



# First LHCb Results

Patrick Robbe, LAL Orsay, 6/01/2011



- <u>LHCb</u>: What is it, what makes it special and different from ATLAS/CMS?
- <u>Status</u>: Running experience from the first months of LHC operation
- <u>First physics</u>: Results from the 2010 data, published and preliminary
- <u>Discovery potential</u>: Plans for 2011 and beyond





#### **Overview**





#### "Indirect" Search for NP

- LHCb performs <u>precision measurements</u> of CP violating phases and rare heavy-quark hadron decays
- New Physics enters through contributions from virtual heavy particles in <u>loop-mediated processes</u>
- sensitivity to New Physics is highest in processes that are <u>strongly suppressed</u> <u>in the Standard Model</u>
- discovery potential for New Physics extends to mass scales <u>far in excess of</u> <u>the LHC centre-of-mass energy</u>
- pattern of observed deviations from Standard Model predictions will <u>hint at</u> <u>the nature of the New Physics</u>
- in continuation of long history of "indirect discoveries"
  - suppression of FCNC  $\rightarrow$  prediction of 2<sup>nd</sup> quark family
  - CP violation  $\rightarrow$  prediction of 3<sup>rd</sup> quark family
  - strong BB mixing  $\rightarrow$  prediction of large top-quark mass



#### New Physics in B decays

- explore FCNC processes with large sensitivity to New Physics, in particular b→s transitions
- improve measurements on CKM elements and challenge the Standard Model by overconstraining the unitarity triangles
- LHCb roadmap document: [arXiv:0912.4179v2 [hep-ex]]
  - tree-level determination of CKM angle  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$
  - charmless charged two-body B decays
  - $B_s$  mixing phase  $\phi_s$  from  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$
  - branching fraction of  $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$
  - angular distributions in  $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$
  - $B_s \rightarrow \varphi \gamma$  and other radiative decays





#### LHCb = Forward Spectrometer



- LHCb covers forward region:  $1.9 < \eta < 4.9$
- optimized for the strongly forward peaked heavy quark production at the LHC
- covers only ~4% of solid angle but captures ~40% of heavy-quark production cross section





#### LHCb = "Day-1 Experiment"

- LHCb designed to operate at an instantaneous luminosity of 2 x 10<sup>32</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- corresponds to an average of 0.4 visible interactions per bunch crossing, maximizes fraction of single-interaction bunch crossings (for nominal operation with 2622 colliding bunches)
- single primary vertex: no ambiguity associating B decay vertex to its production vertex (required e.g. for time-dependent CP asymmetries)



 for several of LHCb's core measurements expect first significant results from 2010/2011 LHC run





#### LHCb Detector





#### LHCb = Optimized Trigger

- bb cross section is less than 1 % of the total inelastic cross section
- interesting B decay channels have typical branching fractions of 10<sup>-5</sup>
- exploit generic B decay signature: decay products with large p<sub>T</sub> ("large" = few GeV) and high impact-parameter, well separated B decay vertex



<u>Hardware level (LO)</u>:

- high-p<sub> $\tau$ </sub> <u>µ</u>, <u>e</u>, <u>y</u>, <u>or hadron</u> candidates
  - in muon system and calorimeters

#### Software level (HLT):

- multi-processor farm (14000 CPU cores)
- access to full detector data
- HLT1: cuts on impact parameter and lifetime
- HLT2: global event reconstruction
  + selections for specific channels

software trigger: flexible → adjust to running conditions





#### LHCb Running in 2010

#### • Extreme conditions compared to design:

	Nominal @ LHCb	2010
Number of colliding bunches	2622	344
Instantaneous luminosity	2x10 <sup>32</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup> (average)	1.7x10 <sup>32</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup> (max)
Normalized emittance	3.75 mm	2.4 mm
β*	30 m	3.5 m
$\mu$ (number of visible interaction per crossing)	0.4	2.5
pile-up	1 interaction/bb event	3.1 interactions/bb event
Integrated luminosity	2 fb <sup>-1</sup> /year	37.7 pb <sup>-1</sup>



## Data Taking & Running Conditions

- LHCb fully operational on first day of LHC collisions, running smothly since
- recorded 37.7 pb<sup>-1</sup> at  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$
- data taking efficiency > 90%

- at beginning of fill: up to more than 2.5 interactions per crossing on average
- significantly harsher conditions than design
  - multiple primary vertices
  - high occupancies, track multiplicities



- main limitation found: HLT reconstruction time for very busy events







#### Typical Event at $<\mu> = 2.5$

#### LHCb Event Display





#### Trigger

- trigger settings continuously adapted to rapidly increasing luminosity and changing running conditions.
- <u>early running (1)</u>: low intensity, small number of bunches:
  - minimum bias trigger, require single track in VELO.
- with increasing number of bunches and μ (2):
  - loose  $p_{\tau}$  cuts at LO, start to use HLT, adjust settings to fully exploit available bandwidth and CPU.
- <u>at highest μ (3)</u>: give priority to muon triggers, reduce hadron trigger lines when needed:
  - increase cuts on transverse momentum / energy
  - *"global event cuts" on hit multiplicities to reject very busy events that require lots of CPU*
- trigger efficiencies determined on data using "tag-and-probe" methods
- results in good agreement with simulation



- (1) loose L0 cuts,
  - HLT in pass-through
- (2) L0 + HLT1
- (3) full LO-HLT1-HLT2

	Muon Trigger (J/ψ)	Hadron Trigger (D <sup>0</sup> , p <sub>T</sub> >2.6 GeV)
Data	(94.9 ± 0.2) %	(60 ± 4) %
Simulation	(93.3 ± 0.2) %	66 %



#### **Vertex Reconstruction**

- excellent vertex resolution crucial for high-level triggers and most physics analyses
- VELO detectors inside LHC vacuum pipe
- only 8mm from beam during data taking
- retracted by ±3 cm at end of each fill, re-inserted when stable beams declared





• internal alignment better than 5  $\mu$ m, fill-to-fill variations also < 5  $\mu$ m





#### Tracking

- excellent momentum resolution for invariant mass resolution, rejection of combinatorial backgrounds
- spatial resolutions approaching values expected from simulation
- small differences remaining from residual mis-alignments
- note: no alignment from cosmics (acceptance too small)
- reconstruction efficiencies > 90 % for tracks above few GeV
- estimated using "tag-and-probe" methods on  $K_s^{0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$





#### $\mu^+\mu^-$ Invariant Mass Resolutions

LHCb





19/39



#### **Muon Identification Performance**

- efficiency determined from data using tag-and-probe method on  $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
- found to be > 90 % for p > 10 GeV
- mis-ID probabilities K→μ, π→μ, p→μ
  determined from data using tag-and-probe
  method on φ → KK, K<sub>s</sub> → ππ, Λ → pπ
- all found to be < 2 % for p > 10 GeV
- good agreement between data and simulation







#### K/π Identification

- crucial for flavour tagging and for separation of B decays with identical topology, e.g.  $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \leftrightarrow B^0 \rightarrow K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp} \leftrightarrow B_s \rightarrow K^+K^-$
- two RICH detectors with three radiators
- efficiencies and mis-ID determined from data using tag-and-probe methods on  $\phi \rightarrow KK$ ,  $K_s \rightarrow \pi\pi$ ,  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi$



 performance found to be close to simulation over full momentum range from few GeV (tagging) to 100 GeV (two-body hadronic decays)





#### K/π Identification





- trigger on hadronic decay channels
- reconstruction of final states with e,  $\gamma$ ,  $\pi^0$
- $\pi^0$  resolution found in data even better than expected



# First Physics



# $p/\overline{p}, \Lambda^0/\overline{\Lambda^0}, \Lambda^0/K_s^0$ Ratios

- measure Baryon transport from beam particles to final state particles
  - at 900 GeV and at 7 TeV
  - as a function of rapidity y
  - as a function of transverse momentum
- measurements provide important input for Monte-Carlo tuning
- for comparison with previous experiments plot ratios as a function of  $\Delta y = y - y_{beam}$
- agreement with previous measurements good
- conclusion for "Perugia 0" Pythia tune
  - describes p/p adequately at both energies
  - describes  $\Lambda^0/\overline{\Lambda}^0$  poorly at 900 GeV
  - significantly underestimates  $\Lambda^0/K_s^0$





#### **Open Charm Cross-sections**

- measure differential cross sections in bins of rapidity up to y = 4.5 and transverse momentum down to  $p_T = 0$
- first measurement at  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$
- large uncertainties on theory predictions
- use impact parameter to reject "D from B"
- separate measurements for  $D^0$ ,  $D^{*+}$ ,  $D^+$ ,  $D_s^+$
- results agree well with expectation





### bb Cross Section: $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 \mu^- \nu X^+$

reconstruct D<sup>0</sup> in K<sup>-</sup> π<sup>+</sup> decay mode

LHC

- reconstruct  $D^0 \mu^-$  pairs from a common vertex
- select "D from B" by large impact parameter
- use wrong-sign  $D^0 \mu^+$  pairs to estimate backgrounds





- published result based on ~15 nb<sup>-1</sup> [PLB 694 (2010) 209, arXiv:1009.2731v2 [hep-ex]]
  - within LHCb acceptance  $2 < \eta(H_b) < 6$

 $\sigma (pp \rightarrow H_b X) = (75 \pm 5.4 \pm 13) \ \mu b$ 

- using Pythia to extrapolate to full phase space  $\sigma (pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}X) = (284 \pm 20 \pm 49) \mu b$  good agreement with theory predictions; LHCb performance studies 250 µb

#### bb Cross Section: $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 \mu^- \nu X^+$

#### Average cross-section to produce a b or $\overline{b}$ hadron:

$$\sigma(pp \to H_b X) = \frac{\# \text{ of detected } D^0 \mu^- \text{ and } \overline{D}^0 \mu^+ \text{ events}}{2\mathcal{L} \times \text{ efficiency } \times \mathcal{B}(b \to D^0 X \mu^- \overline{\nu}) \mathcal{B} \left( D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \right)}$$



Very good agreement in absolute value and  $\eta$  shape with 2 theories:

- MCFM [Monte Carlo for FeMtobarn processes, Nason, Dawson, Ellis, http://mcfm.fnal.gov], NLO with MSTW8NL PDF.
- CNFMR [Cacciari, Frixione, Mangano, Nason, Ridolfi, JHEP 0407 (2004) 33], FONLL with CTEQ6.5 PDF.



- $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$
- Preliminary results based on early data (14nb-1) shown at ICHEP



#### $J/\psi$ cross-section measurement

- New measurement released in December, with 5pb<sup>-1</sup>, to be published in January 2011.
- Measure separately:
  - Prompt J/ $\psi$  (direct J/ $\psi$  + J/ $\psi$  from  $\chi_c$  feeddown)
  - $J/\psi$  from *b* decays,
- Using to separate them:

 $t_z(J/\psi) = \frac{d_z \times M_{J/\psi}}{p_z}$ 

• Total bb cross-section:

$$\sigma(pp \to b\overline{b}X) = 295 \pm 4 \pm 48\,\mu\text{b}$$

 $d_{z}$ 



#### $J/\psi$ cross-section measurement

• Differential cross-sections in 70 bins ( $p_T$  and rapidity, y)



# Prospects for 2011 Physics Results (1fb<sup>-1</sup>)



- <u>From detector point of view</u>:
  - Trigger Computer Farm for HLT will be upgraded to reach 1500 CPU nodes, additional data links will be needed to increase current bandwidth.
  - LHCb has been designed to run for 10 years at 2-5x10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>: limit of the instantaneous luminosity that the detectors can support to have stable operation.
- <u>From trigger point of view</u>:
  - Global event cuts have a large price on luminosity when  $\mu$  is high.
  - Crucial to improve CPU time consumption per event in the HLT.
- <u>From analysis point of view</u>:
  - No significant gain when  $\mu$ >2.5.
- <u>LHCb future running strategy</u>:
  - Maximum instantaneous luminosity: 5x10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>
  - Maximum  $\mu$  of 2.5
  - Propose LHC to displace beams to reduce luminosity at beginning of fill and to readjust them to follow beam lifetime.



#### CP Violation in $B \rightarrow K\pi$

- separate into  $B^0$  and  $\overline{B}^0$  using particle ID
- raw asymmetry shows direct CP Violation at 3σ
- central value consistent with world average
- careful: small corrections from production and detector asymmetry not yet corrected for





- charmless two-body B-decay modes central to LHCb physics programme
- significant contribution of Penguin diagrams → window to New Physics !



### CKM angle y

- most difficult to measure and therefore least well constraint by direct measurement
- requires LHCb key features
  - large number of B's
  - trigger on hadronic states
  - excellent  $\pi/K$  separation



<u>v</u> from penguins: time-dependent CP asymmetries in  $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  and  $B_s \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ 



- clear signals from first data
- measured rates match simulation
- $\mu_{s} = 5.3612 \pm 0.0020 \text{ GeV/c}^{2}$  $\mu_{\rm c} = 5.2762 \pm 0.0010 \, \text{GeV/c}^2$ LHCb LHCb  $\sigma = 0.02300 \pm 0.00090 \text{ GeV/c}^2$  $\sigma = 0.02300 \pm 0.00090 \text{ GeV/c}^{2}$ Preliminary Preliminary  $v_{\kappa\kappa} = 254 \pm 20$ v = 229 ± 23 120 √s = 7 TeV Data √s = 7 TeV Data 20 5.2 5.3 5.3 5.4 5.7 5.1 5.2 5.5 5.6 5.6 Invariant mass (GeV/ Invariant mass (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)
- expect to reach  $\sigma(\gamma) \approx 7^{\circ}$  from 2 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $\rightarrow$  beyond 2010/11 run

5.7



#### γ from Trees

- measure rates  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow D^{0}(\overline{D}^{0}) K^{\pm} \rightarrow f K^{\pm}$
- interference of tree amplitudes if final state f is common to D<sup>0</sup> and D<sup>0</sup>:
  - GLW: f = KK, ππ (CP Eigenstates)
  - ADS:  $f = K^+ \pi^- (D^0 \text{ decay suppressed})$
  - GGSZ:  $f = K_s \pi \pi$  (Dalitz decays)
- clean signals from first data
- rates match expectation
- expected LHCb event yields for 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>:

B <sup>-</sup> → D(KK) K <sup>-</sup>	2000
B <sup>-</sup> → D(ππ) K <sup>-</sup>	750
$B^{-} \rightarrow D(K\pi) K$ (favoured)	20000
$B^{-} \rightarrow D(K\pi) K$ (suppressed)	400

• expected reach with 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>:  $\sigma(\gamma) \approx 8^{\circ}$ 





## $\phi_{s}$ from $B_{s} \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$

- $B_s\overline{B}_s$  mixing phase  $\phi_s$ : small in the Standard Model, can be enhanced by New Physics
- some hints from CDF/D0 but not significant
- "golden channel" for measurement of  $\phi_s$ : time-dependent CP asymmetry in  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$
- requires large statistics for angular analysis to separate CP even and CP odd final states
  - expect 35000 selected events from 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- requires flavour tagging to tag initial B<sub>s</sub>
- requires excellent proper-time resolution to resolve fast  $B_s \overline{B}_s$  oscillation ( $\Delta m_s = 17.8 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ )
- currently ~60 fs where 38 fs expected
  investigation of possible causes ongoing
  - would imply 20 % reduction in sensitivity





## $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

- one of the early benchmark channels
- very rare FCNC decay in Standard Model BR  $(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-9}$
- can be significantly enhanced by New Physics
- best upper limits from CDF/D0 ~ 10 x SM
- already cutting into New Physics parameter space
- use 3-dim binned likelihood to isolate signal
  - invariant mass of muon pair
  - muon-ID
  - Geometrical Likelihood (lifetime, IP, DOCA...)
- use control channels to calibrate likelihoods from data:  $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ,  $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow K^+K^-$
- approach current CDF/D0 limits with 2010 data
- with 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> expect 5 $\sigma$  detection if BR  $\approx$  5 x BR<sub>SM</sub>





#### Conclusions

• LHCb has a unique potential for the

#### **INDIRECT DISCOVERY**

of New Physics

- the experiment is performing very well, under harsher conditions than it was designed for
- the good agreement between simulation and early measurements indicates that estimated physics reaches seem realistic
- in some areas we are already getting close to being competitive with exisiting results