Can Inflation Induce Supersymmetry breaking in a Metastable vacuum?

Arunansu Sil (CEA/Saclay)

Based on the work, arXiv:0709.1923 [hep-ph] with Carlos A. Savoy

Can Inflation Induce Supersymmetry breaking in a Metastable vacuum? – p. 1/

Introduction

Metastable Supersymmetry Breaking: ISS Model (An Overview)

It permits a SUSY vacuum along with the existence of a long-lived supersymmetry breaking vacuum; we are in this non-SUSY minimum which is the metastable one.



 Start with SU(N_c) SQCD with N_f flavors of massive quarks. Microscopic (Electric) : W = m Tr $Q\tilde{Q}$



 $SU(N_c)$ $Q \qquad N_c$ $\tilde{Q} \qquad \bar{N}_c$

For $N_c + 1 \le N_f \le \frac{3}{2}N_c$; Macroscopic (Magnetic): $W = \operatorname{Tr} q \Phi \tilde{q} - \mu^2 \operatorname{Tr} \Phi + W_{dyn}$

 $q, \tilde{q} \rightarrow$ magnetic quarks; $\Phi = \frac{Q\tilde{Q}}{\Lambda} \rightarrow$ Singlet made of mesons. (Λ - strong coupling scale of the th.)

 $SU(N); \ N = N_f - N_C$ $q \qquad N$ $ilde{q} \qquad ar{N}$ $ilde{q} \qquad ar{N}$ $ilde{\Phi} \qquad ar{1}$ Can Inflation Induce Supersymmetry breaking in a Metastable vacuum? – p. 3/2

• The local Non-SUSY Vacuum: $\langle \Phi \rangle = 0; \langle q \rangle = \langle \tilde{q}^T \rangle = (\mu I_{N_f - N_c}, 0).$

• The SUSY minimum is obtained while incorporating the non-perturbative term,

$$W_{dyn} = N \left(\frac{det\Phi}{\Lambda^{N_f - 3N}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}},$$

at
$$\Phi_0 = \langle \Phi \rangle = \mu[\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}]^{\frac{N_f - 3N}{N_c}}, \quad \langle q \rangle = \langle \tilde{q} \rangle = 0.$$

• By choosing $\mu \ll \Phi_0 < \Lambda$, it is possible to ensure the metastability of the non-susy minimum.

Motivation

• Note two points:

a) µ is set by handb) why the universe should be in the non-SUSY minimum?

• We want to answer both these questions in a single framework.

Set Up

Assume two separate sectors:
 (a) Inflationary sector :
 (described by Supersymmetric Hybrid Inflation)

$$W_{\rm Inf} = kS(\chi^2 - M_{\rm Inf}^2),$$

and

(b) ISS sector with massless electric quarks.

• These two sectors are connected only through gravity,

$$W_{int} = \frac{g}{M_P} \chi^2 \text{Tr} Q \tilde{Q},$$

(Note that the interaction term respects R-symmetry.)

 At the end of inflation, the waterfall field χ gets a vev ~ M_{Inf}, that develops the mass term for quarks, producing

$$\mu^2 = \frac{\Lambda}{M_P} M_{\rm Inf}^2$$

• To discuss (b) we need to understand the inflationary dynamics in brief.

Inflation

 $V = k^2 |\chi^2 - M_{\text{Inf}}^2|^2 + 4|\chi|^2 (k^2 |S|^2 + |Q\tilde{Q}|^2 / M_P^2)$

• $S > M_{\text{Inf}}$, and $\chi = 0 \rightarrow$ Inflation with Vac. Energy $\sim k^2 M_{\text{Inf}}^4$

• SUSY Minimum: $\langle S \rangle = 0; \langle \chi \rangle = M_{\text{Inf}}$



• Slow roll :

The inclination over the inflationary valley is provided by the Coleman-Weinberg correction to the scalar potential

• Estimate of $\frac{\delta T}{T} \sim 10^{-5}$, spectral index n_s in the right range: set $\sqrt{k}M_{Inf} \simeq (10^{13} - 10^{15})$ GeV

• End of Inflation:

when $S_c > M_{\text{Inf}}$, the mass term of χ becomes negative, and the system ends up in the supersymmetric minimum. • Once $\chi \neq 0$, W_{int} would generate a dynamical mass term for quarks, $m_Q = g \langle \chi \rangle^2 / M_P \ll \Lambda$

• Then at this stage the ISS sector can be described by

 $W_{\rm ISS} = \Phi q \tilde{q} - m_Q \Lambda T r \Phi + W_{dyn},$

(in the IR magnetic phase)

• It consists of metastable supersymmetry breaking vacua at

 $\langle q \rangle = \langle \tilde{q}^T \rangle = \mu = \sqrt{m_Q \Lambda}, \text{ and } \langle \Phi \rangle = 0.$

SUSY minimum:

$$\langle q \rangle = \langle \tilde{q}^T \rangle = 0; \ \langle \Phi \rangle = \mu(\chi) \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda} \frac{N_f - 3N}{N_c}\right)^{-1} \mathbb{I}_N$$

Can Inflation Induce Supersymmetry breaking in a Metastable vacuum? – p. 10/1

Why we should be at the metastable vacuum?

• During Inflation:

 Q, \tilde{Q} acquire positive mass square terms $\sim H^2$ $(H^2 = k^2 M_{\text{Inf}}^4 / 3M_P^2)$ from supergravity corrections, and thereby they settle at the origin. (assuming canonical Kahler potential)

• After Inflation:

As $Q = \tilde{Q} = 0$ happens to coincide with the location of the false minimum ($\Phi = 0$), it is possible that the field remains stranded there even after inflation is over. Thus provides a natural solution to (b).

• Ensure Metastability: $S_{bounce} = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \frac{N^3}{N_f^2} \left(\frac{\langle \Phi \rangle}{\mu}\right)^4 \simeq \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right)^{4(N_f - 3N)/(N_f - N)}} \gg 1,$ for $\mu \ll \Lambda$.

Can Inflation Induce Supersymmetry breaking in a Metastable vacuum? – p. 11/1

- Possible constraints over mass scales μ, Λ:
 (1) metastability condition:
 - $\mu \ll \overline{\Lambda}$ to preserve the ISS vacuum,
 - (2) supersymmetry mediation condition: $m_{susv}M_P \simeq F_{suara} \ge F_{ISS} = \mu^2.$
- $k = O(10^{-2}), g = O(10^{-1} 10^{-2}), \mu = O(10^{12} \text{ GeV})$ and $\Lambda = O(10^{14} \text{ GeV}).$
- Reheating:

After Inflation, inf system falls toward the minimum at $\chi = M_{\rm Inf}$ and performs damped oscillation about it; can decay through a possible term $f_{ij}\chi^2 N_i N_j/M_P$, where N_i are neutrino superfields with $T_{\rm Rh} \sim 10^9$ GeV.

Conclusions

- Natural solution to (a) and (b).
- The susy breaking scale is related to the inflationary scale and for SHI it is in the range of gravity mediation.
- Future Directions: problem with R-symmetry breaking, whether other possible mediation mechanism, e.g. gauge mediation can be adapted to the scenario.