

# Tommy Martinov

on behalf of the Belle II collaboration





# Introduction

New Belle II leptonic and semileptonic results using the **full Run 1 dataset of 365 fb<sup>-1</sup>** or **390M** *B* $\overline{B}$  **pairs** collected at an  $e^+e^-$  centre-of-mass energy at the Y(4S) resonance mass (10.58 GeV)

#### One new leptonic B decay result

arXiv:2502.04885 Submitted to PRD

- Measurement of the  $B^+ \rightarrow \tau v$  branching fraction with a hadronic tagging method
  - See Giovanni Gaudino's YSF talk this evening for more details
- Two new semileptonic *B* decay results **New for Moriond !** 
  - **Preliminary** Determination of  $|V_{ch}|$  using  $B \rightarrow D I v$  decays with an inclusive tagging method Ο
  - Test of lepton flavour universality with measurements of  $R(D^+)$  and  $R(D^{*+})$  using Ο semileptonic *B* tagging
    - First result using semileptonic *B* tagging !
    - First combined R(D) and  $R(D^*)$  Belle II measurement !

# **Experimental setup**

#### **SuperKEKB**





Asymmetric-energy  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$ Centre-of-mass energy = 10.58 GeV World record instantaneous luminosity  $5.1 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} (27/12/2024)$ 



~4π spatial coverage Well known initial state ⇒ Measurements with missing energy Run 1 luminosity: 365.37 ± 1.70 fb<sup>-1</sup>



- Measurements of  $|V_{qb}|$  are crucial to **constrain the CKM matrix**
- They are usually measured using semileptonic *B* decays
  - Via exclusive decays
    - $\blacksquare \quad B \to \pi \, I \, v, \, B \to D \, I \, v \dots$
  - Or via inclusive decays where no explicit requirements are applied on the hadronic system
- The two methods yield values which **differ by**  $\sim 3\sigma$  for both  $|V_{ub}|$  and  $|V_{cb}|$

$$V_{\rm CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$



### $B \rightarrow D I v$ MEASUREMENT AT BELLE II

$$= \frac{M_B^2 + M_D^2 - q^2}{2M_B M_D} \quad q^2 = (p_\ell + p_{\nu_\ell})^2$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma(B \to D\ell\nu)}{dw} = \frac{G_F^2 m_D^3}{48\pi^3} (M_B + M_D)^2 (w^2 - 1)^{3/2} \eta_{\rm EW}^2 (w |V_{cb}|^2)$$

- The differential decay rate as a function of the recoil parameter w is proportional to  $|V_{cb}|^2$  and the  $B \rightarrow D$  form factors
- Studying  $B \rightarrow D I v$  has 3 main advantages compared to  $B \rightarrow D^* (\rightarrow D^0 \pi^+) I v$ 
  - Both isospin states  $D^0/D^+$  are accessed
  - $\circ$  The measurement doesn't depend on the reconstruction of a low-momentum  $\pi$ 
    - Leading systematic uncertainty for  $B \rightarrow D^* I v$  measurements
  - The form factor calculation is more precise
- Belle II has already measured  $|V_{cb}|$  via  $B \rightarrow D^* I v$  (PRD 108, 092013)

$$\circ |V_{cb}|_{BGL} = (40.57 \pm 1.16) \times 10^{-3}$$

D

 $V_{cb}$ 

B

- The Belle II measurement is performed using B<sup>0</sup> and B<sup>+</sup> decays without explicitly reconstructing the partner B meson from the Y(4S) → BB decay
  - $\circ \quad D^{\text{-}} \to K^{\text{+}} \pi^{\text{-}} \pi^{\text{-}} \text{ and } D^{0} \to K^{\text{-}} \pi^{\text{+}}$
- The signal is extracted using the cos θ<sub>BY</sub>
   variable where Y represents the DI system

$$\cos \theta_{BY} = \frac{2 E_B^* E_Y^* - M_B^2 - M_Y^2}{2|p_B^*||p_Y^*|}$$



#### **Preliminary**

# $B \rightarrow D I v$ measurement at Belle II

- The signal is extracted from a 2D binned template fit of  $\cos\theta_{BV}$ : w split in 10 bins each
- The fit is performed simultaneously on 4 separate channels D<sup>0</sup>e<sup>-</sup>, D<sup>0</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>, D<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and D<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> to extract the individual branching fractions and a lepton flavour universality test



#### $B \rightarrow D I v$ measurement at Belle II Results

- The differential decay rate  $\Delta\Gamma/\Delta w$  in 10 w bins is obtained from the same fit
- The obtained values of  $\Delta\Gamma/\Delta w$  are fitted to the differential rate expressed using the Bourrely, Caprini, Lellouch (BCL) form factor parametrisation with a  $\chi^2$  fit with lattice QCD constraints  $\rightarrow$  extraction of  $|V_{cb}|$  and BCL form factor parameters

Preliminary



### $B \rightarrow \tau v$ MEASUREMENT AT BELLE II

# Leptonic *B* decays

- Purely leptonic *B* decays are the cleanest channels to measure |V<sub>ub</sub>|
- Their branching ratio depends on the B meson decay constant which can be extracted precisely from lattice QCD
- However, they are strongly helicity suppressed and therefore hard to study
- All individual measurements are below the 5σ discovery threshold



$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = \frac{G_F^2 m_B}{8\pi} m_\ell^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{m_B^2}\right)^2 \left(f_B^2 V_{ub}\right)^2 \tau_B$$

$$f_B = 190.0 \pm 1.3 \text{ MeV}$$
[FLAG]

$B \rightarrow \tau v$	
------------------------	--

Experiment	Tag	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-4})$
Belle	Hadronic	$0.72^{+0.27}_{-0.25} \pm 0.11$
BABAR	Hadronic	$1.83^{+0.53}_{-0.49} \pm 0.24$
Belle	Semileptonic	$1.25 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.27$
BABAR	Semileptonic	$1.8\pm0.8\pm0.2$
PDG		$1.09\pm0.24$



- The Belle II measurement is performed by reconstructing **tag-side** *B* **mesons** in their **hadronic decay channels** 
  - This is necessary to constrain the event kinematics despite the presence of multiple undetected neutrinos
- The signal-side *t* is reconstructed in 4 channels to maximise the reconstruction efficiency
  - BR( $\tau \rightarrow evv$ ) = 17.8%
  - $\circ \quad \mathsf{BR}(\tau \to \mu v v) = 17.4\%$
  - BR( $\tau \rightarrow \pi v$ ) = 10.8%
  - BR( $\tau \rightarrow \rho v$ ) = 25.5%
  - Total = 71.5%



• The total energy from neutral clusters not associated with either *B* mesons is **calibrated using 3 separate control samples** to correct *BB* backgrounds, signal with *t* leptonic modes and signal with *t* hadronic modes



 The total energy from neutral clusters not associated with either *B* mesons is calibrated using 3 separate control samples to correct *BB* backgrounds, signal with *r* leptonic modes and signal with *r* hadronic modes

- The branching fraction is extracted from 2D fit of the cluster energy and the event squared missing mass —
- Simultaneous binned maximum likelihood fit of all 4 signal *t* decay channels





$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau) = [1.24 \pm 0.41 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.19 (\text{syst.})] \times 10^{-4}$$

- $\bullet \quad Significance \to 3.0\sigma$ 
  - Expected significance  $\rightarrow 2.7\sigma$
- The measurement is limited by statistics
- The leading systematic uncertainties come from
  - The finite size of the simulated samples
  - The neutral cluster calibration
- The extracted value of  $|V_{ub}|$  is compatible with the exclusive and inclusive averages

$$|V_{ub}|_{B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau} = [4.41^{+0.74}_{-0.89}] \times 10^{-3}$$

 $|V_{ub}|_{\text{excl.}} = (3.43 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3} |V_{ub}|_{\text{incl.}} = (4.06 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ 



#### DESY.

# R(D<sup>(\*)</sup>) MEASUREMENT AT BELLE II

# *R(D<sup>(\*)</sup>)* measurement at Belle II

$$R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu)}{\mathcal{B}(B \to D^{(*)}\ell\nu)}$$

- Test lepton flavour universality by studying decays to heavy *t* leptons versus light *e*, *μ* leptons
  - An observation of lepton flavour universality violation would be a clear signature of non-SM couplings with the 3<sup>rd</sup> fermion generation
- Such ratios have been measured by BaBar, Belle, LHCb and now Belle II
- Long standing **discrepancy** currently standing at **3.1σ** for the combined value of *R*(*D*<sup>(\*)</sup>)



### **R(D<sup>(\*)</sup>) measurement at Belle II** Reconstruction

- The Belle II measurement is performed with *B*<sup>0</sup> decays
- The Belle II measurement is performed by reconstructing tag-side *B* mesons in their semileptonic decay channels

 $\circ \quad B_{tag} \to D/D^* I v$ 

*r* are reconstructed in their leptonic decay channels

 $\circ \quad \tau \to I \lor V$ 

- **D** mesons on both sides are reconstructed through various decays to  $K^+$ ,  $K_s$ ,  $\pi^+$ ,  $\pi^0$ 
  - Tag side: 26 decay modes
  - Signal side: 13 decays modes



#### Preliminary

# R(D<sup>(\*)</sup>) measurement at Belle II

- A BDT algorithm is used to separate the events in 3 classes
  - Semitauonic signal events
  - Semileptonic signal events
  - Background events
- Most discriminating input feature is cosθ<sub>BY</sub>
- Each event is assigned a BDT score z<sub>r</sub>, z<sub>ρ</sub>, z<sub>bkg</sub>
- The signal is extracted in a 2D
   binned template fit of z, and

$$z_{diff} = z_{l} - z_{bkg}$$



#### **R(D<sup>(\*)</sup>)** measurement at Belle II Results D<sup>(\*)</sup> T V

- The fit is performed over **4 separate channels**:  $D^+e^-$ ,  $D^+\mu^-$ ,  $D^{*+}e^-$ ,  $D^{*+}\mu^-$  and The measurement is statistically limited
- The leading systematic uncertainties are coming from
  - The finite size of the simulated samples Ο
  - The lepton identification efficiency and fake rate Ο corrections
- The addition of  $B^+$  modes will improve the precision

$$\mathcal{R}(D^+) = 0.418 \pm 0.074 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.051 \text{ (syst)}$$
  
 $\mathcal{R}(D^{*+}) = 0.306 \pm 0.034 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.018 \text{ (syst)}$ 



 $D^{(*)} I v$ 

D\*\* 1 v



#### • 3 very recent high-profile Belle II analyses were presented here

- Measurement of  $|V_{cb}|$  via  $B \rightarrow D I v$  decays
  - Competitive with previous measurements of  $|V_{cb}|$  via  $B \rightarrow D^* / v$  decays which are usually preferred because of a branching fraction about twice higher
- $B^+ \rightarrow \tau v$  branching fraction measurement
  - Competitive with previous measurements
  - Measurements of  $|V_{\mu\nu}|$  with negligible theoretical uncertainty
- Combined  $R(D^+)$  and  $R(D^{*+})$  measurement
  - First Belle II result with semileptonic tagging method
  - First Belle II combined *R*(*D*)-*R*(*D*\*) measurement





# **Tagging methods**

- At B-factories it is possible to reconstruct both *B* mesons coming from the  $Y(4S) \rightarrow B_{sig}\overline{B}_{tag}$  decay
- Three possible tagging strategies
  - Inclusive tagging (untagged)
    - Only simple consistency selections are applied on the  $B_{tag}$
    - Offers high efficiency for statistically limited measurements
  - Semileptonic tagging
    - Reconstruct the  $B_{tag}$  in its  $B \rightarrow D/D^* I v$  decays
    - Relatively low efficiency but more kinematically constrained events
  - Hadronic tagging

DESY.

- Reconstruct the  $B_{tag}$  in its hadronic decays in a total of O(10,000) channels
- Very low efficiency but precise reconstruction of the full event
- Particularly useful for measurements with undetected particles and/or inclusive systems



# $B \rightarrow X_c \ I \ v \ decays$

DESY.

- The sum of branching fractions of semileptonic *B* decays to *D*, *D*<sup>\*</sup> and *D*<sup>\*\*</sup> doesn't match the measured inclusive  $B \rightarrow X_c / v$  branching fraction
- To fill the gap, unmeasured decays are added referred to as gap modes  $\circ B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \eta I v$



#### $B \rightarrow DIv$ measurement at Belle II BCL expansion BCL expansion: PRD 79, 013008 (2009)

$$r = M_D/M_B$$
  $\mathcal{G}^2(w) = \frac{4r}{(1+r)^2} f_+^2(w)$   $f_0(w_{\max}) = f_+(w_{\max})$ 

$$f_{+}(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{2}/M_{+}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_{k} \left[ z^{k} - (-1)^{k-N} \frac{k}{N} z^{N} \right] \qquad f_{0}\left(q^{2}\right) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{2}/M_{0}^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} b_{k} z^{k}$$

$a_0^+$	0.8959(92)	1	0.2	26 -0.38	0.95	0.51
$a_1^+$	-8.03(15)		]	1  0.17	0.33	0.86
$a_2^+$	49.3(31)			1	-0.31	0.16
$a_{0}^{0}$	0.7813(73)				1	0.47
$a_1^0$	-3.38(15)					1

Measured parameters of the N = 3 BCL expansion

#### $B \rightarrow D I v$ measurement at Belle II Systematics budget

	Uncertainty [%]
Statistical uncertainty	0.9
MC Stat. Error	0.5
$N_{bb}$	0.5
$f_{00}/f_{+-}$	0.1
$f_{B}$	0.3
$\mathcal{B}(D \to K\pi(\pi))$	0.3
Selection	0.5
$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_c \ell \nu)$	0.3
Lepton identification	0.2
Kaon identification	0.5
Tracking efficiency	0.3
Signal PDF	0.4
$B \to D^* \ell \nu$ form factor	0.1
w background modelling	0.5
Background reweighing	0.3
$ au_{B^{0/\pm}}$	0.1
Total Systematic	1.5
Lattice QCD inputs	1.2
Long-distance QED	0.4
Total theory	1.3
Total	2.1

# Fractional contributions to the total relative uncertainty of $|V_{cb}|$

#### $|V_{cb}| = (39.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.6 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.5 \text{ (theo.)}) \times 10^{-3}$

#### $B \rightarrow D I v$ measurement at Belle II Electroweak and QED corrections

- Short-distance electroweak corrections are well understood
  - $\eta_{EW} = (1.0066 \pm 0.0002) [Nucl. Phys. B 196, 83 (1982)]$

• Long-distance QED corrections arise from photon exchange between the *D* meson and the charged lepton (Coulomb correction)

• 
$$\delta_{Coulomb} = (1 + \alpha \pi) = 1.023 [Phys. Rev. D 41, 1736 (1990)]$$

• A nuisance parameter  $\theta$  is introduced to take into account the isospin-breaking effect of the Coulomb correction which modifies the *B* lifetime ratio

 $\quad \quad \tau_{0+} \to \tau_{0+}(1 + \alpha \pi \theta)$ 

• This is an important information that cannot be accessed in  $B \rightarrow D^* I v$ measurements where the  $D^*$  is usually reconstructed via  $D^* (\rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ 

#### $B \rightarrow \tau v$ measurement at Belle II Calibration and validation

 $w_{\rm cont.}$ 

 $\mathcal{S}_{ ext{cont.}}$ 

- Continuum calibration
  - Use a sample of data collected 60 MeV below the *Y(4S)* resonance
  - Train a BDT to distinguish simulated and data events
  - The classifier response  $S_{cont.}$  is used to reweight continuum events
- The data-simulation disagreement in E<sup>extra</sup> variable is known to originate from an incorrect modelling of the extra neutral cluster multiplicity n<sub>vextra</sub>
- 3 control samples are used to extract calibration factors in bins of n<sub>yextra</sub>
  - Extra track sample  $\rightarrow B\overline{B}$  background
  - $\circ \quad B \to D^* \, I \, v \text{ sample} \to \text{signal } \tau \to I \, v \, v$
  - Double tag sample  $\rightarrow$  signal  $\tau \rightarrow h v$







#### $B \rightarrow \tau v$ measurement at Belle II Systematics budget

Source	Syst.
Simulation statistics	13.3%
Fit variables PDF corrections	5.5%
Decays branching fractions in MC	4.1%
Tag $B^-$ reconstruction efficiency	2.2%
Continuum reweighting	1.9%
$\pi^0$ reconstruction efficiency	0.9%
Continuum normalization	0.7%
Particle identification	0.6%
Number of produced $\Upsilon(4S)$	1.5%
Fraction of $B^+B^-$ pairs	2.1%
Tracking efficiency	0.2%
Total	15.5%

Fractional contributions to the total relative systematic uncertainty of the  $B \rightarrow \tau v$  branching fraction

#### R(D<sup>(\*)</sup>) measurement at Belle II D decay modes

Decay mode	tag side	signal side
$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	~	~
$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$D^0 \to K^- K^+ K_S^0$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$D^0 \to K^- K^+$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$	~	$\checkmark$
$D^0  ightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$	1	$\checkmark$
$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	$\checkmark$	-
$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$\checkmark$	-
$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	$\checkmark$	-
$D_0^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	~	-
$D_0^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	1	-
$D^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^0$	~	-
$D_0^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$\checkmark$	-
$\underline{D^0 \to K^- K^+ \pi^0}$	1	-
$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	~	$\checkmark$
$D^+_{\pm} \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^0_{\pm}$	~	$\checkmark$
$D^+ \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$D^+ \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$	$\checkmark$	~
$D^+_+ \rightarrow K^0_S K^+_+$	-	~
$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	~	-
$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0$	~	-
$D' \rightarrow \pi' \pi' \pi$ $\pi^+ + \pi^- 0$	~	-
$D' \rightarrow \pi' \pi' \pi \pi^{\circ}$	~	-
$D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K_S^- K_S^-$ $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K_S^+ + 0$	~	-
$D^{*} \rightarrow K  K^{*} \pi^{*} \pi^{*}$	V	-

#### **R(D<sup>(\*)</sup>) measurement at Belle II** Systematics budget

# Fractional contributions to the total (relative) uncertainty of R(D) and $R(D^*)$

Systematic Uncertainty	$\Delta \mathcal{R}(D^+)$	$\Delta \mathcal{R}(D^{*+})$
Additive		
MC sample size	0.033 (8.0%)	0.014 (4.7%)
$\operatorname{Gap} \mathcal{B}$	0.027 (6.4%)	0.001 (0.1%)
LID efficiency $(\mu)$	0.022 (5.1%)	0.001 (0.1%)
Fake rates $(e)$	0.012 (2.9%)	0.003 (0.9%)
Continuum fraction	0.002 (0.6%)	0.001 (0.2%)
Gap FFs	0.002~(0.5%)	0.001 (0.2%)
$\overline{B} \to D^{(*)} \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell} \ / \ \tau \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \ \mathrm{FFs}$	0.002~(0.5%)	0.002 (0.7%
$\mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \to D^{**} \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell})$	0.002 (0.5%)	0.001 (0.1%
$\overline{B} \to D^{**} \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ FFs	0.001 (0.3%)	0.001 (0.2%
BDT modeling	0.001 (0.3%)	0.001 (0.2%
LID efficiency $(e)$	0.001 (0.1%)	0.001 (0.2%
Fake rates $(\mu)$	0.001 (0.1%)	0.001 (0.1%
$\pi^{\pm} \text{ from } D^* \to D\pi$	0.003 (0.7%)	0.001 (0.1%
Total Additive Uncertainty	0.050 (12%)	0.015 (4.8%
Multiplicative		
$\overline{B} \to D^{(*)} \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell} \ / \ \tau \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \ \mathrm{FFs}$	0.009 (2.1%)	0.011 (3.5%
MC sample size	0.007 (1.7%)	0.004 (1.2%
LID efficiency $(e)$	0.001 (0.2%)	0.001 (0.2%
${\cal B}( au^-  o \ell^- \overline{ u}_\ell  u_ au)$	0.001 (0.2%)	0.001 (0.2%
LID efficiency $(\mu)$	0.001 (0.1%)	0.001 (0.1%
Tracking efficiency	0.001 (0.1%)	0.001 (0.1%
$\pi^{\pm} \text{ from } D^* \to D\pi$	- (-)	0.001 (0.2%
Total Multiplicative Uncertainty	y 0.012 (2.8%)	0.011 (3.7%
Total Syst. Uncertainty	0.051~(12%)	0.018 (6.2%
Total Stat. Uncertainty	0.074 (18%)	0.034 (11%)
Total Uncertainty	0.090 (22%)	0.039 (13%)