Theory progress on $D^+ \to \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$

<u>Anshika Bansal</u>

24/03/2025







Why $D \to \pi \ell^+ \ell^-$?

• Simplest Flavour Changing Neutral Current (FCNC) transition in charm sector $(c \rightarrow u\ell^+\ell^-)$: Probe for New Physics?



Very strong GIM & CKM suppression.

- Unlike B-FCNCs, charm FCNC is dominated by long distance effects.
- Charm FCNCs are both theoretically and experimentally challenging due to intermediate resonances.

Why $D \to \pi \ell^+ \ell^-$?

• Simplest Flavour Changing Neutral Current (FCNC) transition in charm sector $(c \rightarrow u\ell^+\ell^-)$: Probe for New Physics?



Very strong GIM & CKM suppression.

- Unlike B-FCNCs, charm FCNC is dominated by long distance effects.
- Charm FCNCs are both theoretically and experimentally challenging due to intermediate resonances.
- The effective Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{\Delta S=0} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{D}=d,s} \underbrace{\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}}_{\mathcal{O}(\lambda)} \left[C_1(\mu) O_1^{\mathcal{D}} + C_2(\mu) O_2^{\mathcal{D}} \right] - \underbrace{\lambda_b}_{\mathcal{O}(\lambda^5)} \sum_{i=3}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i$$

• Hadronic amplitude:

$$\mathscr{A}^{D^+ \to \pi^+ \gamma^*}_{\mu}(p,q) = i \int d^4 x e^{iq.x} \langle \pi^+(p) | T \left\{ j^{em}_{\mu}(x), \mathscr{H}^{(\Delta_s=0,\lambda_b=0)}_{eff} \right\} | D^+(p+q) \rangle$$

Object of interest

Non-local form factor : dominated by long distance effects in physical region of q^2 .

• Vector meson can be created from non-leptonic weak decay before γ^* : Resonance contributions

Why $D \to \pi \ell^+ \ell^-$?

• Simplest Flavour Changing Neutral Current (FCNC) transition in charm sector $(c \rightarrow u\ell^+\ell^-)$: Probe for New Physics?

Penguin diagram
(Leading short distance contribution)
$$\begin{array}{l} c \\ d,s,b \\ Z,\gamma \\ \ell \end{array} \Rightarrow \mathscr{A}(c \to u) \propto \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \underbrace{V_{cs}^* V_{us}}_{\mathscr{O}(\lambda)} \left(f\left(\frac{m_s^2}{m_W^2}\right) - f\left(\frac{m_d^2}{m_W^2}\right) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \underbrace{V_{cb}^* V_{ub}}_{\mathscr{O}(\lambda^5)} \left(f\left(\frac{m_b^2}{m_W^2}\right) - f\left(\frac{m_d^2}{m_W^2}\right) \right) \\ \end{array}$$

Very strong GIM & CKM suppression.

• Unlike B-FCNCs, charm FCNC is dominated by long distance effects.



• Vector meson can be created from non-leptonic weak decay before γ^* : Resonance contributions

$\rightarrow \pi \ell^+ \ell^-$ using LCSR supported Dispersion relation

[In preparation, AB, Alexander Khodjamirian and Thomas Mannel]

• Benefits:

• An independent alternative to QCDf. [A. Bharucha et. al., (2011.12856)]

In QCDf,
$$BR(D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)_{q^2 \in [0.250^2, 0.525^2]} = (8.1^{5.9}_{-6.1}) \times 10^{-9}$$

 $BR(D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)_{q^2 > 1.25^2} = (2.7^{+4.0}_{-2.6}) \times 10^{-9}$

Experimental bounds $(< 6.7 \times 10^{-8})$ approaching theory predictions \implies Imp. to look for alternate QCD methods.

• Finite
$$m_c$$
 : No $\frac{1}{m_c}$ corrections unlike QCDf.

• Possibility to fix ρ , ω , ϕ resonance phases and heavier states (Major source of uncertainties in literature). [G. Hiller et al. 1510.00311, 1909.11108, 2410.00115], [S. Faifer, N. Kośnik, 1510.00965]







* Artificial momentum *k* is introduced at four vertex to avoid parasitic contributions in dispersion relation. (Method used before in LCSR analysis of $B \rightarrow 2\pi$ and $D \rightarrow 2\pi$, $K\bar{K}$)

[A. Khodjamirian et. al, hep-ph/0304179, hep-ph/0509049,1706.07780, hep-ph/0012271]



Main idea in a nutshell :

<u>Step-1</u>: Compute $\mathscr{A}^{(D^+ \to \pi^+ \gamma^*)}(q^2)$ using Light Cone Sum Rules (valid only for $q^2 < 0$)

<u>Step-2</u>: Write the hadronic dispersion relation in terms of unknown phases and parametrize the spectral density (valid for all values of q^2).

Step-3: Match the LCSR results with the dispersion relation at $q^2 < 0$ and estimate the unknown phases and the parametrization parameters.

<u>Step-4</u>: Estimate $\mathscr{A}^{(D^+ \to \pi^+ \gamma^*)}(q^2)$ in the physical region using dispersion relation.

(Resembling partly the analysis of nonlocal effects in $B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$)

[A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel, A. Pivovarov, Y. Wang, 1211.0234]

[A. Khodjamirian, A. V. Rusov, 1703.04765], N. Gubernari, M. Rebound, D. van Dyk, J. Virto, 2011.09813

Hadronic dispersion models and Preliminary Results



with, $z(q^2) = \frac{\sqrt{s_0^h - q^2} - \sqrt{s_0^h}}{\sqrt{s_0^h - q^2} + \sqrt{s_0^h}}$ and a_k = Complex coefficients

Hadronic dispersion models and Preliminary Results



Anshika Bansal, Uni-Siegen

Summary and Outlook

* Amplitude for $D^+ \to \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ is mainly dominated by weak annihilation topology generated by $O_{1,2}$,

"Loop" and "Short distance" contributions e.g. due to O_9 are tiny.

- * Contribution from higher resonances is important especially for high q^2 region.
- * Preliminary fits suggest a constructive interference between ρ/ω and ϕ resonances.
- We also perform U-spin analysis to relate these Singly Cabibo Suppressed modes to Cabibbo Favoured (CF) modes.
- \bullet CF modes includes only annihilation topologies \Longrightarrow Can be helpful to understand QCD dynamics involved.
- * As a byproduct we also look at CF $D_s^+ \to \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $D^0 \to K^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ modes.

Summary and Outlook

* Amplitude for $D^+ \to \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ is mainly dominated by weak annihilation topology generated by $O_{1,2}$,

"Loop" and "Short distance" contributions e.g. due to O_9 are tiny.

- * Contribution from higher resonances is important especially for high q^2 region.
- * Preliminary fits suggest a constructive interference between ρ/ω and ϕ resonances.
- We also perform U-spin analysis to relate these Singly Cabibo Suppressed modes to Cabibbo Favoured (CF) modes.
- * CF modes includes only annihilation topologies \implies Can be helpful to understand QCD dynamics involved.
- * As a byproduct we also look at CF $D_s^+ \to \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $D^0 \to K^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ modes.

Back up!

Highlights from literature!

• Treat resonances as a correction to C_0 : using Breit Wigner parametrization [G. Hiller et al. 1510.00311, 1909.11108, 2410.00115], [S. Fajfer, N. Kośnik, 1510.00965] • Major source of uncertainties : unknown strong phases $d\mathbf{B} (D^{+}_{+} + \mu^{+}_{+} + \mu^{+}_{-} + \mu^{+}_{-} + \mu^{+}_{-} + \mu^{-10}_{-12}) / dq^{2} [GeV_{-2}^{-2}] = 10^{-12}$ non-resonant SM resonant SM What about Weak annihilation and higher resonances? Hadronic dispersion relation: $\mathscr{A}^{(D^+ \to \pi^+ \gamma^*)}(q^2) = \sum_{V = \rho, \omega, \phi} \frac{\kappa_V f_V |A_{D^+ V \pi^+}| e^{i\phi_V}}{(m_V^2 - q^2 - i\sqrt{q^2} \Gamma_V(q^2))} + \int_{s_0^h}^{\infty} ds \frac{\rho_h(s)}{(s - q^2 - i\epsilon)}$ $k_{\rho} = 1/\sqrt{2}, k_{\rho} = 1/(3\sqrt{2}), k_{\phi} = -1/3$ [G. Hiller, et. Al 1909.11108] • Captures higher/continuum states contribution for $q^2 < s_0^h$. • Needs parametrisation (model dependence). q^2 [GeV²] • vetoing a certain q^2 - region do not remove resonances from amplitude. **Proper QCD based** • Radial excitations of ρ , ω , ϕ and the "tail" at $s > (m_D - m_\pi)^2$ are indispensable. study is important!! • <u>QCD based analysis</u>: QCDf for low q^2 and OPE for high q^2 : [A. Bharucha et. al., (2011.12856)] 1.4 $BR(D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)_{q^2 \in [0.250^2, 0.525^2]} = (8.1^{5.9}_{-6.1}) \times 10^{-9}$ 1.2 $BR(D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)_{a^2 > 1.25^2} = (2.7^{+4.0}_{-2.6}) \times 10^{-9}$ R_{WA}(s) • Still open questions: • Annihilation diagrams included in QCDf estimates: emission from initial d-quark 0.8 • How big are other three contributions? 0.6 [A. Bharucha et. al., (2011.12856)] • $\frac{1}{m_c}$ corrections (use of D-meson Distribution Amplitudes) ? 1.5 3.0 2.0 2.5 s [GeV²]

Highlights from literature!

• Treat resonances as a correction to C_0 : using Breit Wigner parametrization [G. Hiller et al. 1510.00311, 1909.11108, 2410.00115], [S. Fajfer, N. Kośnik, 1510.00965] $d\mathbf{B} (D^{+}_{+} + \mu^{+}_{+} + \mu^{+}_{-} + \mu^{+}_{-} + \mu^{+}_{-} + \mu^{-10}_{-12}) / dq^{2} [GeV_{-2}^{-2}] = 10^{-12}$ • Major source of uncertainties : unknown strong phases non-resonant SM resonant SM What about Weak annihilation and higher resonances? Hadronic dispersion relation: $\mathscr{A}^{(D^+ \to \pi^+ \gamma^*)}(q^2) = \sum_{V = \rho, \omega, \phi} \frac{\kappa_V f_V |A_{D^+ V \pi^+}| e^{i\phi_V}}{(m_V^2 - q^2 - i\sqrt{q^2} \Gamma_V(q^2))} + \int_{s_0^h}^{\infty} ds \frac{\rho_h(s)}{(s - q^2 - i\epsilon)}$ $k_{o} = 1/\sqrt{2}, k_{o} = 1/(3\sqrt{2}), k_{\phi} = -1/3$ [G. Hiller, et. Al 1909.11108] • Captures higher/continuum states contribution for $q^2 < s_0^h$. • Needs parametrisation (model dependence). q^2 [GeV²] • vetoing a certain q^2 - region do not remove resonances from amplitude. **Proper QCD based** • Radial excitations of ρ , ω , ϕ and the "tail" at $s > (m_D - m_\pi)^2$ are indispensable. study is important!! • <u>QCD based analysis</u>: QCDf for low q^2 and OPE for high q^2 : [A. Bharucha et. al., (2011.12856)] Weak Annihilation contribution are dominating 1.4 $BR(D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)_{a^2 \in [0.250^2, 0.525^2]} = (8.1^{5.9}_{-6.1}) \times 10^{-9}$ 1.2 $BR(D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)_{a^2 > 1.25^2} = (2.7^{+4.0}_{-2.6}) \times 10^{-9}$ R_{WA}(s) • Still open questions: • Annihilation diagrams included in QCDf estimates: emission from initial d-quark 0.8 • How big are other three contributions? 0.6 [A. Bharucha et. al., (2011.12856)] • $\frac{1}{m_c}$ corrections (use of D-meson Distribution Amplitudes) ? 1.5 3.0 2.0 2.5 s [GeV²]

Highlights from literature!

• Treat resonances as a correction to C_0 : using Breit Wigner parametrization [G. Hiller et al. 1510.00311, 1909.11108, 2410.00115], [S. Fajfer, N. Kośnik, 1510.00965] $\begin{array}{c} 10^{-3} \\ \mu^{+} \mu^{+} \\ \mu^{-} \\ 10^{-11} \\ \mu^{-11} \\ \mu^{-1$ • Major source of uncertainties : unknown strong phases non-resonant SM resonant SM What about Weak annihilation and higher resonances? Hadronic dispersion relation: $\mathcal{A}^{(D^+ \to \pi^+ \gamma^*)}(q^2) = \sum_{V = \rho, \omega, \phi} \frac{\kappa_V f_V |A_{D^+ V \pi^+}| e^{i\varphi_V}}{(m_V^2 - q^2 - i\sqrt{q^2} \Gamma_V(q^2))} + \int_{s_0^h}^{\infty} ds \frac{\rho_h(s)}{(s - q^2 - i\epsilon)}$ $k_\rho = 1/\sqrt{2}, k_\omega = 1/(3\sqrt{2}), k_\phi = -1/3$ $\overset{+}{\underbrace{O}}_{\mathcal{D}}^{+} 10^{-13}$ • Captures higher/continuum states contribution for $q^2 < s_0^h$. [G. Hiller, et. Al 1909.11108] • Needs parametrisation (model dependence). q^2 [GeV²] • vetoing a certain q^2 - region do not remove resonances from amplitude. **Proper QCD based** • Radial excitations of ρ , ω , ϕ and the "tail" at $s > (m_D - m_{\pi})^2$ are indispensable. study is important!! • <u>QCD based analysis</u>: QCDf for low q^2 and OPE for high q^2 : [A. Bharucha et. al., (2011.12856)] Weak Annihilation contribution are dominating 1.4 $BR(D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)_{a^2 \in [0.250^2, 0.525^2]} = (8.1^{5.9}_{-6.1}) \times 10^{-9}$ 1.2 $BR(D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)_{a^2 > 1.25^2} = (2.7^{+4.0}_{-2.6}) \times 10^{-9}$ R_{WA}(s) • Still open questions: • Annihilation diagrams included in QCDf estimates: emission from initial d-quark 0.8 • How big are other three contributions? 0.6 [A. Bharucha et. al., (2011.12856)] • $\frac{1}{m}$ corrections (use of D-meson Distribution Amplitudes) ? 1.5 3.0 2.0 2.5 $s [GeV^2]$ Experimental bounds ($< 6.7 \times 10^{-8}$) approaching theory predictions \implies Imp. to look for alternate QCD methods.

Anshika Bansal, Uni-Siegen