

- CERN
 - Gautier Hamel de Monchenault: New Director of Research and Computing
 - Ursula Bassler: New Director for Stakeholder Relations
- CEA
 - Anne Isabelle Etienvre: Administratice Générale du CEA

Felicitations





- The last day made it clear that there are forces that would like to turn down the LCF
 - e.g. Karl changed from secretary into an interested party
 - In his talk the LCF glass was always "half empty"
 - This relatively aggressive argumentation is maybe a sign that we did a good job ;-)
- Main political arguments against LCF
 - With 14B CHF the LCF550 is as expensive as the FCCee
 - Ignoring voluntarily staging etc.
 - May have to remind more often on integral FCCee/hh costs
 - A LCF tunnel will prevent forever the construction of a hadron machine at CERN
 - "No two big tunnels at CERN in the coming decades"
- Accelerator arguments against LCF
 - Low positron yield (with SLC as reference ???)
 - Applicability of ATF2 results
 - Reproducibility of cavity production
 - Do we take lumi spectrum into account in our results (yes)
- Physics arguments against LCF
 - Tendency to claim that there is nothing between the Z pole and 10 TeV
 - HL-LHC was mainly used (abused) to turn down LCPhysics case
 - Top quark was the big absent
 - Instead B physics was (over?)emphasised_{CL Meeting}







- LCVision will provide additional input to the PPG addressing the points mentioned before
 - An additional 2-page primer summarising the arguments will be prepared for the ESG
 - N.B.: Already since a while we collect FAQs under this link
 - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZY5I0_bewYpMNWnikl_2fpASgLQMyU2ghvFwmKCBq_4/edit?usp=sharing
 - Personal remark: I believe it is important to present the technological challenges to reach the 10 TeV scale
 - as an opportunity not as a risk
 - May have to understand better how we can meet strategical goals of politics
- Tighten relation with CLIC
 - "Renewal of Lausanne Statement" (2019, CLIC and ILC two sides of the same medal)
 - · Unfortunately this consensus was jeopardised by some people
 - Erik Adli now member of LCVision EB
- Actions in France?
 - An additional two page primer with a "French touch" as reaction to the briefing book?
 - Should be ready directly after LCWS to meet deadline of 14th of november for additional national inout
 - Reminder: ESG drafting session beginning of December 2025
 - In general we may want to remind on (in a professional and diplomatic way) arguments against FCC
 - Who would be the recipients?
 - Cristinel as coordinator of transverse group
 - How can we make sure that also decision makers receive our message
 - Our point of view might be (will be) "filtered" by IN2P3 and CEA/Irfu hierarchy
 - How to convey our point of view to the community
 - Should be collect feedback in France in a google doc to align our arguments?





- Important that CERN maintains a LC Activity beyond 2026
 - Know that Steinar will retire at the end of 2025
 - Who could succeed him?
 - Doesn't have to be an accelerator physicist in first place
- Feedback from SFP Meeting and in2p3 direction visit to IJCLab
 - Both events in first week of July

