

Cornell University Floyd R. Newman Laboratory for Elementary-Particle Physics

Heavy Flavor Physics at the Tevatron

46th Rencontres de Moriond (Electroweak) La Thuile, 3/16/2011 Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell University





Outline:

Today showing 6 new results (mostly from this year) that use 1.4 to 6 fb⁻¹. Data to tape: ~9 fb⁻¹

- B_s mixing phase through $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ and A_{sl} , *D0 public* note 6098, CDF public note 10206, May 2010
- -time-integrated B mixing probability *CDF, new, public note* 10335
- $-B_s \rightarrow J/\psi~f_0$ observation and BR CDF, new, public note 10404
- CPV in D $\rightarrow \pi\pi$ and KK CDF, new, public note 10296

-First ADS analysis of CPV in $B^+ \rightarrow D^0h^+$ CDF, Sept.2010, public note 10309

B_s mixing phase

 B_s mixing phase is expected to be tiny in SM and unconstrained by the 2006 measurement of the B_s mixing frequency. Large values, as those induced by NP are not experimentally excluded

$$\beta_{s}^{SM} = \arg(-V_{ts}V_{tb}^{*}/V_{cs}V_{cb}^{*}) \approx 0.02$$

- access by looking at the time evolution of flavor tagged $B_s \to J/\psi \phi$ decays or, inclusively, by measuring _____ anomalous mixing rate difference between B_s and B_s (A_{SL})
- CDF and D0 pursue both paths and first measurements showed interesting indications of departure from SM which call for more scrutiny- check with independent measurements

Di-muon charge asymmetry

V. A. Abazov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 081801 (2010)



Decay of B tags its flavor

Measure: ullet

N⁺⁺: Number of events with 2 b hadrons $A_{sl}^{b} = \frac{N_{b}^{++} - N_{b}^{--}}{N_{b}^{++} + N_{b}^{--}}$ decaying semileptonically to produce same sign muon pair: one muon from b-> μ X, 2nd muon from decay after mixing.

- If the rate of $B \rightarrow B$ is the same as $B \rightarrow B$, this quantity will be zero. The SM expectation is \sim few 10⁻⁴.
- A_{sl} is derived from dimuon and inclusive muon asymmetries:

$$A = \frac{N^{++} - N^{--}}{N^{++} + N^{--}}$$
 and $a = \frac{n^{+} - n^{-}}{n^{+} + n^{-}}$

μμ charge asymmetry result



• D0 6.1 fb⁻¹analysis yields:

 $A_{sl}^{b} = (-0.957 \pm 0.251(stat) \pm 0.146(syst))\%$

assl s DØ, 6.1 fb¹ • SM prediction: 0.01 $A_{sl}^{b}(SM) = (-0.023_{-0.006}^{+0.005})\%$ 0 using prediction of a_d and a_s from A. -0.01 Lenz, U. Nierste, hep-ph/0612167 -0.02 Standard Model Differs from SM by $\sim 3.2\sigma$ **B** Factory W.A. -0.03 Indication of an $DO(B_s \rightarrow D_s \mu X)$ anomalously large B_s mixing phase? -0.04-0.03-0.02-0.01 0.01 0 $A_{\rm sl}^b = (0.506 \pm 0.043)a_{\rm sl}^d + (0.494 \pm 0.043)a_{\rm sl}^s.$

CDF prospects for A_{sl}?

- Very interesting D0 results, need independent verification
- CDF is working it, using a different technique:
 - Use muon impact parameter (IP) information to fit for sample composition, ensuring that same-sign dimuons come from B decays
 - IP fitter is a standard solid technique also used in the published correlated BB cross-section *PRD 77, 072004* (2008)
- Our first step: measure time-integrated mixing probability $\overline{\chi}$
 - Comparison to $\overline{\chi}$ LEP to validate impact parameter fitter

$\overline{\chi}$: Time-integrated mixing probability

Defined as:
$$\overline{\chi} = \frac{\Gamma(B_{d,s}^0 \to \overline{B}_{d,s} \to l^+ X)}{\Gamma(B_{all} \to l^\pm X)}$$

- Average probability: $\overline{\chi} = f_d \chi_d + f_s \chi_s$
- At CDF, measure number of muon pairs ($\mu^+\mu^-$ and $\mu^\pm\mu^\pm$) from double semileptonic b hadrons, derive $\overline{\chi}$ from

 $\mathsf{R}=[\mathsf{N}(\mu^{+}\mu^{+})+\mathsf{N}(\mu^{-}\mu^{-})]/\mathsf{N}(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$

 previous CDF measurement showed discrepancy with LEP *Phys.Rev.D69:012002,2004*

$\overline{\chi}$: New CDF measurement

- Using 1.4 fb⁻¹ of data CDF Public Note 10335
- Muon impact parameter identifies source (b,c or prmt)
- New since last time: much tighter selection

 Require muon hit in L00
- Result: $\overline{\chi}$ = 0.126±0.008 (LEP: 0.126± 0.004)

Encouraging first step toward A_{sl} measurement





$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi \text{ system}$

- $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ decays: best way of probing new CPV in B_s mixing
- Difficult angular analysis: decay of $B_s(spin\ 0)$ to J/ψ (spin 1) and $\phi(spin\ 1)$ leads to
 - L=0 (s-wave), 2 (d-wave) \rightarrow CP even (=light B_s if no CPV)
 - L=1 (p-wave) \rightarrow CP odd (= heavy Bs if no CPV)
- Analysis at a glance: di-muon trigger, NN selection
 - Simultaneous fit to mass, decay time, angles and production flavor distributions yields a fit to β_{s}



CPV in B_s mixing in $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ decays



Situation still fluid- updated measurements needed 10

Another way to access β_s



- CDF is working on using a suppressed B_s decay to measure lifetime and CP violating parameter β_s : $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f0(980)$
- $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f0(980)$ is a CP=-1 eigenstate
 - Clean measure of CP violating parameter β_s because no complex angular analysis as in $B_s {\rightarrow} J/\psi \varphi$
- Today reporting first step toward this goal: reconstruction of a signal and measurement of Br of $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f0(980)$, $f0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ in 3.8 fb⁻¹ of CDF data public note 10404

$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f0(980)$ analysis



- Start with loose selection of $\mu\mu\pi\pi$ candidates f0 is wide, so 0.85<M($\pi\pi$)<1.2 GeV
- Neural Net Selection
 - Kinematic variables, track & vertex displacement, isolation
 - Use identical selection for $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ reference mode
- Physics background derived from MC simulation:



Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell

Fit



- Simultaneous log-L fit to signal and normalization channels
- Signal: N(J/ψf0(980))=571±37(stat.)±25(syst.) events
 N(J/ψφ)=2302±49



public note 10404

$$Result$$
New
$$R_{f0,\varphi} = \frac{BR(B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi f_0, f_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi)}{BR(B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \Phi, \Phi \rightarrow KK)} = 0.292 \pm 0.020(stat) \pm 0.017(syst)$$

from which we derive:

$$BR(B_s \to J/\Psi f_0, f_0 \to \pi\pi) =$$

1.85 ± 0.13(*stat*) ± 0.11(*syst*) ± 0.57(*PDG*) × 10⁻⁴

Stat.significance of $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f0(980)$, $f0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^$ observation:17.9 σ confirms results from Belle and LHCb, and is more precise.

Results so far

- Unique to Tevatron: B_s physics, and therefore complementary to B factories
- But the Tevatron can also be competitive with the B factories in B⁺/B₀ and charm physics.

Search for CPV in $D \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $D \rightarrow KK$



- CPV in charm sector larger than ~0.1% would be a clear indication of NP
- CP violation measurements in charm unique probe into up-quark sector. As in D⁰ oscillations, NP particles could play a role enhancing the size of CPV

e.g. D.-S. Du, Eur. Phys. J. C 50 (2007) 579; Y. Grossman, A. Kagan, and Y. Nir, Phys. Rev. D75, 036008 (2006)

Asymmetry defined as

$$A_{\rm CP}(h^+h^-) = \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to h^+h^-) - \Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0 \to h^+h^-)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to h^+h^-) + \Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0 \to h^+h^-)}.$$

Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell

Analysis of $D \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $D \rightarrow KK$



- Using 5.94 fb⁻¹ of data, displaced track trigger
- Tag D⁰ with a soft pion (π_s^{\pm}) from D* decay: $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi_s^+$ and $D^{*-} \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 \pi_s^-$
 - CP symmetric initial state ensures charge symmetric production
- Measure asymmetry in ππ and KK samples and correct for instrumental asymmetry using Kπ samples, with and without D* tag

– detection efficiencies for $\pi_{s}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ and $\pi_{s}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ are different

Combined fits





Results: World's Best Limit

New

CDF Public Note 10296

 $A_{CP}(D^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = [+0.22 \pm 0.24(stat.) \pm 0.11(syst.)]\%$ $A_{CP}(D^{0} \to K^{+}K^{-}) = [-0.24 \pm 0.22(stat.) \pm 0.10(syst.)]\%$

For comparison: Belle 2008 (2nd most precise result): $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = [+0.43 \pm 0.52(stat.) \pm 0.12(syst.)]\%$ $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = [-0.43 \pm 0.30(stat.) \pm 0.11(syst.)]\%$

CPA in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow D^{0}h^{\pm}$



- Branching fractions and CPA of B⁺ →D⁰h⁺ allow for a clean measurement of γ
 - least well determined angle of the CKM matrix
- ADS Method: make use of doubly Cabibbo suppressed (DCS) D⁰ modes Atwood-Dunietz-Soni PRL78,3257;PRD63,036005
 - − Look for DCS "wrong sign", e.g. $B^+ \rightarrow [K^-\pi^+]K^+$, no tagging, no timedependent measurement involved.
 - Challenge: rare process with large background
- New CDF measurement of direct CPA for DCS modes
 - Future extraction of CKM angle γ



CPA in $B^- \rightarrow D^0 h^-$



Define DCS fraction and asymmetry:

$$R_{ADS}(K) = \frac{Br(B^{-} \to [K^{+}\pi^{-}]_{D}K^{-}) + Br(B^{+} \to [K^{-}\pi^{+}]_{D}K^{+})}{Br(B^{-} \to [K^{-}\pi^{+}]_{D}K^{-}) + Br(B^{+} \to [K^{+}\pi^{-}]_{D}K^{+})}$$
$$A_{ADS}(K) = \frac{Br(B^{-} \to [K^{+}\pi^{-}]_{D}K^{-}) - Br(B^{+} \to [K^{-}\pi^{+}]_{D}K^{+})}{Br(B^{-} \to [K^{+}\pi^{-}]_{D}K^{-}) + Br(B^{+} \to [K^{-}\pi^{+}]_{D}K^{+})}$$

And similar for $A_{ADS}(\pi)$ (smaller)

These are a function of CKM angle γ : $R_{ADS} = r_B^2 + r_D^2 + r_B r_D \cos\gamma \cos(\delta_B + \delta_D)$ $A_{ADS} = 2r_B r_D \sin\gamma \sin(\delta_B + \delta_D) / R_{ADS}$ where $r_B = |A(b \rightarrow u)/A(b \rightarrow c)|$ $\delta_B = Arg[A(b \rightarrow u)/A(b \rightarrow c)]$ and similar for r_D and δ_D *Details in PRL 78, 3257, (1997) & PRD 63, 036005, (2001)*

Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell



Experimental Challenge

- Need to extract the suppressed signals (green/red) buried by combinatorial and physics backgrounds
- using 5 fb⁻¹ of data, displaced track trigger
- unbiased optimization of the selection on favored mode in data
- combined L-fit that uses kinematic information and PID to distinguish K from π mode, and from backgrounds.



K⁺π[·]π[·] mass [GeV/c²]

CDF Public Note 10309

Results



First application of ADS method at a hadron machine and uncertainty comparable to e⁺e⁻ machines!



Shown only the K mode (see backup for π mode) $_{\rm 23}$

Conclusions

- CDF is producing a steady flow of new, high quality results that keep challenging the standard model predictions and constraining parameters of NP models
- The results shown today use a fraction of the 9 fb⁻¹ data sample currently on tape - new results will continue for a while.
- Many other important results are in the pipeline- stay tuned!

Tevatron RunII Heavy Flavor results: Impact

Number of published & submitted Run II publications:

Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell

Backup Slides

Datasets

- Tevatron delivering 2.5 fb⁻¹/year
 - Peak L_{inst} 3.5-4 x 10³²/cm²/s, design was 3 x 10³²/cm²/s
 - 6-8 interactions per crossing
- Showing results using up to 6.1 fb⁻¹ today
- Data to tape ~9 fb-1 each for CDF, D0:

Asl: input from dimuon and incl.muon measurements

$$\begin{aligned} A^b_{\rm sl} &= (0.506 \pm 0.043) a^d_{\rm sl} + (0.494 \pm 0.043) a^s_{\rm sl} \\ \text{dimuon} & \text{semileptonic B}_{\rm d} & \text{semileptonic B}_{\rm s} \end{aligned}$$

Measure the raw asymmetries

$$A = \frac{N(\mu^+\mu^+) - N(\mu^-\mu^-)}{N(\mu^+\mu^+) + N(\mu^-\mu^-)} \qquad a = \frac{n(\mu^+) - n(\mu^-)}{n(\mu^+) + n(\mu^-)}$$

3.7 x 10⁶ events 1.5 x 10⁹ events

Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell

A_{sl} experimental issues

- use one muon as the tag and the other as the probe
- at Tevatron, both B⁰ and B_s contribute
- two main issues:
 - asymmetric backgrounds from kaon fakes
 - asymmetric $\mu^+ \text{and} \ \mu^-$ acceptance/efficiency
- Deal with acceptance/efficiency issue by periodically reversing polarity on central solenoid and muontoroids.
 - Check residual asymmetry with data.

A_{sl}fake muon backgrounds

Jι

- σ(K⁺N)<σ(K⁻N)
- More K⁺ get through calorimeter faking muons
- Define sources of Kaons:

$$K^{*0} \to K^{+}\pi^{-}$$
$$\varphi(1020) \to K^{+}K^{-}$$

- Require that the Kaon is identified as a muon
- Compute asymmetry from observed +/yields

β_s results CDF

- 5.2 fb⁻¹ of CDF data
- Di-muon trigger, NN selection
- Assuming standard model predictions, deviation in the observed data 0.8 σ
- confidence interval of β_{s} is:
- [0.02,0.52] U [1.08,1.55] at the 68% CL and
- [-π/2, -1.44] U [-0.13, 0.68] U [0.89, π/2] at 95% CL.

Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell

Results, assuming $\beta_s=0$

• World's single most precise measurements of $c\tau$ and $\Delta\Gamma$

 $c\tau_{s} = 458.6 \pm 7.6 \text{ (stat)} \pm 3.6 \text{ (syst)} \ \mu\text{m}$ $\Delta\Gamma_{s} = 0.075 \pm 0.035 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.01 \text{ (syst)} \ \text{ps}^{-1}$ $IA_{||}(0)I^{2} = 0.231 \pm 0.014 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.015 \text{ (syst)}$ $IA_{0}(0)I^{2} = 0.524 \pm 0.013 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.015 \text{ (syst)}.$ $\varphi_{\perp} = 2.95 \pm 0.64 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.07 \text{ (syst)}$

 S-wave contamination of the signal φ meson is < 6.7% at the 95% CL

Confirmation of f0(980)

- Di-pion mass distribution consistent with f0
 - Shape parameters consistent with BES, CLEO
- Helicity angles consistent with expectation
 - after efficiency correction

$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f0(980)$ observation: comparison to Belle and LHCb results

New result from Belle: arXiv:1102.2759 $BR(B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi f_0, f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.34^{+0.11+0.03+0.08}_{-0.14-0.02-0.05} \times 10^{-4}$

Recent result from LHCb: *Phys.Lett.B698:115,2011*

$$R_{f0,\varphi} = \frac{BR(B_s \to J/\Psi f_0, f_0 \to \pi\pi)}{BR(B_s \to J/\Psi \varphi, \varphi \to KK)} = 0.252^{+0.046+0.027}_{-0.032-0.033}$$

Julia Thom-Levy, Cornell

Analysis of $D \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $D \rightarrow KK$

- Using 5.94 fb⁻¹ of data, displaced track trigger
- Tag D⁰ with a soft pion (π_s^{\pm}) from D* decay: $\begin{bmatrix} D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi_s^+ \\ D^{*-} \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 \pi_s^- \end{bmatrix}$
- The detection efficiencies for π_s^+ and π_s^- are different (instrumental asymmetry)
 - subtract the effect through a combination of uncorrected "raw" asymmetries measured in three samples: $A(h^+h^-, \pi_s)$

$$A(K^{-}\pi^{+},\pi_{s})$$
$$A(K^{+}\pi^{-})$$

• Finally,
$$A_{CP}(h^+h^-) = A(h^+h^-,\pi_s) - A(K^-\pi^+,\pi_s) + A(K^+\pi^-)$$

Result comparisons

CDF Public Note 10309

Results

First application of ADS method at a hadron machine and uncertainty comparable to e⁺e⁻ machines!

38

38