Construction and Tests of MPGD TPC Endplate Prototypes for the ILC

-- Status and Perspectives --

Keisuke Fujii on behalf of the D-R&D 2 Team FJPPL Workshop, '08 CNRS HQ: 15 May, 2008

We would like to dedicate this talk to Late Vincent Lapeltier



Vincent made invaluable contributions to the DELPHI-TPC and more recently to the R&D for the LC-TPC.

It was only two months ago when we discussed together in Japan the basic research on the gas multiplication processes and the future of the LC-TPC developments.

Introduction

Performance Goals for the LC-TPC

>200 sampling points along a track with a spatial resolution better than ~100 microns in the XY plane over the full drift length of >200 cm

 2-track separation better than ~2mm to assure essentially 100% tracking efficiency for jetty events

High tracking efficiency also requires minimization of dead spaces near the boundaries of readout modules

Why MPGD readout?

- We need high (>3 T) B field to confine e+e- pair BG from beam-beam interactions, then ExB too big for conventional MWPC readout
- 2mm 2-track separation is difficult with MWPC readout
- Thick frames are unavoidable for MWPC readout

MicroMEGAS

GEM











Micro-Pattern Gas Detectors

The Three R&D Phases for the ILC TPC

R&D Phase

- 1. <u>Demonstration Phase</u>: Provide a basic evaluation of the properties of an MPGD TPC and demonstrate that the requirements (at ILC) can be met using small prototypes.
- 2. <u>Consolidation Phase</u>: Design, build and operate a "Large Prototype" (of large number of measured points) at the EUDET facility in DESY.
- 3. <u>Design Phase</u>: Start working on an engineering design for aspects of the TPC at ILC.

We are mostly in the phase 2. However, there are still important studies of the phase 1 left, and the phase 3 is now starting together with the new ILD group.

The LC-TPC Collaboration



The F-J Team

The team

FRANCE

- CEA/Dapnia Saclay
 - M. Chefdeville
 - <u>P. Colas</u>
 - D. Attie
 - A. Giganon
 - I. Giomataris
 - M. Riallot
 - F. Sénée
 - S. Turnbull
- CNRS/IN2P3 Orsay
 - (V. Lepeltier) (LAL)
 - Ph. Rosier (IPN)
 - T. Zerguerras (IPN)

JAPAN

- KEK/IPNS
 - K. Fujii
 - K. Ikematsu
 - M. Kobayashi
 - T. Matsuda
 - R. Yonamine
- Saga
 - (A. Aoza)
 - T. Higashi
 - H. Kuroiwa
 - A. Sugiyama
 - H. Tsuji
 - H. Yamaguchi

- Kinki U.
 - Y. Kato
 - T. Yazu
 - K. Hiramatsu
- Hiroshima U.
 - T. Takahashi
- Tokyo TUAT
 - M. Bitou
 - O. Nitoh
 - H. Ohta
 - K. Sakai
- Kogakuin
 - T. Watanabe
- Nagasaki
 - T. Fusayasu

Activities -- The past (about) one year --

- K. Fujii participated in a TPC analysis "Jamboree" in Aix-la-Chapelle in March 2007
- P. Colas participated in FJPPL and did some work at KEK in May 2007
- T. Matsuda visited Saclay as an LC-TPC coordinator in May 2007
- P. Colas visited KEK and did some work on MPPCs for the trigger system in Nov. 2007
- P. Colas, M. Chefdeville, T. Matsuda, T. Fusayasu, H. Tsuji,
 R. Yonamine and K.Fujii participated in Tsinghua TPC School in Jan. 2008
- V. Lapeltier participated in TIL08 in March 2008
- T. Matsuda visited Saclay and summarized LC-TPC activity at DESY PRC in April 2008

Tsinghua TPC School Jan. 2008 in Beijing 2 French, 5 Japanese, and >40 Chinese





TU-TPC Test at KEK Cryocenter with a PC-Mag Dec. 2007

It's now becoming the F-J-C team!

Small Prototype Tests

There have been many small prototype tests of which the KEK beam test of a micromegas TPC was worth special mention since an analytic formula was born from the beam test that clarified fundamental limitations to spatial resolution and decided the R&D directions for the LC-TPC.

Two Mysteries

Generic behaviors of resolution with an MPGD endplate when the lateral avalanche spread is smaller than or comparable to the pad width



Ionization Statistics Ideal Readout Plane: Coordinate = Simple C.O.G. PDF for Center of gravity of N electrons $P(\bar{x}) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} P_I(N;\bar{N}) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int dx_i P_D(x_i;\sigma_d) \right) \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \right)$ Ideal readout plane Gaussian diffusion $P_D(x_i; \sigma_d) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_d} \exp\left(-\frac{x_i^2}{2\sigma_d^2}\right)$ \mathcal{X} $\sigma_d = C_d \sqrt{z}$ $\sigma_{\bar{x}}^2 \equiv \int d\bar{x} P(\bar{x}) \, \bar{x}^2 = \sigma_d^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \right\rangle \equiv \sigma_d^2 \frac{1}{N_{eff}}$ $N_{eff} \equiv 1/\langle 1/N \rangle < \langle N \rangle$

Gas Gain Fluctuation Coordinate = Gain-Weighted Mean PDF for Gain-Weighted Mean of N electrons $P(\bar{x}) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} P_I(N;\bar{N}) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int dx_i P_D(x_i;\sigma_d) \int d(G_i/\bar{G}) P_G(G_i/\bar{G};\theta) \right) \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i} \right)$ Gain-weighted mean Gaussian diffusion as before Gas gain fluctuation (Polya) $\theta = \begin{cases} 0 : \exp \theta \\ \infty : \delta - \sin \theta \end{cases}$ \mathcal{X} $P_{G}(G/\bar{G};\theta) = \frac{(\theta+1)^{\theta+1}}{\Gamma(\theta+1)} \left(\frac{G}{\bar{G}}\right)^{\theta} \exp\left(-(\theta+1)\left(\frac{G}{\bar{G}}\right)\right)$ $\sigma_{\bar{x}}^2 \equiv \int d\bar{x} P(\bar{x}) \, \bar{x}^2 = \sigma_d^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \right\rangle \left\langle \left(\frac{G}{\bar{G}} \right)^2 \right\rangle \equiv \sigma_d^2 \frac{1}{N_{eff}}$ $N_{eff} = \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \right\rangle \left\langle \left(\frac{G}{\bar{G}} \right)^2 \right\rangle \right|^{-1} = \frac{1}{\langle \frac{1}{N} \rangle} \left(\frac{1+\theta}{2+\theta} \right) < \langle N \rangle$

Finite Size Pads

Coordinate = Charge Centroid

Charge on Pad j

Electronic noise $\langle \Delta Q^2 \rangle = \sigma_E^2$ $Q_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i \cdot f_j(\tilde{x} + \Delta x_i) + \Delta Q'_j,$ i=1

Normalized response fun. for pad j

$$\sum_{i} f_j(\tilde{x} + \Delta x_i) = 1$$

Pad pitch

Charge Centroid

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{j} Q_j \left(\frac{\prime}{wj} \right) / \sum_{j} Q_j$$



PDF for Charge Centroid

 $P(\bar{x};\tilde{x}) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} P_I(N;\bar{N}) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int d\Delta x_i P_D(\Delta x_i;\sigma_d) \int d(G_i/\bar{G}) P_G(G_i/\bar{G};\theta) \right)$ $\times \prod_{j} \left(\int d\Delta Q_{j} P_{E}(\Delta Q_{j}; \sigma_{E}) \int dQ_{j} \delta \left(Q_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_{i} \cdot f_{j}(\tilde{x} + \Delta x_{i}) - \Delta Q_{j} \right) \right)$ $1 imes \delta \left(\bar{x} - rac{\sum_{j} Q_{j} \left(wj \right)}{\sum Q_{j}} \right)$

Full Analytic Formula

 $\sigma_{\bar{x}}^{2} \equiv \int_{-1/2}^{+1/2} d\left(\frac{\tilde{x}}{w}\right) \int d\bar{x} P(\bar{x};\tilde{x}) (\bar{x}-\tilde{x})^{2} = \int_{-1/2}^{+1/2} d\left(\frac{\tilde{x}}{w}\right) \left[[A] + \frac{1}{N_{eff}} [B] \right] + [C]$ Purely geometric term $[A] = \left(\sum_{i} (jw) \left\langle f_{j}(\tilde{x} + \Delta x) \right\rangle - \tilde{x}\right)$ Diffusion, gas gain fluctuation & finite pad pitch term $[B] = \sum_{j,k} jkw^2 \left\langle f_j(\tilde{x} + \Delta x)f_k(\tilde{x} + \Delta x)\right\rangle - \left(\sum_j jw \left\langle f_j(\tilde{x} + \Delta x)\right\rangle\right)$ $\langle f_j(\tilde{x} + \Delta x) f_k(\tilde{x} + \Delta x) \rangle \equiv \int d\Delta x P_D(\Delta x; \sigma_d) f_j(\tilde{x} + \Delta x) f_k(\tilde{x} + \Delta x)$ $\langle f_j(\tilde{x} + \Delta x) \rangle \equiv \int d\Delta x P_D(\Delta x; \sigma_d) f_j(\tilde{x} + \Delta x)$ Electronic noise term 0 $[C] = \left(\frac{\sigma_E}{\bar{G}}\right)^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{N^2} \right\rangle \sum_{i} (jw)^2$

Interpretation



[A] Purely geometric term (S-shape systematics from finite pad pitch): rapidly disappears as Z increases

[B] Diffusion, gas gain fluctuation & finite pad pitch term: scales as $1/N_{eff}$, for delta-fun like PRF asymptotically:

 $\sigma_x^2 \simeq \left(\frac{1}{N_{eff}} \left(\frac{w^2}{12} + C_d^2 z\right)\right)$ [C] Electronic noise term: Z-independent, scales as $\langle 1/N^2 \rangle$

Importance of the Analytic Formula

We can now analytically estimate the spatial resolution

drift distance $\sigma_x = \sigma_x(z; w, C_d, N_{eff}, [f_j])$ pad pitch pad response function diffusion const. Effective No. track electrons Theoretical basis for how to improve the spatial resolution! Possible improvement of theory: angle effects

Extrapolation to LC TPC



 Need to reduce pad size relative to PRF

MM + resistive anode

MM + digital pixel readout, ideal to avoid effect of gain fluctuation if feasible

 GEM with defocusing + narrow (~1mm) pads

The 3 Solutions

The three solutions have been tested with small prototypes. --> demonstration phase We now need to test them with a larger prototype. --> consolidation phase

Micro-TPC: MM+TimePix

Saclay/NIKHEF





Very powerful tool to study basic gas properties

D. Attie @ LPNHE, Mar. 2008

Large Prototype Tests

Cosmic ray trigger counters with MPPC readout system

Endplate to house 7 Interchangeable readout modules

GEM+1mm pads, MM+RA, MM+TimePix

Field cage : 75 cm phi & 61 cm long Thin (0.2X0) superconducting magnet (PCMAG from KEK) : B_max=1.25 T

Consolidation Phase <u>TPC Large Prototype Beam Test at DESY</u>



GEM Solution

Detector Module: Double GEM with a gating GEM

Saga, Tsinghua

pod size ~1.1mm x 5.6mm

(1) Double thick (100 $\,\mu$ m) GEM with a (thin) gating GEM:

(Gating GEM is not drawn)



Micromegas with Resistive Anode

Micromegas with R.A.

3 techniques to make resistive anode for the bulk MM

- Kapton foil laminated and covered with a resistive layer (Carleton)
 2 deposited layers of amorphous Si doped to adjust conductivity: 10⁴ ohm cm on 10¹¹ ohm cm (Neuchatel)
- Screen printing of resistive pastes: 2-8M ohm/square (CERN)

Saclay, Carleton



Micromegas with R.A. LP1 detector module

24 rows x 72 pads
 Av. pad size ~ 3.2x7 mm²





Micromegas with TimePix

Micromegas with TimePix

EUDET

Saclay/NIKHEF





Readout Electronics

TPC Readout Electronics: After Electronics



In 2008 with one detector module This version is essentially ready by now except the mounting Structure on the endplate.



In 2009 with 7 detector modules. There is an option of the surface mounting of chips.

Cosmic Ray Trigger Counters with MPPCs

Cosmic Ray Trigger Counters with MPPC for LP1

Scintillator Slabs(from INR Moscow), MPPC (KEK) Preamp, Temp. control by Pelletier device and assembly (Saclay) Ready in May



Summary

- We are busy preparing for the large prototype (LP1) beam test at DESY starting late this year.
- The LP1 data will be invaluable to prepare ourselves for the design phase.
- Hope we can show some LP1 data at the next FJPPL WS.
- We continue small prototype tests for
 - understanding of gas multiplication processes
 - optimization of gas mixtures
 - 🛛 gating,
- We continue more R&D for MM+TimePix since it is theoretically the best choice.