





Decays at Belle

Antonio Limosani

Research Fellow

University of Melbourne



XLIII Recontres de Moriond **ELECTROWEAK INTERACTIONS &** UNIFIED THEORIES

Moriond, La Thuile, March 1-8 2008

Tony Limosani - University of Melbourne



Motivation



Inclusive Radiative B-meson decays are a sensitive probe for physics beyond the standard model.





Motivation





New physics may enter as new particles in the loop e.g heavier supersymmetric particles

$2^{3} - 4^{3} - 5^{5}$ BF(B \rightarrow X_s γ) (10⁻⁴) scaled for E_{γ} > 1.6 GeV



[9.1 fb⁻¹]

[81.5 fb⁻¹]

[81.5 fb⁻¹]

[210 fb⁻¹]

 $[5.8 \text{ fb}^{-1}]$

[140 fb⁻¹]

CLEO

BaBar

BaBar

new

Belle

PRL87,251807(2001)

PRD72,052004(2005)

PRL98,022002(2007)

BaBar PRD-RC

PLB511,151(2001)

HFAG 2006 hep-ex/0603003

Belle PRL93,061803(2004)

PLB511,151(2001) Belle [140 fb⁻¹] PRL93,061803(2004) HFAG 2006 hep-ex/0603003 NLO - 2001

3



5

CLEO

BaBar

BaBar

new

Belle

PRL87,251807(2001)

PRD72,052004(2005)

PRL98,022002(2007)

BaBar PRD-RC

[9.1 fb⁻¹]

[81.5 fb⁻¹]

[81.5 fb⁻¹]

[210 fb⁻]

 $[5.8 \text{ fb}^{-1}]$

2

 $\mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{s}\gamma)$ (10⁻⁴) scaled for $\mathbf{E}_{y} > 1.6$ GeV

HFAG 2006 hep-ex/0603003 NNLO - 2007

2



Branching Fraction $B \to X_s \gamma$

 $BF(B \rightarrow X_{s\gamma})$ (10⁻⁴) scaled for $E_{\gamma} > 1.6$ GeV

5

Branching Fraction $B o X_s \gamma$





Branching Fraction $B \to X_s \gamma$



Branching Fraction $B \to X_s \gamma$



IMPERATIVE FOR EXPERIMENTS TO REDUCE UNCERTAINTY!

Branching Fraction $B \to X_s \gamma$





Unitarity Triangle







CMSSM phase space





Ratio of BF(B -> X_{sY}) (Measurement/SM prediction) Red : 1.127 +- 0.12 Brown : 1.127 +- 0.36

See talk by Frederic Ronga " Prediction for the Lightest Higgs Boson Mass in the Framework of CMSSM" Les Rencontres de Physique de la Vallée d'Aoste 2008

tanβ

Collaboration of interested theorists and experimentalists

Buchmüller, Oliver (CERN) - Exp. De Roeck, Albert (CERN & Uni. Antwerpen) - Exp. Heinemeyer, Sven (Santander) - Theo. Olive, Keith (Uni. of Minnesota) - Theo. Ronga, Frédéric (CERN) - Exp. Weiglein, Georg (Durham) - Theo.

Cavanaugh, Richard (Uni. of Florida) - Exp. Ellis, John (CERN) - Theo. Isidori, Gino (INFN Frascati) - Theo. Paradisi, Paride (Uni. of Valencia) - Theo. Weber, Arne (Max Planck Inst. (Munich)) - Theo.

Moriond, La Thuile, March 1-8 2008



Inclusive Analysis



- Find isolated clusters in the ECL
 - High energy $E^* > 1.4 \text{ GeV}$
 - Veto γ from π, η & Bhabha
 - Use topological info to suppress continuum background
- Background is still very big!
 - Estimate continuum using OFF resonance data
 - Estimate B decays using "corrected" MC sample



Moriond, La Thuile, March 1-8 2008

Tony Limosani - University of Melbourne





$N^{B\bar{B}}(E_{\gamma}^{*\mathrm{ON}}) = N^{\mathrm{ON}}(E_{\gamma}^{*\mathrm{ON}}) - c\alpha N^{\mathrm{OFF}}(F_{E}(E_{\gamma}^{*\mathrm{OFF}}))$





Response to Selection



 $N^{BB}(E_{\gamma}^{*\mathrm{ON}}) = N^{\mathrm{ON}}(E_{\gamma}^{*\mathrm{ON}}) - \mathcal{O}N^{\mathrm{OFF}}(F_{E}(E_{\gamma}^{*\mathrm{OFF}}))$

Efficiency of the selection criteria



Moriond, La Thuile, March 1-8 2008

Tony Limosani - University of Melbourne













Scaled Continuum







Photons from B-decays





FRACTION

Signal	0.190
Decays of π^0	0.474
Decays of η	0.163
Decays of others	0.081
Mis-IDed electrons	0.061
Mis-IDed hadrons	0.017
Beam bkgd	0.013



PiO and Eta from B-decays



 Measure major backgrounds in data and MC independently and correct our analysis sample MC





Efficiency corrections



Get selection efficiency in MC and data in control samples e.g π^0 Veto efficiency in partially reconstructed $D^* \to D \to K\pi\pi^0$, $\pi^0 \to \gamma(\gamma)$



All selection criteria are investigated in a similar fashion



Spectrum (605/fb of data)





Did we properly subtract continuum and beam background?

Yield above endpoint for gamma from B-decay is consistent with zero



Spectrum (605/fb of data)





Did we properly estimate the the background from B-decays?

Yield where we expect very little signal is consistent with zero.



Spectrum (605/fb of data)





Before revealing the signal region, we first performed the analysis on the 140/fb sample (the same as used for our previous measurement) and found agreement with our published result.



Photon Energy Spectrum





Peaks at half the mass of of the b-quark

Significant signal between 1.7< E(GeV) < 1.8





Results





Moriond, La Thuile, March 1-8 2008

Tony Limosani - University of Melbourne

Slide 28



First at E(cut)=1.7 GeV



	hary
prelini	

Y(4S)	rest	frame
Y(4S)	rest	frame

E(cut)	PBF
[GeV]	[10^-4]
1.70	$3.32 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.37$
1.80	$3.25 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.24$
1.90	$3.13 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.16$
2.00	$2.95 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.12$
2.10	$2.68 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10$

Mean
[GeV]
$2.291 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.053$
$2.302 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.028$
$2.318 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.014$
$2.340 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.007$
$2.370 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.005$

Variance
$[GeV^2]$
$0.0467 \pm 0.0130 \pm 0.0214$
$0.0417 \pm 0.0085 \pm 0.0081$
$0.0355 \pm 0.0053 \pm 0.0027$
$0.0290 \pm 0.0031 \pm 0.0009$
$0.0225 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0006$

Preliminary

B-meson rest frame

(additional uncertainty due to models needed to calculate correction from Y(4S) to B frame)

E(cut)	PBF	Mean	Variance
[GeV]	[10-4]	[GeV]	$[GeV^2]$
1.70	$3.31 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.01$	$2.281 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.053 \pm 0.002$	$0.0396 \pm 0.0130 \pm 0.0214 \pm 0.0012$
1.80	$3.24 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.01$	$2.290 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.002$	$0.0350 \pm 0.0085 \pm 0.0081 \pm 0.0005$
1.90	$3.12 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.02$	$2.305 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.004$	$0.0292 \pm 0.0053 \pm 0.0027 \pm 0.0008$
2.00	$2.94 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.02$	$2.326 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.005$	$0.0227 \pm 0.0031 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0009$
2.10	$2.62 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05$	$2.350 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.006$	$0.0170 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.0012$



Systematics



E(cut) [GeV] 1.70 1.80 1.80	PBF [10 ⁻⁴] 3.31 +- 0.16 +- 0.37 3.24 +- 0.15 +- 0.24 3.38 +- 0.31 +- 0.30	Analysis (Belle 605/f (Belle 605/f (Belle 140/f	fb) fb) fb)	Relative Error (12.2%) (8.7%) (12.5%)	
Syst	tematic	PBF[10 ⁻⁴] 1.7 GeV	1.8 (GeV	· · · or Y
Con Sele pi0/ othe Bea Ene Unf Sigr Pho b->	atinuum Background ection Criteria deta background er B - background m background ergy resolution folding nal model ton detection d gamma	0.17 0.20 0.06 0.24 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.03 0.05 0.01	0.12 0.15 0.05 0.13 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01	Pr	elim
B-m Tota	neson boost 	0.01	0.01 0.24		

Moriond, La Thuile, March 1-8 2008



Extrapolation to $E_{Y} > 1.6$ GeV

CLEO

BaBar

new

Belle

PRL87,251807(2001)

PRL98,022002(2007)

BaBar PRD-RC

PLB511,151(2001)

HFAG 2006

hep-ex/0603003



FROM - Phys.Rev. D73 (2006) 073008 **Buchmuller & Flacher**

Eur.Phys.J.C7:5-27,1999 -Kagan & Neubert (KN)

Nucl.Phys.B699:335-386,2004 -Bosch, Lange, Neubert & Paz

Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A462:152-155,2001 -Lange, Neubert & Paz (BLNP)

Phys.Lett.B612:13-20,2005 Neubert

Nucl.Phys.B710:371-401,2005 Benson, Bigi & Uraltsev (BBU)





Moriond, La Thuile, March 1-8 2008

Tony Limosani - University of Melbourne



Conclusions



• The measurements of the branching fractions and moments are the most precise to date

 $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \gamma)|_{E\gamma > 1.7 \,\text{GeV}} = (3.31 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-4}$ stat sys boost

- Tighter constraints on new Physics
- Will reduce uncertainty on mb thus improve our knowledge of a side of the Unitarity Triangle
- Inclusive measurements can only be done well at an e⁺e⁻ machine.



Backup slides







DXth International Conference on



Melbourne, Australia June 5-9, 2008

Topics:

- Rare Decays
- CP Violation
- CKM and Form Factors
- Lepton Flavor Violation
- Heavy Quarks
- Neutrinos
- Top and Tau Physics

http://hql08.ph.unimelb.edu.au/

International Advisory Committee

THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE

The University of Melbourne: E Elisabetta Barberio, A Geoffrey Taylor, B Raymond Volkas, S Nicole Bell, S Atonio Limosani, K The University of Sydney: G Kevin Varvell. J

Local Organising

Committee

Eisabetta Barberio (Melb, Chair), Angel López (Univ. of Puerto Rico), Brad Cox (Univ. of Virginia), Stephan Paul (TU Munchen), Stefano Biano (INTN - frascati), Konrad Kleinknecht (Univ. of Mainz), Joel Butler (Fermilab), Hitoshi Yamamoto (Tohoku Univ.), Adam Para (Fermilab), Franco Grancagnolo (Univ. del Salento & INFN - Lecce)



KEKB and Belle







Moriond, La Thuile, March 1-8 2008



Selection Criteria



γ polar angle	$\cos \theta$	∈	[-0.35, 0.70]
π^0 probability	\mathcal{P}_{π^0}	\leq	0.10
η probability	\mathcal{P}_{η}	\leq	0.20
Distance to closest charged	d_T	\geq	$3\mathrm{cm}$
Distance to closest charged with $P>1{\rm GeV}$	d_{HT}	\geq	$50\mathrm{cm}$
Distance to closest γ	d_{γ}	\geq	$30\mathrm{cm}$
Angle to closest e	α_e	\geq	0.3
Angle to closest μ	α_{μ}	\geq	0.3
$\gamma E_9/E_{25}$	$\mathrm{E}_{9}/\mathrm{E}_{25}$	\geq	0.95
Second Fox Wolfram moment	R_2	\leq	0.5
Angle between γ and EM cluster $(-\pi)$	Θ	€	[0, 0.2]
OFF time cut (Exp ≥ 39)	s_tdc	€	[9000, 11000]
		OR	
	mcsi_bb	€	[7500, 9300]

MAIN stream				
Virtual calorimeter central energy	E_C	\geq	$3.0~{\rm GeV}$	
Virtual calorimeter Fisher discriminant	F_{VC}	\leq	$2.0~{ m GeV}$	
Event shapes Fisher Discriminant	$F_{ES}^{\rm MAIN}$	\leq	-0.28	



Signal Models



KN

Eur.Phys.J.C7:5-27,1999 - Kagan & Neubert (KN)

BLNP

Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A462:152-155,2001 -Lange, Neubert & Paz

BBU

Nucl.Phys.B710:371-401,2005 Benson, Bigi & Uraltsev

DGE JHEP01(2007)029 Andersen & Gardi

GG Gambino & Giordano - work in progress