Cosmic ray escape from supernova remnants and related radiative signatures



Stefano Gabici APC, Paris



www.cnrs.fr

Overview of the talk







Overview of the talk (cartoon)

CRs are accelerated at SNR shocks



Overview of the talk (cartoon)

They form a CR precursor, some of them may escape



Overview of the talk (cartoon)

They diffuse away from the SNR, and create a CR excess around the SNR











~ Baade & Zwicky, 1934

CR escape time









Diffusive Shock Acceleration at SuperNova Remnants and the origin of Galactic Cosmic Rays

(1) Spallation measurements of Cosmic Rays suggest that CR sources have to inject in the Galaxy a spectrum close to E⁻².
(2) Strong shocks at SNRs can indeed accelerate E⁻² spectra.
-> Thus SNRs are good candidates as sources of Galactic CRs.

Diffusive Shock Acceleration at SuperNova Remnants and the origin of Galactic Cosmic Rays



Diffusive Shock Acceleration at SuperNova Remnants and the origin of Galactic Cosmic Rays



 E^{-2} is the spectrum at the shock, not the one released in the ISM!

We need to know a bit of shock acceleration theory...



Diffusion length: $l_{diff} \sim \frac{D(E)}{u_{sh}} \propto \frac{E}{B_{sh}u_{sh}}$

We need to know a bit of shock acceleration theory...



Diffusion length: $l_{diff} \sim \frac{D(E)}{n}$

$$\frac{E}{u_{sh}} \propto \frac{E}{B_{sh}u_{sh}}$$

Confinement condition:

 $\frac{D(E)}{u_{sh}(t)} < R_{sh}(t) \rightarrow E_{max} \sim B_{sh} u_{sh}(t) R_{sh}(t)$

We need to know a bit of shock acceleration theory...



Diffusion length: $l_{diff} \sim$

$$\frac{D(E)}{u_{sh}} \propto \frac{E}{B_{sh}u_{sh}}$$

Confinement condition:

 $\frac{D(E)}{u_{sh}(t)} < R_{sh}(t) \rightarrow E_{max} \sim B_{sh} u_{sh}(t) R_{sh}(t)$

Sedov phase:

$$R_{sh}(t) \propto t^{2/5}$$
$$u_{sh}(t) \propto t^{-3/5}$$

We need to know a bit of shock acceleration theory...



We need to know a bit of shock acceleration theory...



CRs stream at the shock velocity relative to the background plasma



CRs stream at the shock velocity relative to the background plasma



CRs stream at the shock velocity relative to the background plasma



CRs stream at the shock velocity relative to the background plasma



interaction between return current and B-field sets the background plasma in motion and drives the instability

CRs stream at the shock velocity relative to the background plasma



Amplified B-field and particle escape...

brutal (and naive) estimate...

saturation field:
$$\frac{B_{sat}^2}{8\pi} \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{u_{sh}}{c} U_{cr} \propto \varrho u_{sh}^3$$
 Bell 2004

$$E_{max} \propto B R_{sh} u_{sh} \propto t^{-11/10}$$

Guess:

at the beginning (end) of the Sedov phase [100 - 10⁵ yrs] CRs with the energy of the knee (proton mass) are released in the ISM

$$E_{max} \propto t^{-2.16}$$

adopted for phenomenological studies by Gabici& Aharonian 2007, Gabici et al 2009 and by Fujita et al 2010, Li & Chen 2010, Ohira et al 2010, 2011

Ptuskin&Zirakashvili 2003, 2005



Ptuskin&Zirakashvili 2003, 2005



Ptuskin&Zirakashvili 2003, 2005



Ellison & Bykov 2011



Ellison & Bykov 2011



Ellison & Bykov 2011



"Non linear feedback may reduce the full effects of magnetic field amplification and we feel it is unlikely that a time dependence as strong as assumed by Gabici et al will be obtained."

Ellison & Bykov 2011



Caprioli et al 2009, 2010



Caprioli et al 2009, 2010



high CR acceleration efficiency T₀=10⁵K ξinj=3.9 x=0.15 4πcp,⁴N(p,)/E_{SN} end of Sedov 10-3 during Sedov 10-4 10² 103 105 106 107 10¹ 104

low CR acceleration efficiency

p_= p/mc


Many papers on E_{max} versus t - (3)

Caprioli et al 2009, 2010



very naive summary of: Ptuskin & Zirakashvili 2003, 2005

Assumption 1: particles of energy E are released at a time defined by ->

$$E \propto t^{-\delta}$$

SNR in Sedov phase:
$$R_s \propto t^{rac{2}{5}}$$
 $u_s \propto t^{-rac{3}{5}}$

Shock kinetic luminosity: $L_k \propto \varrho \; u_s^3 \; (4\pi R_s^2) \; \propto \; t^{-1}$

very naive summary of: Ptuskin & Zirakashvili 2003, 2005

Assumption 1: particles of energy E are released at a time defined by ->

$$E \propto t^{-\delta}$$

SNR in Sedov phase:
$$R_s \propto t^{rac{2}{5}}$$
 $u_s \propto t^{-rac{3}{5}}$

Shock kinetic luminosity:
$$L_k \propto ~arrho ~ u_s^3 ~ (4\pi R_s^2) ~\propto ~ t^{-1}$$

Assumption 2: a fixed fraction of L_k is released in form of CRs



very naive summary of: Ptuskin & Zirakashvili 2003, 2005

Assumption 1: particles of energy E are released at a time defined by ->

$$E \propto t^{-\delta}$$

SNR in Sedov phase:
$$R_s \propto t^{rac{2}{5}}$$
 $u_s \propto t^{-rac{3}{5}}$

Shock kinetic luminosity:
$$L_k \propto ~arrho ~ u_s^3 ~ (4\pi R_s^2) ~\propto ~ t^{-1}$$

Assumption 2: a fixed fraction of L_k is released in form of CRs

$$\frac{dN_{CR}}{dE} = \frac{dN_{CR}}{dt}\frac{dt}{dE} \propto \frac{L_k}{E} \times \frac{t}{E}\left(\frac{E}{t}\frac{dt}{dE}\right) \propto E^{-2}$$

very naive summary of: Ptuskin & Zirakashvili 2003, 2005

Assumption 1: particles of energy E are released at a time defined by ->

$$E \propto t^{-\delta}$$

SNR in Sedov phase:
$$R_s \propto t^{rac{2}{5}}$$
 $u_s \propto t^{-rac{3}{5}}$

Shock kinetic luminosity:
$$L_k \propto ~arrho ~ u_s^3 ~ (4\pi R_s^2) ~\propto ~ t^{-1}$$

Assumption 2: a fixed fraction of L_k is released in form of CRs

$$\frac{dN_{CR}}{dE} = \frac{dN_{CR}}{dt}\frac{dt}{dE} \propto \frac{L_k}{E} \times \frac{t}{E} \left(\frac{E}{t}\frac{dt}{dE}\right) \propto E^{-2}$$

very naive summary of: Ptuskin & Zirakashvili 2003, 2005

Assumption 1: particles of energy E are released at a time defined by ->

$$E \propto t^{-\delta}$$

SNR in Sedov phase:
$$R_s \propto t^{rac{2}{5}}$$
 $u_s \propto t^{-rac{3}{5}}$

Shock kinetic luminosity:
$$L_k \propto \ arrho \ u_s^3 \ (4\pi R_s^2) \ \propto \ t^{-1}$$

Assumption 2: a fixed fraction of
$$L_k$$
 is released in form of CRs again!

$$\frac{dN_{CR}}{dE} = \frac{dN_{CR}}{dt} \frac{dt}{dE} \propto \frac{L_k}{E} \times \frac{t}{E} \left(\frac{E}{t} \frac{dt}{dE}\right) \propto E^{-2}$$

very naive summary of: Ohira et al 2010

a fraction η_{cr} of the shock kin. energy L_k -> CRs with spectrum: $Q_{CR} \propto E^{-s}$



a fraction
$$\eta_{cr}$$
 of the shock kin. energy L_k -> CRs with spectrum: $Q_{CR} \propto E^{-s}$
if only recent acceleration relevant -> $Q_{esc} \propto Q_{CR} \ \delta(E - E_{max}(t))$
(a) Hard spectrum -> s < 2
 $\eta_{cr} \ L_k \ \approx \ Q_{cr}(E_{max})E_{max}^2 \ \propto Q_{esc}(E_{max})E_{max}^2 \longrightarrow N_{esc} \propto E^{-2}$
escaping energy flux





Conclusions on escape

🗹 qualitative agreement on one fact: higher energy CRs are released

first, lower energy ones later...

🗹 relation Emax versus time still quite unknown...

Most of the approaches do not include the non resonant (Bell) instability

If the spectrum released in the ISM may fit with the standard SNR/CR

scenario (i.e. a spectrum a bit steeper than E^{-2})

🗹 for electrons see Ohira et al 2011

(2) Propagation

Isotropic diffusion

Main motivation: it's simple...



Aharonian&Atoyan 1996;Gabici et al 2007,2009,2010;Torres et al 2008,2010;Rodriguez Marrero et al 2008;Lee et al 2008;Fujita et al 2009;Casanova et al 2010;Li&Chen 2010;Ohira et al 2011;Ellison&Bikov 2011 ...

Isotropic diffusion

Main motivation: it's simple...



Aharonian&Atoyan 1996;Gabici et al 2007,2009,2010;Torres et al 2008,2010;Rodriguez Marrero et al 2008;Lee et al 2008;Fujita et al 2009;Casanova et al 2010;Li&Chen 2010;Ohira et al 2011;Ellison&Bikov 2011 ...

Isotropic diffusion

Main motivation: it's simple...



Aharonian&Atoyan 1996;Gabici et al 2007,2009,2010;Torres et al 2008,2010;Rodriguez Marrero et al 2008;Lee et al 2008;Fujita et al 2009;Casanova et al 2010;Li&Chen 2010;Ohira et al 2011;Ellison&Bikov 2011 ...

More realistic scenario...

(ii) a (iii Magnetic field line wandering (i) induced by fluid motions (a) (ii)(i) (h (ii) (i) G (c) (ii) (i) © (d)

Jokipii & Parker 1969

More realistic scenario...

Magnetic field line wandering induced by fluid motions

> CRs propagate mainly along field lines...



Jokipii & Parker 1969

More realistic scenario...

Magnetic field line wandering induced by fluid motions

> CRs propagate mainly along field lines...

The transport perpendicular to the magnetic field direction mainly due to the wandering of the magnetic field lines (cross-field diffusion can be often neglected [see Elena's talk])



Jokipii & Parker 1969

e.g. Wentzel 1972, 1974 Alfven wave CR particle $\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$

resonant interaction between CRs and Alfven waves



e.g. Wentzel 1972, 1974 Alfven wave CR particle $\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$

resonant interaction between CRs and Alfven waves



CR streaming velocity



see Elena's talk



'see Elena's talk



enas

Q Q









Conclusions on propagation

in my view the aspect that still needs more work

If difficult because non-linear + B-field wandering (this needs to be

considered in calculations...)

Image of the second control of the second

calculations) is not appropriate to describe diffusion close to CR sources

(might be OK in the Galaxy...)

(3) Radiation*





SNRs accelerate CRs

CRs "somehow" escape the SNR



SNRs accelerate CRs



MCs enhance the gamma ray emission



SNRs accelerate CRs



SNRs accelerate CRs



The W28 region in gammas, CO, & radio



Aharonian et al, 2008

The W28 region in gammas, CO, & radio



Aharonian et al, 2008
The W28 region in gammas, CO, & radio



The W28 region in gammas, CO, & radio



Escaping particles: W28



 $\left(\frac{F_{\gamma}}{M_{cl}}\right)_{North} \approx \left(\frac{F_{\gamma}}{M_{cl}}\right)_{South}$

nobic diff

Escaping particles: W28





Escaping particles: W28





 $F_{\gamma} \propto \frac{f_{CR} \ M_{cl}}{d^2}$

Escaping particles: W28 H.E.S.S. ($\frac{F_{\gamma}}{M_{cl}}$) North $\approx \left(\frac{F_{\gamma}}{M_{cl}}\right)_{South}$









et... ->
$$\left[D \propto \left(\frac{\eta_{CR} E_{SN} \ M_{cl}}{F_{\gamma} d^2} \right)^{2/3} t_{age}^{-1} \right]^{1/3}$$

and we get... -





Gabici et al 2010







Conclusions on radiation

detection of gamma rays from the surroundings of SNRs (or any CR source) may serve as probes to identify CR sources and constrain the diffusion coefficient

isotropic diffusion probably not the best: anisotropic effects + self

generation of waves

