Why Neutrinos Are Different?

Maxim Libanov

INR RAS, Moscow

In collaboration with J.-M. Frere (ULB), F.-S. Ling (ULB), E. Nugaev (INR), S. Troitsky (INR)

Rencontres de Moriond, March 19, 2011

The Flavour Puzzle In A Nutshell

Why three families in the SM?
 Hierarchical masses + small mixing angles
 Why massive neutrinos?
 Tiny masses + two large mixing angles
 Why very suppressed FCNC?
 Strong limits on a TeV scale extension of the SM

Proposed solution:

A model of family replication in 6D

3 Families In 4D From 1 Family In 6D



Our 3D World is a core of Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen vortex:

 $U_g(1)$ gauge field A+scalar Φ

- There is only single vector-like fermionic generation in 6D
- Chiral fermionic zero modes are trapped in the core due to specific interaction with the A and Φ . Specific choise of $U_g(1)$ fermionic gauge charges \Rightarrow

Number of zero modes = 3

Q Zero modes \iff 4D fermionic families

Field Content







✓ The scheme is very constrained, as the profiles are dictated by the equations

Why Neutrinos Are Different?

Maxim Libanov

Neutrinos masses. Why is it different?

- \bigcirc N additional neutral spinor
 - \Rightarrow Free propagating in the extra dim (up to dist. $R \sim (10 \div 100 \text{TeV})^{-1}$).
 - ⇒ Majorano-like 6D mass term

$$\frac{M}{2}\bar{N}^cN+h.c.$$

- ⇒ Kaluza-Klein tower in 4D (no zero mode)
- ⇒ Effective 6D couplings with leptons allowed by symmetries



⇒ 4D Majorano neutrinos masses are generated by See-saw mechanism

Neutrinos:

$$m_{mn}^{\nu} \sim \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{0}^{R} dr F(r,\varphi) \left[\bar{L}^{\mathbf{c}} L \propto LL \right]$$

$$\sim \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{i(\mathbf{4}-\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{m}+\ldots)\varphi} \sim \delta_{\mathbf{4}+\ldots,\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}$$

$$\sim \left(\begin{array}{c} \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ \cdot & \sigma^{2} & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger}\mathbf{m}_{\nu}\mathbf{U}_{\nu}^{*}\sim \mathbf{diag}(-\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}\sigma^{2})$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{\nu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & \sigma \\ \sigma & \sigma & 1 \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & \sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

Charged fermions:

$$\begin{split} m_{mn}^{\text{charged}} &\sim \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{0}^{R} dr F(r,\varphi) \left[\bar{\Psi} \Psi \propto \Psi^{*} \Psi \right] \\ &\sim \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{i(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{m}+\ldots)\varphi} \sim \delta_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m}-\ldots} \\ &\sim \left(\begin{matrix} \sigma^{4} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \sigma^{2} & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{matrix} \right) \\ \mathbf{m}_{\text{charged}}^{\text{diag}} &\sim \mathbf{diag}(\mu\sigma^{4},\mu\sigma^{2},\mu) \\ &\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{CKM}} \sim \left(\begin{matrix} 1 & \sigma & \sigma^{4} \\ \sigma & 1 & \sigma \\ \sigma^{2} & \sigma & 1 \end{matrix} \right) \end{split}$$

Why Neutrinos Are Different?

Consequences of this structure

Inverted hierarchy:

$$m_{\nu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ \cdot & \sigma^2 & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix} \qquad m_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\frac{\Delta m_{12}^2}{\Delta m_{13}^2} \sim \sigma^2$ **Q** Pseudo-Dirac structure \Rightarrow $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay m, $\overline{V}_{e} \equiv V_{e}$ neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ e partial suppression

$$|\langle m_{etaeta}
angle|\simeq rac{1}{3}\sqrt{\Delta m_\oplus^2}$$

 $\Delta m_{\odot}^2 = \Delta m_{12}^2$



Why Neutrinos Are Different?

Maxim Libanov

♀ Semi-realistic numerical example

$$m_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} = \begin{pmatrix} -50.03 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 50.79 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.7089 \end{pmatrix} \quad [\text{meV}], \quad U_{MNS} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.808 & 0.559 & 0.186 \\ -0.286 & 0.660 & -0.693 \\ -0.514 & 0.502 & 0.696 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Delta m_{12}^2 = 7.63 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2 \implies \Delta m_{13}^2 = 2.50 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \implies \Delta m_{13}^2 = 3.05\%$$

 $\tan^2 \theta_{12} = 0.471 \left(0.47^{+0.14}_{-0.10} \right) \quad \tan^2 \theta_{23} = 0.997 \left(0.9^{+1.0}_{-0.4} \right) \quad \sin^2 \theta_{13} = 3.46 \cdot 10^{-2} \ (\le 0.036)$

Consequence for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay

$$|\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle| = \left| \sum_{i} m_{i} U_{ei}^{2} \right| = 17.0 \text{ meV}$$

Flavour Violation

Like in the UED, vector bosons can travel in the bulk of space. From the 4D point of view:

1 massless vector boson in 6D=

1 massless vector bozon (zero mode)

- + KK tower of massive vector bosons $M_n \sim \frac{n}{R}$ \Rightarrow FCNC
- + KK tower of massive scalar bosons in 4D
 ⇒ KK scalar modes do not interact with fermion zero modes

• KK vector modes carry angular momentum = family number. In the absence of fermion mixings, family number is an exactly conserved quantity \Rightarrow processes with $\Delta G = \Delta J \neq 0$ are suppressed by mixing.



 \checkmark $\varkappa = 1$ for the particular model, but may be $\ll 1$ for extensions

Maxim Libanov

Rare processes:

$$M_{Z'}\gtrsim \varkappa\cdot 100\cdot \text{TeV}$$

 \mathcal{NB} : A clear signature of the model would be an observation $K^0_L \to \mu e$ without observation other FCNC-processes at the same precision level

Maxim Libanov

Search at LHC

- **Q** Search for an «ordinary» massive $Z'(W', g', \gamma')$
- Search for $pp \rightarrow \mu^+ e^- + \dots$
- Search for $pp \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ + \dots$ one order below due to quark content of protons
- Search for $pp \rightarrow \bar{t} + c + ...$ or $pp \rightarrow \bar{b} + s + ...$ — expect a few 1000's events, but must consider background!



LHC thus has the potential (in a specific model) to beat even the very sensitive fixed target $K \rightarrow \mu e$ limit!

Maxim Libanov

Conclusions

- E Family replication model in 6D: elegant solution to the flavour puzzle
 - Hierarchical Dirac masses + small mixing angles
 - Neutrinos are different: See-saw + Majorano-like mass for the bulk neutral fermion can fit neutrino data
 - Family/lepton number violating FCNC suppressed by small fermion mixings
- Predictions for neutrinos
 - Inverted hierarchy
 - **Q** Reactor angle ~ 0.1
 - **Q** Partially suppressed neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay
- Other predictions

 - **Q** Massive gauge bosons with mass \sim TeV or higher
 - **Q** Search for $pp \rightarrow \mu^+ e^-$ at LHC can beat fixed target
 - Constraint on B-E-H boson: should be LIGHT