_{\ell m}^{i} &= \int d\hat{n} \left[ \Delta T^{i}(\hat{n}) W(\hat{n}) \right] Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) \\ \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{ii} &= \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{m = -\ell}^{\ell} |\tilde{a}_{\ell m}^{i}|^{2} \end{split}$$

Need to explicitly account for:Experimental beam shapeFiltering of timestream dataMasking for unwanted sources

 $< \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{ii} > = \sum M_{\ell\ell'} [W] F_{\ell'} B_{\ell'}^2 < C_{\ell'} >$ 



**Direct Fourier transform:** 

$$\begin{split} \tilde{a}_{\ell m}^{i} &= \int d\hat{n} \left[ \Delta T^{i}(\hat{n}) W(\hat{n}) \right] Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) \\ \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{ii} &= \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{m = -\ell}^{\ell} |\tilde{a}_{\ell m}^{i}|^{2} \end{split}$$

Need to explicitly account for:
Experimental beam shape
Filtering of timestream data
Masking for unwanted sources
Biases introduced by noise

 $< \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{ii} > = \sum M_{\ell\ell'} [W] F_{\ell'} B_{\ell'}^2 < C_{\ell'} > + < N_{\ell} >$ 

# SPT - both primary & secondary CMB



### SPT "low ell"

(dominated by primary CMB anisotropy)

# Primary CMB



 Reduces uncertainties by >2 across damping tail

# SPT modestly improves 6 "vanilla" cosmo parameters



ns = 0.9663 + - 0.0112 (3.0-sigma from 1.0)

# CMB Lensing

Introduce **A\_lens** which smoothly scales lensing potential power spectrum:

$$C_{\ell}^{\psi} \to A_{\text{lens}} C_{\ell}^{\psi}$$

(lensing smooths out acoustic peaks)

- $(A_{lens})^{0.65} = 0.94 + 0.15$
- Consistent with  $A_{lens} = 1$ .
- 5-6 $\sigma$  rejection of A<sub>lens</sub> = 0.

 Predict 30 σ detection for full spt survey & lensing analysis. Constrain neutrino mass, early dark energy, modified gravity



# Extensions beyond LCDM

- Inflation Running and Tensor modes (normally=0, allow to be free)
- **Primordial Helium** (normally determined by BBN, a tight function of  $\Omega_b h^2$ . Allow to be free).
- Number of relativistic species (think neutrinos) (normally 3.046, allow to be free)

# Initial conditions



- Tightest constraints on tensor-scalar ratio (r), running and n<sub>s</sub>
- r<0.21 (95%), SPT+WMAP7
- r<0.17 (95%), SPT+WMAP7+H0+BAO

### **Primordial Helium**



• Yp = 0.296 +/- 0.030 (SPT+WMAP7)

### **Number of Relativistic Species**



- Neff = 3.85 +/- 0.62 (SPT+WMAP7)
- Neff = 3.86 +/- 0.42 (SPT+WMAP7+H0+BAO)

# Damping scale

### $\theta_d/\theta_s$



$$\frac{\theta_d}{\theta_s} \simeq \frac{0.24(1 + 0.227 \text{ N}_{\text{eff}})^{0.22}}{\sqrt{1 - Y_p}}$$

Hou et al. 2011



# Number of neutrinos



N<sub>eff</sub>: > 2.7 (WMAP)
 3.85 ± 0.62 (WMAP+SPT)

# Tension with measures of structure

Data prefers N<sub>eff</sub> > 3 (1.8-sigma)

Such models need high  $\sigma_8$ 



• N<sub>eff</sub>: 3.42 ± 0.34 (WMAP+SPT+BAO+Clusters)

### Hold on - massive neutrino's

 Can have a lower and "more reasonable" σ<sub>8</sub>, like 0.8, if you allow for Sum of m<sub>nu</sub> ~ 0.3 eV.





Allowing for (not very) massive neutrinos decorrelates  $N_{eff}$  and  $\sigma_8$ , at no expense to  $N_{eff}$  constraint.



# Take Away #1

 SPT has mapped out the CMB damping tail, in order to detect gravitational lensing, and measure the number of relativistic species (among other things).

Read more in astro-ph/1105.3182

### **Back to the SPT map**

Counting dark spots (galaxy clusters) to probe dark energy

### Structure as viewed by the CMB



### Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect: CMB photons provide a backlight for structure in the universe.

 Thermal: 1-2% of CMB photons traversing galaxy clusters are inverse Compton scattered to higher energy

• **Kinetic**: Doppler shift from motion of cluster



### SZE Surveys

Use SZE as a Probe of Structure Formation and to provide nearly unbiased cluster sample



Same range of X-ray surface brightness and SZ decrement in all three insets.

SZE Flux : 
$$S \propto \frac{1}{d_A(z)^2} \int n_e T_e dV$$

Surface brightness independent of redshift

 Total flux proportional to the total thermal energy of cluster (expected to be good mass proxy)

# Cosmology with Galaxy clusters

### Cluster Abundance, dN/dz





Cluster dN/dZ with Mass > M

**Chris Greer** 

# Cosmology with Galaxy clusters

### Cluster Abundance, dN/dz



 $\rho(z) = \rho_0 (1+z)^{3(1+w)}$ where w =  $\rho/p$  is eqn. of state



### SPT cluster sample

### Redshifts

Mass vs. Redshift





- Over 300 optically confirmed candidates
  - -~80% new discoveries
  - Confirmed 95% purity at >5 sigma
- High redshift, <z> ~0.5 0.6
- M<sub>500</sub>(z=0.6) = > 3e14 M<sub>o</sub> / h<sub>70</sub> (lower at higher z)

# Early results from SPT

#### Vanderlinde+, 2010



- Only 21 clusters!
- Constraints limited by mass calibration (but early days)

### SPT significance as a Mass Proxy



- Y<sub>sz</sub> should have low (~7%) scatter with mass (Kravstov, Vikhlinin, Nagai 2006)
- However, poor constraints on cluster amplitude and angular size with low significance detections
- Signal-to-noise in spatial filtered map is mass proxy (Vanderlinde et al 2010)
- Use simulation based priors on this scaling relation (~25% one-sigma prior on mass calibration)

### Multi-wavelength Observations: Mass Calibration

SZ-mass scaling relation needs precise and unbiased mass calibration AT ALL REDSHIFTS.

- Multi-wavelength mass calibration campaign, including:
- X-ray with Chandra and XMM (PI: Benson, Andersson, Vikhlinin)
- Weak lensing from Magellan (0.3 < z < 0.6) and HST (z > 0.6) (PI: Stubbs, High, Hoekstra)
- Dynamical masses from NOAO 3year survey on Gemini (0.3<z< 0.8); VLT at z > 0.8



CHANDRA X-RAY OBSERVATORY



# Hubble



### SPT Cosmological Constraints with X-ray



 Developing full cosmological MCMC to jointly fit cosmology, Yx-M, ξ-M relations, using priors from Vikhlinin et al (2009)

•X-ray measurements reduce mass uncertainty from 25% to 10%

• Improves 21 cluster cosmological constraints on  $\sigma_8$  by ~50% and *w* by ~30%

# Future constraints with SPT+Xray



SPT 2500 deg<sup>2</sup> survey with ~450 clusters at 5 sigma X-ray based mass calibration with 5% mean from 80 clusters - Chandra XVP

### Constrain $\sigma_8$ to 1.2%; w to 4.6%

Independent of geometric constraints (SN/BAO) Note: 3.3% systematic uncertainty in w due to mass calibration

## Take Away #2

 SPT has discovered hundreds of real, massive clusters. Observations underway will accurately determine the mass calibration at all redshifts, enabling strong constraints on dark energy.

# SPTPol: CMB polarization

- Building 760 pixel polarimeter for SPT
- Scheduled to deploy this winter
- 3x mapping speed of current receiver







### The End