SUSY searches

Michele Papucci CERN & LBNL



Grenoble, July 26th

Outline

• SUSY & the weak scale

- What we have learned from the 35 pb⁻¹/200 pb⁻¹ studies
- Weak spots
- Outlook

- Many great SUSY searches from both ATLAS and CMS presented in the last 6 months.
- Present talk is mostly looking forward → few examples to stress missing regions (personal opinion)

Apologies for underrepresented searches/ experiments (mostly b/c of space)

SUSY & the weak scale

• SUSY provides a nice framework for stabilizing the ElectroWeak scale

$$\frac{m_{Higgs}^2}{2} = -|\mu|^2 + \dots + \delta m_H^2$$
$$\delta m_H^2 \simeq -\frac{3y_t^2}{8\pi^2} \left(m_{Q_3}^2 + m_{U_3}^2 + |A_t|^2\right) \ln\left(\frac{M}{m_{\tilde{t}}}\right)$$

- (some of the) superpartners have to be light enough
 (μ → higgsinos, mQ3,mU3,At → stop (sbottom))
- <u>more general</u> than the MSSM (need two Higgs doublets, 4-dim N=1 SUSY for Higgs+3rd generation, perturbative Electroweak Sym' Breaking (e.g no SUSY-Technicolor, ...), ...)
- amount of cancelation has not been <u>directly</u> probed yet!

• What are the minimal requirements for a "natural" weak-scale SUSY?

("natural"? 10-9=1? 100-99=1? 1000-999=1? 1 part in 104? ...)

$$m_{\tilde{t}}^{2} \lesssim \frac{(700 \text{GeV})^{2}}{1 + A_{t}^{2}/2m_{\tilde{t}}^{2}} \left(\frac{3}{\ln(\Lambda_{M}/m_{\tilde{t}})}\right) \left(\frac{M_{higgs}}{200 \text{GeV}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{20\%}{\Delta^{-1}}\right)$$
(e.g. Kitano & Nomura 2006)
Less problems w/
low scale mediation
Needs something
beyond the MSSM to
increase the Higgs
mass > 140GeV
$$\text{ISSM, large } M_{\text{Higgs}}: \quad m_{\tilde{t}}^{2} \lesssim (500 \text{GeV})^{2} \left(\frac{3}{\ln(\Lambda_{M}/m_{\tilde{t}})}\right) \left(\frac{20\%}{\Delta^{-1}}\right)$$

• Gluinos shouldn't be too heavy either

$$\delta m_{\tilde{t}}^2 \simeq \frac{8\alpha_s}{3\pi} M_3^2 \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda_M}{m_{\tilde{t}}}\right)$$

And feeds into the Higgs mass at two loops...

$$M_3 \lesssim 1.5 \text{TeV}\left(\frac{3}{\log\left(\Lambda_M/m_{\tilde{t}}\right)}\right)$$

 Higgsinos (mass determined by μ) should also be fairly light

Digression: the MSSM

• The weak scale is determined by:

$$\frac{m_{Higgs}^2}{2} = -|\mu|^2 + \dots + \delta m_H^2$$
$$\delta m_H^2 \simeq -\frac{3y_t^2}{8\pi^2} \left(m_{Q_3}^2 + m_{U_3}^2 + |A_t|^2 \right) \ln\left(\frac{M}{m_{\tilde{t}}}\right)$$

• The physical Higgs mass is

$$m_h^2 \simeq m_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \frac{m_t^4}{v^2} \left[\log \frac{m_{\tilde{t}}^2}{m_t^2} + \frac{X_t^2}{m_{\tilde{t}}^2} \left(1 - \frac{X_t^2}{12m_{\tilde{t}}^2} \right) \right] \quad X_t = A_t - \mu \cot \beta$$

- LEP bound $m_H > 114$ GeV requires heavy stops
- tuning of ~ few %

Current SUSY searches

- "low statistics" with L<1fb⁻¹ forced searches to look only for cascades initiated by gluinos/first two generation squarks
- R-parity conserving scenarios → fair amount of MET required (except a few searches, but then require fairly large H_T/M_{eff})

New: 1.04 fb

1st-2nd gen' squarks and gluinos?



What we have learned?

- If SUSY breaking is flavor blind (soft masses $\propto 1_{3x_3}$ in generation space @ mediation scale Λ_M)
 - no problem with flavor physics bounds (~ Minimal Flavor Viol') ③
 - strong bound on light squark masses translates into bound on stop masses ③
- even at low $\Lambda_M \sim 10$ TeV:

 $m_{\tilde{t}} \simeq m_{\tilde{q}} \gtrsim 700 \text{GeV}$ vs. $m_{\tilde{t}} \lesssim (500 \div 700) \text{GeV}$

A certain tension starts building up irrespective of the LEP Higgs bound...

with high scale mediation models situation is much worse (log enhancement)



Missing something?

• Important to push limits up, but with more statistics <u>more important</u> to systematically close windows for light sparticles with suppressed xsec...

<u>"Flavor-Split" spectra</u> (heavy 1st-2nd gen squarks, gluino below 1-1.5 TeV, light 3rd gen)

<u>"Squashed" spectra</u> (everything below ~500GeV but splittings are small, O(10GeV)) Low MET scenarios (not necessarily RPV)

"Flavor-Split" spectra (~"Effective SUSY" Cohen et al. 1996)

- 3rd generation "light" vs. 1st-2nd generations "heavy"
 - natural for Electroweak Symmetry Breaking (w/ also light higgsinos, not-too-heavy (<1-1.5TeV) gluinos)
- Two questions:
 - Is it already constrained in SUSY searches?
 - What about flavor bounds?



Is it constrained? Sbottom: direct prod' probed at Tevatron

Looked at
$$\tilde{b} \to b\chi^0$$



- Bottomline:
 - Stops can still be light (even 120-180 GeV) (promptly decaying)
 - Sbottoms should be > 250 GeV (promptly decaying)
 - Additional small "holes" near kinematic degeneracies

Constraints from LHC?

w/ bjets: ATLAS: 1103.4344, CONF-2011-098 CMS: 1106.3272



Reach is clearly dominated by the gluino production For heavy enough gluinos (>700 GeV) no quoted bounds

Estimating the current limits e.g. ATLAS-CONF-2011-098

Meff>500(700)GeV cut: should be highly inefficient for m<250-350 GeV



- direct sbottom prod': N_{jet}>2, suppressed acceptance
- direct stop prod': σ~1pb for m~300GeV
 - lepton veto → hadronic tops
 - M_{eff} built from 3 leading jets $\rightarrow M_{eff} < 2m_{stop} \rightarrow low$ $\epsilon \cdot A$ even for 300 GeV stops (~150 GeV "lost")

stops: €·A~1% at 300GeV, 10% at 400GeV

← "theorist estimates" (M.P. & A.Weiler in progress)

Estimating the current limits

- Similar situation with 35pb⁻¹ analyses: bounds in the 150-250GeV ("theorist estimates") depending on the neutralino/chargino spectra (in decay chains)
- Public LHC searches not designed to probe direct 3rd generation squarks production (no "need" until now, given low statistics)
- Necessity of more targeted searches for the multi-fb⁻¹ era
- Complement direct "inos" searches when electroweak prod' will become accessible (μ vs. m_{stop})

Flavor constraints?

- SUSY breaking distinguish generations → "Flavorful" SUSY scenarios
 - recently studied by Craig et al. 2011, Barbieri et al.
 2011, ...
 - Generically expect deviations in flavor measurements
 - size easily compatible with current uncertainties/may be used to account for discrepancies (B_s mixing, ...)

Interplay of direct high-pT searches with indirect flavor searches (ATLAS+CMS vs. LHCb)

"Compressed" spectra?

- Running from high scale tend to open up the SUSY spectrum → low scale SUSY breaking required
- Generically more fine-tuned
- Low efficiency with present searches b/c of softness of decay products (→ harder to estimate reach at "theorist level")
- May use hard ISR to overcome energy thresholds by recoiling against a hard jet (need statistics to compensate the xsec price)

Further (experimental) study needed

Low missing ET?

- arXiv:1105.5135 (Fan et al.) "Stealth SUSY"→ easy to extend the MSSM to get R-parity conserving scenarios with suppressed MET
- Basic idea: have a squashed spectrum in another sector (~"hidden valley") where SUSY breaking effects are naturally small
 - Simplest model: add a singlet to the MSSM (~NMSSM)
- would be natural target of Exotica or RPV searches
- Some signatures already looked for: e.g. 3-jet "resonances"
- Other signatures new: e.g. "resonances" in 1jet+2photons

Further explorations needed

Outlook

- Current Luminosity is the divide between constraining only 1st-2nd gen' squarks & gluinos cascades or looking at direct production of 3rd gen' squarks and electroweak "inos"
- Strong limits on squarks and gluinos are currently "trouble" only for (high-scale) flavor-universal scenarios
- light stops and sbottoms not really constrained yet (need "flavorful" SUSY scenarios) - key to constrain with dedicated searches
- Squashed spectra (tuned?) and scenarios with low MET are other avenues to evade the bounds (may need dedicated study)
- Higgs searches will provide complementary info (e.g. ruling out the MSSM if m_{Higgs}>130-140GeV or perturbative SUSY if no Higgs)