

INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, HEIDELBERG UNIVERSITY

W/Z+jets and multijets

Christoph Englert | 22.07.2011



physics baseline by today

we have not explored the Fermi scale with our own eyes until now, but

[LEPEWG '10]

$$\begin{split} SU(3)_C imes SU(2)_L imes U(1)_Y & \stackrel{?}{\longrightarrow} SU(3)_C imes U(1)_Q \ W^{\pm}, Z \sim [SU(2)_L imes U(1)_Y]/U(1)_Q \end{split}$$

+ indirect constraints from high scales leaving low-energy footprints: S, T, U, Zbb, ...

BSM phenomenology means entering the regime $\Lambda \gg m_W$ in the electroweak sector:



 $\Lambda \lesssim m_W$:

physics baseline by today

we have not explored the Fermi scale with our own eyes until now, but

[LEPEWG '10]

$$SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \stackrel{?}{\longrightarrow} SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Q$$

 $W^{\pm}, Z \sim [SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y]/U(1)_Q$

+ indirect constraints from high scales leaving low-energy footprints: S, T, U, Zbb, ...

BSM phenomenology means entering the regime $\Lambda \gg m_W$ in the electroweak sector:



 $\Lambda \lesssim m_W$:

... is already yesterday's calibration!

W/Z+jets

- in-situ jet energy scale calibration
 [ATL-PHYS-PUB-2009-000]
 [CMS-PAS-JME-09-005]
- W, Z, ℓ[±], ∉_T reconstruction
 [ATLAS-CONF-2010-057]
 [CMS-JME-10-009]
- τ^{\pm} identification
 - [CMS arXiv:1104.1617]

MC validation [A¹]

[ATLAS arXiv:1012.5382]

QCD jets

- fake-∉_T [ATLAS-CONF-2010-065] [CMS-PAS-JME-10-004]
 MC validation [ATLAS-CONF-2010-084] [CMS-PAS-QCD-10-011]
- Central Jet Veto performance [ATLAS arXiv:1107.1641]

Ger everything in place for SUSY and Higgs searches

... is already yesterday's calibration!

W/Z+jets

 in-situ jet energy scale calibration [ATL-PHYS-PUB-2009-000] [CMS-PAS-JME-09-005] • $W, Z, \ell^{\pm}, \not \in_T$ reconstruction [ATLAS-CONF-2010-057] [CMS-JME-10-009] • τ^{\pm} identification [CMS arXiv:1104.1617]

MC validation

[ATLAS arXiv:1012.5382]

QCD jets

- In fake-E T [ATLAS-CONF-2010-065] [CMS-PAS-JME-10-004]
- MC validation

[ATLAS-CONF-2010-084] [CMS-PAS-QCD-10-011]

Central Jet Veto performance [ATLAS arXiv:1107.1641]

theoretical status

W/Z+jets

- NLO precision for W/Z + 0.1 jet [Giele, Glover, Kosower Nucl. Phys. B403 (1993) 633] [Brensing et al. arXiv:0710.3309] [Denner et al. arXiv:1103.0914, arXiv:0906.1656]
- NNLO precision for W/Z [Anastasiou et al. hep-ph/0312266]

approx. NNLO for Z + 1 jet [Rubin, Salam, Sapeta arXiv:1006.2144]

• NLO precision for W/Z + 2 jets [Campbell, Ellis hep-ph/0202176, MCFM]

NLO precision for Z + 3 jets [BLACKHAT+SHERPA, arXiv:1004.1659]

• NLO precision for W + 3 jets [BLACKHAT+SHERPA, arXiv:0902.2760] [ROCKET, arXiv:0910.3671]

• NLO precision for W + 4 jets [BLACKHAT+SHERPA, arXiv:1009.2338]

NLO precision for diboson+jet, triboson+jet [Dittmaier et al. 0710.1577] [Binoth et al. 0911.3181] [VBFNLO, 1107.4038] [Campanario et al. 1106.4009]

... is already yesterday's calibration!

W/Z+jets

- in-situ jet energy scale calibration [ATL-PHYS-PUB-2009-000] [CMS-PAS-JME-09-005] • $W, Z, \ell^{\pm}, \not\!\!\! E_T$ reconstruction [ATLAS-CONF-2010-057] [CMS-JME-10-009] • τ^{\pm} identification [CMS arXiv:1104.1617] MC validation [ATLAS arXiv:1012.5382] QCD jets In fake-E T [ATLAS-CONF-2010-065] [CMS-PAS-JME-10-004] MC validation [ATLAS-CONF-2010-084]
 - Central Jet Veto performance [ATLAS arXiv:1107.1641]

[CMS-PAS-QCD-10-011]

theoretical status

QCD jets

- NLO precision for 2 jets [Ellis, Kunszt, Soper Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1496 (1992)]
- NNLO precision for qq → qq, gq → gq [Anastasiou *et al.* hep-ph/0101304, hep-ph/0012007] [Bern, De Freitas, Dixon hep-ph/0304168]
- NLO precision for 3 jets
 [Frixione, Kunszt, Signer hep-ph/9512328]
 [Nagy hep-ph/0110315, hep-ph/0307268, NLOJET++]

$p\text{QCD} \oplus \text{shower matching approaches}$

MC@NLO [Frixione et al. arXiv:1010.0568]
 POWHEG box [Alioli et al. arXiv:1002.2581]
 HERWIG++ [D'Errico, Richardson arXiv:1109.1127]
 HERWIG, PYTHIA [Alioli et al. arXiv:1012.3380]
 SHERPA [Höche et al. arXiv:1008.5399]
 MENLOPS [Höche et al. arXiv:1009.1127]

... is already yesterday's calibration!

W/Z+jets

- in-situ jet energy scale calibration
 [ATL-PHYS-PUB-2009-000]
 [CMS-PAS-JME-09-005]
- *W*, *Z*, ℓ[±], ∉_T reconstruction [ATLAS-CONF-2010-057] [CMS-JME-10-009]

• τ^{\pm} identification

[CMS arXiv:1104.1617]

MC validation

[ATLAS arXiv:1012.5382]

QCD jets

- fake-∉_T [ATLAS-CONF-2010-065] [CMS-PAS-JME-10-004]
 MC validation [ATLAS-CONF-2010-084] [CMS-PAS-QCD-10-011]
 Construct lot V(sta particular)
 - Central Jet Veto performance [ATLAS arXiv:1107.1641]

MC validation

tree level matching of W/Z+jets and multijets

- MLM in ALPGEN [Mangano et al. hep-ph/0206293]
- MLM in MADGRAPH/MADEVENT

- MLM in HELAC-PHEGAS [Cafarella, Papadopoulos, Worek arXiv:0710.2427]
- ARIADNE [Lönnblad hep-ph/0112284]
- CKKW in SHERPA [Catani et al. hep-ph/0109231] [Gleisberg et al. arXiv:0811.4622]
- dedicated matched MC comparison for W+jets @ Tevatron [Alwall et al. arXiv:0706.2569]



[[]Alwall et al. arXiv:1106.0522]

W+jets with ATLAS [arXiv:1012.5382]



inclusive jets with ATLAS [ATLAS arXiv:1107.2092]



fake ∉_T with ATLAS [ATLAS-CONF-2010-065]



early data & theory \rightsquigarrow new physics

lesson learned from early data & theory

- *∉*_T under control → jets + *∉*_T searches
 [ATLAS-CONF-2010-065] [CMS arXiv:1101.1628, arXiv:1106.4503]
- W+jets, Z+jets and QCD jets follow "staircase" scaling

$$\frac{\sigma_n^{\text{incl}}}{\sigma_{n-1}^{\text{incl}}} = R_n \approx R = \text{const} \iff R = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{n+1}}{\hat{\sigma}_n} !$$

- theoretically conjectured behavior for W/Z+jets
 [Ellis, Kleiss, Stirling Phys. Lett. B154 (1985) 435]
 [Berends et al., Phys. Lett. B224 (1989) 237]
- consolidated for higher multiplicities by higher order corrections
- data well reproduced by matched Monte Carlos
- no <u>deep</u> theoretical understanding (yet)

Can we make use of this?

$R_n \equiv \hat{\sigma}_n / \hat{\sigma}_{n-1}$	LO	NLO	
R_2	0.2805(1)	0.235(2)	
R ₃	0.2483(5)	0.223(2)	
R_4	0.2394(4)	0.226(2)	

[BLACKHAT+SHERPA arXiv:1009.2338]



and the answer is yes in two ways...

[CE, Plehn, Schichtel, Schumann arXiv:1102.4615]

impact of scales

cuts sufficiently hard yet inclusive

 \rightsquigarrow no intrinsic/cut-induced hard scale

and the answer is yes in two ways ...

[CE, Plehn, Schichtel, Schumann arXiv:1102.4615]

impact of scales

- introduce a heavy particle / large intrinsic scale
 (i) decay jets, ∉_T

generic strongly-coupled new physics spectrum with a DM candidate





and the answer is yes in two ways ...

impact of scales

- introduce a heavy particle / large intrinsic scale
 (i) decay jets, ∉_T

(ii) / poke QCD $\rightarrow /$ QCD pokes back !

 \sim offset #jets spectrum \sim "tilted" radiation pattern wrst QCD

[CE, Plehn, Schichtel, Schumann arXiv:1102.4615]

generic strongly-coupled new physics spectrum with a DM candidate





and the answer is yes in two ways...

[CE, Plehn, Schichtel, Schumann arXiv:1102.4615]



- introduce a heavy particle / large intrinsic scale
 (i) decay jets, ∉_T

(ii) / poke QCD $\rightarrow /$ QCD pokes back !

 \sim offset #jets spectrum \sim "tilted" radiation pattern wrst QCD



and the answer is yes in two ways ...



- introduce a heavy particle / large intrinsic scale
 (i) decay jets, ∉_T

(ii) / poke QCD $\rightarrow /$ QCD pokes back !

 \sim offset #jets spectrum \sim "tilted" radiation pattern wrst QCD



and the answer is yes in two ways...

impact of scales

- introduce a heavy particle / large intrinsic scale
 (i) decay jets, ∉_T

(ii) / poke QCD $\rightarrow /$ QCD pokes back !

 \sim offset #jets spectrum \sim "tilted" radiation pattern wrst QCD



and the answer is yes in two ways ...

impact of scales

- introduce a heavy particle / large intrinsic scale
 (i) decay jets, ∉_T

(ii) / poke QCD $\rightarrow /$ QCD pokes back !

 \sim offset #jets spectrum \sim "tilted" radiation pattern wrst QCD







SPS1a [HERWIG++ arXiv:0803.0883] [SHERPA arXiv:0811.4622]

$$Q=2 \sum_{i \in \text{bins}} [s_i - n_i \log (1 + s_i/b_i)]$$

Shape log likelihood analysis $Q(n_j)$ [LEPHWG '03]

	signal significance for 35 pb ⁻¹
inclusive	0.2 σ
$n_{\rm jets}$ (1D)	1.6σ

• cuts as inclusive as possible, fake $\not \in_T$ from early data comparisons \sim do not sculpt backgrounds

- uncertainties with all other exclusive quantities are correlated
- resolve energy scale ambiguity and gain statistical sensitivity by singling out the exclusive n_i's mass scale

"Autofocus"



- uncertainties with all other exclusive quantities are correlated
- resolve energy scale ambiguity and gain statistical sensitivity by singling out the exclusive n_i's mass scale

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(n_j, m_{\text{eff}}) \quad \text{with } m_{\text{eff}} = \not \!\!\! E_T + \sum_{n_j} p_T^j$$

Autofocussing with $Q(n_j, m_{eff})$







Towards deciphering staircase scaling



Towards deciphering staircase scaling

photon+jets [CE, Plehn, Schichtel, Schumann in progress]

- important for estimating invisible $(Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu})$ +jets [Bern *et al.* arXiv:1106.1423] [Ask *et al.* arXiv:1107.2803]
- no obvious scaling behavior due to mixing of dijet-type and γ+jet-like events
- remove collinear contributions

 \rightsquigarrow staircase scaling





Towards deciphering staircase scaling





Summary & Conclusions

- W/Z + jets & multijets are SM candles and important backgrounds to new physics searches
- pQCD theoretical status in good shape, shapes agree for matched MCs → established QCD × electroweak phenomenology at a new energy scale
- application in new physics searches with early data, especially SUSY
- three step program that exploits jet scaling behavior:
 - use jet scaling to consistently reduce correlated uncertainties
 - a use MC to trace the influence of cuts in a data-injected approach
 - identify regions inconsistent with the background-only hypothesis
 - (not discovery)

- Iurther comparisons & measurements needed
- γ +jets is an excellent laboratory to test scaling hypotheses against data
- jet scaling points to a novel way in performing W/Z+jets and γ +jets comparisons



 Z, ℓ, τ reconstruction with CMS [arXiv:1104.1617]



