

$$L=6$$

Lorentz transformation is a linear, homogeneous change of co-ordinates from x^μ to $\bar{x}^\mu$

$$\checkmark \bar{x}^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Set of linear transformation (i.e } \Lambda) \text{ which preserves} \\ \text{+/- } x^2 \text{ form a group} \end{array} \right]$$

interval $x^2 = \bar{c}t^2 - \bar{x}^2$ is invariant $\downarrow$ Lorentz group

$$= x^\mu x_\mu \rightarrow x^T g x = x'^T g x = (\Lambda x)^T g (\Lambda x)$$

$$\rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = g_{\rho\sigma} \quad (\Lambda^\mu_\nu \text{ must obey})$$

$$\rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= x^T \Lambda^T g \Lambda x$$

$$= x^T g x$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\Lambda^T g \Lambda = g}$$

$$\left[\text{or} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & +1 & & \\ & & +1 & \\ & & & +1 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$x^2 = x^2 - \bar{c}t^2$$

$$\det \Lambda^T \det g \det \Lambda = \det g$$

$$\Rightarrow \det \Lambda = \pm 1 \quad \checkmark$$

$\rightarrow$ Set of all Lorentz transformation forms a group. product of any two L.T is a Lorentz transformation

$\checkmark$ (a, b $\in$ G, ab $\in$ G $\rightarrow$ associativity property.)

→ for every L.T there is an inverse

✓ Λ^{-1}

→ there exist an identity transformation

✓ $\Lambda^\mu_\mu = \delta^\mu_\mu$

→ To find inverse $(\Lambda^{-1})^\mu_\mu$

$$g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_{\mu\rho} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{\rho'\rho} \Lambda^\mu_{\mu\rho} \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = g^{\rho'\rho} g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\Lambda^\mu_{\mu\rho} g^{\rho\rho'} = \Lambda^{\rho'}_\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda^{\rho'}_\mu \Lambda^\mu_\sigma = \delta^{\rho'}_\sigma$$

By definition $(\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho'}_\mu \Lambda^\mu_\sigma = \delta^{\rho'}_\sigma$

$$\Rightarrow (\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho'}_\mu = \Lambda^{\rho'}_\mu$$

infinitesimal Lorentz transformation

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu + \delta\omega^\mu_\nu \quad \checkmark$$

use $g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma = g_{\rho\sigma}$

$$\Rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} (\delta^\mu_\rho + \delta\omega^\mu_\rho) (\delta^\nu_\sigma + \delta\omega^\nu_\sigma) = g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} \delta^\mu_\rho \delta^\nu_\sigma + g_{\mu\nu} \delta^\nu_\sigma \delta\omega^\mu_\rho + g_{\mu\nu} \delta^\mu_\rho \delta\omega^\nu_\sigma = g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow g_{\rho\sigma} + g_{\mu\sigma} \delta\omega^\mu_\rho + g_{\rho\nu} \delta\omega^\nu_\sigma = g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow g_{\sigma\mu} \delta\omega^\mu_\rho + \delta\omega_{\rho\sigma} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\delta\omega_{\sigma\mu} + \delta\omega_{\rho\sigma} = 0}$$

$$\boxed{\delta\omega_{\rho\sigma} = -\delta\omega_{\sigma\rho}} \quad \checkmark$$

Assignment 2

$\delta\omega$ is antisymmetric in both indices ρ & σ .

$\rightarrow$ ρ & σ run 0, 1, 2, 3. Because of antisymmetric $\delta\omega_{\rho\rho} = 0$. 6 independent component.

→ Six independent infinitesimal Lorentz transformation

↳ 3 rotations $\delta \omega_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk} \hat{n}_k$ (non)

↳ 3 boosts $\delta \omega_{i0} = \hat{n}_i \delta \eta$

✓ for a boost along direction $\hat{n}$ & rapidity $\delta \eta$.

→ ~~form $(\Lambda^{-1})^\mu_\sigma = \Lambda^\mu_\sigma$~~
det Λ

→ The other properties are $\det(\Lambda) = \pm 1$
(Ex: proof)

transformations with $\det(\Lambda) = +1$ proper L.T

✓ $\det(\Lambda) = -1$ improper L.T

→ proper L.T are subgroup of Lorentz group.

37

level

orthochronous

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\sigma} \Lambda_{\mu}^{\rho} \Lambda_{\nu}^{\sigma}$$

$$g_{00} = 1 = g_{\rho\sigma} \Lambda_0^{\rho} \Lambda_0^{\sigma}$$

$$\Lambda_0^0 \geq +1$$

$$|\Lambda_0^0| \leq 1$$

$$1 = (\Lambda_0^0)^2 - (\Lambda_0^i)^2$$

(non-orthochronous)

$$\Lambda_0^0 \leq -1$$

He are interested in proper &

orthochronous Lorentz transformation.

→ He associate a ~~unitary operator~~

$U(\Lambda)$ to each of the proper &

orthochronous L.T. ~~symmetries in~~

~~quantum theory are represented by~~

~~unitary operators.~~

finite dimensional unitary rep doesn't exist

$$U(\Lambda' \Lambda) = U(\Lambda') U(\Lambda)$$

$$U(1 + \delta \tilde{\omega}) = \mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu}$$

infinitesimal transformation of the unitary operator.

→ $M^{\mu\nu} = -M^{\nu\mu}$ are set of Hermitian operators, known as generators of L.G.

$so(3,1)$
3 space
1 time
non-compact
simple
Lie group

This equation tells, starting from
we have an infinitesimal group element M_{ij}, M_{kl}

$$1 + \frac{i}{2} \delta \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu}$$

Successive application of this operation
will give a finite element

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2} \delta \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} \right)^n$$

$$= \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \delta \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} \right]$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = i g_{\nu\rho} M_{\mu\sigma} - i g_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\sigma} - i g_{\nu\sigma} M_{\mu\rho} + i g_{\mu\sigma} M_{\nu\rho}$$

→ (2)

→ This is the Lie algebra of $SO(3,1)$ ✓

we introduce $M_{\mu\nu} = i (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu - \partial_\nu \partial_\mu)$

→ Most general representation of $M_{\mu\nu}$ that
satisfies Eq (2)

$$M_{\mu\nu} = i (\underbrace{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu - \partial_\nu \partial_\mu}_{\text{Hermitian generators}}) + S_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \text{Hamiltonian}$$

P.P.S

Refer to group theory Before this.
discuss Lie group & Lie algebra

$$[M_{ij}, M_{kl}]$$

$$= -i \epsilon_{ijk} M_{il} + i \delta_{ik} M_{jl} + i \delta_{jl} M_{ik} - i \delta_{il} M_{jk}$$

This is $SU(2)$ algebra.

→ We define

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} M_{jk}$$

$$\epsilon_{123} = +1 \checkmark$$

$$\checkmark [J_i, J_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k$$

$$-i \epsilon_{123} J_3$$

$$J_3 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{312} M_{12} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{321} M_{21}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{312} M_{12} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{312} M_{12}$$

{ A more familiar expression }

$$= \epsilon_{312} M_{12} = M_{12}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [M_{0i}, M_{0j}] = i g_{io} M_{oj}$$

$$-i g_{00} M_{ij}$$

$$-i g_{ij} M_{00}$$

$$+ i g_{oj} M_{io}$$

generators.

combination

$$= -i M_{ij}$$

$$[K_i, K_j]$$

$$= -i$$

$$[K_1, K_2] = -i M_{12} = -i J_3$$

→ $SU(2)$ & $SO(3)$ are related.
Rotation generators are Hermitian.
Boost generators
 $K_i = M_{0i} \checkmark$

$$[K_i, K_j] = -i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

$$\checkmark [J_i, K_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} K_k$$

→ ~~K_i~~ J_i are Hermitian

→ K_i non-Hermitian

→ Introduce Linear

$$\checkmark N_i = \frac{1}{2} [J_i + i K_i]$$

$$\rightarrow N_i \neq N_i^\dagger \checkmark$$

We have

$$\checkmark [N_i, N_j^\dagger] = 0$$

$$\checkmark [N_i, N_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} N_k$$

$$\checkmark [N_i^\dagger, N_j^\dagger] = +i\epsilon_{ijk} N_k^\dagger$$

→ N_i & $N_i^\dagger$ independently obey

$\checkmark$ the Lie algebra of $SU(2)$

L.T

$$\begin{aligned}
 [N_i, N_j] &= \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} [(J_i + iK_i), (J_j + iK_j)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ [J_i, J_j] + i[J_i, K_j] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + i[K_i, J_j] - [K_i, K_j] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{4} [i\epsilon_{ijk} J_k + i\epsilon_{ijk} K_k x_i \\
 &\quad - i\epsilon_{jik} K_k x_i \\
 &\quad + i\epsilon_{ijk} J_k]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{i \times 2}{4} \epsilon_{ijk} [J_k + iK_k]$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} N_k$$

$$\boxed{[N_i, N_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} N_k} \quad \checkmark$$

$$[N_i^\dagger, N_j^\dagger] = i\epsilon_{ijk} N_k^\dagger$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[(J_i - iK_i), (J_j - iK_j)] \times \frac{1}{4} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ [J_i, J_j] - i[J_i, K_j] - i[K_i, J_j] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + [K_i, K_j] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[N_i^\dagger, N_j^\dagger] = if_{ijk} N_k^\dagger$$

N_i & $N_i^\dagger$ independently obey the Lie algebra of $SU(2)$.

→ Casimir operator

✓ $N_i N_i = N^2$ eigenvalue $n(n+1)$

✓ $N_i^\dagger N_i^\dagger = (N^\dagger)^2$ " $m(m+1)$

✓ $m, n = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ similar to $SU(2)$ representation

→ label the representation as (n, m)

✓ → two $SU(2)$ groups are not independent as related by Hermitian conjugate

→ In general, representations of the Lorentz group are not Hermitian conjugate eigenstates.

→ since $J_i = N_i + N_i^\dagger$, we can identify the spin of the representation by $m+n$.

a) $(0, 0)$ spin zero $\rightarrow$ scalar representation

b) $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ $\rightarrow$ has spin $\frac{1}{2}$ & is a left-handed spinor

c) $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ $\rightarrow$ " spin $\frac{1}{2}$ $\leftarrow$ a right-handed spinor.

b & c are called weyl spinors and has two component spin up & down.

d) a Dirac spinor is represented as

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \oplus \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \checkmark$$

$$2j+1 \quad \checkmark$$

$$2m+1 \quad \checkmark$$

ψ_L and ψ_R

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2} + 1}{2} = 2$$

e) $2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \oplus \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ (4 vector)}$

f) $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \oplus \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) = \left(0, 0\right) \oplus \left(1, 0\right)$
(scalar)