# Energy-energy correlators inside aggregated b jets

Francesca Meuli

M2 Internship

CMS Heavy ions group

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, École Polytechnique Paris

# Overview

### Introduction

- Jets
- What are EECs?
- Why EECs?
- \* Heavy ion collisions and  $\ensuremath{\mathrm{QGP}}$
- This analysis:
  - Aggregation
  - Signal extraction
  - Unfolding
  - Further corrections
  - Results
- Further analysis developments
- Conclusion



# Introduction

Francesca Meuli

CMS Heavy ions

#### Introduction

Detection

[4]

Hadronization hadrons  $\pi^{\pm} K^{\pm} \dots$ 

Fragmentation

partons Ø a d ...

### Jets

- Jets are collimated flows of hadrons
- Produced by a parton shower:
  - $\alpha_S$  increases as the quark moves away from the IP
  - The quark radiates a gluon, which splits into  $q\bar{q}$ , ...
  - Partons hadronise
  - $\rightarrow$ Jets are observed
- Heavy-quark jets:
  - Parton showers are mass dependent
  - Higher quark mass changes the shower
  - Low-angle radiation is suppressed
  - $\rightarrow$  Dead-cone effect





Francesca Meuli

CMS Heavy ions

### What are EECs?

• Energy-energy correlators (EECs) are observables correlating particles

$$EEC = \frac{d\sigma^{[N]}}{dx_L} = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots i_N}^n \int d\sigma \,\delta(x_L - \max(\Delta R_{i_1, i_2}, \dots \Delta R_{i_{N-1}, i_N})) \Pi_{a=1}^N \frac{E_{i_a}}{E}$$
[1]

- Correlate particles inside a jet
  Angular distance: ΔR = √(Δη)<sup>2</sup> + (Δφ)<sup>2</sup>
- Exist as 2-point, 3-point, ... N-point
- Clear separation of time evolution regions:
  - Perturbative (free quarks, gluons)
  - Confinement
  - Free hadron







### Why EECs?

- Understand QCD dynamics
- Jet substructure
- Well defined and calculable in perturbative QCD, experimentally clear
- Infrared and collinear safe
- Possible studies:
- Effect of jet flavour
  - · b-jets: dead cone and B hadron decay (this analysis)
- Effect of jet energy
- Extraction of  $\alpha_s$
- Heavy ions: properties and effects of QGP



CMS Heavy ions

# Heavy ion collisions at CMS

- At LHC: PbPb or small ions collisions 1 month per year
- Heavy ions contain many protons and neutrons
- Their collisions free large amount of energy
- $\rightarrow$ Access the quark-gluon plasma (QGP)

### QGP:

- Quark "soup"
- Hot and dense matter
- Quarks and gluons are deconfined
- $\rightarrow$ Present in the first moments of the Big Bang
- $\rightarrow$ Important for the study of QCD confinement



Quark Gluon Plasma (caution: HOT!)

[10]

#### Introduction

# Study of jets in QGP

- QGP has many effects
- Study:
  - Jet energy loss
  - · Jet constituents and QGP interactions

#### with:

- Jet substructure observables
- <u>Energy-energy correlators</u>

#### Jet quenching



#### Jet narrowing



CMS Heavy ions



#### Wake effect



#### M2 Internship

# Our analysis: EEC inside b-jets

Francesca Meuli

CMS Heavy ions

# EEC analysis and event selection

#### Focus on 2-point EEC inside b-jets with a single B hadron:

- $\rightarrow$ Access different energies
- $\rightarrow$ Study energy loss effects
- $\rightarrow$ Heavy quarks produced before QGP, they experience its full evolution
- →Study B hadrons

#### Samples:

- pp CMS dataset at 5.02 TeV
- MC simulations made with Pythia 8
  - Gen: generated events
  - · Reco: generated events passed through the simulated detector

#### Jet selection:

- b-tagged jets
- 100 GeV  $< p_{T,jet} < 120$  GeV
- $|\eta| < 1.6$

#### Particle selection:

- $\cdot\,$  charged particles within b jets
- $p_{T,track} > 1 \text{ GeV}$
- Pair different particles



CMS Heavy ions







Francesca Meuli

Analysis

# Effect of B hadron decay

- + Some tracks come from B hadron decays
- Aggregation: cluster tracks from B to a single particle, use a BDT score
- In Lida's PhD analysis: big effect





• Does it also have an effect on the EEC distribution?

#### Analysis

# Effect of B hadron decay

- Also EEC shows a difference whether the tracks coming from a B hadron decay inside the b-jet are aggregated or not
- It has to be considered!



Effect of aggregation on EEC



EEC: finding every decay product seems more important than rejecting the fakes→ Optimisations can be implemented, e.g. neural networks

### BDT score and signal extraction

BDT score does not tell us which B is the parent

 $\rightarrow$  no separation between single B and more B hadrons

Extract signal with a template fit

- Separate:
  - signal (single B) jets
  - 2-or-more-B jets
  - non-B jets
- Background will have a different partially reconstructed B-hadron mass  $m_B$  distribution than the signal





Mass stacked histogram (bjet sample)

### Template fit

- \* 4D template fit  $(m_B, \Delta r, \text{ weight}, \text{ jet } p_T)$
- Discriminating variable:  $m_B$
- Fit: signal fraction
- Templates from MC: 1-B and more-B, other background negligible





#### Analysis

CMS Heavy ions

#### Analysis

# Unfolding

- We are studying EEC in a  $p_T$  window
- Account for  $p_T$  bin migration and detector effects with unfolding
- Response matrix Particle level  $v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{M} R_{i,i} \mu_i$

Detector level

- $\Delta r$ , EEC and jet  $p_T$  are correlated
- → Do 3D unfolding
- Unfolding with RooUnfold matrix inversion (no regularisation)



Francesca Meuli

CMS Heavy ions

### Results after unfolding



M2 Internship

### Further corrections

Correct for other effects not taken into account by the template fit and unfolding:

- b-tagging efficiency from gen MC
   →untagged EEC/tagged EEC
- Track reconstruction efficiency

For now only the b-tagging correction is implemented



Francesca Meuli

• ...

CMS Heavy ions

### Comparing to inclusive jets



M2 Internship

### Future development of the analysis

 $\rightarrow$  Eventually: analyse heavy ions data and compare to this pp analysis

Other studies:

- EECs with higher weight exponent (n = 2, ...)
- Distribution in different  $p_T$  windows
- Distribution for different jet flavours
- Ratio E3C/E2C





Francesca Meuli

CMS Heavy ions

### Conclusion

- EECs are very interesting observables: they are sensitive to the QCD evolution and can be used to investigate QGP
- EECs show a difference when B hadron decay products are aggregated
   → B decays have to be considered
- 3D unfolding necessary because of the correlations
- EEC b-jets analysis is feasible
- Many interesting evolutions of the EEC analysis for CMS heavy ions:
  - Flavour, jet  $p_T$ , QGP effects

# Thank you!

Francesca Meuli

CMS Heavy ions

### References

[1] Zhen Lin, Chenfeng Lu, Renqi Pan, Yuekai Song, M. Xiao, and Yulei Ye, Color confinement and asymptotic freedom using energy correlators inside jets, CMS Draft Note, 25.07.2023

[2] Lida Kalipoliti, CMS overwiev, Rencontres QGP France, Bagnoles de l'Orne, 20 May 2025

[3] Lida Kalipoliti, Probing bottom quark mass effects in jet substructure with CMS using a novel technique to cluster the bhadron decays, HARD PROBES 2024, 25 September 2024, <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/2909071</u>

[4] Eric M. Metodiev, The Fractal Lives of Jets, https://www.ericmetodiev.com/post/jetformation/

[5] The CMS Collaboration, Measurement of energy correlators inside jets and determination of the strong coupling constant, CMS Physics Analysis Summary, 02.08.2023

[6] Zhen Lin, Chenfeng Lu, Renqi Pan, Yuekai Song, Meng Xiao, Yulei Ye, Observation of confinement and asymptotic freedom through energy correlators inside jets, SMP-22-015

[7] Evan Craft, Kyle Lee, Bianka Mecaj, Ian Moult, *Beautiful and Charming Energy Correlators*, arXiv:2210.09311v1 [hep-ph] 17 Oct 2022

[8] Reynier Cruz-Torres, Measurement of the angle between jet axes and energy-energy correlators with ALICE, 28.03.2023, https://www.indico.uni-muenster.de/event/1409/contributions/2081/

[9] Ananya Rai, Extracting the anomalous dimensions of Energy-Correlators in charged jets in pp collisions at 13 TeV with ALICE, Hard Probes 2024

[10] François Arleo, Lectures on high-energy heavy ions collisions, École Polytechnique Paris, Fall 2024

[11] https://phys.org/news/2025-06-quark-gluon-plasma-splashes-energetic.html

[12] Ananya Rai, Probing jet substructure with energy correlators in pp collisions at 13 TeV with ALICE, 39th Winter Workshop on Nuclear Dynamics February 13, 2024

[13] Jussi Viinikainen, *The first measurement of energy-energy correlator of jets in PbPb collisions at CMS*, Vanderbilt University for the CMS Collaboration Quark Matter 2025

# Backup slides

Francesca Meuli

CMS Heavy ions

Introduction

### The CMS experiment

- Experiment located at the LHC at CERN
- $4\pi$  hermetic calorimeter
  - Tracking
  - Electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL)
  - Hadronic calorimeter (HCAL)
  - 4T magnetic field
  - Muon detectors
- Many physics interests

240 -

220 -

200 180

160

140

120 100

60 -

40 -

20



### Extraction of $\alpha_S$

- The ratio E3C/E2C is directly proportional to  $\alpha_S$ 

$$EEC = \frac{d\sigma^{[N]}}{dx_L} = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots i_N}^n \int d\sigma \,\delta(x_L - \max(\Delta R_{i_1, i_2}, \dots \Delta R_{i_{N-1}, i_N})) \prod_{a=1}^N \frac{E_{i_a}}{E}$$

- Three time evolution regions also visible
- Measuring at different energy one sees the expected behaviour of  $\alpha_S$



2.4 2.2 2 2 2

1.8

1.6 1.4 1.2

0.8

0.6

Hadron level

Jet pT ∈220 to 330 GeV

Jet pT ∈468 to 638 GeV

Jet pT ∈1101 to 1410 GeV

Literature

[3]

Literature

# Heavy ions

- See the effect of QGP and related phenomena
  - Wake: hadrons from the wake end up in the final jets



Francesca Meuli



29

### Implementation of EECs

• Following the latest PbPb CMS result : 2-point correlators

$$EEC(\Delta r) = \frac{1}{W_{pairs}} \frac{1}{\delta r} \sum_{jets \in [p_{T,1}, p_{T,2}]} \sum_{pairs \in [\Delta r_a, \Delta r_b]} (p_{T,i} p_{T,j})^n$$
[13]

- How to construct the distribution:
  - Calculate  $\Delta r = \sqrt{\Delta \phi^2 + \Delta \eta^2}$  for each pair
  - Fill a weighted histogram with weight  $(p_{T,i}p_{T,j})^n$
  - Normalize

#### In our analysis:

- $n \operatorname{can} be 1 \operatorname{or} 2$
- Particles *i*, *j* are paired if they are different, no double counting



CMS Simulation Preliminary PYTHIA8 CP5 (pp 5.02 TeV)

Particle level, clustered decay daughters
 Detector level, unclustered decay daughters
 Detector level, clustered decay daughters

anti- $k_{\tau}$ , R=0.4 b-tagged b jets

 $100 < p_{T}^{jet} < 120 \text{ GeV}, |\eta^{jet}| < 2$ 

Soft drop (charged particles)

 $z_{cut} = 0.1, \beta = 0, k_{T} > 1 \text{ GeV}$ 

# BDT working point

- Jet substructure analysis used a different BDT working point
- Aggregating with the same working point in EEC gives worse results
- $\rightarrow$ Use a looser working point



0.35 0.32 0.32

0.25

0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

Analysis

# Recovering the $EEC(\Delta r)$ distribution

• What we want at the end:

$$EEC(\Delta r) = \frac{1}{W_{pairs}} \frac{1}{\delta r} \sum_{jets \in [p_{T,1}, p_{T,2}]} \sum_{pairs \in [\Delta r_a, \Delta r_b]} (p_{T,i} p_{T,j})$$

• What we have:  $\Delta r$  and  $(p_{T,i}p_{T,j})$  in separate axes

→ For every  $\Delta r$  integrate over  $(p_{T,i}p_{T,j})$  and weight by the bin centre



### Effect of jet energy and flavour



Francesca Meuli

CMS Heavy ions

### Analysis

# Extraction of $\alpha_S$

• In single B hadron aggregated b-jets, reco MC sample

Ratio of EECs



Francesca Meuli

CMS Heavy ions