# **qT resummation with DYTurbo**

GDR QCD Workshop on *W*-mass IJCLab, July 1, 2025



## Introduction

#### Foreword and references

• DYTurbo is a program for the calculation of fast and numerically precise predictions of QCD transverse-momentum resummed Drell-Yan (DY) cross sections

The **DYTurbo project** is developed and maintained by **S. Camarda et al**.

I am not one of the authors and my perspective is only that of a curious (experimentalist) user. As such, these slides provide insights based on user experience and practical application in the context of the *W*-mass measurement.

For technical details, implementation choices and future developments, I encourage you to consult Stefano and the other authors.



- Public code available on <u>HEPForge</u>
- A few references:
  - DYTurbo: Fast predictions for Drell-Yan processes
  - Drell-Yan lepton-pair production: qT resummation at <u>N3LL accuracy and fiducial cross sections at N3LO</u>
  - Drell-Yan lepton-pair production: qT resummation at approximate N4LL+N4LO accuracy

#### qT resummed cross section for V-boson production

- DYTurbo master formula for V-boson production cross section with  $\boldsymbol{q}_{T}$  resummation

$$d\sigma^{V} = d\sigma^{res} - d\sigma^{asy} + d\sigma^{f.o.}$$

•  $d\sigma^{res} \equiv d\hat{\sigma}_{LO}^V \times \mathscr{H}^V \times exp\{\mathscr{G}(\alpha_S, L)\} \rightarrow resummed component of the cross section$ 

- $d\sigma^{res} \equiv d\hat{\sigma}_{LO}^V \times \Sigma^V(q_T/Q) \rightarrow \text{fixed order expansion of } d\sigma^{res}$
- +  ${\rm d}\sigma^{\rm f.o.}\equiv$  V + jet finite-order cross section integrated over final-state QCD radiation



#### Analytic Resummation formalism in qT

•  $q_T$  resummation in impact parameter b space:  $q_T \ll M \leftrightarrow Mb \gg 1 \rightarrow \ln(M/q_T) \gg 1 \leftrightarrow \ln(Mb) \gg 1$ 

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dq_T^2} \stackrel{q_T \ll M}{=} \frac{M^2}{\hat{s}} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{4\pi} e^{i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{q}_T} \mathcal{H}(\alpha_S) \otimes \exp\left\{\mathcal{G}(\alpha_S, L)\right\}$$
$$\mathcal{G}(\alpha_S, L) = L g^{(1)}(\alpha_S L) + g^{(2)}(\alpha_S L) + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} g^{(3)}(\alpha_S L) + \cdots \qquad \mathcal{H}(\alpha_S) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \mathcal{H}^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)^2 \mathcal{H}^{(2)} + \cdots$$

- $\mathcal{H}(\alpha_s) \equiv$  hard-virtual coefficient function in powers of  $\alpha_s$
- $\exp \{\mathcal{G}(\alpha_s, L)\} \equiv universal (process independent) perturbative Sudakov form factor$ 
  - $\mathcal{G}(\alpha_S, L) \equiv \text{resummed logarithmic } L \equiv \ln(M^2 b^2) \text{ expansion where the functions } g^{(n)} \text{ resum the } \alpha_S^k L^k$
- Perturbative structure  $\rightarrow$  LL ( $\sim \alpha_S^n L^{n+1}$ ):  $g^{(1)}$ ,  $(\hat{\sigma}^{(0)})$ ; NLL ( $\sim \alpha_S^n L^n$ ):  $g^{(2)}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ ; NNLL ( $\sim \alpha_S^n L^{n-1}$ ):  $g^{(3)}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ ;

• 
$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(b,m,y) = \mathcal{H}(\alpha_s) \otimes \exp\left\{\mathcal{G}(\alpha_s,L)\right\} \to \operatorname{Back} \operatorname{in} q_{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{space:} \mathcal{W}(q_{\mathrm{T}},m,y) = \frac{m^2}{s} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}b \; \frac{b}{2} \; J_0(bq_{\mathrm{T}}) \; \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(b,m,y)$$

#### Analytic Resummation formalism in qT

•  $q_T$  resummation in impact parameter b space:  $q_T \ll M \leftrightarrow Mb \gg 1 \rightarrow \ln(M/q_T) \gg 1 \leftrightarrow \ln(Mb) \gg 1$ 

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dq_T^2} \stackrel{q_T \ll M}{=} \frac{M^2}{\hat{s}} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{4\pi} e^{i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{q}_T} \mathcal{H}(\alpha_S) \otimes \exp\left\{\mathcal{G}(\alpha_S, L)\right\}$$
$$\mathcal{G}(\alpha_S, L) = Lg^{(1)}(\alpha_S L) + g^{(2)}(\alpha_S L) + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}g^{(3)}(\alpha_S L) + \cdots \qquad \mathcal{H}(\alpha_S) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\mathcal{H}^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)^2 \mathcal{H}^{(2)} + \cdots$$

- q<sub>T</sub> resummation based on **Catani-de Florian-Grazzini formalism** [Nucl.Phys. B596 (2001) 299-312]
  - Process-dependence factorised in the hard-virtual function
  - Resummation scale  $Q \sim M$ : varied to estimate uncertainty from missing logs  $\ln(M^2b^2) \rightarrow \ln(Q^2b^2) + \ln(M^2/Q^2)$
  - No soft scale (  $\sim q_T$ ) in CdFG, only scale variations associated to renormalised divergences ( $\mu_R, \mu_F, Q$ )
  - Perturbative unitarity constraint enforcing correct total cross section

$$\ln(Q^2 b^2) \rightarrow \widetilde{L} \equiv \ln(Q^2 b^2 + 1) \Rightarrow \exp\left\{\alpha_s^n \widetilde{L}^k\right\} \Big|_{b=0} = 1 \Rightarrow \int_0^\infty dq_T^2 \left(\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dq_T^2}\right) = \hat{\sigma}^{(\text{tot})}$$

• Primed resummation orders (NLL', NNLL', ...) → log terms + non-logarithmic terms required to match F.O.

#### Perturbative accuracy

[Credit S. Camarda]

	Virtual		Sudakov			Real
	H[δ(1-z)]	H[z]	Cusp AD	Collinear, RAD	PDF	CT,V+jet
LL+LO	1	1	1-loop	0	const.	1
NLL+NLO	$\alpha_{s}$	C1	2-loop	1-loop	LO	$\alpha_{s}$
NNLL+NNLO	$\alpha_{s}^{2}$	C2	3-loop	2-loop	NLO	$\alpha_{s}^{2}$
N3LL+N3LO	$\alpha_s{}^3$	C3	4-loop	3-loop	NNLO	$\alpha_s{}^3$
N4LL+N3LO	$\alpha_{s}^{4}$	C4	5-loop	4-loop	N3LO	$\alpha_{s}^{4}$
Known analytically Approximated numerically Unknown, estimated with series acceleration Not included				Up to approximate N4LL'		

#### Modes of operation and applications

• DY cross section predictions require: integration over lepton kinematic variables and QCD radiation, plus convolutions and integral transforms

- DY full-lepton phase space cross sections in (q<sub>T</sub>, y, M): integration over kinematic variables with quadrature rules available for all the terms up to (NNLO+NNLL) → fast predictions
  - **General idea**: given an integral If  $\equiv \int_0^1 d^d x f(\vec{x})$  use a quadrature formula If  $\approx Q_n f \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i)$  with specially chose nodes  $x_i$  and weights  $w_i \rightarrow Deterministic$  integration

#### Modes of operation and applications

• DY cross section predictions require: integration over lepton kinematic variables and QCD radiation, plus convolutions and integral transforms

- DY full-lepton phase space cross sections in (q<sub>T</sub>, y, M): integration over kinematic variables with quadrature rules available for all the terms up to (NNLO+NNLL) → fast predictions
  - **Application**: fast NNLO+NNLL computations of 2D ( $p_T$ , y) full phase-space *W*,*Z* distributions, for multiple PDF sets, integrating over fine intervals of  $p_T$ , y



#### Modes of operation and applications

• DY cross section predictions require: integration over lepton kinematic variables and QCD radiation, plus convolutions and integral transforms

• Two main modes of operation: Vegas integration and quadrature rules based on interpolating functions

DY full-lepton phase space cross sections in (q<sub>T</sub>, y, M): integration over kinematic variables with quadrature rules available for all the terms up to (NNLO+NNLL) → fast predictions

- Application: fast studies of W/Z  $q_T$  ratio at NNLO+NNLL including PDF and scale uncertainties



#### Modes of operation and applications

• DY cross section predictions require: integration over lepton kinematic variables and QCD radiation, plus convolutions and integral transforms

- Finite order term in DY fiducial cross sections and angular coefficients/helicity cross sections computed with VEGAS algorithm → more versatile but slower (iterative adaptive importance sampling)
  - General idea: Monte Carlo integration  $If \equiv \int_0^1 d^d x \, w(\vec{x}) \frac{f(\vec{x})}{w(\vec{x})} \to \text{must be able to sample from } w(\vec{x})$ 
    - Iteratively build up a piecewise constant weight function, represented on a rectangular grid
    - Each iteration consists of a sampling step followed by a refinement of the grid: many points are sampled where the grid's value is small

#### Modes of operation and applications

• DY cross section predictions require: integration over lepton kinematic variables and QCD radiation, plus convolutions and integral transforms

- Finite order term in DY fiducial cross sections and angular coefficients/helicity cross sections computed with VEGAS algorithm → more versatile but slower (iterative adaptive importance sampling)
  - Application: fiducial cross-section measurements, e.g. "Precise measurements of W- and Z-boson transverse momentum spectra with the ATLAS detector using pp collisions at 5.02 and 13 TeV"
     [Eur. Phys. J. C 84 (2024) 1126]



#### Modes of operation and applications

• DY cross section predictions require: integration over lepton kinematic variables and QCD radiation, plus convolutions and integral transforms

• Two main modes of operation: Vegas integration and quadrature rules based on interpolating functions

 Finite order term in DY fiducial cross sections and angular coefficients/helicity cross sections computed with VEGAS algorithm → more versatile but slower (iterative adaptive importance sampling)

• **Application**:  $q_T$ , y predictions up to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^2)$  (NNLO) for W,Z angular coefficients



#### Modes of operation and applications

• DY cross section predictions require: integration over lepton kinematic variables and QCD radiation, plus convolutions and integral transforms

- Finite order term in DY fiducial cross sections and angular coefficients/helicity cross sections computed with VEGAS algorithm → more versatile but slower (iterative adaptive importance sampling)
  - Application: NNLO+NNLL predictions of final-state kinematic observables, i.e.  $p_{\rm T}^l$ ,  $p_{\rm T}^{\nu}$ ,  ${\rm m}_{\rm T}$



### Built-in tools

#### • Mellin transform

- Fast x-space to Mellin-space integral transform based on Gauss-Legendre quadrature and optimised integration contours for a faster convergence of the Mellin inversion (e.g. bending on the negative real half plane)
- PDF evolution and flavour schemes
  - FFN backward PDF evolution based on Pegasus QCD algorithm: consistent with CdFG formalism where PDFs evolve backward from a hard scale
  - VFN forward PDF evolution from LHAPDF: evolve PDFs upward from a lower scale and generate heavy quark PDFs dynamically at thresholds
- QED and QED-QCD mixed corrections
  - With LL and NLL QED resummation effects

#### [Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 251 (2020)]



**Figure 2** Standard and optimised integration contours in the complex plane for the inverse Mellin transform. The two contours intersect the real axis at the point c, and the optimised contour is bent by an angle  $\phi > \pi/2$  with respect to the real axis. The crosses represent the poles of PDF parameterisation in Mellin space.



## Built-in tools

#### • xFitter interface

• Allows to compute DYTurbo  $q_T$ , y predictions on the fly within the QCD fit framework X Fitter

```
    Operational modes for Z/γ*
```

 Full-lepton phase space cross sections in p<sub>T</sub>,y,m: DYTurbo can provide the full fixed order or resummed prediction

```
&Data
 Name = 'CDF ZPT 1.96 TEV'
 IndexDataset = 101010
 Reaction = 'NC ppbar'
 TheoryType
            = 'expression'
 TermName = 'A', 'K', 'C'
 TermSource = 'DYTurbo', 'KFactor', 'KFactor'
 TermInfo = 'FileName=datafiles/tevatron/cdf/wzProduction/1207.7138/cdf196-n4ll.in',
           'FileName=datafiles/tevatron/cdf/wzProduction/1207.7138/qedisr.txt:FileColumn=3',
            'FileName=datafiles/tevatron/cdf/wzProduction/1207.7138/n3lo-afactor-r1-f1-q1.txt:FileColumn=3'
 TheorExpr= '(A+C)*K/1000'
- Fiducial phase space cross sections in p_T, y, m: include V+jet APPLgrid,
  DYTurbo computes the rest
 &Data
   Name = 'ATLAS Z pT 8 TeV'
   IndexDataset = 20
   Reaction = 'NC pp'
                  = 'expression'
   TheoryType
   TermName = 'A1', 'A2'
   TermSource = 'DYTurbo', 'APPLgrid'
   TermInfo = 'FileName=data/zpt8tev/dyturbo/z-8tev-nnll.in',
              'GridName=data/zpt8tev/applgrid/grid-40-6-15-3-Zjet_41_zpt8tev_peak.root'
   TheorExpr= 'A1+A2'
```

[Credit S. Camarda]

## **Treatment of non-perturbative QCD**

#### DYTurbo phenomenological model

•  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha_S, L)$  in the perturbative form factor becomes singular for  $q_T \sim \Lambda_{QCD} \rightarrow \text{non-perturbative regime}$ 

•  $b_*$  regularisation: exp { $\mathcal{G}(\alpha_S, L)$ } dependence on *b* frozen close to singularity

$$b^2 \rightarrow b_*^2 = b^2 b_{\rm lim}^2/(b^2+b_{\rm lim}^2)$$
 with  $b_{\rm lim} \approx 2\,{\rm GeV^{-1}}$ 

- Sudakov form factor modifed by including a **non-perturbative term**:  $S(b) \equiv \exp \{\mathcal{G}(\alpha_{S}, L)\} \rightarrow S(b) \cdot S_{NP}(b)$
- Baseline pheno model based on <u>Collins-Rogers parametrisation</u>  $\rightarrow S_{\text{NP}}(b \mid g_1, q, \lambda, g_0, b_{\text{lim}}, Q_0)$

$$S_{\rm NP}(b) = \exp\left[-g_j(b) - g_K(b)\log\frac{m_{\ell\ell}^2}{Q_0^2}\right] \begin{cases} g_j(b) = \frac{g\,b^2}{\sqrt{1+\lambda\,b^2}} + \operatorname{sign}(q)\left(1 - \exp\left[-|q|\,b^4\right]\right) \to \operatorname{NP} \text{ model for TMD PDF} \\ g_K(b) = g_0\left(1 - \exp\left[-\frac{C_F\alpha_s(b_0/b_*)b^2}{\pi g_0\,b_{\lim}^2}\right]\right) \to \operatorname{NP} \text{ model for Collins-Soper kernel} \end{cases}$$

- $S_{\rm NP}$  includes 6 parameters which can be fitted to data or varied to assess an uncertainty
  - $g_1$  and q representing the leading quadratic and quartic terms, dominant at  $p_{\rm T} \sim$  4–10 GeV
  - $\lambda$  controlling the transition from Gaussian (quadratic) to exponential (linear)
  - $g_0$  controlling the very high b (very small  $p_{\rm T}$ ) behaviour
  - $b_{
    m lim}$  freezing the scale of  $lpha_{
    m S}$  and  $Q_0$  defining the starting scale of the TMD evolution

#### qT resummation with DYTurbo | F. Dattola

## **Treatment of non-perturbative QCD**

#### DYTurbo phenomenological model

•  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha_S, L)$  in the perturbative form factor becomes singular for  $q_T \sim \Lambda_{QCD} \rightarrow \text{non-perturbative regime}$ 

•  $b_*$  regularisation: exp { $\mathcal{G}(\alpha_S, L)$ } dependence on *b* frozen close to singularity

$$b^2 \to b_*^2 = b^2 b_{\lim}^2 / (b^2 + b_{\lim}^2)$$
 with  $b_{\lim} \approx 2 \,\text{GeV}^{-1}$ 

- Sudakov form factor modifed by including a **non-perturbative term**:  $S(b) \equiv \exp \{\mathcal{G}(\alpha_{S}, L)\} \rightarrow S(b) \cdot S_{NP}(b)$
- Interface between DYTurbo and xFitter allows fits of TMD parameters in CS parametrisation and others
- Can fit DYTurbo predictions to low mass DY fixed target data used in TMD analyses, e.g. E288, E605, E866/NuSea





## Conclusions

### DYTurbo takeaways — from a user (experimentalist) perspective

- Very versatile in the context of DY precision measurements sensitive to  $q_T$  resummation corrections: the W-mass measurement is an ideal scenario for its application
- Reaches QCD perturbative accuracy needed to match current level of experimental precision in DY data
- Existing interface with xFitter makes it easy to use DYTurbo predictions in PDF and TMD fits
- Deterministic integration makes it very fast in many applications

