Hyper-Kamiokande : reconstruction and selection of events

Arthur Choquet

Studying neutrinos

Very light particles interacting only through weak interaction (W and Z bosons).

Interesting and not yet completely understood phenomena like **neutrino oscillation**.



(a) CCQE

One possible neutrino interaction with matter, **creating a charged lepton** (here a muon).

Standard Model of Elementary Particles



Standard Model of particle physics.

The Hyper Kamiokande neutrino detector

Water Cherenkov detectors



The **Hyper Kamiokande** detector (experiment starting in 2028) with its Photomultiplier Tubes (PMTs). Capturing this light with photosensors.

Water Cherenkov detectors



Cherenkov radiation emitted at a fixed angle for particles going at speeds **higher than the speed of light in the medium**.



Capturing this light with photosensors.

Hyper Kamiokande's construction



HK's tank being drilled.





PMTs on their **testing bench** being handled by a skilled worker.

Reconstruction of the events

Raw data : Cherenkov rings





Actual **electron event** detected by Super Kamiokande.

Reconstructing the physical properties of the events

Main physical properties we need to reconstruct :

- Type of particle (electron, muon, pion, photon, ...)
- Momentum of the particle
- Vertex (starting point) of the particle
- Direction of the particle

One of the goal of my work : study the possibility of **separating gamma (photon) and electron events**.



Actual **electron event** detected by Super Kamiokande.

Reconstructing the physical properties of the events

Statistical method based on know distributions.

Parameters used by the fit :

- Distribution of the Cherenkov charge emitted by the particle

Monte-Carlo simulations are used to generate these distributions.



First reconstruction of the vertex by calculating the **time of flight** of Cherenkov photons and finding the best fit with the actual observed data.

$$L(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j}^{\text{unhit}} P_j(\text{unhit}|\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i}^{\text{hit}} \{1 - P_i(\text{unhit}|\mathbf{x})\} f_q(q_i|\mathbf{x}) f_t(t_i|\mathbf{x}).$$

Then a more precise reconstruction : **likelihood method** on every parameters.



Separation of gamma and electron events



- Inside the tank, high energy photons decay into a **pair of e+/e-.**
- The order of magnitude of the angle of separation is m_e/k ~ 2° for 500 MeV photons, so the Cherenkov rings **superimpose into a single ring**.



Charge profiles :

<mark>Red</mark> is e- events Blue is gamma events

Separation of gamma and electron events



Using reconstructed properties, **the separation power is lost.**



Event selection

The T2K experiment



The T2K experiment layout : **a beam of pure muon neutrinos** is produced and intercepted by our detector.



Different flavors of neutrino.



Neutrino oscillation : the flavor (muon, electron, tau) of the neutrino can change over time.



Figure 5.2: Neutrino charged current interaction with a nucleus through quasi-elastic (left), resonant (center) and DIS processes.

cm² 10 TOTAL **ш**0 50.6 X0.4 10² 10⁻¹ 10 E. (GeV)

Figure 5.1: Total neutrino CC cross sections per nucleon divided by neutrino energy and plotted as a function of energy. The important increase from $E_v \sim 100$ MeV to 1 GeV is due to the kinematics of the process which should produce a muon. Taken from [65], See Figure 5.3 for the legends of the different data sets,

At the energy of T2K (~600 MeV), CCQE interactions are predominant followed by CCRes interactions.

1 lepton produced : Charged **Current Quasi Elastic** (CCQE) interactions

 $CC1\pi$ (1 lepton + 1 charged pion produced): **Charged Current Resonant interaction**



T2K Run1-4 Flux at Super-K Flux (/cm²/50MeV/10²¹p.o.t) $= \nu_{\mu}$ 106 ₩v
µ 10^{2} Ψve $\# \overline{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{e}}$ 104 103 10^{2} 0 2 6 8 10 E. (GeV)

Figure 7.2: T2K ν_{μ} beam spectrum at different off-axis angles. The ν_{μ} survival probability at SK is also shown on the top. Figure taken from [86]. Figure 7.4: Predicted T2K neutrino flux at SK. Figure provided by the T2K beam working group.

15

Different kind of interactions

Our signal for oscillation analysis is :

- CCQE nu_mu and CCQE from oscillated nu_e -> 1Re and 1Rmu samples
- CC1pi nu_mu and CC1pi from oscillated nu_e -> MRmu, 1Re+pi, MRe samples

Everything else is background :

- Neutral current
- Non oscillated nu_e (beam contamination)
- CCDIS (high energy neutrino interactions)

First study of this kind for Hyper Kamiokande : a lot of parameters needs to be optimized, especially the **fiducial volume** (maximum volume containing all the events that we accept).



Particles outside the fiducial volume are ignored.

Some results

Using the properties reconstructed by fiTQun, we can find criteria to **select only our signal events** among all the events :

- Number of rings

-

. . .

- Number of decay electrons (from muon decay)
- Particle identification



17

Some results



Impact of the **fiducial volume** on the selection;



Samples		Signal efficiency (FCFV)	Signal purity	Backgro und accepta nce	Main background
CCQE nu_e	T2K (2020)	69.4%	80.4%	1.6%	nu_e beam, NC
	нк	90.6%	80.0%	1.3%	oscillated nu_e CC, nu_e beam, NC
CCQE nu_mu	T2K (2020)	84.2%	76.3%	7.3%	nu_mu CC non QE, NC
	нк	92.2%	80.4%	13.0%	nu_mu CC non QE, NC

Sample statistics obtained during this work (here for CCQE samples).

Final word

Other subjects I worked on during my internship :

- Phenomenology : study of the possibility of experimentally validating theoretical model of neutrino physics like **leptogenesis**, **discrete flavor symmetry**.
- Exploring the impact of an electron/gamma separation on the background of **proton decay** analysis.

Thank you for listening