**Name of the project:**

**Table 3.1c: List of Deliverables[[1]](#footnote-1)**

Only include deliverables that you consider essential for effective project monitoring.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Number** | **Deliverable name** | **Short description** | **Work package number**  | **Short name of lead participant**  | **Type** | **Dissemination level** | **Delivery date****(in months)** |
| WP2.1 | DMP | Data Management Plan | WP2 | LIP | ETHICS | Confidential | 6 |
| WP2.2 | Formation-Time Observable Toolkit | Containerised and documented software package for formation-time observable based on JEWEL MC. | WP2 | LIP | DEC | Public | 24 |
| WP2.3 | Open Dataset Library (JEWEL, Hybrid, JetMed) | Public release of MC samples with metadata and instructions for use in jet studies. | WP2 | ROME | DATA | Public | 36 |
| WP2.4 | JetQCD Report | A final report summarising the objectives, methodology, results, and recommendations emerging from the JetQCD activities. This document will be shared with the community to promote reproducibility, visibility of the tools developed, and opportunities for follow-up projects. | WP2 | LIP | R | Public | 48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **KEY** Deliverable numbers in order of delivery dates. Please use the numbering convention <WP number>.<number of deliverable within that WP>. For example, deliverable 4.2 would be the second deliverable from work package 4.**Type:** Use one of the following codes: R: Document, report (excluding the periodic and final reports) DEM: Demonstrator, pilot, prototype, plan designs DEC: Websites, patents filing, press & media actions, videos, etc.DATA: Data sets, microdata, etc.DMP: Data management planETHICS: Deliverables related to ethics issues. SECURITY: Deliverables related to security issuesOTHER: Software, technical diagram, algorithms, models, etc.**Dissemination level:** Use one of the following codes: PU – Public, fully open, e.g. web (Deliverables flagged as public will be automatically published in CORDIS project’s page)SEN – Sensitive, limited under the conditions of the Grant Agreement Classified R-UE/EU-R – EU RESTRICTED under the Commission Decision No2015/444Classified C-UE/EU-C – EU CONFIDENTIAL under the Commission Decision No2015/444Classified S-UE/EU-S – EU SECRET under the Commission Decision No2015/444**Delivery date**Measured in months from the project start date (month 1) |

**Table 3.1d: List of milestones**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Milestone number** | **Milestone name** | **Related work package(s)** | **Due date (in month)** | **Means of verification** |
| M1 | Release of JEWEL Open Dataset | WP2 | 30 | JEWEL Dataset made publicly available via documented repository. |
| M2 | Circulation of Report Draft | WP2 | 36 | Draft report circulated to all project participants for internal review. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **KEY****Due date**Measured in months from the project start date (month 1)**Means of verification** Show how you will confirm that the milestone has been attained. Refer to indicators if appropriate. For example: a laboratory prototype that is ‘up and running’; software released and validated by a user group; field survey complete and data quality validated. |

**Table 3.1e: Critical risks for implementation** #@RSK-MGT-RM@#

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Description of risk (indicate level of (i) likelihood, and (ii) severity: Low/Medium/High)** | **Work package(s) involved** | **Proposed risk-mitigation measures** |
| Access to non-public MC models (e.g., JetMed, Hybrid) may be delayed. (i) Likelihood: Low and (ii) Severity: Medium | WP2 | Developers are partners in the project. Early coordination and fallback on JEWEL-only scenarios ensure progress. |
| Delays in software deployment and reproducibility due to human resources or computing constraints. (i) Likelihood: Medium and (ii) Severity: Medium | WP2 | Deliverables will be modular. Documentation and containerised environments ensure continuity. Integration with LIP and Sapienza infrastructures ensures flexibility. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Definition critical risk:** A critical risk is a plausible event or issue that could have a high adverse impact on the ability of the project to achieve its objectives. **Level of likelihood to occur: Low/medium/high**The likelihood is the estimated probability that the risk will materialise even after taking account of the mitigating measures put in place.**Level of severity: Low/medium/high**The relative seriousness of the risk and the significance of its effect. |

1. You must include a data management plan (DMP) and a ‘plan for dissemination and exploitation including communication activities as distinct deliverables within the first 6 months of the project. The DMP will evolve during the lifetime of the project in order to present the status of the project's reflections on data management. A template for such a plan is available in the [Online Manual](https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/docs/2021-2027/common/guidance/om_en.pdf) on the Funding & Tenders Portal. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)