# A general framework for static and dynamic tomography with regularity constraints

<u>Yannick Boursier</u> imXgam group, CPPM, France

in collaboration with S. Anthoine<sup>1</sup>, J.-F. Aujol<sup>2</sup>, C. Mélot<sup>1</sup>

in collaboration with R. Frazin<sup>4</sup>, F. Haddad<sup>3</sup>, P. Lamy<sup>3</sup>, A. Llebaria<sup>3</sup>, D. Vibert<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Signal Processing Group, LATP, Marseille <sup>2</sup> Institut Mathématique de Bordeaux <sup>3</sup> Solar System Dpt, LAM, Marseille <sup>4</sup> DAOSS, University of Michigan







## Tomography ...

• Absorption tomography (X-ray tomography)



Phase tomography (with interferometry)



 ... and also Optical Computed, Ultrasound, Deflection, Positron Emission Tomographies ...







# Outline

- I Recalls on tomography
- II Two biomedical applications:
  - a Cone-Beam Computerized Tomography
  - b Positron Emission Tomography
- III An astronomical application : Solar Rotational Tomography
- Conclusion















• Basis of tomography : data in 1D + angle, object in 2D.









• Basis of tomography : data in 1D + angle, object in 2D.









• Basis of tomography : data in 1D + angle, object in 2D.

#### Image to reconstruct









• Basis of tomography : data in 1D + angle, object in 2D.









• Basis of tomography : data in 1D + angle, object in 2D.









• Basis of tomography : data in 1D + angle, object in 2D.









• Basis of tomography : data in 1D + angle, object in 2D.









#### I - Recalls in tomography Wavelength (meter) 1 Fourier Transform Rodon Transform 10-2 10-4 10-6 **Direct Space** 10-8 10-10 104 if parallel beam... 107

Energy (electron-volt)

1010

1013

central slice theorem

Frequency Space



**Projection Space** 





Let's define  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the measures,

and  $x\in \mathbb{R}^m$  the unknown to recover and  $S\in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^m)$  a linear operator.

with  $n \ll m$  in general ...

- Tomography is an ill-posed problem.
- System matrices are ill-conditioned operators...
- ... and their rank is almost always lower than n.







#### I - Then regularization !

 $ilde{y} = SFx$  with: F Fourier Transform operator

#### ${\cal S}$ $\,$ Selection operator $\,$



 $\hat{x} = \arg\min_{x} \|x\|_2$  s.t.  $\tilde{y} = SFx$ 

# $\widehat{x} = \arg\min_{x} \|x\|_{TV} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \widetilde{y} = SFx$





#### I - Real life is not parallel ...

- •Fan-beam (2D), cone-beam (3D)
- •No more Fourier equivalence
- •System matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^m)$

# $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Acquisition} \\ y = Ax & A \sim 10^6 \times \left[ 10^6 - 10^9 \right] \end{array}$





Principles of Computerized Tomographic Imaging

# $\hat{x} = \arg\min_{x} \|x\|_{TV} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|y - Ax\|_2 \le \varepsilon$ BASP Frontiers, 4th - 9th September 2011 - Villars, Switzerland

Gaussian Noise case





#### **II** - Biomedical applications

- a) Anatomical imaging : Cone-Beam Computerized Tomography
- b) Functional imaging : Positron Emission Tomography







#### I - Recalls on Computerized Tomography





BASP Frontiers, 4th - 9th September 2011 - Villars, Switzerland



IN2P3

#### I - Recalls on Computerized Tomography



#### I - Recalls on Computerized Tomography





BASP Frontiers, 4th - 9th September 2011 - Villars, Switzerland



IN2P3

#### **II** - Recalls on Cone-Beam Computerized Tomography

• Basis of tomography : data in 2D + angle, object in 3D.





#### Sinogram









#### **II** - Recalls on Cone-Beam Computerized Tomography

• Basis of tomography : data in 2D + angle, object in 3D.

projection



#### Sinogram









## I - Recalls in Positron Emission Tomography









#### I - Recalls in Positron Emission Tomography







N2P3

#### ClearPET + XPAD = ClearPET/XPAD



## ClearPET (EPFL)

- Open geometry
- Phoswich LSO/LuYAP detectors
- 2 x 64 cristals of 2 x 2 x 8 mm<sup>3</sup>
- PMT multi-anodes at 64 channels



# XPAD (CPPM)

- XPAD3 camera
- 500  $\mu$ m Si pixelized
- Pixels of 130 x 130  $\mu m^2$
- 0,5 Mpixels
- Energy selection
   5-35 keV
- W X-ray source



#### CleartPET/XPAD

- Hybrid tomography
- Simultaneous TEP/TDM
- PET : 55 mm axial 111 mm transverse
- CBCT: 59 mm axial
   38 mm transverse







# PET/CT scan of a mouse : SIMULTANEOUS ACQUISITION

- Volume rendering
- Segmentation of lungs and kidneys
- 40 kV, 800 μA,
   filter Nb/Mo
- 360 projections
- 1 s/projection
- 10 000 photons/pixel









# Challenges

- High quality of reconstruction while :
  - reducing the X-ray dose (CT)
  - reducing the radiotracer dose (PET)
  - reducing the exam duration (PET)
- Possible solutions :
  - Reduce the number of projections (CT)
  - Reduce the intensity of acquired signals (PET and CT)

#### Need to deal with pure Poisson Noise ...







II - Frameworks

For a monochromatic beam, a pixel of CBCT-scan measures

$$y_j \sim \mathcal{P}\left(z_j \exp\left(-\left[A\mu\right]_j\right)\right)$$

CBCT acquisition model

#### A crystal of the PET-scan measures

 $\mathcal{Y}$ 

$$_{j} \sim \mathcal{P}\left(\left[Bx\right]_{j}
ight)$$
 PET acquisition model

where the system matrices A and B incorporate geometry and corrections.







#### **II - CBCT and PET Frameworks**

CBCT acquisition model

$$y_j \sim \mathcal{P}\left(z_j \exp\left(-\left[A\mu\right]_j\right)\right)$$

**CBCT** General objective function

$$\hat{\mu} = \arg\min_{\mu} \sum_{j} \{ y_j \left[ A\mu \right]_j + z_j \exp\left( - \left[ A\mu \right]_j \right) \} + \lambda J(\mu)$$

PET acquisition model

$$y_j \sim \mathcal{P}\left(\left[Bx\right]_j\right)$$

PET General objective function

$$\hat{x} = \arg\min_{x} \sum_{j} \{ [Bx]_{j} - y_{j} \log\left( [Bx]_{j} + \epsilon \right) \} + \lambda J(x)$$





#### II - Sparse regularizations

**Total-Variation** 

$$J_{TV}(u) = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le N} |(\nabla u)_{i,j}|$$

**Regularized Total-Variation** 

$$J_{TV}^{reg}(u) = \langle \sqrt{\alpha^2 + |\nabla u|^2}, 1 \rangle = \sum_{1 \le i, j \le N} \sqrt{\alpha^2 + |(\nabla u)_{i,j}|^2}$$

 $\ell_1$ -norm inducing sparsity

$$J_{\ell_1,\varphi}(u) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle u, \varphi_\lambda \rangle| = ||R_{\varphi(u)}||_{\ell_1}$$





#### CBCT , Z = 1000 photons, contrast phantom





snr = 14.71, ssim = 0.393 cnr = 2.69



snr = 11.36, ssim = 0.253 cnr = 1.77



····· True

--- MLEM-Huber



snr = 17.50, ssim = 0.521

cnr = 3.23

MLEM-Huber

snr = 13.33, ssim = 0.351 cnr = 2.11



snr = 10.28, ssim = 0.294 cnr = 1.46



FB-TV

snr = 21.57, ssim = 0.914 cnr = 5.33



snr = 17.42, ssim = 0.817 cnr = 3.50



snr = 13.90, ssim = 0.779 cnr = 2.34







cnr = 1.06



CPP

#### TEP, 200 000 counts (1500 /pixel), contrast phantom



0

CPPM





snr = 12.59, ssim = 0.321 cnr = 1.29



snr = 12.53, ssim = 0.318 cnr = 1.31

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

cnr = 1.29

MLEM-Huber

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

snr = 15.60, ssim = 0.835 cnr = 2.12

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

snr = 15.46, ssim = 0.832 cnr = 2.18

![](_page_30_Picture_14.jpeg)

snr = 15.55, ssim = 0.828 cnr = 2.12

![](_page_30_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_17.jpeg)

snr = 15.92, ssim = 0.898 cnr = 2.60

![](_page_30_Picture_19.jpeg)

snr = 15.80, ssim = 0.897 cnr = 2.53

![](_page_30_Picture_21.jpeg)

snr = 16.33, ssim = 0.906 cnr = 2.97 UNIVERSITÉ MEDITERRANEE **AIX-MARSEILLE I** 

#### III - Astronomical application: Solar Rotational Tomography (SRT)

- •Determining by tomographic reconstruction the electronic density of the coronal plasma. Huge implications for understanding physics of the corona.
- •Coronographic images acquired during 1 rotation ... the Sun rotates for us !

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### III - Preliminary results

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

CPP

(CINTS) IN2P3 es deux infinis AIX-MARSEILLE I

#### III - Preliminary results

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Occlusion : equator region

No occlusion : above polar region

P3 nfinis

## Conclusion

#### Tomography is usually an ill-posed problem !

In case of parallel beams, consistant with Fourier sampling ...

#### Algorithms adapted to physics :

For nature of noise : Poisson or Gaussian noise taken into account, exact physical model.

For small number of projections : sparse regularizations enhance robustness. Choice of sparse decomposition is an current issue that is problem-dependent.

# Reconstruction of real acquisitions in progress for PET, CBCT and SRT applications.

Implementation on GPUs strongly speeds-up the reconstruction (between 100 and 300 times faster compared to CPUs).

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Announcement

4th - 9th September 2011 : BASP Frontiers 2011 meeting in Villars, Switzerland

<u>Biomedical and Astronomical Signal Processing Frontiers 2011</u> Fourier Sampling for Magnetic Resonance and Radio Interferometric imaging

More on <a href="http://imxgam.in2p3.fr/BASP2011/">http://imxgam.in2p3.fr/BASP2011/</a>

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Thanks for your attention !

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

I - What's new at CPPM ? ... Biomedical imaging !

CPPM : a lab from CNRS/IN2P3 for particle physics.

imXgam group : X and gamma imaging

Some imXgam projects :

**XPIX** : <u>technological breakthrough</u>! Hybrid pixels for X-ray : XPAD cameras.

PIXSCAN:

Micro CT-Scanner based on hybrid pixels.

#### ClearPET/XPAD :

Simultaneous PET/CT imaging based on hybrid pixels.

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Hybrid pixels

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

- very fast data acquisition
- choice of substrat (Si, CdTE, AsGa)
- No Dark noise
   Energy selection
   Very large dynamic range

Fundamental difference with other detectors (CCDs-like) : Photon counting mode ! No charge integration !

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### micro-CT PIXSCAN II demonstrator

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### First light XPAD3/PIXSCAN II

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

Reconstruction performed on a GPU AMD/ATI, Algorithm FDK. But need of 720 projections and >1mGy/s at 160 mm

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

BASP Frontiers, 4th - 9th September 2011 - Villar

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### ClearPET + XPAD = ClearPET/XPAD

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

## ClearPET (EPFL)

- Open geometry
- Phoswich LSO/LuYAP detectors
- 2 x 64 cristals of 2 x 2 x 8 mm<sup>3</sup>
- PMT multi-anodes at 64 channels

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

# XPAD (CPPM)

- XPAD3 camera
- 500  $\mu$ m Si pixelized
- Pixels of 130 x 130  $\mu m^2$
- 0,5 Mpixels
- Energy selection
   5-35 keV
- W X-ray source

![](_page_41_Picture_15.jpeg)

#### CleartPET/XPAD

- Hybrid tomography
- Simultaneous TEP/TDM
- PET : 55 mm axial 111 mm transverse
- CBCT: 59 mm axial
   38 mm transverse

![](_page_41_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_23.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_24.jpeg)

#### II - Solvers

1 - Problem : 
$$\arg\min_{x\in X} F(x) + G(x)$$

with F and G proper, convex, lower semi-continuous functions, and F diff.

Solution : Forward-Backward splitting iterations :

$$x_{k+1} = (I + h\partial G)^{-1}(x_k - h\nabla F(x_k))$$

2 - Problem :

$$\arg\min_{x\in X}F(Kx) + G(x)$$

with K continuous linear operators and F no more diff.

Solution : Chambolle-Pock iterations :

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = (I + \sigma \partial F^*)^{-1} (y_n + \sigma K \bar{x}_n) \\ x_{n+1} = (I + \tau \partial G)^{-1} (x_n - \tau K^* y_{n+1}) \\ \bar{x}_{n+1} = 2x_{n+1} - x_n \end{cases}$$

![](_page_42_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### CBCT , Z = 1000 photons, resolution phantom

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

snr = 11.88, ssim = 0.322 cnr = 2.07

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_6.jpeg)

CPPM

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

cnr = 0.96

#### MLEM-Huber

![](_page_43_Picture_10.jpeg)

snr = 18.85, ssim = 0.818 cnr = 4.69

![](_page_43_Picture_12.jpeg)

snr = 14.26, ssim = 0.615 cnr = 2.50

![](_page_43_Picture_14.jpeg)

snr = 10.71, ssim = 0.418 cnr = 1.30

![](_page_43_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_17.jpeg)

snr = 20.54, ssim = 0.925 cnr = 5.66

![](_page_43_Picture_19.jpeg)

snr = 15.84, ssim = 0.766 cnr = 2.98

![](_page_43_Picture_21.jpeg)

snr = 11.52, ssim = 0.508 cnr = 1.48

![](_page_43_Picture_23.jpeg)

#### TEP, 200 000 counts (1500 /pixel), resolution phantom

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

snr = 7.89, ssim = 0.194 cnr = 0.86

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

snr = 7.85, ssim = 0.196 cnr = 0.84

<sup>™</sup>True ™MLEM-Huber ■FB-TV

30 angles

snr = 7.90, ssim = 0.197 cnr = 0.87

MLEM-Huber

![](_page_44_Picture_10.jpeg)

snr = 9.36, ssim = 0.345 cnr = 1.29

![](_page_44_Picture_12.jpeg)

snr = 9.35, ssim = 0.331 cnr = 1.27

![](_page_44_Picture_14.jpeg)

snr = 9.40, ssim = 0.346 cnr = 1.30

![](_page_44_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_17.jpeg)

snr = 9.65, ssim = 0.395 cnr = 1.30

![](_page_44_Picture_19.jpeg)

snr = 9.59, ssim = 0.378 cnr = 1.34

![](_page_44_Picture_21.jpeg)

snr = 9.68, ssim = 0.386 cnr = 1.34 UNIVERSITÉ Etiméditerranée AIX-Marseille II

![](_page_44_Picture_23.jpeg)

0.012

0.008

0.004

CPPM